



## **DIS 2016**

## Measurement of the underlying event at 13 TeV with the CMS experiment

Ada Solano

Univ. of Torino and INFN

On behalf of the CMS Collaboration





CMS-PAS-FSQ-15-007: Underlying event measurements with leading particles and jets in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV

- Underlying events and Observables
- Event selection and analysis
- MC samples
- Unfolding and Systematics
- Results
- Summary and Conclusions
- + a reminder to

CMS-PAS-TOP-15-017: Underlying event measurement with ttbar+X events with pp collision data at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV

# that has been presented by Buğra Bilin in yesterday's afternoon session of WG4 – Heavy Flavours



## Underlying events @ LHC





+ colour reconnection, hadronisation, etc..+ pile-up ]

UE: additional activity on top of the hard scattering

Hadronic activity at the high luminosities of the LHC is dominated by the UE

accurate understanding needed for precise measurements of SM and BSM [top-quark measurements as an example]

The UE consists of semi-hard and low-momentum processes

- phenomenological description
- detailed understanding crucial for MC tuning [for the latest tunes by CMS see EPJ C76 (2016) 155]



## **UE observables**



#### Particle properties in regions transverse to the direction of hard scattering products



Transverse regions:  $60^{\circ} < |\Delta \phi| < 120^{\circ}$ :

- TransMAX: maximum activity side, often containing a 3<sup>rd</sup> jet → MPI/BR + ISR/FSR
- **TransMIN:** minimum activity side → MPI/BR

TransAVE = (TransMAX + TransMIN)/2

TransDIF = TransMAX – TransMIN -> ISR/FSR

### **Observables:**

- average charged-particle multiplicity density (particle density): <N<sub>ch</sub>>/[Δη Δ(Δφ)]
- average transverse-momentum scalar sum density (energy density): <Σp<sub>T</sub>>/[Δη Δ(Δφ)]



## **Event selection and analysis**



#### CMS-PAS-FSQ-15-007

### > Data sample:

- July 2015 data,  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV, L = 218 nb<sup>-1</sup>
- Low pile-up: <PU> = 1.3
- ZeroBias trigger: only coincidence of both beam pick-up timing devices BPTX

### > Vertex requirement:

- Exactly one primary good vertex
- Good vertex = within 10 cm in z-direction and 2 cm in xy-plane from nominal IP; at least 5 degrees of freedom (dof > 4)

### > Track requirements:

- High quality tracks ( $\sigma_{_{pT}}/p_{_{T}}\!<\!0.05)$  with  $p_{_{T}}\!\geq 0.5$  GeV within  $|\eta|<2$
- Cut on the impact parameter in order to remove secondary decays

### > Jet requirements:

- Built with the same previous track selection in  $|\eta| < 2.5$ , using the SISCone jet algorithm with distance parameter R = 0.5
- $p_T^{jet} \ge 1$  GeV within  $|\eta| < 2$
- ♦ Leading track/jet: highest  $p_T \ge 0.5$  ( $p_T^{jet} \ge 1$ ) GeV within |η| < 2
- ★ All final-state charged-particles with p<sub>T</sub> ≥ 0.5 GeV in  $|\eta| > 2$  used for average particle/energy density calculation in transverse regions



## **MC** samples



#### CMS-PAS-FSQ-15-007

### 

Lund string hadronisation model;  $p_T$ -ordered parton shower; MPI and parton showers interleaved in one common sequence of decreasing  $p_T$  values

- New CMS tune **CUETP8M1** [EPJC 76 (2016) 155]:
  - validation and correction with PU 1.3
  - PU systematics without PU
- Monash: comparison with corrected data

### □ HERWIG++

Cluster hadronisation model; angular-ordered parton shower; MPI model similar to PYTHIA but no interleaving with parton showers

- New CMS tune CUETHS1:
  - model dependency systematics
  - · comparison with corrected data

### **EPOSv1.99**

Soft-parton dynamics described in terms of virtual quasi-particle states as in Gribov's reggeon field theory, with multi-pomeron exchanges accounting for MPI

- model dependency sistematics
- · comparison with corrected data





### Data correction

Measured observables are corrected for detector effects and selection efficiencies, to reflect primary charged-particle activity.

An iterative Bayesian unfolding technique is used for correction, based on response matrices that correlate generated and reconstructed level observables. Response matrices are constructed from PYTHIA8+CUETP8M1 simulated events.

### Systematic uncertainties

- Model dependency of data correction with different MCs
- Pile-up: effect of unfolding with and without PU
- Tracking efficiency/fake mismodeling: reduction of efficiency by 3.9% and increasing of fakes by 50%
- Impact parameter variation
- Vertex *dof* variation to 2 and 6 (from 4)





# **Results**



#### Average charged-particle multiplicity density: $\langle N_{ch} \rangle / [\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)]$



Best performing: PYTHIA8 Monash and CUETP8M1 HERWIG has problems in the rising region EPOS has problems in the plateau



#### Average charged-particle multiplicity density: $\langle N_{ch} \rangle / [\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)]$



Best performing: PYTHIA8 Monash and CUETP8M1





#### Average charged-particle multiplicity density: $\langle N_{ch} \rangle / [\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)]$



## Higher activity in the plateau in the presence of a leading jet

Best performing: PYTHIA8 Monash and CUETP8M1





#### Average charged-particle multiplicity density: $\langle N_{ch} \rangle / [\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)]$



## Higher activity at the plateau in the presence of a leading jet Best performing: PYTHIA8 Monash and CUETP8M1



#### Average transverse-momentum scalar sum density: $<\Sigma p_T > / [\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)]$



Best performing: PYTHIA8 Monash and CUETP8M1 HERWIG has problems in the rising region EPOS has problems in the plateau





#### Average transverse-momentum scalar sum density: $<\Sigma p_T > / [\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)]$



#### TransMIN region has a flatter plateau

#### Best performing: PYTHIA8 Monash and CUETP8M1





#### Average transverse-momentum scalar sum density: $<\Sigma p_T > / [\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)]$



## Higher activity at the plateau in the presence of a leading jet Best performing: PYTHIA8 Monash and CUETP8M1





#### Average transverse-momentum scalar sum density: $<\Sigma p_T > / [\Delta \eta \Delta (\Delta \phi)]$



Higher activity at the plateau in the presence of a leading jet TransMIN region has a flatter plateau

#### Best performing: PYTHIA8 Monash and CUETP8M1



## $\sqrt{s}$ dependence – particle densities



#### CMS-PAS-FSQ-15-007

Strong rise of UE activities with centre-of-mass energy, as predicted by MCs

TransMIN densities show a stronger  $\sqrt{s}$  dependence than TransDIF ones, indicating that the activity coming from MPI grows more with  $\sqrt{s}$  than that from ISR and FSR









Strong rise of UE activities with centre-of-mass energy, as predicted by MCs

TransMIN densities show a stronger  $\sqrt{s}$  dependence than TransDIF ones, indicating that the activity coming from MPI grows more with  $\sqrt{s}$  than that from ISR and FSR







#### CMS-PAS-TOP-15-017

Important to verify the "universality" of the UE tunes in hard process events

UE activity measured at 13 TeV in ttbar events in the  $\mu$ +jets channel (2.2 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

ttbar system used as leading object

Data compared with:

- POWHEG & PYTHIA8 (CUETP8M1)
- POWHEG & HERWIG++ (EESC)





## **UE observables – leading ttbar**



#### CMS-PAS-TOP-15-017



MC predicts more charged particle multiplicity than in the data. A better agreement can be obtained doubling the scale

# Please refer to Bilin's talk for more details

Good description of the UE observable  $<\Sigma p_T^{ch} >$  in the transverse region with both PYTHIA8 (CUETP8M1) and HERWIG++ (EESC), which were tuned using datasets with different 'leading object' topology



14/04/2016 DIS16 - Measurement of the underlying event at 13 TeV with the CMS experiment - A. Solano





- ✓ Underlying events have now been measured by CMS at 0.9, 2.76, 7, and 13 TeV, offering a large collection of data which can help to better understand these processes and improve the tuning of MC generators, required for precision measurements of SM and searches for new physics
- Measurements of TransAVE, TransMAX, TransMIN and TransDIF densities have been presented as a function of the leading-track/leading-jet p<sub>T</sub>, fully corrected for detector effects and selection efficiencies. Results have been compared to different MCs and PYTHIA8 with Monash tune is presently the one best performing
- ✓ A comparison of data at 0.9, 2.76, 7, and 13 TeV shows:
  - strong  $\sqrt{s}$  dependence
  - stronger dependence in TransMIN than TransDIF densities, suggesting that MPI activity rises more than ISR/FSR with respect to  $\sqrt{s}$
- The underlying event activity has also been measured in ttbar+X events, supporting the universality of UE tunes





## **Backup slides**



## **CMS** detector



