

Search for additional heavy scalar/pseudoscalar neutral Higgs bosons at CMS

Ram Krishna Dewanjee, T.I.F.R, Mumbai **On behalf of CMS collaboration**





MOTIVATION

- Discovered "a scalar" at mass 125 GeV in 2012.
- Measured its properties (Spin, CP, couplings ...) and found it to be consistent with the SM scalar predicted by Higgs et. al.
- However, these measurements have large experimental uncertainty. => BSM contributions can't be ruled out !
- Besides, many BSM theories, e.g MSSM, 2HDM etc. predict a more complex scalar sector than the one in SM

=> more scalars/pseudoscalars*

4/12/2016







GENERAL STRATEGY

- Search for BSM higgs bosons performed in a wide variety of theoretical scenarios in CMS using Run-1 data.
- In all of them, 125 GeV SM scalar interpreted as one member of a larger family of scalars and pseudo-scalars predicted by BSM theory.
- Most searches probed BSM higgs decays to 3rd generation down type fermions (b-quarks and taus) since most BSM theories (e.g. MSSM) predict enhancement of BSM higgs branching ratios to decay to them w.r.t SM values.
- Search results were interpreted in 2 broad ways:
 - Model Independent way: Exclusion limits set on "σ x BR" for BSM higgs production and decay => on model parameter dependence ignored.
 - Model Dependent way: Exclusion limits set on region of model parameter space defined by setting the model parameters to specific (benchmark) values based on latest theoretical and/or experimental constraints prior to the search.

ANALYSIS LEVEL OBJECTS

- Particle Flow (PF) algorithm used for global event reconstruction: full use of subdetector information.
- Jets reconstructed using anti-kT algorithm (R =0.5) using output of PF algorithm.
- b-Jets identified via a likelihood based discriminator which includes impact parameter significance and track based lifetime information.
- Muons identified by PF based selections designed to reduce muons fakes from pions/kaons and punch through hadrons.
- Electrons identified via multi-variate (BDT) based discriminators which include electron shower shape variables.
- **Hadronic Taus** identified via reconstructing the individual hadronic tau decay modes: 1Prong- $0\pi_0$, 1Prong- $1\pi_0$, 1Prong- $2\pi_0$ and 3Prong- $0\pi_0$ inside PF jets and qualifying strict isolation criteria. Dedicated multi-variate selections further reduce $e \rightarrow \tau$ and $\mu \rightarrow \tau$ fakes.

MSSM φ→ττ̄ SEARCH (CMS-PAS-HIG-14-029)

- ➢ MSSM has 2 complex Higgs doublets → 5 Higgs Bosons: light CP even scalar (h), heavy CP even scalar (H), pseudo-scalar (A), charged (H[±]).
- MSSM Higgs sector at tree level determined by 2 parameters : m_A and Tanβ (ratio b/w VEVs of the 2 higgs doublets).
- > Main MSSM neutral Higgs ($\varphi = h, H, A$) production modes:



MSSM φ→ττ search performed in μτ_h, eτ_h, τ_hτ_h, eµ and µµ channels (τ_h=> hadronic tau decay).
MSSM CATEGORIES

B-TAG	NO B-TAG
 ≥ 1 B-Tagged Jets (p_T > 20 GeV), < 2 Jets (p_T > 30 GeV) 	No B-Tag Jets with p _T > 20 GeV

BACKGROUNDS

Z→ττ̄

Estimated in shape from Embedded sample comprising of $Z \rightarrow \mu \bar{\mu}$ data events in which muons are replaced by taus from simulation



Electroweak

RESULTS: MSSM φ→ττ̄

- Current MSSM φ→ττ̄ analysis has improved analysis sensitivity (~35%-40%) w.r.t earlier published result [JHEP 10(2014) 160] due to:
 - 1. <u>Improved Hadronic Tau Identification</u> : A Multi-Variate discriminator incorporating track based lifetime information.
 - 2. <u>Tau p_T Binning</u> : Introduction of Hadronic Tau bins optimized by sensitivity.



MSSM $H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau\bar{\tau}$ (CMS-PAS-HIG-14-034)

- Presence of 2 b-guarks in the final state in addition to 2 taus, => 2 jets required in the event (having \geq highest b-tag discriminator value). 19.7 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV)
- Categories are defined as: \geq
 - 2Jet0Tag:Both jets fail offline b-tagging criterion.
 - 2Jet1Tag: Leading jet passes offline b-tagging criterion.
 - 2Jet2Tag: Both jets pass offline b-tagging criterion.



Di-tau invariant mass m_{rr} computed by likelihood based algorithm using constraint imposed by \triangleright missing transverse energy to give best possible estimate of the true m_{rr} .

Search restricted to

260 GeV ≤ m_µ ≤ 350 GeV

to stay below H→tt threshold

- Mass window cuts: 90 GeV < m_{rr} < 150 GeV and 70 GeV < m_{bb} < 150 GeV applied to select the most \geq signal like events with reconstructed m_h consistent with 125 GeV.
- \geq Finally, kinematic fit performed where energies of the b-jets and taus is varied within their respective resolutions subject to the constraints: $m_{rr} = m_{bb} = 125$ GeV.
- The 4 body mass m^{fit} has an excellent shape discrimination of signal over backgrounds and is used \geq for signal extraction.
- The search was done in 3 distinct di-tau channels: $\mu \tau_{\rm h}$, $e \tau_{\rm h}$, $\tau_{\rm h} \tau_{\rm h}$ \geq R.K.Dewanjee, DIS2016, Electroweak and 4/12/2016

MSSM $A \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow I^+I^- \tau \tau$ (CMS-PAS-HIG-14-034)

- First a $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu/ee$ candidate is reconstructed using well identified and isolated pair of \geq opposite sign muons/electrons per event.
- \geq Then a h $\rightarrow \tau \bar{\tau}$ candidate is reconstructed in any one of the 4 di-tau final states: $\mu \tau_{h}$, $e \tau_{h}$, $\tau_{h} \tau_{h}$ and eµ (where e/µ denote leptonic tau decays to electron/muon) using additional leptons in the event.
- \geq Finally, a channel dependent cut is applied on the scalar p_{τ} sum of the tau candidates to reduce backgrounds.



RESULTS MSSM A \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow I⁺I⁻ $\tau \bar{\tau}$ /H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow b $\bar{b}\tau \bar{\tau}$



R.K.Dewanjee, DIS2016, Electroweak and

MSSM A \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow I⁺I⁻ bb (CMS-PAS-HIG-14-011)

- > Similar selections for the $Z \rightarrow I^+I^-$ leg as HIG-14-034.
- \blacktriangleright For the h \rightarrow bb leg, require atleast one tight b-tagged Jet and one loose btagged Jet.
- > Mass window cuts: 75 GeV < m_{π} < 105 GeV, 90 GeV < m_{hh} < 140 GeV.

10 11 10

10

10

> MET compatible with zero.

MODEL DEPENDENT

2D LIMITS



11

MSSM φ→bb̄ SEARCH (CMS-PAS-HIG-14-017)

- Events triggered if they have atleast 2 Jets (p_T^{Jet1} > 80 GeV, p_T^{Jet2} > 70 GeV, |η^{Jet1/Jet2}| < 1.74) satisfying online b-tagging criteria.
- > Triggered events further required to have a 3rd Jet $(p_T^{Jet3} > 20 \text{ GeV}, |\eta^{Jet1/Jet2/Jet3}| < 1.65)$ and the 2 leading Jets satisfying $|\Delta \eta^{Jet1, Jet2}| \leq 1.4$.
- > All 3 Jets must be pair wise separated by $\Delta R > 1$.
- Events divided into triple b-tag and double b-tag categories based on number of jets (3 or 2) passing tight offline b-tag requirements.
- Triple b-tag => signal extraction,
 Double b-tag => background evaluation.
- Signal extracted via 2 dimensional likelihood fit in (M₁₂, X₁₂₃) plane where, M₁₂ is the di-Jet invariant mass of the 2 leading b-Jets and X₁₂₃ is a compact b-tag variable for the whole event (based on Jet rank and sec. vertex info.).



M₁₂ [GeV]



RESULTS: MSSM φ→bb̄ SEARCH (CMS-PAS-HIG-14-017)



R.K.Dewanjee, DIS2016, Electroweak and

CONCLUSION

- CMS has performed a thorough search for additional heavy neutral scalar/pseudo-scalar higgs bosons in Run-1 data.
- No evidence of signal has been found so far and strong exclusion limits have been set on the cross section times branching ratio for these exotic particles.
- Exclusion limits have also been placed on large regions of theory parameter space that is consistent with the 125 GeV higgs discovery.
- The searches have predominantly targeted the decays of the BSM higgs to bquarks and tau leptons as theories predict enhanced branching fractions for them.
- ➢ Run-2 searches are ongoing and eagerly awaiting more 13 TeV data in 2016.

YOU MAY HAVE FOUND THE "SM SCALAR" BUT YOU ALSO KNOW THAT IS NOT THE END OF THE STORY

Ø

O-Alula

THANK YOU!

SO STAY CALM AND KEEP SEARCHING

BACKUP SLIDES

CMS DETECTOR



EVENT RECONSTRUCTION (PARTICLE FLOW)



- Muons: Tracker hits, Calo Energy deposits (ECAL + HCAL), Muon chamber hits
- Charged Hadrons: Tracker hits, Calo Energy deposits (ECAL + HCAL)
- Electron/Photon (Converted): Tracker hits, Calo Energy deposits (ECAL)
- Neutral Hadron: Calo Energy deposits (ECAL + HCAL)
- Photon (Unconverted): Calo Energy deposits (ECAL)

TAU ID PERFORMANCE: MVA vs CUT BASED

Reduction of fake rate by 40 to 50% at constant efficiency, for low p_T as well as for high $p_T \tau(s)$



CMS-DP-14-015

R.K.Dewanjee, DIS2016, Electroweak and

Gain in Analysis Sensitivity (Overall)



MSSM update analysis **improves sensitivity by 30-40%** compared to previous analysis, corresponding to **factor 3-4 gain in luminosity**

R.K.Dewanjee, DIS2016, Electroweak and

HADRONIC TAU ISOLATION: CUT BASED



$$\Delta\beta = 0.4576 \cdot \sum p_T^{charged} (\Delta z > 2mm)$$

- \succ Tau Isolation P_T Sum Input: PFCharged Hadrons (P_T > 0.5 GeV) and PFPhotons (E_{τ} > 0.5 GeV) within dZ < 2mm from production vertex within a cone of $\Delta R <$ 0.5.
- Production vertex: Has highest probability of association with the leading (Highest P_{τ}) track of the Tau candidate.
- PFCharged Hadrons and Photons forming the Tau candidate, excluded from the sum.
- \blacktriangleright Pile Up contribution accounted for by applying $\Delta\beta$ corrections.
- $\succ \Delta\beta$ corrections: Computed by summing the P_T of PFCharged Hadrons having dZ > 2mm from production vertex within a cone of $\Delta R < 0.8$.
- \geq They are scaled by a factor (0.4576) to make them insensitive to Pile Up.
- Working Points: Loose (< 2GeV), Medium (< 1GeV) and Tight (< 0.8 GeV). R.K.Dewanjee, DIS2016, Electroweak and

HADRONIC TAU ISOLATION: MVA BASED

- BDT based Isolation uses the following input variables :
 - 1. P_{τ} and η of the reconstructed τ_h candidate and the reconstructed decay mode.
 - 2. Charged Particle Isolation P_T sum :

 $\sum P_T^{Charg\,ed} \, (\Delta z < 2 \,\mathrm{mm})$

3. Neutral Particle Isolation P_T sum :

$$\sum P_T^{\gamma}$$

- 4. $\Delta\beta$ corrections.
- 5. Transverse Impact parameter (d₀) of the leading track of the τ_h candidate and its significance (d_0/σ_{d0}) for 1Prong $0\pi 0$ and 1Prong $1\pi 0$ decay modes.
- 6. Distance b/w τ_h production and decay vertex and its significance for 3Prong0 π 0 decay mode :

$$ec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{PV}} - ec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{SV}} ert$$
, $ec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{PV}} - ec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{SV}} ert$
 $\mathcal{O}_{ec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{PV}} - ec{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathrm{SV}}}$

MVA trained on simulated Φ→ττ, Z→ττ, Z'→ττ and W'→τν "Signal" samples (~ 10⁶ events each) and QCD multijets, WJets and Z→II (I = e/μ) "background " samples covering 20 GeV to 2000 GeV in Gen Tau P_T.

RESULTS: 2D (MODEL DEPENDENT) LIMITS

- Six (CP conserving) Phenomenological scenarios proposed by the LHC Higgs cross section working group based on bounds set by LEP, Tevatron and LHC searches are used to set exclusion limits in the (mostly) 2 dim. "Tanβ m_A" plane (Carena et al., Eur.Phys.J.C73, 2552 (2013)).
- They all fulfill the criterion that one of the MSSM scalars have mass 125 ± 3 GeV (where the uncertainty is theory dominated).
- > h is SM like for most of the parameter space (but exceptional scenarios exist).

Parameter	Definition	
m _t	Top Quark mass (172.5 GeV)	Radiative
m _b	Bottom Quark mass (4.16 GeV)	SUSY
M _{SUSY}	Mass scale of 3 rd Gen. squarks (stops and sbottoms)	parameters used to
μ	Higgsino mass	define
M _{ĩ3}	3 rd Gen. slepton mass (stau)	scenarios
M ₁	U(1) gaugino mass parameter	K ^
M ₂	SU(2) gaugino mass parameter	
A_t , A_b , A_τ	Trilinear couplings of stops, sbottoms and staus	Next Slide!
X_t, X_b, X_τ	Mixing parameters of stops, sbottoms and staus	

RADIATIVE SUSY PARAMETERS FOR ALL MSSM SCENARIOS

parameter	m_h^{\max}	$m_h^{\text{mod}+}$	m_h^{mod} -	light stop	light stau	τ -phobic	$low-M_H$
m _b [GeV]	4.16	4.16	4.16	4.16	4.16	4.16	4.16
<i>m</i> _t [GeV]	172.5	172.5	172.5	172.5	172.5	172.5	172.5
<i>m</i> _A [GeV]	90-1000	90–1000	90-1000	90–600	90-1000	90-1000	110
tan β []	0.5-60	0.5–60	0.5–60	0.7–60	1.0-60	0.5-60	1.5-9.5
μ [GeV]	200	200	200	400	500	2000	300-3100
М _{ф1,2} [GeV]	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500
M _{SUSY} [GeV]	1000	1000	1000	500	1000	1500	1500
X _t [GeV]	2.0M _{SUSY}	1.5M _{SUSY}	-1.9M _{SUSY}	$2.0M_{SUSY}$	$1.6M_{SUSY}$	$2.45 M_{SUSY}$	$1.5M_{SUSY}$
M _{l̃1,2} [GeV]	500	500	500	500	500	500	500
M _{Ĩ3} [GeV]	1000	1000	1000	1000	245	500	1000
M1 [GeV]	fixe	d by GUT relation to	0 M ₂	340	fixed	by GUT relation	to M ₂
M ₂ [GeV]	200	200	200	400	200	200	200
<i>m</i> ğ [GeV]	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500
A _{f1,2} [GeV]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>A_t</i> [GeV]			fixe	$d by X_t = A_t - \mu d$	tot β		
<i>A</i> ^{<i>b</i>} [GeV]	$= A_t$	$= A_t$	$= A_t$	$= A_t$	$= A_t$	$= A_t$	$= A_t$
A_{τ} [GeV]	$= A_t$	$= A_t$	$= A_t$	$= A_t$	\ <u></u> 0	$= A_t$	$= A_t$

MOTIVATION-2

- Di-Tau final state is an important channel in Higgs searches.
- \rightarrow H \rightarrow tt in SM has 3rd highest Branching Ratio (~6.3% next only to bb and WW) at 125 GeV.
- Only channel that constrains well Higgs couplings to fermions (Over whelming background in H→bb).

≻ H→ττ : Important in MSSM.
 > σ x BR(ττ) is enhanced w.r.t SM values for large Tanβ due to enhanced bottom Yukawa coupling.

160

180

M_µ [GeV]

200

W١





- > 3σ observation of SM Higgs decay to Taus http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP(2014)104
- Triggered interest in interpreting this particle in context of BSM physics scenarios (esp. SUSY).

R.K.Dewanjee, DIS2016, Electroweak and

4/12/2016

Higgs BR + Total Uncert

10

10⁻⁴

100

120

140

SYSTEMATICS

Systematic uncertainty source	Value	Comments
e/μ identification efficiency	2%	applied flat for all p_T and η
τ_h identification efficiency	$6\% \oplus 20\% \cdot rac{p_T}{1000 GeV}$	p_T dependent part for
		extrapolation to high $\tau_h p_T$
τ_h trigger efficiency	4.5%	applied per leg of the trigger
$Z/\gamma^* \to \tau \tau$ MC yield	5%	applied to embedded samples inclusively
Di-Boson MC yield	15%	-
$t\bar{t}$ +Jets MC yield	15%	-
Single top MC yield	15%	-
W+Jets yield	30%	-
$e \rightarrow \tau_h$ fake rate	30%	-
$\mu \to \tau_h$ fake rate	30%	-
Luminosity	2.6%	-

Systematic uncertainty source	Comments
τ_h energy scale	obtained by varying the τ_h energy by $\pm 3\%$
Jet energy scale	applied as a function of jet p_T and η
b-tagging efficiency	applied as a function of b-jet p_T and η
b mistag rate	applied as a function of b-jet p_T and η
QCD background	both normalization and shape varied by varying the jet $\rightarrow \tau_h$
	fake rate weights between unity and twice the nominal value
Higgs p_T reweighting	estimated by taking difference between the MSSM higgs templates
	for $tan\beta = 1$ (pure t-loop) and $tan\beta = 60$ (pure b-loop)
Top quark an reweighting	estimated by varying the corrections
rop quark pr reweighting	between unity and twice the nominal value

b-TAGGING



All these variables are combined into 1 single discriminator using likelihood ratio