Operation experience from CW/LPO@CMTB

Ponderomotive effects measurement, IOT study, LPO operation - mechanical modes excitation, regulation performance update

W. Cichalewski, R. Rybaniec, L. Butkowski, K. Przygoda, J. Branlard, H. Schlarb, S. Pffeifer, C. Schmidt, J. Sekutowicz

DESY/WUT/DMCS-LUT

25.11.2015

• Introduction and background

- Cavities operation parameters
- Ponderomotive tests conditions
- Cavities tests results
- Cavity transient behaviour
- IOT studies
- Linearization scheme proposal
- Current performance results

Introduction and background

- Cavities operation parameters
- Ponderomotive tests conditions
- Cavities tests results
- Cavity transient behaviour
- IOT studies
- Linearization scheme proposal
- Current performance results

- Introduction and background
- Cavities operation parameters
- Ponderomotive tests conditions
- Cavities tests results
- Cavity transient behaviour
- IOT studies
- Linearization scheme proposal
- Current performance results

- Introduction and background
- Cavities operation parameters
- Ponderomotive tests conditions
- Cavities tests results
- Cavity transient behaviour
- IOT studies
- Linearization scheme proposal
- Current performance results

- Introduction and background
- Cavities operation parameters
- Ponderomotive tests conditions
- Cavities tests results
- Cavity transient behaviour
- IOT studies
- Linearization scheme proposal
- Current performance results

- Introduction and background
- Cavities operation parameters
- Ponderomotive tests conditions
- Cavities tests results
- Cavity transient behaviour
- IOT studies
- Linearization scheme proposal
- Current performance results

- Introduction and background
- Cavities operation parameters
- Ponderomotive tests conditions
- Cavities tests results
- Cavity transient behaviour
- IOT studies
- Linearization scheme proposal
- Current performance results

- Introduction and background
- Cavities operation parameters
- Ponderomotive tests conditions
- Cavities tests results
- Cavity transient behaviour
- IOT studies
- Linearization scheme proposal
- Current performance results

Introduction and background



- Vector-Sum based control (LLRF system MTCA.4 based),
- Individual cavity frequency control: step motors wide range regulation, piezos fine freq. tuning,
- CPI IOT (max. output of 100kW) used as a main power source (prototypes)

- 8 TESLA cavities cryomodule under test (XFEL XM4),
- $\bullet\,$ Cavities operated in CW mode (1Hz repetition rate, Duty Factor = 1) up to 12 MV/m
- Long pulse operation (1Hz repetition rate, various DF) up to quench limit (up to 22MV/m by now)
- Cavities loading quality factor on the level of 1.5e7 (half BW 40 Hz),

Cavities operation parameters



W.Cichalewski et. all (DESY)

Ponderomotive effect

• In presence of high gradient of accelerator field the mechanical modes impact on the cavity voltage increases.





Figure: Cavity mechanical modes

Figure: Ponderomotive effect - cavity "jump"

- Test with piezo DC voltage scan has been performed (in order to characterize LFD influence on the cavity behaviour),
- Test has been performed for constant cavity input power (each scan) in open loop operation mode,
- Cavities have been characterized for 4 different operating power levels,
- Piezo DC voltage has been changed from -20V (from resonance position) to +20V in steps of 1V,
- $\bullet\,$ Piezo tuning coefficient is on the level of $\,$ 7-8Hz/V $\,$

Cavities tests results (1/4)



Figure: Cavity 1

- Two (positive, negative direction) scans to evaluate hysteresis,
- Phenomenon more significant for higher cavity gradients,
- Can be challenging in high gradient conditions for CW and for Long Pulse operation,
- Can be critical in vector-sum control. Single cavity jump can cause extensive action of RF feedback that leads to next resonator jump - domino effect.

Cavities tests results (2/4)



Figure: Cavity 2(QI lowered - HOM heating issue)



Figure: Cavity 3

Cavities tests results (3/4)



Figure: Cavity 4



Figure: Cavity 5

Cavities tests results (4/4)



Figure: Cavity 6



Figure: Cavity 7

Cavity transient behaviour during gradient "jump"

- Operation next to resonance careful configuration of RF field and resonance regulators,
- Resonance offset introduced for piezo controllers to maintain safe regulation margin - under investigation,
- Transient behaviour suitable for LLRF controller based exception handling,
- Different approaches under consideration (controller limiter, piezo based gradient recovery).



Figure: Cavity 1 transient behavior

Ponderomotive and Long Pulse Operation case

- LPO introduces transient behaviour to cavity (IOT output power drop, phase slope),
- Mechanical modes can be excited

 not suitable for VS based RF
 regulation and hardly suppressed by
 piezo integrator,
- Additional feed forward piezo tables introduced for compensation (extension of piezo controller),
- Successful suppression of fundamental mechanical mode oscillations achieved,
- Idea of in resonance cavity filling under investigation,



IOT studies

- IOT prototype behaviour characterized (input/output amplitude and phase),
- Examined up to the output power of 100kW (out of 120kW specs.)



- Amplitude gain nonlinearity as well as phase deviation has to be minimized.
- Digital predistortion scheme is being implemented in LLRF controller

W.Cichalewski et. all (DESY)

Linearization scheme proposal

- **Different microwave linearization approaches** : Feedforward (with smaller error amplifier), LINC (Linear Amplification with nonlinear amplifiers), Feedback, Predistorter,
- Digital predistortion based on high power amplifier chain characterization have been chosen.



Update on current performance results

- CW operation VS regulation performance (measured in-loop),
- Both RF fedback and piezo integral controller in operation,



W.Cichalewski et. all (DESY)