Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter from Scalar Decay: General Features, Subleties and Related Issues Based on JCAP 1506 (2015) 011 & 1510.XXXXX in collaboration with A. Merle and A. Schneider

Maximilian Totzauer

October 1, 2015



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)







Motivation to look for steriles

Why sterile neutrinos?

- seesaw mechanism (active neutrino masses $\neq 0$)
- reactor anomalies, gallium anomaly
- Leptogenesis

Motivation to look for steriles

Why sterile neutrinos?

- seesaw mechanism (active neutrino masses $\neq 0$)
- reactor anomalies, gallium anomaly
- Leptogenesis
- Dark Matter candidate
- Recent excitement about tentative signal $(N \rightarrow \nu \gamma)$ at $E_{\gamma} = 3.55 \text{ keV} \Rightarrow M_R = 7.1 \text{ keV}$? (see Bulbul et al., 1402.2301)

How to produce DM from the plasma?

How to produce DM from the plasma?

freeze-out
species in equilibrium
in the early Universe
thermal spectrum
(modulo tiny distortions)
$\Omega \propto 1/\sigma_{\chi\chi\leftrightarrow} x_{ m SM} x_{ m SM}$

How to produce DM from the plasma?

freeze-in	freeze-out
interaction too weak to	species in equilibrium
ever obtain equilibrium	in the early Universe
non-thermal spectrum	thermal spectrum
	(modulo tiny distortions)
$\Omega \propto \sigma_{\chi\chi\leftrightarrow} x_{ m SM} x_{ m SM}$	$\Omega \propto 1/\sigma_{\chi\chi\leftrightarrowoldsymbol{\chi}_{ m SM}}oldsymbol{x}_{ m SM}$

How to produce DM from the plasma?

freeze-in	freeze-out
interaction too weak to	species in equilibrium
ever obtain equilibrium	in the early Universe
non-thermal spectrum	thermal spectrum
	(modulo tiny distortions)
$\Omega \propto \sigma_{\chi\chi\leftrightarrow} x_{ m SM} x_{ m SM}$	$\Omega \propto 1/\sigma_{\chi\chi\leftrightarrow oldsymbol{\chi}_{ m SM}}oldsymbol{x}_{ m SM}$



Possible production mechanisms for keV steriles as DM

 Dodelson-Widrow-mechanism (hep-ph/9303287) Production from the plasma via active-sterile-mixing θ; equilibrium never achieved;

 \Rightarrow ruled out by structure formation and X-ray constraints.

- Dodelson-Widrow-mechanism (hep-ph/9303287) Production from the plasma via active-sterile-mixing θ; equilibrium never achieved;
 - \Rightarrow ruled out by structure formation and X-ray constraints.
- Shi-Fuller-mechanism (astro-ph/9810076) Resonant active-sterile-conversion; needs sizeable primordial lepton asymmetry; ⇒ under tension from *tentative* 3.5 keV-line (Merle, Schneider, Phys.Lett. B749 (2015) 283-288).

- Dodelson-Widrow-mechanism (hep-ph/9303287) Production from the plasma via active-sterile-mixing θ; equilibrium never achieved;
 - \Rightarrow ruled out by structure formation and X-ray constraints.
- Shi-Fuller-mechanism (astro-ph/9810076) Resonant active-sterile-conversion; needs sizeable primordial lepton asymmetry; ⇒ under tension from *tentative* 3.5 keV-line (Merle, Schneider, Phys.Lett. B749 (2015) 283-288).
- Thermal production (via extended gauge group) and subsequent entropy dilution (e.g. Phys. Rev. D81, 085032);
 ⇒ under tension from BBN (King, Merle, JCAP 1208, 016 (2012)).

- Dodelson-Widrow-mechanism (hep-ph/9303287) Production from the plasma via active-sterile-mixing θ; equilibrium never achieved;
 - \Rightarrow ruled out by structure formation and X-ray constraints.
- Shi-Fuller-mechanism (astro-ph/9810076) Resonant active-sterile-conversion; needs sizeable primordial lepton asymmetry; ⇒ under tension from *tentative* 3.5 keV-line (Merle, Schneider, Phys.Lett. B749 (2015) 283-288).
- Thermal production (via extended gauge group) and subsequent entropy dilution (e.g. Phys. Rev. D81, 085032);
 ⇒ under tension from BBN (King, Merle, JCAP 1208, 016 (2012)).
- Production from particle decay several production mechanisms for the parent particle itself (freeze-in/freeze-out).

- Dodelson-Widrow-mechanism (hep-ph/9303287) Production from the plasma via active-sterile-mixing θ; equilibrium never achieved;
 - \Rightarrow ruled out by structure formation and X-ray constraints.
- Shi-Fuller-mechanism (astro-ph/9810076) Resonant active-sterile-conversion; needs sizeable primordial lepton asymmetry; ⇒ under tension from *tentative* 3.5 keV-line (Merle, Schneider, Phys.Lett. B749 (2015) 283-288).
- Thermal production (via extended gauge group) and subsequent entropy dilution (e.g. Phys. Rev. D81, 085032);
 ⇒ under tension from BBN (King, Merle, JCAP 1208, 016 (2012)).
- Production from particle decay several production mechanisms for the parent particle itself (freeze-in/freeze-out).
- \Rightarrow numerical treatment on the level of distribution functions!

Sterile neutrinos from scalar decay

A toy model for sterile neutrinos from scalar decay

• Field content beyond SM: real scalar singlet *S* and one sterile neutrino *N*.

A toy model for sterile neutrinos from scalar decay

- Field content beyond SM: real scalar singlet *S* and one sterile neutrino *N*.
- Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \left[i \overline{N} \partial \!\!\!/ N + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} S \right) \left(\partial^{\mu} S \right) - \frac{y}{2} S \overline{N^{c}} N + \text{h.c.} \right] - V_{\rm scalar}$$

where

$$V_{\text{scalar}} = -\mu_H^2 H^{\dagger} H - \frac{1}{2} \mu_S^2 S^2 + \lambda_H \left(H^{\dagger} H \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_S}{4} S^4 + 2\lambda \left(H^{\dagger} H \right) S^2$$

A toy model for sterile neutrinos from scalar decay

- Field content beyond SM: real scalar singlet S and one sterile neutrino N.
- Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \left[i \overline{N} \partial \!\!\!/ N + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} S \right) \left(\partial^{\mu} S \right) - \frac{y}{2} S \overline{N^{c}} N + \text{h.c.} \right] - V_{\rm scalar}$$

where

$$V_{\text{scalar}} = -\mu_H^2 H^{\dagger} H - \frac{1}{2} \mu_S^2 S^2 + \lambda_H \left(H^{\dagger} H \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_S}{4} S^4 + 2\lambda \left(H^{\dagger} H \right) S^2$$

Processes for DM production: $SS \leftrightarrow hh$ (from plasma) $S \rightarrow NN$

A toy model for sterile neutrinos from scalar decay

- Field content beyond SM: real scalar singlet S and one sterile neutrino N.
- Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \left[i \overline{N} \partial \!\!\!/ N + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} S \right) \left(\partial^{\mu} S \right) - \frac{y}{2} S \overline{N^{c}} N + \text{h.c.} \right] - V_{\rm scalar}$$

where

$$V_{\text{scalar}} = -\mu_H^2 H^{\dagger} H - \frac{1}{2} \mu_S^2 S^2 + \lambda_H \left(H^{\dagger} H \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_S}{4} S^4 + 2\lambda \left(H^{\dagger} H \right) S^2$$

- Processes for DM production: $SS \leftrightarrow hh$ (from plasma) $S \rightarrow NN$
- Simplification for the time being: $\theta_{\alpha N} = 0$.

Sterile neutrinos from scalar decays - particle physics

The relevant parameters of the setup:

- Yukawa coupling $y (-\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{y}{2}S\overline{N^c}N).$
- Higgs portal λ $(-\mathcal{L} \supset 2\lambda (H^{\dagger}H) S^{2})$
- scalar mass m_S
- mass of sterile neutrino m_N , assuming $m_N \ll m_S$

Sterile neutrinos from scalar decays - particle physics

The relevant parameters of the setup:

- Yukawa coupling $y (-\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{y}{2}S\overline{N^c}N)$.
- Higgs portal λ $(-\mathcal{L} \supset 2\lambda \left(H^{\dagger}H\right)S^{2})$
- scalar mass m_S

• mass of sterile neutrino m_N , assuming $m_N \ll m_S$

Convenient parametrisation for our analysis:

• $C_{\Gamma} \equiv \frac{M_0}{m_S} \frac{y^2}{16\pi} = \frac{M_0}{m_S} \frac{\Gamma}{m_S}$ (effective decay width) • $C_{\text{HP}} \equiv \frac{M_0}{m_S} \frac{\lambda^2}{16\pi^3}$ (effective Higgs portal) • with $M_0 \equiv \left(\frac{45M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{4\pi^3 g_*}\right)^{1/2}$

Sterile neutrinos from scalar decay



Sterile neutrinos from scalar decay



Sterile neutrinos from scalar decay



Sterile neutrinos from scalar decay



Aspects of structure formation

Free-streaming – an estimation for structure formation

•
$$\lambda_{\text{FS}} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\text{prod}}}^{\mathcal{T}_0} \frac{\langle v(\mathcal{T}) \rangle}{a(\mathcal{T})} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}$$

 \Rightarrow estimator for structure formation.

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{cold DM:} \quad \lambda_{\rm FS} \leq 0.01 \, {\rm Mpc} \\ \mbox{warm DM:} \quad 0.01 \, {\rm Mpc} < \lambda_{\rm FS} \leq 0.1 \, {\rm Mpc} \\ \mbox{hot DM:} \quad \lambda_{\rm FS} \geq 0.1 \, {\rm Mpc} \end{array}$



http://www.ctac.uzh.ch/gallery/

- Problem: $\lambda_{\rm FS}$ captures only average properties of the spectra!
- But: Full large-scale simulations expensive and usually based on thermal spectra
- \Rightarrow Use λ_{FS} for the time being, more detailed analyses to come [Merle, Schneider, MT, 1510.XXXXX]

Aspects of structure formation

Free-streaming – an estimation for structure formation



Aspects of structure formation

Adding a DW component to the spectra – $\theta_{\alpha N} \neq 0$

Aspects of structure formation

Adding a DW component to the spectra – $\theta_{\alpha N} \neq 0$



[Merle, Schneider, MT, 1510.XXXXX]

Conclusion & Outlook

Conclusion & Outlook

 Decay of scalar particles as relatively unconstrained production mechanism for keV sterile neutrinos as DM. └─ Conclusion & Outlook

Conclusion & Outlook

- Decay of scalar particles as relatively unconstrained production mechanism for keV sterile neutrinos as DM.
- The framework offers many viable scenarios for the scalar to be produced (FIMP / WIMP).

Conclusion & Outlook

Conclusion & Outlook

- Decay of scalar particles as relatively unconstrained production mechanism for keV sterile neutrinos as DM.
- The framework offers many viable scenarios for the scalar to be produced (FIMP / WIMP).
- Implications for structure formation need investigation beyond free-streaming to take into account the full information of the spectrum (analysis using transfer functions and other methods to come in

[Merle, Schneider, MT, 1510.XXXX]).

Conclusion & Outlook

Conclusion & Outlook

- Decay of scalar particles as relatively unconstrained production mechanism for keV sterile neutrinos as DM.
- The framework offers many viable scenarios for the scalar to be produced (FIMP / WIMP).
- Implications for structure formation need investigation beyond free-streaming to take into account the full information of the spectrum (analysis using transfer functions and other methods to come in [Merle, Schneider, MT, 1510.XXXXX]).
- Smaller scalar masses might alter the spectra further (different kinematics, more production channels for S) → work in progress.

└─ Conclusion & Outlook

Thank you for your attention!

Backup

Backup I – Numerical free-streaming horizon



Backup IIa – Evolution of abundances vs. evolution of distribution function



Backup IIb – Evolution of abundances vs. evolution of distribution function



Backup IIc – Evolution of abundances vs. evolution of distribution function



Backup IId – Evolution of abundances vs. evolution of distribution function



Backup III – Effect of DW component on Double Peak



Backup IV – The numerical issues

In general, the Boltzmann equations on the level of distribution functions will be of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H(t) p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \end{pmatrix} f_{i}(p, t) = Q_{i}(p, t) + \sum_{j} \mathcal{P}_{j}(p, t) f_{j}(p, t)$$
$$+ \sum_{j,k} S_{jk}(p, t) f_{j}(p, t) \int d^{3}p' \mathcal{T}_{jk}(p', t) f_{k}(p', t)$$

⇒ Standard method of discretization $f_i(p, t) \rightarrow f_i^{\alpha}(t)$ struggles with the problem of strong coupling: derivative couples neighboring indices α (local information) while integration couples all α (global information).

Backup V – Boltzmann equations and parametrisation

• The dynamics of the species, i.e the momentum distribution functions $f_i(p, t)$ are described by Boltzmann equations:

$$\hat{L}[f] = C[f] ,$$
where $\hat{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H(t) p \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$ is the Liouville-operator

- Useful changes of variables:
 - "time" $r = m_S/T$
 - dimensionless momentum x = p/T.
- Boltzmann equations in this setup:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{S}\left(r,x\right)}{\partial r} &= -\frac{m_{S}}{r^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}T} \left(C^{S}_{hh \to SS} + C^{S}_{SS \to hh} + C^{S}_{S \to NN} \right) \,,\\ \frac{\partial f_{N}\left(r,x\right)}{\partial r} &= -\frac{m_{S}}{r^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}T} \,\, C^{N}_{S \to NN} \,. \end{aligned}$$

 \Rightarrow Numerically difficult (i.e. stiff) PIDEs.