Extending the Matrix Element Method beyond the Born approximation: Calculating event weights at next-to-leading order accuracy.

(arXiv:1506.08798 / JHEP09(2015)083)

Till Martini

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institut für Physik
Phänomenologie der Elementarteilchenphysik

"Physics at the Terascale" DESY Hamburg, 18.11.2015

in collaboration with Peter Uwer

Matrix Element Method (MEM) in a nutshell [Kondo '88,'91]

For the collision $\boxed{A + B \to a_1(p_1) + a_2(p_2) + \cdots + a_n(p_n)}$

the differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma_n}{d^4p_1 \ldots d^4p_n} \propto \frac{1}{2s} \left|\mathcal{M}(p_1, \ldots, p_n)\right|^2 dR_n$$

$$dR_n(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = (2\pi)^4 \delta(P - \sum_i p_i) \prod_j \frac{d^3p_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_j}$$

is a measure for the probability to observe the final state in the inf. phase space region dR_n located at $(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$



$\vec{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$

Matrix Element Method (MEM) in a nutshell [Kondo '88,'91]

Collecting generic partonic final state variables in $\vec{x}$:

e.g. $\vec{x} = (E_i, \theta_j, \dots)$: $\boxed{\frac{d\sigma_n}{d^4p_1 \dots d^4p_n} \rightarrow \frac{d\sigma_n}{d\vec{x}}}$

Experimentally we observe hadronic variables $\vec{y}$ instead of partonic variables $\vec{x}$.

Probability to measure $\vec{y}$:

$$\mathcal{P}(\vec{y}) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \int d\vec{x} \, \frac{d\sigma}{d\vec{x}} \, W(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$$

Transfer function $W(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$:

- Probability to experimentally observe a hadronic event $\vec{y}$ given a partonic event $\vec{x}$
- Determined by experiments through simulations
- δ-functions in the following

Matrix Element Method (MEM) in a nutshell [Kondo '88,'91]

Extraction of model parameters Ω from data by maximizing a likelihood $\propto$ differential cross section ($\propto |\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{LO}}|^2$):

Likelihood as a function of Ω for event sample $\{\vec{x}_i\}$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{LO}}(\Omega) = \prod_i \frac{1}{\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}(\Omega)} \int d\vec{y} \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}(\Omega)}{d\vec{y}} \underbrace{W(\vec{x}_i, \vec{y})}_{\substack{\text{transfer function,} \\ \text{here: } =\delta(\vec{x}_i - \vec{y})}} = \prod_i \frac{1}{\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}(\Omega)} \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}(\Omega)}{d\vec{x}_i}}$$

Maximizing wrt Ω yields estimator $\widehat{\Omega}$: $\boxed{\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{LO}}(\widehat{\Omega}) = \sup_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{LO}}(\Omega)}$

All information from event used $\Longrightarrow$ most efficient estimator!



e.g. top mass measurement at Tevatron [D0: Nature 429, 638], [CDF: PRD 50, 2966 (1994)] based on $O(40)$ events!

MEM beyond LO:

Experimental analysis so far restricted to LO

Steps towards MEM@NLO:

- Effects of real radiation [Alwall,Freitas,Mattelaer '11]
- Final states without strongly interacting particles
  [Campbell,Giele,Williams '12], [Campbell,Ellis,Giele,Williams '13]
- Steps towards final states with strongly interacting particles
  [Campbell,Giele,Williams '13], [Martini,Uwer '15]

MEM beyond LO: NLO cross section at parton level

$$\sigma^{\mathrm{NLO}} = \overbrace{\int dR_n \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{B}}}{dR_n}}^{\text{Born}} + \underbrace{\overbrace{\int dR_n \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{V}}}{dR_n}}^{\text{virtual}} + \overbrace{\int dR_{n+1} \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{R}}}{dR_{n+1}}}^{\text{real}}}_{\text{separately IR divergent}}$$

Jet Physics: observed final states are jets

$\longrightarrow$ "Jet events"

New in NLO:

- Infrared and collinear divergences in virtual and real corrections $\xrightarrow{\text{KLN theorem}}$ mutual cancelation
- $n+1$ particle phase space due to real corr.:
  Non-trivial mapping: parton momenta $\leftrightarrow$ jet momenta

B, V: $J_i = p_i$

R: $J_i = \widetilde{J}_i(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1})$

Likelihood at NLO: 3 major obstacles

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{NLO}}(\Omega) = \prod_i \frac{1}{\sigma^{\mathrm{NLO}}_{n\text{-jet}}(\Omega)} \left(\frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{B+V}}_{n\to n\text{-jet}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \ldots dJ_n} + \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{R}}_{n+1\to n\text{-jet}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \ldots dJ_n} \right)\Bigg|_{\text{event } i}$$

1) Born+virtual and real contribution separately IR divergent

NEED: Point-wise cancelation

$\rightarrow$ both contributions must be evaluated for same jet momenta

Real contributions

(one recombination: $J_i = \widetilde{J}_i(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}),\ i = 1, \ldots, n$)

$$\frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{R}}_{n+1\to n\text{-jet}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \ldots dJ_n} = \int dR_{n+1} \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{R}}(\Omega)}{dR_{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta(\widetilde{J}_i(p_1, \ldots, p_{n+1}) - J_i)$$

2) Integration over δ-function numerically not feasible!



NEED: factorisation of phase space

$\rightarrow$ Integration trivial:

$$dR_{n+1}(p_1, ..., p_{n+1}) = dR_n(\widetilde{J}_1, ..., \widetilde{J}_n) dR_{\text{unres}}(\Phi)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad dR_{n+1}(p_1, ..., p_{n+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta(\widetilde{J}_i - J_i) = dR_{\text{unres}}(\Phi)\Big|_{\widetilde{J}_i = J_i}$$

Born+virtual contributions

(no recombination: $J_i = p_i = \widetilde{J}_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, n$)

$$\frac{\sigma^{B+V}_{n\to n\text{-jet}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \ldots dJ_n} = \int dR_n \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{B+V}}(\Omega)}{dR_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta(p_i - J_i) = \frac{\sigma^{\mathrm{B+V}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \ldots dJ_n}$$

3) Born+virtual matrix elements only defined for Born kinematics

NEED: clustered jets obeying Born kinematics

$\rightarrow$ on-shell condition and momentum conservation:

$$\widetilde{J}_i^2 = m_i^2 \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 + \ldots + p_{n+1} = \widetilde{J}_1 + \ldots + \widetilde{J}_n$$

not possible with $2 \rightarrow 1$ clustering/recombination

Alternative proposal: $3 \to 2$ clustering

$3 \to 2$ clustering $p_i, p_j, p_k \to \widetilde{J}_{ij}, \widetilde{J}_k$
can meet all 3 requirements at the same time

$$\Downarrow$$

Using $3 \to 2$ jet algorithm instead of $2 \to 1$
allows to overcome the 3 obstacles

$3 \to 2$ clusterings inspired by Catani-Seymour dipole subtraction method [Catani, Seymour '97], [Catani, Dittmaier, Seymour, Trocsanyi '02]

Modified clustering: Generation of real phase space

(e.g. massless final-final clustering à la Catani-Seymour)

3→2 jet clustering: $\boxed{(p_1, ..., p_{n+1}) \to (\widetilde{J}_1, ..., \widetilde{J}_n, \Phi)}$

$$\widetilde{J}_{ij} = p_i + p_j - \frac{y}{1-y} p_k, \qquad \widetilde{J}_k = \frac{1}{1-y} p_k, \qquad \widetilde{J}_m = p_m, \; m \neq i, j, k$$

$$\Phi = \left\{ \phi, \; z = \frac{p_i \cdot p_k}{p_k \cdot (p_i + p_j)}, \; y = \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{p_i \cdot p_j + p_k \cdot (p_i + p_j)} \right\}$$

Opposite direction required: $\boxed{(\widetilde{J}_1, ..., \widetilde{J}_n, \Phi) \to (p_1, ..., p_{n+1})}$

$$p_i + p_j = \widetilde{J}_{ij} + y \widetilde{J}_k, \qquad p_k = (1-y) \widetilde{J}_k, \qquad p_m = \widetilde{J}_m, \; m \neq i, j, k$$

$$\Phi = \left\{ \phi, \; z = \frac{p_i \cdot \widetilde{J}_k}{\widetilde{J}_{ij} \cdot \widetilde{J}_k}, \; y = \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{\widetilde{J}_{ij} \cdot \widetilde{J}_k} \right\}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad p_i(\widetilde{J}_{ij}, \widetilde{J}_k, \Phi), \quad p_j(\widetilde{J}_{ij}, \widetilde{J}_k, \Phi), \quad p_k(\widetilde{J}_k, y), \quad p_m(\widetilde{J}_m), \; m \neq i, j, k$$

Jet event weight at NLO

Phase space factorisation allows to define an event weight (differential jet cross section) at NLO

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{NLO}}_{n\text{-jet}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \dots dJ_n} = \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{B+V}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \dots dJ_n} + \underbrace{\int dR_{\mathrm{unres}}(\Phi)}_{\text{3dim integration}} \frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{R}}(\Omega)}{dp_1 \dots dp_{n+1}}$$

Mutual cancelation of IR-divergences from the virtual and the real part has to be carried out by a suitable method (e.g. phase space slicing)

Validation

Sample processes:

- Drell-Yan $pp \to e^+e^-$
  hadrons in initial state
- top pair production $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$ (no decay)
  massive colored particles in final state

Veto on additional jet emission, no resolved additional jet!

Although simple, all essential aspects are covered

To Check:

1. Generation of real phase space
2. Generation of unweighted events

(3. Impact of $3 \to 2$ clustering wrt $2 \to 1$ $\to$ [arXiv:1506.08798])

Validation 1: Phase space generation (e.g. $pp \to e^+e^-$)

Calculate jet distributions in NLO accuracy using traditional approach (parton level MC + $3 \to 2$ jet alg.) and compare with distributions obtained from $\frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}_{n\text{-jet}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \ldots dJ_n}$ with factorised jet phase space



Validation 2: Unweighted events (e.g. $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$)

Fill histograms with unweighted events generated according to $\rho = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{NLO}}_{n\text{-jet}}(\Omega)}{dJ_1 \ldots dJ_n}$ and compare with jet distributions in NLO accuracy obtained from traditional approach (parton MC + 3 $\to$ 2 clus.)



Application: Matrix Element Method at NLO

(example: $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}X$ @NLO QCD)

Toy experiment: Generated sample of N unweighted NLO $t\bar{t}$ events

$$\vec{x}_i = (\cos\theta_t, \phi_t, \cos\theta_{\bar{t}}, \phi_{\bar{t}})_i \quad \text{with } \Omega = m_t = m_t^{\text{true}} = 174 \text{ GeV}$$

NLO likelihood function for event sample

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{NLO}}(m_t) = \prod_i^N \mathcal{L}^{\text{NLO}}(\vec{x}_i|m_t) = \left(\frac{\beta_t}{32\pi^2\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{NLO}}(m_t)}\right)^N \prod_i^N \frac{d\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{NLO}}(m_t)}{dJ_t dJ_{\bar{t}}}\Big|_{\text{event } i}$$

Find minimum of negative logarithm of NLO likelihood ("Log-Likelihood") to obtain estimator $\widehat{m}_t$ for top mass

$$-\log\mathcal{L}^{\text{NLO}}(\widehat{m}_t) = \inf_{m_t}\left(-\log\mathcal{L}^{\text{NLO}}(m_t)\right)$$

Application: MEM at NLO: top-quark mass extraction via parabola fit



Conclusion

$3 \to 2$ jet clustering algorithm:

- Uniquely maps real corrections onto Born kinematics

Allows:

- Evaluation of event weights for jet events in NLO accuracy
- Generation of unweighted events at NLO
- Application of MEM at NLO
  - Extraction of m_t with NLO likelihood from NLO $t\bar{t}$ events: Perfect agreement with input value!
  - Extraction with Born likelihood: Large deviation from input value possible! (despite small NLO corrections)
  - Renormalization scheme well-defined in MEM at NLO

Outlook: MEM at NLO for top-pair, single top, ... at LHC

BackUp: Ingredients of iterative $2 \to 1$ jet algorithms

1. Resolution:

e.g. $$\boxed{y_{ij} = \min(p_i^{\perp\,2p}, p_j^{\perp\,2p})\frac{\Delta_{ij}}{R^2}, \quad \Delta_{ij} = (y_i - y_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2}$$

($p = 1$: "kt-algorithm", $p = -1$: "anti-kt-algorithm")

2. Recombination/Clustering:

If $y_{ij} < y_{\text{cut}}$:
p_i, p_j are clustered to jet $\widetilde{J}_{ij}$



e.g. $$\boxed{\widetilde{J}_{ij} = p_i + p_j}$$ $\to$ respects momentum conservation, violates on-shell condition

or $$\boxed{\widetilde{\vec{J}}_{ij} = \vec{p}_i + \vec{p}_j,\ \widetilde{J}^0_{ij} = \sqrt{\widetilde{\vec{J}}^2_{ij} + m^2_{ij}}}$$ $\to$ respects on-shell condition, violates momentum conservation

("p-scheme")

BackUp: $3 \to 2$ as an augmented $2 \to 1$

- Use resolution criterium y_{ij} of the $2 \to 1$ algorithm to pick final state particle to be clustered with final state particle or beam ("emitter")
- Choose final state particle or beam as "spectator"
- 4 different types of mappings
  (emitter,spectator) = (final,final), (final,initial), (initial, initial), (initial,final)
- Respective clusterings for massless and massive particles already worked out in Catani-Seymour dipole subtraction method [Catani,Seymour '97], [Catani, Dittmaier,Seymour,Trocsanyi '02] (let's use those!)

BackUp: Modified clustering

(example: massless final state clustering with final state spectator)

$$(p_i, p_j, p_k) \to (\widetilde{p}_{ij} = p_i + p_j - \tfrac{y}{1-y} p_k,\ \widetilde{p}_k = \tfrac{1}{1-y} p_k) \equiv (\widetilde{J}_{ij}, \widetilde{J}_k)$$



$$\widetilde{J}_{ij} + \widetilde{J}_k = p_i + p_j + p_k$$

and $\widetilde{J}_{ij}^2 = 0,\ \widetilde{J}_k^2 = 0$

Phase space factorises: $dR_{n+1}(p_i, p_j, p_k) = dR_n(\widetilde{J}_{ij}, \widetilde{J}_k) dR_{\text{unres}}(\Phi)$

$$dR_{\text{unres}}(\Phi = \{\phi, z, y\}) = \frac{\widetilde{J}_{ij} \cdot \widetilde{J}_k}{2(2\pi)^3}\ d\phi\ dz\ dy\ (1-y)$$

generates all p_i, p_j, p_k with $(p_i, p_j, p_k) \xrightarrow{!} (\widetilde{J}_{ij}, \widetilde{J}_k)$

BackUp: Valid. 1: Phase space generation ($pp \to e^+e^-$)



BackUp: Valid. 1: Phase space generation ($e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$)









BackUp: Impact of $3 \to 2$ wrt $2 \to 1$ for $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$

- Calculate differential jet distributions in NLO accuracy using traditional approach (parton level MC + $2 \to 1$ jet alg.)
- Compare with distributions obtained with $3 \to 2$ jet alg.

BackUp: Impact of $3 \to 2$ wrt $2 \to 1$ for $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$









Large effects in $p_t^\perp$ and y_t distributions near phase space boundaries!

BackUp: Impact of $3 \to 2$ wrt $2 \to 1$ for $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$

Mass distribution of top jet from $2 \to 1$ clustering



Jet masses up to 280 GeV $\Rightarrow$ Important at phase space boundaries

BackUp: Impact of $3 \to 2$ wrt $2 \to 1$ for $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$

$p_t^\perp$ and y_t distributions with cuts to avoid phase space boundaries





Effect reduced to $\approx 1\%$

BackUp: Impact of $3 \to 2$ wrt $2 \to 1$ for $pp \to e^+e^-$









BackUp: Impact of NLO: k-factors for $pp \to e^+e^-$









BackUp: Impact of NLO: k-factors for $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$









BackUp: Valid. 2: Unweighted events ($e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$)



BackUp: MEM at NLO: Log-likelihood as a function of m_t



BackUp: NLO ($m_t = 174$ GeV) vs. Born ($m_t = 178$ GeV)



Despite small NLO corrections: large effects in MEM!

BackUp: Consistency of MEM at NLO



BackUp: Consistency of MEM at NLO