

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Hamburg



# Double Parton Scattering experimental results

Paolo Gunnellini





DESY Tuesday Seminar Hamburg - Zeuthen 19<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> May 2015

## Outline

- Introduction
- Choice of sensitive observables
- Choice of physics channels
- Summary of recent DPS measurements
- Extraction of the DPS contribution
- Other DPS-sensitive measurements
- Summary and conclusion



## Introduction: the Underlying Event



#### Introduction: why do we care about DPS?

- Increasing contribution at the LHC when going to higher energy
- Sizeable background for LHC processes (SM and searches), e.g. Higgsstrahlung
- Information about the structure of the proton, i.e. parton correlations





## Choice of sensitive observables (I): a four-jet scenario

A four-jet final state may arise from one or two chains:

• the two additional jets may be produced via PS or a 2nd hard scattering



#### ! Selection of jet pairs at different scales helps the jet association !

## Choice of sensitive observables (II): a four-jet scenario

Which regions of the phase space are interesting for DPS detection? Studies of SPS and DPS contributions performed with PYTHIA8:

Selection of a four-jet final state in  $|\eta| < 4.7$  at two different  $p_T$  thresholds (20 and 50 GeV)

A SIMPLE scenario:

- SPS: MPI contribution switched off
- DPS: Two hard scatterings at the parton level forced to happen w/o parton shower



## Choice of physics channels





#### Measurement of a four-jet final state



paolo.gunnellini@desy.de

#### Measurement of a four-jet final state with b-jets



#### Measurement of a W+dijet final state

#### Event selection

Presence of a muon with  $p_T > 35$  GeV in  $|\eta| < 2.1$  and  $E_T^{miss} > 50$  GeV + at least 2 jets:  $p_T > 20$  GeV in  $|\eta| < 2.0$ 



paolo.gunnellini@desy.de

How can one extract the DPS contribution from the measured observables?



#### How to extract $\sigma_{eff}$ : the template method

- Measurement of DPS-sensitive observables
- Definition of signal and background
- Fit the relative fraction of signal and background
- The signal fraction translates into a value for  $\sigma_{\it eff}$



From Ramandeep Kumar, Talk at MPI@LHC 2012 W + jets channel

$$\sigma_{eff} = \frac{\sigma_A \cdot \sigma_B}{\sigma_{DPS}}$$
$$\sigma_{eff} = \frac{N_A^{ev}}{N_{A+B(DPS)}^{ev}} \cdot \sigma_B$$
$$\sigma_{eff} = \frac{N_A^{ev}}{f_{DPS} \cdot N_{A+B}^{ev}} \cdot \sigma_B$$

Extraction of  $\sigma_{eff}$  from W+dijet final state (ATLAS)

First measurement of DPS signal at 7 TeV New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 033038

SELECTION: 2j with  $p_T > 20$  GeV in |y| < 2.8, standard W selection CONSIDERED OBSERVABLES: normalized  $\Delta_{jets}^n = \frac{|\vec{p}_T^{ij} + \vec{p}_T^{2j}|}{|\vec{p}_T^{ij} + |\vec{p}_T^{2j}|}$ BACKGROUND: ALPGEN+HERWIG+JIMMY with hard MPI excluded SIGNAL: selection of two independent collisions from data DRIVING UNCERTAINTY: model dependence



$$\sigma_{eff} = \frac{N_{W+0j}}{f_{DPS} \cdot N_{W+2j}} \cdot \sigma_{2j}$$
with  $f_{DPS} = 8.0\%$  and
$$\frac{N_{W+0j}}{N_{W+2j}} = 23$$
= 15.0 ± 3 (st.)  $^{+5}_{-3}$  (sys.) mb

 $\sigma_{eff} =$ 

## Extraction of $\sigma_{eff}$ from W+dijet final state (CMS)

CONSIDERED OBSERVABLES: normalized  $\Delta S$  and  $\Delta^{rel} p_T$ BACKGROUND: MADGRAPH+P8 with hard MPI above 15 GeV excluded SIGNAL: Two mixed independent scatterings generated with P8 and MG+P8 DRIVING UNCERTAINTY: model dependence



 $\sigma_{\it eff} = 20.7 \pm 0.8$  (stat.)  $\pm$  6.6 (syst.) mb

#### The inclusive fit method

#### Experimental difficulties of the template method

- ightarrow How to define the background?
  - Good to exclude hard MPI..but no such possibility in some generators

#### $\rightarrow$ How to define exclusive and inclusive events?

- $N_{W+0j}$  and  $N_{W+2j}$  are sensitive to the jet scales
- $\rightarrow$  These issues have an impact on the systematic uncertainty! Is there a way out?

## The inclusive fit method

- Run predictions for different choices of UE parameters
- Fit the MC predictions to the considered observables
- Improve the data description with the examined model
- (..look at the corresponding  $\sigma_{eff}$ ..)



#### Extraction of $\sigma_{eff}$ in four-jet final states



paolo.gunnellini@desy.de

DESY Tuesday Seminar

19<sup>th</sup> May 2015

#### Where do we stand now?

- UE measurements sensitive to soft MPI
- Observables sensitive to DPS measured in various final states
- Values of  $\sigma_{\it eff}$  extracted in W+dijet and four-jet
- Ongoing extraction for the other channels



#### It is not all.

- CMS Coll. Measurement of Prompt Double J/psi Production at 7 TeV JHEP1409(2014)094
- CMS Coll. Measurement of the cross section and angular correlations for associated production of a Z boson with b hadrons JHEP12(2013)039
- CMS Coll. Measurement of the production cross section for a W boson and two b jets at 7 TeV Phys.Lett.B735(2014)
- ATLAS Coll. Associated production of prompt  $J/\psi$  mesons and W boson JHEP04(2014)172
- ATLAS Coll. Measurement of the cross-section for W boson production in association with b-jets New J.Phys.15(2013)033038



## Angular correlations in Z+b-hadrons final states

#### Event selection

Presence of two leptons with  $p_T > 20$  GeV in  $|\eta| < 2.4$  with invariant mass close to the Z peak and two b-hadrons with  $p_T > 15$  GeV in  $|\eta| < 2$ 



## What to do next?

## $\rightarrow$ Measurements for LHC Run 2

| Scale of secondary scatter(s) | Benchmark for<br>the detection of<br>the DPS<br>bb+jj<br>4j<br>Double J/Ψ |      | W(μν)+W(μν)       |              | Energy<br>dependence<br>Channel dependence<br>Scale dependence<br>Flavour dependence  |
|-------------------------------|---|------|-------------------|--------------|---|
|                               |   |      | W(μν)+bb Z(μμ)+bb |              |   |
|                               |   |      | γ+3j<br>W(μν)+jj  | Z(μμ)+jj     | $\rightarrow$ more statistics<br>$\rightarrow$ double differential                    |
|                               | Semi-hard<br>(Minimum Bias)   | j+UE | W+UE              | Z(μμ)+UE     | distributions $ ightarrow$ access to diboson final states $ ightarrow$ DPS with Higgs |
|                               |   |      | Scale of prin     | nary scatter |   |

#### Joined effort between phenomenological and experimental community

#### Personal remarks and summary

- Important to study first the sensitivity of the physics channel and the considered observables
- Important to produce unfolded results in order to be able to compare predictions from any model
- Double parton scattering is essential for proton structure as well as for background to physics searches
- Several final states can be used for DPS detection
   W+jets, four-jets, two b- + two other jets...
- The measured final states clearly indicate the need for DPS for describing the experimental results
- Future: measure energy dependence get a unified picture of DPS with UE- and MB-sensitive measurements

#### Personal remarks and summary

- Important to study first the sensitivity of the physics channel and the considered observables
- Important to produce unfolded results in order to be able to compare predictions from any model
- Double parton scattering is essential for proton structure as well as for background to physics searches
- Several final states can be used for DPS detection
   W+jets, four-jets, two b- + two other jets...
- The measured final states clearly indicate the need for DPS for describing the experimental results
- Future: measure energy dependence get a unified picture of DPS with UE- and MB-sensitive measurements





## **BACK-UP SLIDES**

## Determination of $\sigma_{eff}$ in the four-jet channel

Tuning the four-jet observables (Phys.Rev., D89, 2014) with PYTHIA8

| Parameter                   | CDPSTP8S1-4j            | CDPSTP8S2-4j         | 4C   |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------|
| MultipleInteractions:expPow | 1.16                    | 0.6921               | 2.0  |
| MultipleInteractions:ecmPow | 0.19*                   | 0.345                | 0.19 |
| MultipleInteractions:pT0ref | 2.09*                   | 2.125                | 2.09 |
| BeamRemnants:reconnectRange | 1.5* *=unchanged wrt 4C | 6.526                | 1.5  |
| $\chi^2/NdF$                | 0.75                    | 0.42                 | -    |
| $\sigma_{eff} (mb)$         | $21.3^{+1.7}_{-1.3}$    | $19.0^{+4.7}_{-3.0}$ | 30.3 |

$$\sigma_{eff} = 19.0^{+4.7}_{-3.0} \text{ mb} 
ightarrow \sigma_{eff}$$
 (Tune 4C)  $\sim$  30.3 mb



#### Choice of sensitive observables



paolo.gunnellini@desy.de

DESY Tuesday Seminar

#### D0 DPS analysis: $\gamma$ +3jets and $\gamma$ +b/c jet+2jets

SELECTION 1:  $p_T^{\gamma} > 26 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $p_T^{lead} > 35 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $15 < p_T^{oth.} < 35 \text{ GeV}$  in  $|\eta| < 2.5$ SELECTION 2:  $p_T^{\gamma} > 26 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $p_T^b > 35 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $15 < p_T^{oth.} < 35 \text{ GeV}$  in  $|\eta| < 2.5$ CONSIDERED OBSERVABLES: normalized  $\Delta S$  btw  $\gamma$ -j and dijet systems BACKGROUND: SHERPA sample with MPI simulation off SIGNAL: Two independent events recorded from data DRIVING UNCERTAINTY: model dependence (only samples with MPI off!)



|  | CMS          | ATLAS        | D0/CDF       |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Background and signal should               |              |              |              |
| cover the full phase space                 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | X            |
| Use more than one MC event generator       |              |              |              |
| to correctly evaluate the model dependence | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| and the systematic uncertainty             |              |              |              |
| Use more than one variable                 |              |              |              |
| for the DPS determination                  | $\checkmark$ | Х            | X            |

# BUT..difficult to define the background template in the same way with different generators!

## The proposed new approach



#### A FEW REMARKS WHEN USING THE TUNING METHOD:

- Investigation of the contribution of different matrix elements used with the same UE simulation
- Output Use more than one MC event generator to study the DPS contribution needed in different models
- Use more than one variable for the DPS determination
- Check if the new set of parameters spoil description of more inclusive distribution

## How does the new tune perform in the UE description?

Measurement of charged particle mult. and  $p_T$  sum in hadronic events ATLAS Coll. Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 112001



| Tune      | $\sigma_{\it eff}~({\sf mb})$ |
|-----------|-------------------------------|
| P8 4C     | 30.3                          |
| CDPSTP8S2 | $19.0^{+4.7}_{-3.0}$          |

A tension appears between the description of "softer" and "harder" MPI within the same framework



Charged particle multiplicity (top) and *p*<sub>T</sub> sum (bottom) for transverse (left) and toward (right) regions



paolo.gunnellini@desy.de

DESY Tuesday Seminar

19<sup>th</sup> May 2015

#### How to fix this?

 $\rightarrow$  Attempt to implement in a tune a value of  $\sigma_{\it eff}$  compatible with experimental measurements

HERWIG++ case:  $\sigma_{eff} = \frac{28\pi}{\mu}$ , with  $\mu$  inverse proton radius

Tune UE-EE-5C (arXiv:1307.5015) :  $\sigma_{eff} = 15 \text{ mb} (\text{CDF})$ 



#### It is not all..

- ATLAS Coll. Associated production of prompt J/ $\psi$  mesons and W boson JHEP 04 (2014) 172
- LHCb Coll. *Prompt charm production in pp collisions* HEP 1206 (2012) 141
- ATLAS Coll. Measurement of the cross-section for W boson production in association with b-jets New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 033038
- LHCb Coll. Study of forward Z+jet production in pp collisions JHEP 01 (2014) 033
- CMS Coll. Measurement of the cross section and angular correlations for associated production of a Z boson with b hadrons JHEP 12 (2013) 039
- CMS Coll. Measurement of Prompt Double J/psi Production in pp Collisions JHEP 1409 (2014) 094
- ALICE Coll. J/psi production as a function of charged particle multiplicity in pp collisions at 7 TeV Phys.Lett.B 712, 165 (2012)

#### No extraction of a value of $\sigma_{\it eff}$ but clear indication of need for DPS !

#### Cross section measurements sensitive to DPS

ATLAS Collaboration: "Measurements of W+prompt J/ $\psi$  in *pp* collisions at 7 TeV" JHEP 04 (2014) 172



ATLAS Collaboration: "Measurement of the cross-section for W boson production in association with b-jets" New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 033038



Measurements compatible with a DPS contribution with  $\sigma_{eff}$  ~15-20 mb

#### Keypoints of the choice of variables

- Observables which consider the whole final state are more sensitive to DPS
  - $\bullet~\Delta S,$  sum of transverse momenta, energy of the four objects
- A large phase space for additional radiation reduces the DPS sensitivity
  - Better selection with objects close in transverse momentum
  - BUT..more complicated migration effects (and unfolding procedure)

## CMS strategy for the DPS measurement



Compare the data to your own favourite predictions!

4th (future) step: differential distributions with high luminosities..

## Cross section measurements sensitive to DPS (I)

#### Event selection

Presence of two pairs of same-sign muons in  $|\eta|<$  2.2; the two pairs must have invariant mass close to J/ $\psi$ 



Correction and phase-space extrapolation assuming unpolarized production

SPS background should dominate the fall at low  $\Delta y$ DPS expected to fill the high  $\Delta y$  region

Useful baseline for building reliable models of  $J/\psi$  production before extracting DPS signal

paolo.gunnellini@desy.de

19<sup>th</sup> May 2015

## Cross section measurements sensitive to DPS (III)

#### Event selection

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Presence of a muon with } p_T > 25 \mbox{ GeV in } |\eta| < 2.1, \ E_T^{miss} > 45 \mbox{ GeV and} \\ \mbox{two b-tagged jets with } p_T > 25 \mbox{ GeV in } |\eta| < 2.4 \end{array}$ 



Good agreement with SM predictions (MadGraph+Pythia8)

 $\sigma(W + b\bar{b}) = 0.53 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ pb}$ 

Good agreement with MCFM predictions corrected with DPS contribution ( $\sigma_{DPS} \sim 0.08$  pb)





#### Measurement of a final state with $\gamma$ + 3 jets

Event selection

Selection of a photon and at least three jets in  $|\eta| < 2.5$ :  $\gamma+1$  jet:  $p_T > 75$  GeV, 2 jets:  $p_T > 20$  GeV



$$\sigma_{AB}^{DPS} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sigma_A \sigma_B}{\sigma_{eff}}$$

Internal structure of the proton DPS background for any physics channel

 $\rightarrow$  Which channels can be used to look for DPS signals?

| of secondary scatter(s) | W(μν)+W(μν)                    |                    |                         |              |                               |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                         | Benchmark for the detection of | :                  | W(μν)+bb                | Z(μμ)+bb     | Published by CMS and/or ATLAS |
|                         | the DPS                        | bb+jj<br><u>4j</u> | <u>γ+3j</u><br>W(μν)+jj | Z(μμ)+jj     | Published by D0 and/or CDF    |
|                         | Double J/Ψ                     |                    |                         |              | How can DPS be                |
| Scale                   | Semi-hard<br>(Minimum Bias)    | +UE                | W+UE                    | Z(μμ)+UE     | detected?                     |
|                         |                                |                    | Scale of prin           | nary scatter |                               |

## The Compact Muon Solenoid experiment

