Non-prompt background estimation: prediction and closure

Viktor Kutzner

Weekly SUSY meeting

February 4 2019

Data-driven estimation method for non-prompt background:

- two ways: determine fake rate from
 - cleaned dilepton events
 - low-MHT QCD samples
- fake rate depending on pileup and H_T , generate 2D maps: FR(nVtx, H_T)
 - included overflow bins in 2D histograms
- estimate fakes in prediction region via n_{predicted} = FR ⋅ n_{CR} with CR = signal region without a disappearing track ⇒ do this for every signal region
- closure test for method:
 - compare predicted number of events to MC Truth (events with actual fake tracks)

Control plot

- test closure of method: prediction should match number of MC events containing fake tracks
- plots show events in signal and control region for $n_{lepton} \ge 0$, $n_{lepton} = 0$



Control plot



- events in signal and control region for $n_{lepton} \ge = 1$
- prediction performs well for long tracks
- similar prediction for both fake rate estimation methods
- fake rate binned in FR(nVtx, H_T), but until now not depending on track category ⇒ next slides
- caveat: short track selection, modify control region for events with 2 disappearing tracks

- separate FR maps for short / long tracks
- pixel-only track selection issue evident:











QCD method fake rate maps

• fake rate maps derived using QCD method (long tracks):



QCD method fake rate maps

• fake rate maps derived using QCD method (long tracks):



Todo

- fix short track selection issue
- change control region for events with 2 disappearing tracks
- get predicted number of background events in data
- data ntuples for Run2018A, Run2018B and Run2018C are on the way (with fixed Electron selection in Treemaker)
- Treemaker configured for 2018 re-reco JetHT, MET and SingleMuon datastreams, no SingleElectron yet

Signal regions

