Automation of 2-loop Amplitude Calculations



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

Stephen Jones

Borowka, Greiner, Heinrich, Kerner, Schlenk, Schubert, Zirke

arXiv:1604.06447 [hep-ph] CPC 196 (2015) 470, arXiv:1502.06595 [hep-ph]







Will discuss method recently used to compute $gg \rightarrow HH$ at NLO (2-loop) with full top mass dependence



- 1. What extent can these methods be automated: GoSam-XLoop
- 2. Some details of numerical integration: SecDec

Method

We follow a *fairly* traditional method:

- 1. Decompose into Form Factors & Construct Projectors
- 2. Generate Feynman Diagrams
- 3. Apply Projectors & Compute Bare Amplitude
- 4. Renormalize
- 5. Integral Reduction
- 6. Apply Subtraction & Compute Real Radiation
- 7. *Numerically* Compute Master Integrals **known analytically**

Not currently

8. Generate Events & Compute (Differential) Cross-Section

Note: $gg \rightarrow HH$ is a loop induced process, real radiation & subtraction is a solved problem (**Huge simplification**) Catani, Seymour 96

Form Factor Decomposition

Form Factor decomposition is a standard procedure for loop amplitudes

Expose tensor structure: $\mathcal{M} = \epsilon^1_{\mu} \epsilon^2_{\nu} \mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}$

Decompose:



$$\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} = a_{00}g^{\mu\nu} + a_{11}p_{1}^{\mu}p_{1}^{\nu} + a_{12}p_{1}^{\mu}p_{2}^{\nu} + a_{13}p_{1}^{\mu}p_{3}^{\nu} + a_{21}p_{2}^{\mu}p_{1}^{\nu} + a_{22}p_{2}^{\mu}p_{2}^{\nu} + a_{23}p_{2}^{\mu}p_{3}^{\nu} + a_{21}p_{2}^{\mu}p_{1}^{\nu} + a_{32}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{2}^{\nu} + a_{33}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{3}^{\nu} + a_{31}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{1}^{\nu} + a_{32}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{2}^{\nu} + a_{33}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{3}^{\nu} + a_{33}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{3}^{\nu} + a_{33}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{3}^{\nu} + a_{33}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{3}^{\mu} + a_{33}p_{3}^{\mu}p_{3$$

$$g(p_1): \ \epsilon^1_\mu p_1^\mu = 0 \qquad g(p_2): \ \epsilon^2_\nu p_2^\nu = 0$$

Ward/Gauge: $p_{1\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} = 0$, $p_{2\nu}\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} = 0$ gives further identities

Form Factor Decomposition (II)

Form Factors (Contain integrals)



Construct Projectors (we use CDR $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$):

$$\begin{split} P_1^{\mu\nu} &= \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{D-2}{D-3} T_1^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{D-4}{D-3} T_2^{\mu\nu} \\ P_2^{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{4} \frac{D-4}{D-3} T_1^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{D-2}{D-3} T_2^{\mu\nu} \end{split} \begin{array}{l} \text{Same Basis as} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{array} \end{split}$$

Compute:

$$P_1^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = F_1(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, m_h^2, m_t^2, D)$$
$$P_2^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = F_2(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, m_h^2, m_t^2, D)$$

Projectors constructed/ input by hand

Virtual MEs: Tool Chain

Partial cross-check: 2 Implementations



Generate & Compute Diagrams



Integral Reduction

Integral Families input by hand:

Very easy to generate **some** integral family Seems harder to generate a **good** integral family

Scalar products:

$$S = \frac{l(l+1)}{2} + lm$$

l=2 # Loops m=3 # L.I External momenta

$$S = 9$$

Choose 8 Integral families with 9 propagators each

Integrals	1-loop	2-loop
Direct	63	9865
+ Symmetries	21	1601
+ IBPs	8	~260-270 (currently 327)

Reduction with REDUZE 2 (r = 8, s = 4)

from # inverse propagators appearing in problem

Non-planar integrals can be computed **without** reduction

Numerical Master Integrals

To evaluate Master Integrals we use SecDec which implements Sector Decomposition ^{Collaboration: Borowka}, Heinrich, Jahn, SJ, Kerner, Schlenk, Zirke

Completely automated procedure

Sector Decomposition

1) Feynman Parametrise integral and compute momentum integrals

$$G = (-1)^{N_{\nu}} \frac{\Gamma(N_{\nu} - LD/2)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(\nu_j)} \int_0^\infty \prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathrm{d}x_j \ x_j^{\nu_j - 1} \delta(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i) \frac{\mathcal{U}^{N_{\nu} - (L+1)D/2}(\vec{x})}{\mathcal{F}^{N_{\nu} - LD/2}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})}$$

Here \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F} are 1st, 2nd Symanzik Polynomials

We have exchanged L momentum integrals for N parameter integrals

Sector Decomposition

2) After integrating out δ we are faced with integrals of the form:

$$G_{i} = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} dx_{j} x_{j}^{\nu_{j}-1} \right) \frac{\mathcal{U}_{i}(\vec{x})^{\exp \mathcal{U}(\epsilon)}}{\mathcal{F}_{i}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})^{\exp \mathcal{F}(\epsilon)}} \quad \text{Powers depending on } \epsilon$$

$$F_{i}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})^{\exp \mathcal{F}(\epsilon)}$$

$$F_{i}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})^{\exp \mathcal{F}(\epsilon)}$$

Which may contain overlapping singularities which appear when several $x_j \rightarrow 0$ simultaneously (corresponding to UV/IR singularities) Sector decomposition maps each integral into integrals of the form:

$$G_{ik} = \int_0^1 \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathrm{d}x_j x_j^{a_j - b_j \epsilon} \right) \frac{\mathcal{U}_{ik}(\vec{x})^{\exp \mathcal{U}(\epsilon)}}{\mathcal{F}_{ik}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})^{\exp \mathcal{F}(\epsilon)}}$$

 $\mathcal{U}_{ik}(\vec{x}) = 1 + u(\vec{x})$ $\mathcal{F}_{ik}(\vec{x}) = -s_0 + f(\vec{x})$ $u(\vec{x}), f(\vec{x})$ Singularity structure can be read off $u(\vec{x}), f(\vec{x})$ have no constant term Hepp 66; Denner, Roth 96; Binoth, Heinrich 00

Sector Decomposition (II)

3) Expand in ϵ (simple case a = -1):



By Definition: $g(0) \neq 0, g(0)$ finite

4) Numerically integrate

SecDec supports: numerators, inverse propagators, ``dots", physical kinematics, arbitrary loops & legs (within reason) Soper 00; Nagy, Soper 06; Borowka 14

Key Point: Sector Decomposed integrals can be expanded in ϵ and numerically integrated

Rank 1 Shifted Lattices

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \text{ algorithm for numerical integration:} & \operatorname{Review: Dick, Kuo, Sloan 13} \\ I_s[f] \equiv \int_{[0,1]^s} \mathrm{d}^s x f(\vec{x}) & I_s[f] \approx \bar{Q}_{s,n,m}[f] \equiv \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f\left(\left\{\frac{i\vec{z}}{n} + \vec{\Delta}_k\right\}\right) \\ \vec{z} & - \text{ Generating vec.} & \\ \vec{\Delta}_k - \text{ Random shift vec.} \\ \left\{\right\} & - \text{ Fractional part} & \\ n & - \# \text{ Lattice points} & \\ m & - \# \text{ Random shifts} & \\ \end{array}$$

Generating vector \vec{z} precomputed for a **fixed** number of lattice points, chosen to minimise worst-case error Nuyens 07

Rank 1 Shifted Lattices

Unbiased error estimate computed from random shifts:



Typically 10-50 shifts, production run: 20 shifts

R1SL: Algorithm Performance

Example: Rel. Err. of one sector of sector decomposed loop integral



R1SL: Implementation Performance

Accuracy limited primarily by number of function evaluations

Implemented in OpenCL 1.1 for CPU & GPU, generate points on GPU/ CPU core, sum blocks of points (reduce memory usage/transfers)



Integral Reduction & Finite Basis

One **important** extra step: (Partly) transform to (Quasi-) Finite basis, also handled by REDUZE 2 Panzer 14; von Manteuffel, Panzer, Schabinger 15

- (elegantly) Extracts subdivergences into coefficient of integrals
- May require integrals in shifted dimension or with propagators raised to higher powers

→ See talk of A. von Manteuffel

Finite basis is a sensible basis choice for numerical evaluation

- (Quasi-) Finite integrals need no `subtraction' as singularities already resolved
- Practically; can choose basis such that relatively few orders of ϵ are required for most complicated integrals

Amplitude Structure

Writing integrals with r propagators and s inverse propagators as Arbitrary scale $I_{r,s}(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, m_h^2, m_t^2) = (M^2)^{-L\epsilon} (M^2)^{2L-r+s} I_{r,s} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{M^2}, \frac{\hat{t}}{M^2}, \frac{m_h^2}{M^2}, \frac{m_t^2}{M^2}\right)$

Renormalized form factors for $gg \rightarrow HH$ with \overline{MS} scheme strong coupling a and \overline{OS} scheme gluon field, top-quark mass:

$$F = aF^{(1)} + a^{2}(\delta Z_{A} + \delta Z_{a})F^{(1)} + a^{2}\delta m_{t}^{2}F^{ct,(1)} + a^{2}F^{(2)} + O(a^{3})$$

$$F^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\mu_{R}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[b_{0}^{(1)} + b_{1}^{(1)}\epsilon + b_{2}^{(1)}\epsilon^{2} + O(\epsilon^{3})\right] - 1\text{-loop}$$

$$F^{ct,(1)} = \left(\frac{\mu_{R}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[c_{0}^{(1)} + c_{1}^{(1)}\epsilon + O(\epsilon^{2})\right] - Mass \text{ Counter-Terms}$$

$$F^{(2)} = \left(\frac{\mu_{R}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)^{2\epsilon} \left[\frac{b_{-2}^{(2)}}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{b_{-1}^{(2)}}{\epsilon} + b_{0}^{(2)} + O(\epsilon)\right] - 2\text{-loop}$$

Scale variations do not require any re-computation of red terms

Amplitude Structure (II)

Form factors are sums of rational functions multiplied by integrals that depend on ratios of the scales s, t, m_h^2, m_t^2 and the arbitrary scale M^2

$$\begin{split} F^{(L)} &= \sum_{i} \left[\left(\sum_{j} C^{(L)}_{i,j} \epsilon^{j} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k} I^{(L)}_{i,k} \epsilon^{k} \right) \right] \\ &= \epsilon^{-2} \left[C^{(L)}_{1,-2} \cdot I^{(L)}_{1,0} + C^{(L)}_{1,-1} \cdot I^{(L)}_{1,-1} + \dots \right] \\ &+ \epsilon^{-1} \left[C^{(L)}_{1,-1} \cdot I^{(L)}_{1,0} + \dots \right] + \dots \end{split}$$

Additionally, all *L*-loop form factors are computed simultaneously without re-evaluating common integrals

Note: $gg \rightarrow HH$ is a loop induced process, real subtraction and mass factorisation contained in $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{K}$ operators (not discussed here) Catani, Seymour 96

```
Amplitude si(epsrel,devinds,crossings);
// coeffs/coeff1.cpp
si.addTerm(
           string("ReduzeF1L2_230000010ord0"),
           ReduzeF1L2 230000010ord0nfunc(),
           crossing,
           &ReduzeF1L2_230000010ord0Integrand,
           &ReduzeF1L2 230000010ord0findoptlam,
           ReduzeF1L2 230000010ord0ndim(),
           params,
           termCoeff1
           );
// coeffs/coeff204.cpp
si.addTerm(
           string("ReduzeF3L2diminc2_131010100ord1"),
           ReduzeF3L2diminc2_131010100ord1nfunc(),
           crossing,
           &ReduzeF3L2diminc2_131010100ord1Integrand,
           &ReduzeF3L2diminc2_131010100ord1findoptlam,
           ReduzeF3L2diminc2_131010100ord1ndim(),
           params,
           termCoeff2
           );
si.optimizeLambda();
si.integrate();
```







Phase-Space Sampling

Phase-space implemented by hand

limited to 2-3 w/ 2 massive particles

Events for virtual:

1) VEGAS algorithm applied to LO matrix element $\mathcal{O}(100k)$ events computed

2) Using LO events unweighted events generated using accept/reject method $\mathcal{O}(30k)$ events remain

3) Randomly select 666 Events (woops), compute at NLO, exclude 1

Note: No grids used either for integrals or phase-space



Timings

Bottleneck: Integral reduction, tried Fire, Litered, Reduze 2 Smirnov, Smirnov 13; Lee 13; von Manteuffel, Studerus 12 Note: Not a criticism, we are not using these tools smartly or on ideal hardware, this problem is **HARD** for these tools

Hardware (numerics): ~16 Dual Nvidia Tesla K20X GPGPU Nodes Thanks: MPCDF Median GPU time per PS point: 2 hours Total compute time used: 4680 GPU Hours

Wall time: 6 days

Key Point: Even after the advances discussed here numerical integration is slow but our setup can scale to use the available compute resources

Results

$gg \rightarrow HH$ @ NLO



 $\sigma^{NLO} = 32.80^{+13\%}_{-12\%} \text{ fb} \pm 0.4\% \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.1\% \text{ (int.)}$

Born-improved HEFT: $\sigma_{HEFT}^{NLO} = 38.32^{+18\%}_{-15\%} \text{ fb}$ LO: $\sigma^{LO} = 19.85^{+28\%}_{-21\%} \text{ fb}$

Result presented on Tuesday by M. Kerner

Conclusion

GoSam-XLoop

- Aims to be a flexible framework for generating amplitudes (mostly) relevant for massive/multi-scale problems
- Tightly coupled to integral reduction programs
- In lieu of a general `master' integral library beyond one-loop, interfaced to SecDec for automatic numeric evaluation of **amplitudes**

Ongoing/Future

- More details of our method and our calculation will be discussed in an upcoming paper
- Implement obvious code improvements
- (Future) Fully exploit analytically known master integrals, needs searchable database: <u>Loopedia</u> (?)

Thank you for listening

Backup

Master Integrals (Numerical)

SecDec (https://secdec.hepforge.org)

Evaluate Dimensionally regulated parameter integrals numerically



G.H.S Top Mass Expansion



Grigo, Hoff, Steinhauser 15



Self-Coupling Sensitivity



Uncertainties

Total Cross Section:

Born Improved NLO HEFT Scale $\mu_0 = \mu_R = \mu_F = M_{HH}$, Variation: $\left[\frac{\mu_0}{2}, 2\mu_0\right]$

Some arguments for switching to $\mu_0 = M_{HH}/2$ (account for NNLL?)

Scale	15-20%
PDF + α_s	6-7%
EFT (NLO)	~10%
Total	30-40%

See: Eg... Baglio, Djouadi et al. 12

Production Channels

 $\sigma(pp \to HH + X) @ 13 \text{TeV}$



(VBF)

Baglio, Djouadi et al. 12

Production Channels



Gluon Fusion

 LO (1-loop), Dominated by top (bottom contributes ~1%) Glover, van der Bij 88



- 2. Born Improved NLO H(iggs)EFT $m_T \rightarrow \infty$ K \approx 2 Plehn, Spira, Zerwas 96, 98; Dawson, Dittmaier, Spira 98
- A. Including m_T in Real radiation -10% Maltoni, Vryonidou, Zaro 14
- 5 **±10%**
- B. Including $O(1/m_T^{12})$ terms in Virtual MEs ±10% Grigo, Hoff, Melnikov, Steinhauser 13; Grigo, Hoff 14; Grigo, Hoff, Steinhauser 15
- 3. Born Improved NNLO HEFT +20% De Florian, Mazzitelli 13 Including matching coefficients Grigo, Melnikov, Steinhauser 14 Including terms $\mathcal{O}(1/m_T^{12})$ in Virtual MEs Grigo, Hoff, Steinhauser 15 NNLL + NNLO Matching +9% de Florian, Mazzitelli 15



Gluon Fusion (NLO HEFT)



Shopping List



Catani, Seymour 96

Backup: Integrals

Master Integrals

Known Analytically:



Numeric Evaluation:



Up to 4-point, 4 scales s, t, m_T^2, m_H^2 SecDec

Master Integrals

Double Higgs Production Master Integrals are tough!

- Massive propagators
- Off-shell legs



Backup: Graph Polynomials

Graph Polynomials

Properties:

- Homogenous polynomials in the Feynman Parameters $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$ is degree L $\mathcal{F}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$ is degree L + 1 $\mathcal{F}(\vec{x}, s_{ij}) = \mathcal{F}_0(\vec{x}, s_{ij}) + \mathcal{U}(\vec{x}) \sum_{i=1}^N x_i m_i^2$ Internal masses
- $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$ and $\mathcal{F}_0(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$ are linear in each Feynman Parameter

$\mathcal{F}_0(ec{x}, s_{ij})$ and $\mathcal{U}(ec{x})$ can be constructed graphically

We will follow: Bogner, Weinzierl 10

Divergences

From the master formula, 3 possibilities for poles in ϵ to arise:

- 1. Overall $\Gamma(N_{\nu} LD/2)$ diverges (single UV pole)
- 2. $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$ vanishes for some x=0 and has negative exponent (UV subdivergences)
- 3. $\mathcal{F}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$ vanishes on the boundary and has negative exponent (IR divergences)

Outside the Euclidean region ($\forall s_{ij} < 0$) there is a further possibility:

4. $\mathcal{F}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$ vanishes inside the integration region (May give: Landau singularity which is either a normal or anomalous threshold)

Can be handled by SecDec: contourdef=True) See: Soper 00; Borowka 14

Aside: If only condition 1 leads to a divergence the integral is Quasi-finite

Draw graph, label edges with Feynman Parameters **Rules for** $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$:

1. Delete L edges all possible ways



- 2. Throw away disconnected graphs or graphs with $L \neq 0$
- 3. Sum monomials of Feynman parameters of deleted edges



Draw graph, label edges with Feynman Parameters **Rules for** $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$:

1. Delete L edges all possible ways



- 2. Throw away disconnected graphs or graphs with $L \neq 0$
- 3. Sum monomials of Feynman parameters of deleted edges



Draw graph, label edges with Feynman Parameters **Rules for** $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$:

1. Delete L edges all possible ways

- x_1 x_4 x_5 x_3
- 2. Throw away disconnected graphs or graphs with $L \neq 0$
- 3. Sum monomials of Feynman parameters of deleted edges



Draw graph, label edges with Feynman Parameters **Rules for** $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$:

- 1. Delete L edges all possible ways
- 2. Throw away disconnected graphs or graphs with $L \neq 0$
- 3. Sum monomials of Feynman parameters of deleted edges





Draw graph, label edges with Feynman Parameters **Rules for** $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$:

- 1. Delete L edges all possible ways
- 2. Throw away disconnected graphs or graphs with $L \neq 0$
- 3. Sum monomials of Feynman parameters of deleted edges





Draw graph, label edges with Feynman Parameters **Rules for** $\mathcal{U}(\vec{x})$:

- 1. Delete L edges all possible ways
- 2. Throw away disconnected graphs or graphs with $L \neq 0$
- 3. Sum monomials of Feynman parameters of deleted edges





Rules for $\mathcal{F}_0(ec{x},s_{ij})$:

- 1. Delete L + 1 edges all possible ways
- 2. Take only graphs with 2 connected components (T1, T2) and L=0
- 3. Sum F.P. monomials multiplied by: $-s_{ij} = -(\sum q_k)^2$ Momenta flowing
- 4. (For $\mathcal{F}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$ add the internal mass terms)

 $\sum_{k} q_{k})^{2}$ Momenta flowing through cut lines from T1 \rightarrow T2



Rules for $\mathcal{F}_0(ec{x},s_{ij})$:

- 1. Delete L + 1 edges all possible ways
- 2. Take only graphs with 2 connected components (T1, T2) and L = 0
- 3. Sum F.P. monomials multiplied by: $-s_{ij} = -(\sum q_k)^2$ Momenta flowing
- 4. (For $\mathcal{F}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$ add the internal mass terms)

Momenta flowing through cut lines from T1 → T2

k



Rules for $\mathcal{F}_0(ec{x},s_{ij})$:

- 1. Delete L + 1 edges all possible ways
- 2. Take only graphs with 2 connected components (T1, T2) and L=0
- 3. Sum F.P. monomials multiplied by: $-s_{ij} = -(\sum q_k)^2$ Momenta flowing
- 4. (For $\mathcal{F}(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$ add the internal mass terms)



Momenta flowing
 through cut lines
 from T1 → T2

k

Rules for $\mathcal{F}_0(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$:

- 1. Delete L + 1 edges all possible ways
- 2. Take only graphs with 2 connected components (T1, T2) and L = 0

Momenta flowing

3. Sum F.P. monomials multiplied by: $-s_{ij} = -(\sum q_k)^2$



Rules for $\mathcal{F}_0(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$:

- 1. Delete L + 1 edges all possible ways
- 2. Take only graphs with 2 connected components (T1, T2) and L = 0
- 3. Sum F.P. monomials multiplied by: $-s_{ij} = -(\sum q_k)^2$ Momenta flowing



Momenta flowing through cut lines from T1 → T2

k



 $-0^2 x_1 x_4 x_5$

Rules for $\mathcal{F}_0(\vec{x}, s_{ij})$:

- 1. Delete L + 1 edges all possible ways
- 2. Take only graphs with 2 connected components (T1, T2) and L = 0
- 3. Sum F.P. monomials multiplied by: $-s_{ij} = -(\sum q_k)^2$



Momenta flowing through cut lines from T1 → T2



Sector Decomposition

One technique **Iterated Sector Decomposition** repeat: Binoth, Heinrich 00 $\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{2} \frac{1}{(x_{1}+x_{2})^{2+\epsilon}} \quad \longleftarrow \text{ Overlapping singularity for } x_{1}, x_{2} \to 0$ $= \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{2} \frac{1}{(x_{1} + x_{2})^{2 + \epsilon}} (\theta(x_{1} - x_{2}) + \theta(x_{2} - x_{1}))$ $= \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{1} \int_{0}^{x_{1}} \mathrm{d}x_{2} \frac{1}{(x_{1} + x_{2})^{2+\epsilon}} + \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{2} \int_{0}^{x_{2}} \mathrm{d}x_{1} \frac{1}{(x_{1} + x_{2})^{2+\epsilon}}$ $= \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}t_{2} \frac{x_{1}}{(x_{1} + x_{1}t_{2})^{2+\epsilon}} + \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{2} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}t_{1} \frac{x_{2}}{(x_{2}t_{1} + x_{2})^{2+\epsilon}}$ $= \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x_1 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t_2 \frac{x_1^{-1-\epsilon}}{(1+t_2)^{2+\epsilon}} + \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t_1 \frac{x_2^{-1-\epsilon}}{(t_1+1)^{2+\epsilon}} - \mathbf{Singularities factorised}$

If this procedure terminates depends on order of decomposition steps An alternative strategy **Geometric Sector Decomposition** always terminates; both strategies are implemented in SecDec. Kaneko, Ueda 10; See also: Bogner, Weinzierl 08; Smirnov, Tentyukov 09