



Lepton Efficiencies with Run2 Data (in context of Z $\rightarrow \tau\tau \rightarrow e+\mu$ analysis)

A. Raspereza

CMS UHH-DESY Meeting, June 16th 2015

Introduction

- LHC Running strategy in 2015
 - 13 TeV, 50ns, L = $5x10^{33}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (PU ~ 30), ~ 1/fb
 - 13 TeV, 25ns, L = 7x10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (PU ~ 20), ~ 5/fb
 - 13 TeV, 25ns, L = $1.4x10^{34}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (PU ~ 40), ~ 10/fb
- Higgs $\rightarrow \tau \tau$. Final states : $\mu + \tau$, $e + \tau$, $\tau + \tau$, $e + \mu$
- DESY group plans to analyze $e+\mu$ final state
- Triggers for the e+µ analysis
 - HLT_Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_Ele23_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_v1
 - HLT_Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_v1
- Kinematic cuts in $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow e + \mu$ analysis (proposed baseline)
 - (pT(e) > 24 GeV && pT(μ) > 10 GeV) ||
 (pT(e) > 13 GeV && pT(μ) > 24 GeV)
 - eta(e) < 2.3
 - eta(μ) < 2.3

Monte Carlo Study

"toy" study with Phys14 MC sample

/DYJetsToLL_M-50_13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/Phys14DR-PU20bx25_PHYS14_25_V1-v1/AODSIM

- Number of generated events ~ 3M
- Cross section ~ 6000 pb
- Corresponding luminosity ~ 500 1/pb
- Analysis is done with CMSSW_723
- Physics objects used
 - reco::Muon
 - reco::egmGsfElectron

Electron/Muon ID and Isolation

- Electron Id
 - Conversion veto
 - new non triggering MVA Id (trained with Phys14 MC) (tight WP)
- Muon Id
 - Medium
- dxy < 0.045 cm, dz < 0.2 cm
- delta-beta corrected PFlow isolation

– Relative Isolation < 0.15</p>

Measurements of Lepton Id and Iso

- Tag-&-probe with $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$
- Monitor trigger
 - HLT_IsoMu24_eta2p1_IterTrk02_v1
- Tag muon →
 - matches trigger object (dR<0.3)
 - Passes medium muon Id and isolation (rellso < 0.15)
 - pt > 25 GeV/c, eta < 2.1
- <u>Tag-&-probe with $Z \rightarrow ee$ </u>
- Monitor trigger
 - HLT_Ele27_eta2p1_WP85_Gsf_v1
- Tag electron →
 - matches trigger object (dR < 0.3)
 - passes tight MVA electron Id (rellso < 0.15)
 - pt > 30 GeV/c, eta < 2.1

Sanity checks (muons)

- Leading muon pt > 25, eta < 2.1 matched trigger object, passes Medium Muon Id and Iso
- Trailing muon pt > 10, eta < 2.3, passes Medium Muon Id and Iso



Sanity checks (muons)



Sanity checks (electrons)

- Leading electron pt > 30, eta < 2.1 matched trigger object, passes tight Electron MVA Id and Iso
- Trailing electron pt > 13, eta < 2.3, passes Tight electron MVA Id and Iso



Sanity checks (electrons)



Is efficiency the same for Z→ττ and Z→ee/μμ events

- Compare measured efficiencies with Tag-&-Probe with actual efficiencies in the Z \rightarrow TT \rightarrow e+ μ events passing kinematic cuts
 - (pT(e) > 24 GeV AND pT(mu) > 10 GeV)

OR (pT(e) > 13 GeV AND pT(mu) > 24 GeV)

- eta(e) < 2.3, eta(mu) < 2.3
- Match reconstructed lepton to generated lepton from $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ decay



Is efficiency the same for Z→ττ and Z→ee/μμ events

- Compare measured efficiencies with Tag-&-Probe with actual efficiencies in the Z \rightarrow $\tau\tau$ \rightarrow e+ μ events passing kinematic cuts
 - (pT(e) > 24 GeV AND pT(mu) > 10 GeV)

OR (pT(e) > 13 GeV AND pT(mu) > 24 GeV)

- eta(e) < 2.3, eta(mu) < 2.3
- Match reconstructed lepton to the generated lepton from $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ decay



Is efficiency the same for Z→ττ and Z→ee/μμ events

- Compare measured efficiencies with Tag-&-Probe with actual efficiencies in the Z \rightarrow $\tau\tau$ \rightarrow e+ μ events passing kinematic cuts
 - (pT(e) > 24 GeV AND pT(mu) > 10 GeV)

OR (pT(e) > 13 GeV AND pT(mu) > 24 GeV)

- eta(e) < 2.3, eta(mu) < 2.3
- Match reconstructed lepton to the generated lepton from $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ decay



Break down efficiency

Lepton ID (including impact parameter cuts)



13

Break down efficiency

Lepton Iso (relative to ID)

Electrons



Observations

- The lepton isolation efficiency differs between T-&-P measurement and actual isolation efficiency in $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau \rightarrow e+\mu$ events
 - difference is more pronounced for low pT leptons in the central region
- Educative guess
 - Isolation is affected by additional jets in DY events
 - T-&-P selection of Z → ee/μμ events and nominal selection of Z → ττ → eµ events probe different phase space of Z boson and accompanying jets

Generator test

- Plot ΔR between generated lepton and leading accompanying parton (DY+Jets events) for different (pT,eta) bins of generated leptons
- leading parton is requested to have pT > 10 GeV
- second lepton is required to be within the acceptance cuts imposed
 - by T-&-P selection (tag lepton) in Z → ee/
 - by nominal Z $\rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow e + \mu$ selection

Generator test

Muons, $|\mu| < 0.8$



Generator test

Muons, $0.8 < |\mu| < 1.5$







Generator test

Muons, $1.5 < |\mu| < 2.3$







Summary

- Systematic difference is observed between lepton Iso efficiency as measured with T-&-P technique and actual Iso efficiency in Z → e+µ events
 - effect is more pronounced for low pT leptons in the central region
- Effect is due to different phase space of Z boson and accompanying jets probed by T-&-P selection of Z \rightarrow ee/µµ and nominal Z \rightarrow TT \rightarrow e+µ selection
- Will this introduce significant bias in computation of lepton scale factors?
 - additional studies are needed