

Parton evolution at amplitude level

Jeff Forshaw

Simon Plätzer Mike Seymour Albrecht Kyrieleis René Ángeles Martínez Matthew de Angelis Jack Holguin • Ultimate goal: systematically to go beyond leading colour in an event generator

Plan of this talk

- 1. The algorithm we have currently implemented
- 2. Beyond the soft approximation
- 3. Factorization
- 4. A remarkable(?) result concerning the loop corrections

Nagy & Soper: DEDUCTOR JHEP 0709 (2007) 114 JHEP 0803 (2008) 030 JHEP 0807 (2008) 025 JHEP 1206 (2012) 044 JHEP 1406 (2014) 097 JHEP 1507 (2015) 119 Phys.Rev. D99 (2019) no.5, 054009

Parton showers with more exact color evolution

Zoltán Nagy DESY, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany *

Davison E. Soper

Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-5203, USA [†] (Dated: 7 March 2019)

Parton shower event generators typically approximate evolution of QCD color so that only contributions that are leading in the limit of an infinite number of colors are retained. Our parton shower generator, DEDUCTOR, has used an "LC+" approximation that is better, but still quite limited. In this paper, we introduce a new scheme for color in which the approximations can be systematically improved. That is, one can choose the theoretical accuracy level, but the accuracy level that is practical is limited by the computer resources available.

We expect that the algorithm presented here will not be the last word in algorithms for this purpose. Surely it is possible to do better. Indeed, Ángeles Martínez, De Angelis, Forshaw, Plätzer, and Seymour [16] have provided a formalism for the description of soft gluon emissions that is similar in some ways to the general formalism [4, 7] on which this paper is based. If the approach of Ref. [16] can be extended to include the collinear singularities of QCD, then it will be of great interest to see if there can be a practical implementation of the resulting formalism. Perhaps such an implementation will be able to outperform what this paper provides.

Soft gluons



Colour factor is nasty

Collinear emissions

<u>Colour structure is easier</u>. It is as if emission is off the parton to which it is collinear.



$$d\sigma_{n+1} = d\sigma_n \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} dz P_{ba}(z)$$

Simulation codes exploit the fact that in the "large N_c " approximation both wide-angle soft and collinear emissions can be included via a <u>classical</u> <u>branching algorithm</u>, i.e. quantum interference included by clever rearrangement of the interference terms = the HERWIG project.















$$\begin{split} & \int = \frac{\alpha_{c}}{\pi} \sum_{i < j} \left(-T_{i} \cdot T_{j} \right) \begin{cases} \int \frac{d \cdot R_{\kappa}}{4\pi} \, \omega_{ij}(\hat{k}) - i \hat{\pi} \, \hat{S}_{ij} \end{cases} \\ & \omega_{ij}(\hat{k}) = E_{k}^{2} \, \frac{P_{i} \cdot P_{j}}{P_{i} \cdot k \, P_{j} \cdot k} \end{split}$$

e.g.
$$A_{1} =$$

 $(V^{+} - D) (V + D) (V^{+} - D) (V^{$

Ángeles Martínez, de Angelis, JF, Plätzer, Seymour JHEP 05(2018) 044 arXiv:1802.08531



i	C;	ī,
1	l	0
2	0	T
3	2	0
4	0	2
	l	

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{transpositions}{\text{length of } \sigma, \tau} & \underset{e:g. < 12 | 12 > = N_c^2}{\text{e.g. } < 12 | 12 > = N_c^2} \\ \underset{<2 \cdot | 12 > = N_c}{\text{e.g. } < 12 | 12 > = N_c} \\ \underset{<2 \cdot | 12 > = N_c}{\text{transpositions by which } \sigma \& \tau \text{ differ}} \end{aligned}$$

 $[\sigma | \tau \rangle = \langle \sigma | \tau] = \delta_{\sigma,\tau}$ >[=] = 1 not orthonormal "scalar product matrix" $T = \sum_{\tau, \sigma} [\tau] < \sigma | \tau >$









 $\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\tau}(\Gamma|\sigma) &= N_{c}\delta_{\tau\sigma}\Gamma_{\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma_{\tau}} + \frac{1}{N_{c}}\delta_{\tau\sigma}\rho \\ \uparrow \\ T_{i}\cdot T_{i} + (\sigma,\tau) &= 1 \end{aligned}$

Plätzer: Eur. Phys. J. C (2014) 74, arXiv: 1312.2448

$$\left[\tau\left(e^{\left(\varepsilon\right)}\right)=\sum_{\substack{l=0\\k=0}}^{\infty}\frac{\left(-1\right)^{l}}{N_{c}^{l}}\sum_{\substack{\delta_{1},\delta_{1},\ldots,\delta_{l}}}^{l}\delta_{\tau\sigma_{0}}\delta_{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{1}}\left(\prod_{\substack{k=0\\k=0}}^{l-1}\sum_{\sigma_{\alpha}\sigma_{k+1}}\right)R\left(\left\{\sigma_{\sigma_{1}},\sigma_{1},\ldots,\sigma_{k},\delta_{n},\ldots,\delta_{n$$





Collinear emissions

 $\ln \mathbf{V}_{ab} = \ln \mathbf{W}_{ab} + \ln \mathbf{K}_{ab},$

$$\ln \mathbf{K}_{ab}\Big|_{\text{energy}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \sum_i (\mathbb{T}_i^g)^2 \int_a^b \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{E} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{4\pi} \frac{2}{n_i \cdot n}$$

Collinear divergence isolated in abelian Sudakov





Zero and one emission



zero emission plus collinear Sudakov



one emission plus collinear Sudakov



all emissions plus collinear Sudakov



Strictly leading colour



leading N in reals (~ Herwig et al)



zero emissions + soft Sudakov only



one emission + soft Sudakov only



two emissions + soft Sudakov only



all emissions + soft Sudakov + collinear Sudakov

Going beyond the soft approximation

- Hard-collinear emissions / loops
- Recoils
- Spin

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{V}_{\mu,q_{1\perp}} \mid \mathbf{D}_{1} \quad \mathbf{V}_{q_{1\perp},Q} \mid \mathcal{M} \rangle \langle \mathcal{M} \mid \mathbf{V}_{q_{1\perp},Q}^{\dagger} \quad \mathbf{D}_{1}^{\dagger} \quad \mathbf{V}_{\mu,q_{1\perp}}^{\dagger} \right] = \mathrm{d}\sigma_{1}$$

$$\Sigma(\mu) = \int \sum_{n} d\sigma_n u_n(q_1, ..., q_n),$$

=
$$\int \sum_{n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} d\Pi_i \right) \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A}_n(\mu; \{p\}_n) u_n(q_1, ..., q_n),$$

JRF, Jack Holguin, Simon Plätzer: arXiv:1905.08686

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{n}(q_{\perp}; \{\tilde{p}\}_{n-1} \cup q_{n}) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{H}}+n} \mathrm{d}^{4} p_{i} \mathbf{V}_{q_{\perp},q_{n}\perp} \mathbf{D}_{n} \mathbf{A}_{n-1}(q_{n}\perp; \{p\}_{n-1}) \mathbf{D}_{n}^{\dagger} \mathbf{V}_{q_{\perp},q_{n}\perp}^{\dagger} \Theta(q_{\perp} \le q_{n}\perp).$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}_{ij} &= \delta_{s_j, \frac{1}{2}} \delta_j^{\text{final}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{qq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(1+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{\langle q_i \bar{p}_j \rangle} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_i}) + \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{P}_{qq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(1+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_i]} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(2-2z_i+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_i]} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_i}) + \sqrt{\frac{(1-z_i)^2 \mathcal{P}_{gq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(1+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{[q_i \bar{p}_j]} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) \\ &+ \delta_{s_j,-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_j^{\text{final}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{qq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(1+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_i]} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) + \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{P}_{qq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(1+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{[q_i \bar{p}_j]} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) \right) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(2-2z_i+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{[q_i \bar{p}_j]} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) + \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{P}_{qq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(2-2z_i+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{(\bar{p}_j q_i)} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(2-2z_i+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{[q_i \bar{p}_j]} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) + \sqrt{\frac{(1-z_i)^2 \mathcal{P}_{gq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(2-2z_i+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{(\bar{p}_j q_i)} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(2-2z_i+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{[q_i \bar{p}_j]} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) + \sqrt{\frac{(1-z_i)^2 \mathcal{P}_{gq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(2-2z_i+z_i^2)}} \frac{1}{(\bar{p}_j q_i)} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{2C_{\mathrm{F}}(1-2z_i(1-z_i))}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_i]} (\mathbb{W}^{ij} - 1) (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{P}_j^1 \mathbb{P}_j^2 \mathbb{S}^{+\frac{1}{2}_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{P}_{gg}}{2C_{\Lambda}(1-z_i+z_i^2)^2}} \frac{1}{[\bar{q}_i \bar{p}_j]} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) + \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{gg}(1-z_i)^4}{2C_{\Lambda}(1-z_i+z_i^2)^2}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_i]} (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{P}_j^1 \mathbb{S}^{+1_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{P}_{gg}}{2C_{\Lambda}(1-z_i+z_i^2)^2}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_i]}} (\mathbb{W}^j \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) (\mathbb{W}^{ij} - 1) (\mathbb{T}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{P}_j^1 \mathbb{P}_j^2 \mathbb{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{P}_{gg}}{2C_{\Lambda}(1-z_i+z_i^2)^2}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_i]} (\mathbb{W}^j \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{P}_{gg}}{2C_{\Lambda}(1-z_i+z_i^2)^2}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_i]} (\mathbb{W}^j \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{P}_{gg}}{2C_{\Lambda}(1-z_i+z_i^2)^2}} \frac{1}{[\bar{p}_j q_j]} (\mathbb{W}_j^g \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_i}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_i^2 \mathcal{$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{qq} &= \mathcal{P}_{qq} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{F}}\frac{1}{1-z} = -\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{F}}(1+z), \\ \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{gg}^{\mathrm{initial}} &= \mathcal{P}_{gg} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\frac{1}{1-z} = 2\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\frac{1}{z} + z(1-z) - 2\right) \\ \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{gg}^{\mathrm{final}} &= \mathcal{P}_{gg} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\frac{1}{1-z} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\frac{1}{z} = 2\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(z(1-z) - 2\right) \\ \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{gq}^{\mathrm{final}} &= \mathcal{P}_{gq} - 2\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{F}}\frac{1}{z} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\frac{1+(1-z)^{2}}{z} - \frac{2}{z}\right), \qquad \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{gq}^{\mathrm{initial}} = \mathcal{P}_{gq}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{qg} &= \mathcal{P}_{qg}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \delta_{s_{j}, \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{j}^{\text{initial}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{z_{i}}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{qq}}{\mathcal{C}_{F}(1+z_{i}^{2})}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_{i}}) + \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}^{2}\mathcal{P}_{qq}}{\mathcal{C}_{F}(1+z_{i}^{2})}} \frac{1}{[p_{j}q_{i}]} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{(1-z_{i})^{2}\mathcal{P}_{qg}}{n_{f}\mathcal{C}_{F}(1-2z_{i}(1-z_{i}))}} \frac{1}{[p_{j}q_{i}]} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}^{2}\mathcal{P}_{qq}}{n_{f}\mathcal{C}_{F}(1-2z_{i}(1-z_{i}))}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) \\ &+ \delta_{s_{j,-\frac{1}{2}}} \delta_{j}^{\text{initial}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{z_{i}}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{P}_{qq}}{\mathcal{C}_{F}(1+z_{i}^{2})}} \frac{1}{[p_{j}q_{i}]} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) + \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}^{2}\mathcal{P}_{qq}}{\mathcal{C}_{F}(1+z_{i}^{2})}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{f}\mathcal{C}_{F}(1-2z_{i}(1-z_{i}))}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}^{2}\mathcal{P}_{qg}}{n_{f}\mathcal{C}_{F}(1-2z_{i}(1-z_{i}))}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}^{2}\mathcal{P}_{qg}}{n_{f}\mathcal{C}_{F}(1-2z_{i}(1-z_{i}))}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} \mathbb{W}^{ij} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}(1-z_{i})^{2}\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{n_{f}\mathcal{C}_{F}(1-2z_{i}+z_{i}^{2})^{2}}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{+1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}\mathcal{L}_{q}(1-z_{i})^{2}\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{n_{h}(1-z_{i}+z_{i}^{2})^{2}}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}\mathcal{L}_{q}(1-z_{i})^{2}\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{n_{h}(1-z_{i}+z_{i}^{2})^{2}}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}\mathcal{L}_{q}(1-z_{i})^{2}\mathcal{P}_{gq}}{n_{h}(1-z_{i}+z_{i}^{2})^{2}}} \frac{1}{(q_{i}p_{j})} (\mathbb{T}_{j}^{g} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{-1_{i}}) \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{z_{i}\mathcal{L}_{q}(1-z_{i})^{2}\mathcal{P}_{gq}}} \frac{1}{(1-z$$

Factorization



Coherence allours us to "unhook" cikenal gluons & recover collineer factorization. But it fails for Coulomb gluons.

One loop



Two loops

 $H = |\overline{\mathcal{M}}\rangle \langle \overline{\mathcal{M}}|$

Three loops

Only cancels if we integrate over the full green ("eikonal") gluon phase-space, i.e. no breakdown of the factorization theorems as originally proven.

JRF, Kyrieleis, Seymour, arXiv:08081269 JRF, Seymour, Siodmok, arXiv: 1206.6363 Catani, de Florian, Rodrigo: arXiv: 1112.4405 The impact on "gaps between jets"

JRF, Kyrieleis, Seymour hep-ph/0604094

Ignoring Coulomb/Glauber exchanges

Factorisation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_i - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_i, \overline{\mathbf{C}}_j \end{bmatrix} \simeq 0, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{a,b} (\mathbf{V}_{a,b}^{\text{col}})^{-1}, \overline{\mathbf{C}}_j \end{bmatrix} \simeq 0, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{a,b} (\mathbf{V}_{a,b}^{\text{col}})^{-1}, \mathbf{V}_{c,d}^{\text{col}} \end{bmatrix} \simeq 0, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_i - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_i, \mathbf{V}_{a,b}^{\text{col}} \end{bmatrix} \simeq 0.$$

The equality only holds when considering only the real part of these diagrams. The soft loop also generates imaginary parts; Coulomb/Glauber exchanges.

$$\left[\underbrace{(\mathcal{M} + i)^{j}}_{i} \underbrace{(\mathcal{M} + i)^{j}}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{V}_{a,b} &= \mathbf{P} \, \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \sum_{i < j} \int_a^b \frac{\mathrm{d}k_{\perp}^{(ij)}}{k_{\perp}^{(ij)}} (-\mathbb{T}_i^g \cdot \mathbb{T}_j^g) \left\{ \int \frac{\mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}\phi}{4\pi} (k_{\perp}^{(ij)})^2 \frac{\tilde{p}_i \cdot \tilde{p}_j}{(\tilde{p}_i \cdot k)(\tilde{p}_j \cdot k)} \theta_{ij}(k) - i\pi \, \tilde{\delta}_{ij} \right\} \\ & \times \mathcal{R}_{ij}^{\mathrm{soft}}(k, \{\tilde{p}\}) - \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \sum_i \int_a^b \frac{\mathrm{d}k_{\perp}^{(i\vec{n})}}{k_{\perp}^{(i\vec{n})}} \sum_{\upsilon \in \{q,g\}} \mathbb{T}_i^{\bar{\upsilon}\, 2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}\phi}{8\pi} \, \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\upsilon\upsilon_i}^{\circ}(z) \, \theta_i(k) \, \mathcal{R}_i^{\mathrm{coll}}(k, \{\tilde{p}\}) \right], \end{split}$$

To interleave Coulomb terms we use a path ordered expansion around the " $i\pi$ " terms. Following this we can carefully interleave them into a factorised evolution.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{V}_{a,b} = & \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{a,b} - \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \sum_{i_1 < j_1} \int_a^b \frac{\mathrm{d}k_{1\perp}^{(i_1j_1)}}{k_{1\perp}^{(i_1j_1)}} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{a,k_{1\perp}} (\mathbb{T}_{i_1}^g \cdot \mathbb{T}_{j_1}^g) \, i\pi \, \tilde{\delta}_{i_1j_1} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{k_{1\perp},b} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \sum_{i_2 < j_2} \int_a^b \frac{\mathrm{d}k_{1\perp}^{(i_1j_1)}}{k_{1\perp}^{(i_1j_1)}} \sum_{i_1 < j_1} \int_a^{k_{1\perp}^{(i_1j_1)}} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_{2\perp}^{(i_2j_2)}}{k_{2\perp}^{(i_2j_2)}} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{a,k_{2\perp}} (\mathbb{T}_{i_2}^g \cdot \mathbb{T}_{j_2}^g) \, i\pi \, \tilde{\delta}_{i_2j_2} \\ &\times \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{k_{2\perp},k_{1\perp}} (\mathbb{T}_{i_1}^g \cdot \mathbb{T}_{j_1}^g) \, i\pi \tilde{\delta}_{i_1j_1} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{k_{1\perp},b} - \dots, \end{split}$$

Factorisation

In a practical calculation, this means we can include Coulomb terms by using the factorised algorithm and terminating the evolution at the coulomb scale. After this you then perform a second evolution, using the output of the first as the hard process (initial condition). This second evolution runs from the first Coulomb scale and terminates on a second. Etc. Finally we must integrate the Coulomb scales over the full ranged allowed by the ordering.

$$\mathbf{V}_{a,b}^{\text{tcol}} = \prod_{j} \mathbf{U}_{a,b}^{j} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{U}_{a,b}^{j} = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_{\perp}^{(j\vec{n})}}{k_{\perp}^{(j\vec{n})}} \Theta(q_{i\perp}^{(j\vec{n})} \le p_{j\perp}) \sum_{v} \mathbb{T}_{j}^{\bar{v}\,2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z \,\mathrm{d}\phi}{8\pi} \mathcal{P}_{vv_{j}}^{\circ}\right]$$

<u>A remarkable result?</u>

arXiv: 1107.4384

Thanks to Mike Suymow & René Angeles Martinez

A bit more detail....

Double emission & one-loop case

- Limit 1: Both emissions are at wide angle but one gluon is much softer than the other, i.e. $(q_1^{\pm} \sim q_{1T}) \gg (q_2^{\pm} \sim q_{2T})$. Specifically, we take $q_2 \rightarrow \lambda q_2$ and keep the leading term for small λ .
- Limit 2: One emission (q₂) collinear with p_i by virtue of its small transverse momentum and the other (q₁) at a wide angle, i.e. q₂⁺ ≫ q_{2T} and q₁⁺ ~ q_{1T} ≫ q_{2T}. Specifically, we take q₂ → (q₂⁺, λ²q_{2T}²/(2q₂⁺), λq_{2T}) and keep the leading term for small λ.
- Limit 3: One emission (q_1) collinear with p_i by virtue of its high energy and the other (q_2) at a wide angle, i.e. $q_1^+ \gg q_{1T}$ and $q_{1T} \gg q_{2T} \sim q_2^+$. Specifically, we take³ $q_1 \rightarrow (q_1^+/\lambda, \lambda q_{1T}^2/(2q_1^+), \mathbf{q}_{1T})$ and $q_2 \rightarrow \lambda q_2$, and keep the leading term for small λ .

Limit-1

Limit-2

Limit-3

René Ángeles-Martínez: PhD thesis

Ángeles-Martínez, JRF, Seymour: JHEP 1512 (2015) 091; Physical Review Letters 116 (2016) 21 212003

Eikonal cuts

 $G_{11} = \frac{q_1^-}{(q_2^- + q_1^-)} \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_T^2}{k_T^2} \qquad G_{13} = \frac{q_2^-}{(q_1^- + q_2^-)} \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_T^2}{k_T^2}$ e.g. 1st row of graphs $G_{11} + G_{13} = \int_{0}^{Q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_T^2}{k_T^2}$ as expected $G_{12} = -\left[\int_{0}^{2q_{1}^{-}q_{2}^{+}} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_{T}^{2}}{k_{T}^{2}} + \frac{q_{2}^{-} - q_{1}^{-}}{q_{2}^{-} + q_{1}^{-}} \int_{0}^{2(q_{1}^{+} + q_{2}^{-})^{2}q_{2}^{+}/q_{1}^{-}} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_{T}^{2}}{k_{T}^{2}}\right]$ i + m_1 + $G_{12} + G_{14} = -\frac{1}{(q_1^- + q_2^-)} \left[q_2^- \int_0^{q_{2T}^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_T^2}{k_T^2} + q_1^- \int_0^{q_{1T}^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_T^2}{k_T^2} \right]$ subleading in limits 1 & 2 $G_{15} + G_{16} \approx -\frac{q_2^-}{(q_1^- + q_2^-)} \int_{q_2^-}^{q_{1T}^-} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_T^2}{k_T^2}$ only leading in limit 3 $\int_{q_{err}^2}^{Q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_T^2}{k_T^2}$ In all 3 limits the sum over all graphs =

Soft-gluon cuts

e.g.
$$-\frac{i\pi}{8\pi^2} \frac{p_j \cdot \varepsilon_1}{p_j \cdot q_1} \frac{q_1 \cdot \varepsilon_2}{q_1 \cdot q_2}$$
 in limit 1

$$\begin{split} G_{1c} &= -\frac{3}{2} \int_{p_j \cdot q_1}^{p_j \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2} - \frac{3}{2} \int_0^{2q_1 \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2}, \\ G_{1d} &= \frac{3}{2} \int_0^{2q_1 \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2}, \\ G_{1e} &= -\int_0^{2q_1 \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_j \cdot q_1}^{p_j \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2}. \end{split}$$

$$\operatorname{sum} = -\int_{0}^{(q_{2}^{(1j)})^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_{T}^{2}}{l_{T}^{2}}$$

$$G_{2c} = \frac{3}{4} \int_0^{2q_1 \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2},$$

$$G_{2d} = -\frac{3}{2} \int_0^{2q_1 \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2},$$

$$G_{2e} = \frac{7}{4} \int_0^{2q_1 \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2} + \int_{p_i \cdot q_1}^{p_i \cdot q_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_T^2}{l_T^2}.$$

$$\operatorname{sum} = \int_{0}^{(q_{2}^{(1i)})^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}l_{T}^{2}}{l_{T}^{2}}$$

- Note this is <u>NOT</u> the dipole ordering that has previously appeared in the literature.*
- This is occurring at amplitude level.
- No statement on the ordering of the real emissions.
- Originally proved for imaginary part of loops and Drell-Yan but now proved for real part too and for general hard processes at one-loop with any number of real emissions. Ángeles Martínez, JF, Seymour, in preparation

"A new aspect of QCD coherence"

* e.g. Caron-Huot, Neill and Vaidya, Höche and Prestel

Conclusions

- Hopefully we will soon have a publicly available sub-leading colour parton shower
- Coulomb/Glauber exchanges make factorisation highly non-trivial
- Loop integrals are limited by real emissions in an interesting way