

Characterisation of capacitively coupled HV-CMOS devices using the CLICpix ASIC and AIDA telescope

Daniel Hynds (on behalf of the CLICdp Collaboration)

Capacitively coupled hybrid pixel detectors



- CLIC vertex detector R&D has to fulfill some quite stringent requirements
 - □ Low material budget (0.2 % X₀ per layer)
 - Fast signal generation and time-stamping (10 ns resolution)
 - High spatial resolution (single hit precision of 3 μm)
- Previous work involved thin hybrid pixel options
 - Thinned (100 μm) ASICs bump-bonded to thin (100 μm) sensors and operated at high voltage
 - Bonding of such thin (or thinner) samples costly, time-consuming and complex
- Emergence of "active sensors" in the form of HV-CMOS (and related technologies) could allow a more robust, cost-effective and performant route
 - Use industry-standard deep n-well technology in order to create a simple diode with active components
 - Signal amplification allows capacitive coupling between the "sensor" and the readout ASIC just glue!
 - More complex designs can be implemented to improve performance over standard diodes

HV-CMOS, the CCPDv3 chip



- CCPD (Capacitively Coupled Pixel Detector) version 3 designed by I. Perić
 - \sim 25 µm square pixels, 64 × 64 matrix designed to fit the CLIC prototype readout ASIC
 - 60 columns contain two-stage amplification (negative signal), while 4 columns contain a single amplification stage (positive signal)
 - Relatively simple pixel architecture, limited standalone readout capabilities (analogue output possible from ~few pixels for debugging)
 - Fabrication in 180 nm AMS technology (deep n-well shielding, ~60 V tolerance)



CLICpix



- CLICpix is the prototype readout ASIC designed to fit CLIC vertex detector specifications
 - Manufactured in 65 nm CMOS with small pixel size (25 μm × 25 μm) and containing a matrix of 64 × 64 pixels
 - Two 4-bit counters on each pixel for simultaneous ToT and ToA (or ToT and counting...)
 - Shutter-based data acquisition but with optional on-chip data compression
 - Power pulsing of the front end
- Known issues:
 - Small overlap of discriminator signal line with CSA input pad => negative pulse injected into the front end when the discriminator starts firing



Assembly





Assembly





⁹⁰Sr spectrum ⁵⁵Fe spectrum 50 | ccpd amplifier saturation í٥ Pulse height (mV) Pulse height (mV)

Lab tests performed on the CCPDv3 using single pixel analogue output to investigate signal shape and charge spectra with sources Preamplifier run at full strength Lab tests performed on the CCPDv3 using single pixel analogue

Charge spectra with HV-CMOS

- Preamplifier run at full strength
- Front-imping ⁵⁵Fe on bare CCPDv3 assembly (~6 keV)
- Back-impinging ⁹⁰Sr on full CCPDv3 + CLICpix assembly





Time (µs)

Induced signal in CLICPix



- Analogue output from several pixels on the CCPDv3 can be monitored individually
 - Can take data in parallel with the CLICpix, correlate the pulse shape with the ToT
 - Open shutter, wait for signal on scope, close shutter and read out before subsequent hits
 - Use the 2nd on-pixel counter to veto events with more than 1 hit
- Clear difference in behaviour!
 - □ Using the expected value of 1 THL DAC (~10 e⁻) can estimate the injected charge ~625 e⁻
 - Should see a clear difference in performance...



Setup





Timepix3

0

CLICPix

Data taking scheme



- Useful to highlight how data is taken with the telescope
 - Mimosa chips work on their continually rolling shutter
 - Three scintillators are used in conjunction with the FE-I4 region of interest trigger, to trigger Mimosa readout when tracks pass through the telescope + CLICpix assembly (1.6 × 1.6 mm²)
 - Several additional tracks may pass through the CLICpix during the Mimosa rolling shutter
 - Due to long readout time of CLICpix (~20 ms) and low occupancy, shutter is held open for several (typically 20-40) Mimosa rolling shutters, until significant number of hits are recorded by CLICpix device



Data taking scheme



- CLICpix producer takes the CLICpix frame (covering many triggers/Mimosa readouts) and copies the data into each event built
 - Each data event is therefore a Mimosa rolling shutter, but contains extra hits from the CLICpix assembly which were acquired over proceeding/succeeding events
 - Track reconstruction environment is reasonably clean occupancy a factor 20-40 lower than CLICpix
 - □ Issue with FE-I4 inclusion in the data stream so no time-stamping available offline



Software



- Software used for analysis was the previous LHCb Timepix Telescope analysis code (Due to my arrival in CLIC on testbeam day 1!)
 - Relatively little overhead, lightweight framework
 - Modular code written c++, dependency on ROOT (libraries) and BOOST (headers)
 - Sequential algorithms, with some classes to deal with testbeam objects and a way to pass information between algorithms
 - List of algorithms run event by event



Software



- Data conversion from lcio is not "optimal" done quickly during beam time
 - Online monitor used to access raw data
 - Raw data converted to Timepix data format
 - Data read in as Timepix data to produce structured event ntuple for running analysis on
- Minimal code changes required to deal with Mimosa and CLICpix
 - Pixel pitch and number of pixels in each direction configurable for each device
 - Tracking method identical to previous Timepix strategy spatial tracking starting with a seed on the first (upstream) plane
 - Linear track fit sufficient for SPS data taking (unedited)
 - Restricted reconstruction window added only reconstruct tracks likely to go through the DUT (spatial cut on global XY of seeding clusters)
 - Fast reconstruction used extensively during beam time to give fast efficiency measurements a few minutes after data taking started

Some results





Threshold scan



- Efficiency versus threshold for both polarities measured (60 V)
 - Pointing resolution from the telescope estimated < 2 μm
- Clear difference in performance
 - Able to reach high efficiency in negative polarity mode (2-stage amplification) with nomial threshold ~ 1100 e⁻ (roughly 625 e⁻ injected charge)
 - Single amplification pixels suffer from lower signal and subtraction of charge, but given fall off in 2-stage pixels it seems likely that with a new chip 500 e⁻ threshold will require 2nd stage



Bias scan



- High efficiency observed in negative polarity mode without bias on the HV-CMOS
 - Large component of collected charge from diffusion? Or large built-in depletion layer?



Bias scan



- Can map the variation in efficiency across the 2-pixel unit (to account for differences between odd and even columns different levels of injected charge)
 - Efficiency loss in the pixel corners for no applied bias
 - Clear difference between odd and even columns



Pixel cross-coupling



- Now scan the beam across the matrix and observe the behaviour of all pixels
 - Look at when pixel starts seeing charge, and when it stops
 - Ideal function (no charge sharing) should be top hat function with width described by the lateral charge diffusion



Pixel cross-coupling



- These side contributions appear to come from coupling to the neighbouring CCPDv3 pixels
 - Can fit for the contribution from each
 - □ In the row direction there is a large contribution from the pixel immediately to the right/left
 - Strongly suggests misalignment in the row direction



Summary and plans



- Promising early results from capacitively coupled assemblies
 - Simple pixel layout with 2-stage analogue amplification shows high efficiency
 - High efficiency (>99%) without applied bias voltage, 30 40 V required to reduce inefficiencies between pixels
- Fabrication steps
 - Larger (and injection-free) version of CLICpix under development
 - New HV-CMOS devices planned on higher resistivity substrate possible digital version(s) in addition to straightforward 2-stage amplifier
- Studies
 - Measurements of coupling capacitance (and cross-capacitance) due to gluing
 - Beam measurements to evaluate power-pulsing of the front end and timewalk of the assembly
 - Active depth measurements to measure depleted depth and active depth



BACKUP

Beam data - overview



- Full matrix appears responsive
 - Small number of hits observed on positive polarity pixels, due to undershoot of the signal pulse
 - Reconstruction performed and residuals good
 - Slight variation in hits with column?



Main studies on efficiency, versus bias voltage and threshold

