# Belle II early physics program of bottomonia spectroscopy

Hua YE (hua.ye@desy.de)

on behalf on Belle II collaboration

XXIV International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects (DIS2016)

11-15 April 2016, DESY Hamburg







### **Physics at B-Factory**



- CP Violation
  - CKM matrix elements: V<sub>ub</sub>, V<sub>cb</sub>.
  - CPV in charm sector
- Bottomonium spectroscopy
- Unanticipated New Particles
  - XYZ hadrons. *Eg. X(3872), Y(4260), Z\_c^{\pm}, Z\_b^{\pm}*
- Beyond the SM
  - B→ X<sub>s</sub>l<sup>+</sup>l<sup>-</sup> probe the Flavor changing neutral currents
  - Lepton flavor violating.
  - $B \rightarrow \tau v$ ,  $D^{(*)} \tau v$  probe the charged Higgs.
  - Light dark matter particles and dark photon (See DIS2016 <u>talk</u> by G.Inguglia)

•

#### Challenge in Bottomonia Spectroscopy

- Some predicted but not observed
- Some observed but not predicted
- Precise measurements





### First Generation of B-factories

Υ(1S)

9.44 9.46 10.0010.02

Υ(2S)

 $\Upsilon(3S)$ 

10.34

 $\rightarrow$  Hadrons) [nb]

 $\sigma(e^+e^-$ 



 $\Upsilon(4S)$ 

10.58

10.62



- BaBar at PEP-II, SLAC
- 9GeV(e<sup>-</sup>)X3.1GeV(e+), βγ-0.56
- Peaking luminosity
  - ~ 1.2X10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>



- Belle at KEKB, KEK
- 8GeV(e<sup>-</sup>)X3.5GeV(e+), βγ-0.43
- Peaking luminosity
  2.11X10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (world record)
- Total data 1 ab<sup>-1</sup>

Mainly at  $\Upsilon(4S)$ ,  $E_{CM} = 10.58 \text{ GeV}$ BR( $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$ ) > 96%

10.54

10.37

e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Center-of-Mass Energy [GeV]

Experiment	Scans/Off.	Res.	$\Upsilon(5S)$		$\Upsilon(4S)$		$\Upsilon(3S)$		$\Upsilon(2S)$		$\Upsilon(1S)$	
			10876	$\mathrm{MeV}$	10580	$\mathrm{MeV}$	10355	MeV	10023	$\mathrm{MeV}$	9460	MeV
	$\rm fb^{-1}$		$fb^{-1}$	$10^{6}$	$fb^{-1}$	$10^{6}$	$\rm fb^{-1}$	$10^{6}$	$fb^{-1}$	$10^{6}$	$\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$	$10^{6}$
CLEO	17.1		0.4	0.1	16	17.1	1.2	5	1.2	10	1.2	21
BaBar	54		$R_b$ s	can	433	471	30	122	14	99	-	-
Belle	100		121	36	711	772	3	12	25	158	6	102

hua.ye@desy.de Existing  $e^+e^-$  datasets collected near  $\Upsilon$  resonances.



### SuperKEKB/Belle II Upgrade







### **BEAST II Schedule**



#### Beam Exorcism for A STable experiment (BEAST II)

To characterize beam-induced backgrounds near the interaction point (IP)

- Phase 1 (2016.2-6): Beam commissioning, without collisions & Belle II
- Phase 2 (2017.11-2018.3): partial Belle II is rolled in, no vertex detector, collision tuning starts.
- □ Phase 3 Physics Run (2018.10-): Full Belle II











Layout of the BEAST2 inner detector elements for Phase 2.

#### Goals of Phase 2

Belle I

Achieve luminosity of  $10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, understand the background for safe operation of vertex detector(VXD).

#### Opportunities for first physics?

- Plan for 4-5months of machine studies, 1-2months may contain physics data taking.
- Maximum possible energy 11.06 11.25 GeV, energy spread assumed to be ~5MeV.
- Stable operation close to  $\Upsilon(4S)$  preferred
- Efficiency losses for low  $P_t$  particles, no appreciable losses in photon efficiency.
- particle identification may not be fully reliable.
- Rough estimate of integrated luminosity (20±20 fb<sup>-1</sup>)









### Bellow Y(4S)





- A significant increase in scientific potential could be achieved with about 200 fb<sup>-1</sup> Y(3S) data (~7X Babar), to study of the bottomonium system.
- From a machine operations standpoint, it may also be desirable to begin with a "low" energy.
- Several important parameters that either need to be measured or have conflicting experimental results in need of resolution, including masses and widths of the  $\eta_b$  states,  $\chi_{b0}$  widths, the mass splitting of the Y(1D) states, and the  $B(Y(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_b(1P))$  branching fractions.



### Study of $\eta_{\rm b}(1S,2S)$ at $\Upsilon(3S)$



9

#### Measured by BaBar, Belle, and CLEO

- $\Upsilon$ (2,3S) $\rightarrow \gamma \eta_{b}$ (1S),  $h_{b}$ (1,2P) $\rightarrow \gamma \eta_{b}$ (1S,2S)
- Evidence of  $h_b(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b(2S)$
- Measured  $\eta_{\rm b}(1S)$  mass disagree at the ~  $3.5\sigma$  level.
- Further measurements of  $\eta_{\rm b}(2S)$  are needed.



#### Potential at Belle II

- radiative transition  $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_{\rm b}(1S, 2S)$ 
  - Given B( $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_{\rm b}(1S)$ ) ~5X10<sup>-4</sup>, one expects ~800  $\eta_{\rm h}(1S)$  /fb<sup>-1</sup>, assuming an efficiency of ~ 40%.
- $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_b(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b(1S)$ 
  - Expects ~350  $\eta_b(1S)$  /fb<sup>-1</sup>
- $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \eta \eta_{b}(1S)$ 
  - No previous searches, theory prediction:  $B(\chi_{b0}(2P))$  $\rightarrow \eta \eta_b(1S))^{-3}$
  - Expects ~10  $\eta_{\rm b}(1S)$  /fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Require a 200fb<sup>-1</sup>  $\Upsilon$ (3S) sample

BABAR 3S **BABAR 2S** CLEO 3S "CLEO" 2S\* BELLE 2S\* **BELLE 5S BELLE 4S<sup>\*</sup>** Average h<sub>b</sub>(nP) Y(mS) \*Unpublished 9370 9375 9380 9385 9390 9395 9400 9405 9410 Mass (MeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

#### $\eta_{\rm b}(1S)$ mass measurements

hua.ye@desy.de

## h<sub>b</sub>(1P) and $\Upsilon$ (n<sup>3</sup>D<sub>1</sub>) Studies at $\Upsilon$ (3S)



### **I** Study of $h_b(1P)$ at $\Upsilon(3S)$

Belle I

BaBar searched  $h_b(1P)$  in two decay channels

- $B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \pi^+\pi^-h_b(1P)) < 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$
- $B(\Upsilon(3S) \to \pi^0 h_b(1P) \to \gamma \eta_b(1S)) = (4.3 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$



### $\square$ $\Upsilon$ (n<sup>3</sup>D<sub>1</sub>) Measurements

- Only one (of 6 predicted) D-wave bottomonium state,  $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$  has been observed.
- Predictions from theory: Limited mass range, very narrow, decays dominantly to  $\gamma \chi_{b0}$  (nP) (~70+%)

Ύ(1 <sup>3</sup> D <sub>1</sub> )	10145-10155MeV	Ύ(1 <sup>3</sup> D <sub>2</sub> )	10163.7 <u>±</u> 1.4MeV
Ύ(1³D <sub>3</sub> )	10168-10172MeV	Υ(2 <sup>3</sup> D <sub>2</sub> )	10420-10460MeV

7-9 times increase of Babar statistics is needed.

Opportunity for Belle II early Physics:

- 4 $\gamma$  mode:  $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1D) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)$
- Υ(n<sup>3</sup>D<sub>1</sub>) (J<sup>PC</sup>=1<sup>--</sup>) can be directly studied via a beam energy scan.







- BaBar used photons converting into *e*<sup>+</sup>*e*<sup>-</sup> pairs in detector material to study radiative bottomonium decays.
- Although the efficiency for converted photon reconstruction is much lower than that for calorimetry (by a factor of 20-40), energy resolution is improved (e.g. from 25MeV to 5 MeV for  $\eta_b(1S)$  transitions)

PhysRevD.84.072002

Based on the 30fb<sup>-1</sup> Y(3S) sample of Babar



• Most of photon conversions occur at an inner radius before entering drift chamber, resulting in better energy resolution since less multiple scattering.





- Hadronic transitions and decays
  - $\pi^+\pi^-$  transitions:  $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S), \chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{bJ}(1P), \Upsilon(1D) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)$
  - $\eta$  transitions:  $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \eta \Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1D) \rightarrow \eta \Upsilon(1S)$
  - $\omega$  transitions:  $\chi_b(2P) \rightarrow \omega \Upsilon(1S)$
- Radiative decays
  - Calibrate the EM Calorimeter.
  - $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_b(1P)$  difficult to measure due to overlapping photon transitions.
  - $\chi_{b0}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S)$  only reached a significance of 2.2 $\sigma$ .
  - $\Upsilon(1D)$  in  $4\gamma$  mode:

 $\Upsilon$ (3S) $\rightarrow$ γ $\chi$ <sub>bJ</sub>(2P) $\rightarrow$ γ $\Upsilon$ (1D) $\rightarrow$ γ $\chi$ <sub>bJ</sub>(1P) $\rightarrow$ γ $\Upsilon$ (1S)



### Above Y(4S): Ecm Scan



Sparing studies of the rigion between 10.6 GeV and 11.25 GeV

- In 2008, Babar published results used 3.3fb<sup>-1</sup> data with 300points.
- Belle first collected 8fb<sup>-1</sup> scan data, then followed by 19 points of about 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> each in the range from 10.77 to 11.02GeV.
- Belle also accumulated 6fb<sup>-1</sup> data at Υ(6S).



Expect to study during Phase2

- Rb= $\sigma(b\overline{b})/\sigma(\mu^+\mu^-)$
- σ(Υ(nS)ππ) and σ(h<sub>b</sub>(nP)ππ) (potentially search for Z<sub>b</sub> states)
- $\sigma(B^{(*)}B^{(*)})$  and  $\sigma(B_s^{(*)}B_s^{(*)})$







Anomalously large  $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow \pi\pi\Upsilon(1S,2S,3S)$  and  $\pi\pi h_b(1P, 2P)$  transitions led to discovery of  $Z_b^{\pm}$ .







What is the situation at  $\Upsilon(6S)$ ?

Base on the 6fb<sup>-1</sup> data collected by Belle



The fixed shape Zb fit is favored compared to the phase space fit by 3.4 $\sigma$  and 4.7 $\sigma$  for the hb(1P) and hb(2P), respectively.

Resonance structure in  $\Upsilon$  (6S) $\rightarrow \pi\pi\Upsilon$ (nS) decays are not fully studied.





Belle II will be the new intensity frontier experiment with ultra high luminosity, aiming of precise measurements and searching for new physics in bottomonium mass range.

Belle II physics data taking will start in 2018, first data with partial detector in 2017.

Various **bottomonia spectroscopy** topics that could be considered in the early data taking phase for Belle II is covered. Considering the detector condition of BEAST II Phase2, many of the proposed analyses are not overly sensitive to particle identification, nor to vertex finding precision, but mostly limited to existing sample sizes at specific collision energies.

Thank you!