

#### Inclusive three jet production at the LHC at 7 and 13 TeV collision energies

Grigorios Chachamis, IFT - UAM/CSIC Madrid

In collaboration with F. Caporale, F. G. Celiberto, D. Gordo Gomez and A. Sabio Vera

arXiv:1603.07785

DIS 2016, 11-15 April 2016, DESY Hamburg, Germany

### Outline

- Motivation
- Kinematics
- 3-jet production within the BFKL framework
- New observables relevant to 3-jet production
- Conclusions and outlook

### BFKL phenomenology

- LHC has produced and will further produce an abundance of data
- This is the best time to investigate the applicability of the BFKL resummation program within the context of a hadron collider
- In the last years: the big hit from the theory/experimental side was the study of Mueller-Navelet jets (dijets). We only touch here one subfield for which BFKL is relevant, small-x physics/forward physics is much richer of course: Diffraction, Saturation, DPI etc.





CMS-FSQ-12-002

## Azimuthal decorrelation of jets widely separated in rapidity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration\*

... Therein, in the section Conclusions it reads:

The observed sensitivity to the implementation of the colour-coherence effects in the DGLAP MC generators and the reasonable data-theory agreement shown by the NLL BFKL analytical calculations at large  $\Delta y$ , may be considered as indications that the kinematical domain of the present study lies in between the regions described by the DGLAP and BFKL approaches. Possible manifestations of BFKL signatures are expected to be more pronounced at increasing collision energies.

BFKL obviously prefers a factorization between transverse and longitudinal (rapidity) degrees of freedom. If indeed this is the case then we do learn something new about high energy QCD.

Trying to see a "growth with energy" signal probes mainly the longitudinal degrees of freedom.

There are other ways we can explore this territory.

2-jet decorrelations mainly probe one of the transverse components, that is, the azimuthal angles. We would like to study observables for which the pT (any pT along the BFKL ladder) enters the game.

We have the opportunity to do so by studying 3-jet azimuthal decorralations where the pT of the extra jet introduces a new dependence.

#### **Mueller-Navelet jets**





#### An event with three tagged jets



#### A primitive lego-plot (3-jets)





The main idea is to integrate over all angles after using the projections on the two azimuthal angle differences between the central jet and k<sub>A</sub> and k<sub>B</sub> respectively

# Integrate over all angles after using projections

$$\frac{d^3 \sigma^{3-\text{jet}}}{d^2 \vec{k}_J dy_J} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\pi k_J^2} \int d^2 \vec{p}_A \int d^2 \vec{p}_B \,\delta^{(2)} \left(\vec{p}_A + \vec{k}_J - \vec{p}_B\right) \\ \times \varphi \left(\vec{k}_A, \vec{p}_A, Y_A - y_J\right) \varphi \left(\vec{p}_B, \vec{k}_B, y_J - Y_B\right)$$

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{A} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{B} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{J} \cos\left(M\left(\theta_{A} - \theta_{J} - \pi\right)\right) \\ &\cos\left(N\left(\theta_{J} - \theta_{B} - \pi\right)\right) \frac{d^{3}\sigma^{3-\text{jet}}}{d^{2}\vec{k}_{J}dy_{J}} \\ &= \bar{\alpha}_{s} \sum_{L=0}^{N} \binom{N}{L} \left(k_{J}^{2}\right)^{\frac{L-1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dp^{2} \left(p^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-L}{2}} \\ &\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{(-1)^{M+N} \cos\left(M\theta\right) \cos\left((N-L)\theta\right)}{\sqrt{\left(p^{2} + k_{J}^{2} + 2\sqrt{p^{2}k_{J}^{2}}\cos\theta\right)^{N}}} \\ &\times \phi_{M} \left(p_{A}^{2}, p^{2}, Y_{A} - y_{J}\right) \phi_{N} \left(p^{2} + k_{J}^{2} + 2\sqrt{p^{2}k_{J}^{2}}\cos\theta, p_{B}^{2}, y_{J} - Y_{B}\right) \end{split}$$

# Integrate over all angles after using projections

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{A} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{B} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta_{J} \cos\left(M\left(\theta_{A} - \theta_{J} - \pi\right)\right) \\ &\quad \cos\left(N\left(\theta_{J} - \theta_{B} - \pi\right)\right) \frac{d^{3}\sigma^{3-\text{jet}}}{d^{2}\vec{k}_{J}dy_{J}} \\ &= \bar{\alpha}_{s} \sum_{L=0}^{N} \binom{N}{L} \left(k_{J}^{2}\right)^{\frac{L-1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dp^{2} \left(p^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-L}{2}} \\ &\quad \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{(-1)^{M+N} \cos\left(M\theta\right) \cos\left((N-L)\theta\right)}{\sqrt{\left(p^{2} + k_{J}^{2} + 2\sqrt{p^{2}k_{J}^{2}}\cos\theta\right)^{N}}} \\ &\times \phi_{M} \left(p_{A}^{2}, p^{2}, Y_{A} - y_{J}\right) \phi_{N} \left(p^{2} + k_{J}^{2} + 2\sqrt{p^{2}k_{J}^{2}}\cos\theta, p_{B}^{2}, y_{J} - Y_{B}\right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\langle \cos\left(M\left(\theta_{A}-\theta_{J}-\pi\right)\right)\cos\left(N\left(\theta_{J}-\theta_{B}-\pi\right)\right)\rangle \\ &= \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi}d\theta_{A}d\theta_{B}d\theta_{J}\cos\left(M\left(\theta_{A}-\theta_{J}-\pi\right)\right)\cos\left(N\left(\theta_{J}-\theta_{B}-\pi\right)\right)\frac{d^{3}\sigma^{3-\mathrm{jet}}}{d^{2}\vec{k}_{J}dy_{J}}}{\int_{0}^{2\pi}d\theta_{A}d\theta_{B}d\theta_{J}\frac{d^{3}\sigma^{3-\mathrm{jet}}}{d^{2}\vec{k}_{J}dy_{J}}} \end{split}$$

# ... so that you can define new observables:

$$\mathcal{R}_{P,Q}^{M,N} = \frac{\langle \cos\left(M\left(\theta_A - \theta_J - \pi\right)\right) \cos\left(N\left(\theta_J - \theta_B - \pi\right)\right) \rangle}{\langle \cos\left(P\left(\theta_A - \theta_J - \pi\right)\right) \cos\left(Q\left(\theta_J - \theta_B - \pi\right)\right) \rangle}$$

# How would an experimentalist measure this\*?

$$\mathcal{R}_{P,Q}^{M,N} = \frac{\langle \cos\left(M\left(\theta_A - \theta_J - \pi\right)\right) \cos\left(N\left(\theta_J - \theta_B - \pi\right)\right) \rangle}{\langle \cos\left(P\left(\theta_A - \theta_J - \pi\right)\right) \cos\left(Q\left(\theta_J - \theta_B - \pi\right)\right) \rangle}$$

\* Coming from theorists, this would appear to be more of a cooking recipe, apologies to our experimental colleagues in advance for any naivety here.

# How would an experimentalist measure this?

1. For 7 (and 8) TeV energies, just pick up the data that were used for Mueller-Navelet studies.

2. From these data, isolate those events that have in addition a very central jet.

3. Choose integers M, N, P, Q, e.g. M=1, N=3, P=1, Q=2

4. For each event, measure the azimuthal angle difference between the forward-central jets,  $\Delta \theta_1 = (\theta_A - \theta_J - \pi)$ , and the backward-central jets,  $\Delta \theta_2 = (\theta_J - \theta_B - \pi)$ .

5. For each event calculate two quantities:

num =  $\cos(1^*\Delta\theta_1)^*\cos^*(3^*\Delta\theta_2)$  and denom =  $\cos(1^*\Delta\theta_1)^*\cos^*(2^*\Delta\theta_2)$ . 6. Calculate the average of num (<num>) and denom (<denom>) over all the events. Divide <num> over <denom> to have the quantity below:

$$\mathcal{R}_{P,Q}^{M,N} = \frac{\langle \cos\left(M\left(\theta_A - \theta_J - \pi\right)\right) \cos\left(N\left(\theta_J - \theta_B - \pi\right)\right) \rangle}{\langle \cos\left(P\left(\theta_A - \theta_J - \pi\right)\right) \cos\left(Q\left(\theta_J - \theta_B - \pi\right)\right) \rangle}$$

## 3D plot for (partonic) R<sup>11</sup><sub>22</sub>



 $k_A = 40 \text{ GeV}, k_B = 50 \text{ GeV}, Y_A = 10, Y_B = 0$ 

Now introduce PDF's and running of the strong coupling to get theoretical predictions on a hadronic level for various kinematical cuts

We have used two kinematical cuts:

$$k_A^{\min} = 35 \text{ GeV}, k_B^{\min} = 35 \text{ GeV}, k_A^{\max} = k_B^{\max} = 60 \text{ GeV} \text{ (symmetric)}$$
  
 $k_A^{\min} = 35 \text{ GeV}, k_B^{\min} = 50 \text{ GeV}, k_A^{\max} = k_B^{\max} = 60 \text{ GeV} \text{ (asymmetric)}$ 

$$k_A^{\min} = 35 \text{ GeV}, k_B^{\min} = 50 \text{ GeV}, k_A^{\max} = k_B^{\max} = 60 \text{ GeV} \text{ (asymmetric)}$$



Y is the rapidity difference between the most forward/backward jet

 $k_A^{\min} = 35 \text{ GeV}, k_B^{\min} = 35 \text{ GeV}, k_A^{\max} = k_B^{\max} = 60 \text{ GeV} \text{ (symmetric)}$ 



Y is the rapidity difference between the most forward/backward jet



#### **Conclusions & Outlook**

- We use events with three tagged jets to propose new observables with a distinct signal of BFKL dynamics.
- We use ratios of correlation functions to minimize the influence of higher order corrections.
- We need to compare against experimental data to see whether these new BFKL probes deliver results that outline the window of applicability of the BFKL framework at the LHC.
- Any new input from the experimental side would be extremely valuable