# **Disentangling the EMC Effect**



#### Or Hen MIT







DIS-2016, April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2016, Hamburg, Germany.







# DIS: Study of the partonic structure of the nucleon DIS scale: several tens of GeV

 $0 < x_B < 1$ : equals the fraction of nucleon momentum carried by the struck parton (in the infinite momentum frame).

$$x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2m\omega}$$
$$Q^2 = -q_\mu q^\mu$$











#### DIS: Study of the <u>partonic</u> structure of the <u>nucleon</u>

 $0 < x_B < 1$ : equals the fraction of nucleon momentum carried by the struck parton (in the infinite momentum frame).

#### QE: Study of the <u>nucleonic</u> structure of the <u>nucleus</u>

0<x<sub>B</sub><A:

- Counts the *number of nucleons involved* in the reaction.
- Determines the *minimal initial momentum* of the scattered nucleon.









- Deviation of the per-nucleon DIS cross section ratio of nuclei relative to deuterium from unity.
- Universal shape for 0.3<x<0.7 and 3<A<197.
- ~Independent of Q<sup>2</sup>.
- Overall increasing as a function of A.
- No fully accepted theoretical explanation.



$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \sigma_A = \frac{4\alpha^2 E'^2}{Q^4} \left[ 2\frac{F_1}{M} \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{F_2}{V} \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right] \quad F_2(x, Q^2) = \sum_i e_i^2 \cdot x \cdot f_i(x)$$





- Deviation of the per-nucleon DIS cross section ratio of nuclei relative to deuterium from unity.
- Universal shape for 0.3<x<0.7 and 3<A<197.
- ~Independent of Q<sup>2</sup>.
- Overall increasing as a function of A.
- No fully accepted theoretical explanation.



$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \sigma_A = \frac{4\alpha^2 E'^2}{Q^4} \left[ 2\frac{F_1}{M} \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{F_2}{V} \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right] \quad F_2(x, Q^2) = \sum_i e_i^2 \cdot x \cdot f_i(x)$$

# Universality of the EMC Effect





Pliī

# EMC Challenge: Scales in Physics









- Standard nuclear effects that contribute: [explain some of the effect, up to x≈0.5]
  - Nuclear Binding and Fermi motion
  - Coulomb Field
- Various theoretical models: [Most incorporate modification of the bound nucleon structure]
  - Dynamical rescaling
  - Point-like configuration suppression
  - Structure function modification in the nuclear meanfield

EMC – Everyone's Model is Cool (G. A. Miller)

- 6 quark clusters
- More....





- Standard nuclear effects that contribute: [explain some of the effect, up to x≈0.5]
  - Nuclear Binding and Fermi motion
- Coulomb Field
   (1) One Model Isn't Cool: "No Bound-Nucleon Structure Modification"

e runction modification in the nuclear mean-

EMC – Everyone's Model is Cool (G. A. Miller)

field

- 6 quark clusters
- More....







J. Seely et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 202301 (2009).











Many-Body Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{p^2}{2m_N} + \sum_{i < j=1}^{A} v_{2body}(i, j) + \sum_{i < j < k=1}^{A} v_{3body}(i, j, k) + \dots$$

Mean-Field Approximation:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{p^2}{2m_N} + \sum_{i=1}^{A} V(i)$$

Results in an "atom-like"

shell model:

- Ground state energies
- Excitation Spectrum
- Spins
- Parities



Coulomb repulsion dds to proton well potential



# Beyond the Shell-Model: NN Correlations

 Spectroscopic factors extracted from A(e,e'p) measurements yield only 60-70% of the expected single-particle strength

- Missing:
  - ~20%: Long-Range
     Correlations
  - ~20%: Short-Range Correlations (SRC)







Nucleon pairs that are close together in the nucleus (wave functions overlap)

=> Momentum space: pairs with <u>high relative</u> <u>momentum and low c.m. momentum</u> compared to the Fermi momentum (k<sub>F</sub>)











Plii



Hii































Mii







#### Breakup the pair => Detect both nucleons => Reconstruct 'initial' state



# main Next Gen. @ Jefferson Lab (JLab)

- High intensity polarized electron beam.
  - 1994 2012: 6 GeV
  - 2015: upgraded to
    12 GeV
- 3 (now 4) experimental halls.
- 7 years of 12 GeV program already approved.



#### **Hall-A: High-Resolution Spectrometers**





#### **Hall-A: High-Resolution Spectrometers**





#### **Hall-A: High-Resolution Spectrometers**







# Building BigBite and HAND







#### Jefferson Lab

Program h Highlights Experimenta Priyslag Jorder | tor Science ert Research ducation rination Upgrade Connections Lenter cation Office

Detector Inspectors - Or Deen (art) and Noeho Zika (middle), both of Tel Aviv University, prepare to assemble a newton detector, who Donnin Wiemus (tipt), a student from Vignin Millay holds, tests a companyed to the detector. The detector will be used in an upcomp experiment in tel A. Wernus a spending the sammer al. Auto the DOE's Science Disdepstudies Laboratory (Internation program, Finanz Jahran Lat) iont; Safety, Quality gy Transfer & Departments

LAB EVENTS DOE ACTS July 7-31, 2000 DOE Selence Undergrad Lab Internation Vay 20-July 31, 2008 HS Summer Hovers Program June 16-July 01, 2009



World Lander - Jefferson Lab's Proe-Ele Nature magazine. You can read the alor Broakthrough Research - Jofferson Lat Geophysical Institution of Washington, D House. The award is part of a \$777 mills

Greundbreaking - Hore than 400 people start of construction of the \$210 million 1

Stimulus Dollars. - The U.S. Department receive \$75 million from President Obers project and to modernize infrastructure.

Great Job - Jatlemon Science Associate infamilies is based on performance score "A" for science and technology, and an 12 GeV Contract - A Virginia Basch com supporting facilities at Jefferson Lab as p

Reporting facilities all petitemon table as a Besand Change - This asseterator savity suffered, eventually defaulting below more beingquered analy and were revented of <u>Heutope Duality</u>. There are had using a probing a phenomenon called quark-had

Mantage























A. Tang et al., PRL (2003);

E. Piasetzky et al., PRL (2006);













A. Tang et al., PRL (2003);

E. Piasetzky et al., PRL (2006);





A. Tang et al., PRL (2003);

E. Piasetzky et al., PRL (2006);







O. Hen et al., Science 364 (2014) 614

#### **Bottom Line:**

- 'Density Fluctuations' predominantly due to np-SRC.
- Universal character observed in
   A = 4 208 nuclei.
- Strong indication for Tensor force dominance at short distance

0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 Missing Momentum [GeV/c]

A. Tang et al., PRL (2003);

E. Piasetzky et al., PRL (2006);

#### Intermediate summary:

#### Universal structure of nuclei



Чiī







Mii

\*Me at this point of the talk



# You Should Care!





#### Intermediate summary:

#### Universal structure of nuclei



Чiī

Two Leading 'EMC Models Families'



- Mean-Field Models
  - All nucleons are modified due to the influence of the nuclear medium.
- Virtuality Dependent Models
  - Nucleons have a different modification that related to their virtuality.

 BOTH MODELS CONSISTENT WITH DATA!





#### Where is the EMC Effect?







#### Where is the EMC Effect?









O. Hen et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. E. 22, 1330017 (2013).

O. Hen et al., Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 047301.

L. B. Weinstein, E. Piasetzky, D. W. Higinbotham, J. Gomez, O. Hen, R. Shneor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 052301.





O. Hen et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. E. 22, 1330017 (2013).

O. Hen et al., Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 047301.

L. B. Weinstein, E. Piasetzky, D. W. Higinbotham, J. Gomez, O. Hen, R. Shneor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 052301.





O. Hen et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. E. 22, 1330017 (2013).

O. Hen et al., Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 047301.

L. B. Weinstein, E. Piasetzky, D. W. Higinbotham, J. Gomez, O. Hen, R. Shneor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 052301.

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Other Correlations...**

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The EMC-SRC Correlation is robust.
  - Independent of different experimental and theoretical corrections applied to the SRC scaling data
- Models suggested that the EMC effect depends on the average kinetic energy, <T>, carried by nucleons in the nucleus
  - <T> is dominated by 2N-SRC

O. Hen et al., Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 047301 J. Arrington et al., Phys. Rev. C 86 (2012) 065204

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

- <u>Goal:</u> measure the virtuality (nuclear density) dependence of the structure function
- (our) <u>Method:</u> tagged DIS using d(e,e'N<sub>recoil</sub>) reactions

Deuterium is the only system in which the momentum of the struck nucleon equals that of the recoil (Assuming no FSI)

# In Medium Nucleon Structure Functions, SRC, and the EMC effect

Study the role played by high-momentum nucleons in nuclei

A proposal to Jefferson Lab PAC 38, Aug. 2011

O. Hen (contact person), E. Piasetzky, I. Korover, J. Lichtenstadt, I. Pomerantz, I. Yaron, and R. Shneor Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Tagging Concept...

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_3.jpeg)

- High resolution spectrometers for (e,e') measurement in DIS kinematics
- Large acceptance recoil proton \ neutron detector
- Long target + GEM
   detector reduce
   random coincidence

## Building Large-Acceptance Detectors

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

Possible detector locations

![](_page_57_Picture_5.jpeg)

Backward Angle Neutron Detector (BAND@Hall-B) R&D @ MIT / Construction @ BATES

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Kinematics and Uncertainties**

- Tagging allows to extract the structure function in the nucleon reference frame:  $x' = \frac{Q^2}{2(\overline{q} \cdot \overline{p})}$
- Expected coverage: x'~0.3 & 0.45(0.5) < x' < 0.55(0.7) @</li>

 $W^{2} > 4 [GeV/c]^{2}$ 

![](_page_59_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Prospects beyond JLab 12 GeV?

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Collider Concept...

Шii

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_3.jpeg)

Uii

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Collider Concept...

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Knockout nucleon (/jet)

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Spectator nucleon

![](_page_64_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Spectator Momentum

= Beam/A + P<sub>initial</sub>

#### **Signature of Correlations:**

Spectator momentum > beam momentum

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

llii

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_2.jpeg)

Spectator Momentum			
100 GeV $d: \gamma = 50$			
Center of Mass		Lab	
P <sub>z</sub> (CM) GeV/c	P <sub>perp</sub> (CM) GeV/c	P <sub>z</sub> (Lab) GeV/c	θ <sub>p</sub> (Lab)
0	0	50	0
0.2	0	41	0
0.4	0	34	0
0.6	0	28	0
0.6	0.2	29	0.007
0.6	0.6	36	0.02

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

# The group

![](_page_66_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### MIT:

![](_page_66_Picture_4.jpeg)

**Barak Schmookler** 

![](_page_66_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Navaphon** (Tai) Muangma

**Reynier Torres** 

- Or Hen
- Shaley Gilad
- ODU:

![](_page_66_Picture_12.jpeg)

**Mariana Khachatryan** 

- Larry Weinstein

Tel-Aviv:

![](_page_66_Picture_16.jpeg)

**Meytal Duer** 

**Igor Korover** 

– Eli Piasetzky

Many theory friends I

![](_page_66_Picture_21.jpeg)

New collaborators are always welcome!

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Thank You!** Sacar De **Questions?**