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## Supersymmetry (SUSY)

## Naturalness



Quadratic divergence is cancelled.

## Dynamics of EWSB



EWSB is driven radiatively.

## SUSY Higgs mass problem

## 125 GeV Higgs is light, but not so light ...

A significant radiative correction is needed in MSSM.

$$
\Delta \lambda_{H_{u}} \approx \frac{y_{t}^{4} N_{c}}{16 \pi^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{\tilde{}}}}{m_{t}} \quad N_{c}=3
$$

A large quadratic term is also generated.

$$
\Delta m_{H_{u}}^{2} \approx-\frac{y_{t}^{2} N_{c}}{4 \pi^{2}} m_{\tilde{t}}^{2} \ln \frac{M_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}} \quad M_{\mathrm{m}}: \text { Mediation }
$$

Fine tuning is required in MSSM.

## Missing superpartner problem

## Superpartners have not been observed yet.



Jets and missing $P_{T}$ search
(Gluino mass) > 1.4 TeV
(Squark mass) > 1.6 TeV

## Fine tuning

## Two modules for two problems

Lift up the Higgs mass
Next-to-MSSM
Gauge extension
Vectorlike matter ...

## Reduce missing energy

R-parity violation<br>Stealth SUSY<br>Compressed spectra ...

Two problems are usually treated as independent.

## One module for two problems

Lift up the Higgs mass
Next-to-MSSM
Gauge extension
Vectorlike matter ...

Reduce missing energy
$R$-parity violation
Stealth SUSY
Compressed spectra ...

Explore a scenario that can ameliorate both problems !

## Our framework : New loop contributions

Let’s look at SUSY Higgs mass problem again.

$$
\Delta \lambda_{H_{u}} \approx \frac{y_{t}^{4} N_{c}}{16 \pi^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{t}}}{m_{t}} \quad \Delta m_{H_{u}}^{2} \approx-\frac{y_{t}^{2} N_{c}}{4 \pi^{2}} m_{\tilde{t}}^{2} \ln \frac{M_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}}
$$

If we have new Higgs interactions larger than the top Yukawa, large SUSY breaking is not needed and fine tuning is relaxed.
$\Delta W=\lambda_{u} H_{u} \bar{\Psi}_{d} \Psi+\lambda_{d} H_{d} \Psi_{u} \bar{\Psi}+m \Psi_{u} \bar{\Psi}_{d}+m^{\prime} \Psi \bar{\Psi}$

$$
\Psi_{u}, \bar{\Psi}_{d}: \boldsymbol{S U}(\mathbf{2})_{L} \text { doublets }, \quad \mathbf{\Psi}, \overline{\mathbf{\Psi}}: \text { singlets }
$$

## Our framework: New loop contributions




Large $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \Rightarrow$ Small SUSY breaking is enough for 125 GeV Higgs.

## Our framework : Avoiding Landau poles

Running of the new large Yukawa hits a Landau pole immediately ...
cf. running of top Yukawa coupling

$$
\frac{d y_{t}}{d \ln \mu} \simeq \frac{y_{t}}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(6 y_{t}^{*} y_{t}-\frac{16}{3} g_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

If we introduce a new gauge interaction to new particles, Landau poles can be avoided.
$\Psi_{u}, \Psi: S U(N)_{H}$ fundamentals $, \quad \bar{\Psi}_{d}, \bar{\Psi}$ : anti-fundamentals

## Our framework : Avoiding Landau poles

The new gauge theory finally confines.
Confinement scale : $\Lambda=\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{1 0}) \mathrm{GeV}$
Hidden Valley M. Strassler, K. Zurek (2006)

Gaugino mediation with a vanishing hidden gaugino mass
$\Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Small SUSY breaking for new vectorlike fields } \\ \text { (Almost) supersymmetric Hidden Valley sector }\end{array}\right.$

## Benchmark points

2-loop RGEs calculated by SARAH

|  | $(\mathrm{A})$ | $(\mathrm{B})$ | $(\mathrm{C})$ | $(\mathrm{D})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{1}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1865 | 1823 | 1867 | 1825 |
| $M_{2}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1893 | 1856 | 1897 | 1860 |
| $M_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1971 | 1949 | 1980 | 1958 |
| $-\sqrt{\left\|m_{H_{u}}^{2}\right\|}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | -158 | -268 | -179 | -277 |
| $m_{H_{d}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 517 | 556 | 516 | 555 |
| $m_{q_{1}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1227 | 1325 | 1228 | 1325 |
| $m_{u_{1}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1152 | 1244 | 1153 | 1244 |
| $m_{d_{1}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1139 | 1230 | 1140 | 1230 |
| $m_{q_{3}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1202 | 1292 | 1202 | 1293 |
| $m_{u_{3}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1094 | 1169 | 1094 | 1170 |
| $m_{d_{3}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1139 | 1230 | 1140 | 1230 |
| $m_{l}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 524 | 565 | 524 | 565 |
| $m_{e}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 323 | 348 | 323 | 348 |

Small hidden
gaugino mass

|  | $(\mathrm{A})$ | $(\mathrm{B})$ | $(\mathrm{C})$ | $(\mathrm{D})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{\lambda}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 38.2 | 44.7 | 26.3 | 30.8 |
| $\tilde{m}_{u}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 476 | 510 | 493 | 529 |
| $\tilde{m}_{d}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 470 | 504 | 485 | 522 |
| $\tilde{m}_{f}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1079 | 1164 | 1097 | 1184 |
| $\tilde{m}_{f}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 1079 | 1164 | 1097 | 1184 |
| $-\sqrt{\left\|\tilde{m}_{0}^{2}\right\|}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | -235 | -256 | -226 | -240 |
| $-\sqrt{\left\|\tilde{m}_{0}^{2}\right\|}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | -166 | -191 | -135 | -156 |
| $-\sqrt{\left\|\tilde{m}^{2}\right\|}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | -147 | -171 | -108 | -126 |
| $-\sqrt{\left\|\tilde{m}^{2}\right\|}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | -147 | -171 | -108 | -126 |
| $m_{h}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 125.3 | 125.7 | 125.9 | 125.5 |
| $\Lambda^{\overline{M S}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $\lambda_{u}$ | 1.45 | 1.40 | 1.45 | 1.37 |
| $M_{\mathrm{m}}[\mathrm{TeV}]$ | 50 | 100 | 50 | 100 |

## Higgs interactions with a Hidden Valley


R. Kitano, M. Luty, YN (2012) , ...

## Decay chain with a Hidden Valley

 cf. Stealth SUSY, J. Fan, M. Reece, J. Ruderman (2011)

## A simplified model

Our HV sector is strongly interacting and difficult to analyze.
Consider a simplified model for collider phenomenology.
Include two light supermultiplets (Singlets of SM gauge groups)
$(S, \tilde{S})$ : Hidden gluinoball(ino) , $\quad\left(S^{\prime}, \tilde{S}^{\prime}\right):$ Hidden glueball(ino)
$W_{\text {simplified }}=\mu H_{u} H_{d}+\lambda_{S} S H_{u} H_{d}+m_{S S^{\prime}} S S^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} m_{S} S^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{S^{\prime}} S^{\prime 2}$ $+\frac{1}{3} \kappa S^{3}+\left(\right.$ cubic terms with $\left.S^{\prime}\right)$

$$
m_{S} \sim m_{S^{\prime}} \sim 5 \Lambda \quad \lambda_{S} \sim 10^{-3} \quad \kappa \sim 4 \pi
$$

## Decay chain (A simplified model)

- Neutralino decay $\quad \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{S} S \Rightarrow$ Prompt.
- HV fermion decay $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S \tilde{G} \quad \tilde{S}^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime} \tilde{G} \quad \tilde{G}$ : Gravitino

Missing $E_{T} \sim\left(m_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{q}} / m_{\tilde{S}}\right) \delta m \quad \delta m \equiv m_{\tilde{S}}-m_{S}$
Small mass splitting suppresses missing energy.

- HV scalar decay $0^{++} \rightarrow h^{(*)} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \quad 0^{++} \subset S, S^{\prime}$

The branching fractions are the same with those of the Higgs.

## Large jet-multiplicity with missing $P_{T}$ search



ATLAS, arXiv:1308.1841
Decay table : SUSYHIT
Production cross sections at NLO : Prospino 2.1

Event generation : PYTHIA 8

## Squark mass bound is weaker than MSSM !

## The effect on Higgs decays

- $\boldsymbol{h} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : No important contributions from new exotic particles
- $\boldsymbol{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}^{++} 0^{++}: \quad \operatorname{Br}\left(h \rightarrow 0^{++} 0^{++}\right) \sim 0.17 \quad\left(m_{0^{++}}=50 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$

Global fit of signal strength $\Rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(h \rightarrow \mathbf{0}^{++} \mathbf{0}^{++}\right)<\underline{0.19}$
$h \rightarrow 0^{++} 0^{++} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \quad: \quad \operatorname{Br}\left(h \rightarrow b \bar{b} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \sim \underline{7 \times 10^{-5}}$
Expected bound at Run I $\Rightarrow \operatorname{Br}\left(h \rightarrow b \bar{b} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \lesssim \underline{10^{-4}}$
Deviation may be observed in future observations.

## Summary

A scenario that can ameliorate both of SUSY Higgs mass problem and Missing superpartner problem.


## Extra slides

## SUSY Higgs mass problem


P. Draper, P. Meade, M. Reece, D. Shih (2011)

## Two possible directions

- Just accept fine-tuning
- Provide new interactions to lift the Higgs mass

NMSSM, Gauge extension, Vectorlike matter, ...

## Missing superpartner problem

Three possible directions

- Just accept fine-tuning
- Natural SUSY spectrum

$$
\boldsymbol{m}_{\tilde{t}}<\boldsymbol{m}_{\tilde{q}_{1,2}}
$$

- Modify usual decay chains of superpartners



## Missing superpartner problem

When the gluino mass is large (> 1 TeV ) ...

Naturalness requires

$$
m_{H_{u}}<m_{\tilde{t}}<m_{\lambda_{3}}
$$

Low mediation scale is needed for naturalness.
(Exception : Supersoft SUSY)

A. Arvanitaki, N. Craig, S. Dimopoulos, G. Villadoro (2012)

## Our framework : Specific models

|  | $S U(N)_{H}$ | $S U(3)_{C}$ | $S U(2)_{L}$ | $U(1)_{Y}$ | scalar name | fermion name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Psi_{u}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $1 / 2$ | $\phi_{u}$ | $\psi_{u}$ |
| $\bar{\Psi}_{d}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $-1 / 2$ | $\bar{\phi}_{d}$ | $\bar{\psi}_{d}$ |
| $f$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $-1 / 3$ | $\phi_{f}$ | $\psi_{f}$ |
| $\bar{f}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\overline{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $1 / 3$ | $\bar{\phi}_{f}$ | $\bar{\psi}_{f}$ |
| $\Psi_{i}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $\phi_{i}$ | $\psi_{i}$ |
| $\bar{\Psi}_{i}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $\bar{\phi}_{i}$ | $\bar{\psi}_{i}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\mathrm{VL}}=\lambda_{u, i} H_{u} \bar{\Psi}_{d} \Psi_{i}+\lambda_{d, i} H_{d} \Psi_{u} \bar{\Psi}_{i}+m \Psi_{u} \bar{\Psi}_{d}+m_{i j}^{\prime} \Psi_{i} \bar{\Psi}_{j}+M f \bar{f} \\
& i=0,1, \ldots F-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Our framework : New loop contributions



Large $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \Rightarrow$ Small SUSY breaking is enough for 125 GeV Higgs.

## Our framework : Avoiding Landau poles

## Allowed (white) region of the new Yukawa and the confinement scale : <br> 2-loop RGEs calculated by SARAH <br> Supersymmetric masses of vectorlike fields : 500 GeV <br> $F=3$ <br> 

## Multi-fold replication of SM

Moose (quiver) of SM gauge groups is spontaneously broken by a link scalar vev.

## Accelerated Unification

N. Arkani-Hamed, A. Cohen, H. Georgi (2001)


## Higgs interactions with a Hidden Valley

Higgs/Higgsino interacts with HV scalar/fermion via vectorlike fields.

Hidden Valley strong dynamics

Higgsino
Vectorlike fields

$$
\Psi, \bar{\Psi} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { HV fermion } \\
& \text { composite }
\end{aligned}
$$

HV fermion composite


HV scalar composite

## Natural SUSY models

Natural SUSY variations are naturally possible.

$$
m_{\tilde{t}}<\boldsymbol{m}_{\tilde{q}_{1,2}}
$$

## Stealth SUSY from <br> a Hidden Valley

Stop mass bound is even weaker.

J. Fan, R. Krall, D. Pinner, M. Reece, J. Ruderman

## Discussions: (S)Quirk phenomenology

J. Kang, M. Luty (2008) , ...
(S)Quirks : $\Psi_{u}, \bar{\Psi}_{d} f=\left(\phi_{f}, \psi_{f}\right), \bar{f}$ (Colored partners of SU(5))

Quirk direct pair-production

$$
p p \rightarrow \psi_{f} \bar{\psi}_{f}, \quad p p \rightarrow Z^{(*)}, \gamma^{(*)} \rightarrow \psi_{u}^{+} \bar{\psi}_{d}, \psi_{u}^{0} \bar{\psi}_{d}^{0}
$$

## Quirk-antiquirk pairs form (microscopic) bound states.

They lose energy via HV (and SM) particle emission before pair annihilation.

## Discussions: (S)Quirk phenomenology

Colored (s)quirks may be produced by gluino decays at LHC Run II.


