Recent Developments in Superconformal Field Theories

Alberto Zaffaroni

(Milano-Bicocca)

Bad Honnef, Feb 2016



Outline

- Part I: Zoology
- Part II: Euclidean SCFT in Finite Volume
- Part III: Low Dimensions

3

A B A A B A

Image: A matrix

PARTI: ZOOLOGY



ncipit liber de naturis bestiarum. De leonibus et pardis et tigribus. lupis et vulpibus, canibus et simiis.

Here begins the book of the nature of beasts. Of lions and panthers and tigers, wolves and foxes, dogs and apes. ~ Aberdeen Bestiary



< 一型

Bestiary from String Theory

A deeply interconnected web of superconformal theories arising from branes, most often strongly coupled, related by various types of dualities.



SCFT and Nahm's classification

Conformal field theories

• d > 2: Poincarè $(P_{\mu}, M_{\mu\nu})$ + Scaling (D)+ Special Conformal (K_{μ})

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

SCFT and Nahm's classification

Conformal field theories

• d > 2: Poincarè $(P_{\mu}, M_{\mu\nu})$ + Scaling (D)+ Special Conformal (K_{μ})

Superconformal field theories

• Supersymmetry (Q_{α}) + Conformal Susy (S_{β}) + R symmetry (R_{ab})

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

SCFT and Nahm's classification

Conformal field theories

• d > 2: Poincarè $(P_{\mu}, M_{\mu\nu})$ + Scaling (D)+ Special Conformal (K_{μ})

Superconformal field theories

• Supersymmetry (Q_{α}) + Conformal Susy (S_{β}) + R symmetry (R_{ab})

Nahm's classification of unitary SCFT back in 1977

- maximally supersymmetric (32 real charges): d = 2, 3, 4, 6
- (16 real charges): *d* = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
- (8 real charges): *d* = 2, 3, 4

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

Many examples in 4d

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Many examples in 4d

Zero beta function: $\beta = 0$ (eighties)

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Many examples in 4d

Zero beta function: $\beta = 0$ (eighties)

• 32 supercharges: N = 4 SYM

3

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Many examples in 4d

Zero beta function: $\beta = 0$ (eighties)

- 32 supercharges: N = 4 SYM
- 16 supercharges: N = 2 SYM with $N_f = 2N$ flavors

3

12 N 4 12 N

< 67 ▶

Many examples in 4d

Zero beta function: $\beta = 0$ (eighties)

- 32 supercharges: N = 4 SYM
- 16 supercharges: N = 2 SYM with $N_f = 2N$ flavors

IR fixed points (ninenties):

3

A B F A B F

< 4 ► >

Many examples in 4d

Zero beta function: $\beta = 0$ (eighties)

- 32 supercharges: N = 4 SYM
- 16 supercharges: N = 2 SYM with $N_f = 2N$ flavors

IR fixed points (ninenties):

• Argyres-Douglas fixed points (N = 2 with massless non-local dyons)

- 3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Many examples in 4d

Zero beta function: $\beta = 0$ (eighties)

- 32 supercharges: N = 4 SYM
- 16 supercharges: N = 2 SYM with $N_f = 2N$ flavors

IR fixed points (ninenties):

- Argyres-Douglas fixed points (N = 2 with massless non-local dyons)
- a superconformal zoo of N = 1 SCFT (Leigh-Strassler argument)

- 31

(日) (周) (三) (三)

And yet a new beast: people claims the existence of N = 3 SCFT (24 supercharges) [Aharony, Evtikhiev; · · ·]

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

And yet a new beast: people claims the existence of N = 3 SCFT (24 supercharges) [Aharony,Evtikhiev; · · ·]

- Perturbatively N = 3 is the same as N = 4
- Isolated fixed point at strong coupling



It is easy to find SCFT in 3d

The gauge coupling is dimensionful and gauge theories become strongly coupled in the IR.

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \sim [M]^{-1}$$

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

It is easy to find SCFT in 3d

The gauge coupling is dimensionful and gauge theories become strongly coupled in the IR.

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \sim [M]^{-1}$$

• N = 8 SYM flows to an IR fixed point

It is easy to find SCFT in 3d

The gauge coupling is dimensionful and gauge theories become strongly coupled in the IR.

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \sim [M]^{-1}$$

- N = 8 SYM flows to an IR fixed point
- Remarkably, the same fixed point can be written as a N = 8 Chern-Simons theory (BLG, ABJM 2008)

It is easy to find SCFT in 3d

The gauge coupling is dimensionful and gauge theories become strongly coupled in the IR.

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \sim [M]^{-1}$$

- N = 8 SYM flows to an IR fixed point
- Remarkably, the same fixed point can be written as a N = 8 Chern-Simons theory (BLG, ABJM 2008)
- large number of proposals for N = 2 SCFT

Five and Six dimensional gauge theories

Is this possible? 5d and 6d gauge theories are not renormalizable and IR free.

The gauge coupling is again dimensionful and grows in the UV. UV fixed point?

$$\mathcal{L}_{CFT}|_{g=\infty} + rac{1}{g^2}F_{\mu
u}^2$$

relevant operator

12 N 4 12 N

Five and Six dimensional gauge theories

Is this possible? 5d and 6d gauge theories are not renormalizable and IR free.

The gauge coupling is again dimensionful and grows in the UV. UV fixed point?

 $\mathcal{L}_{CFT}|_{g=\infty} + \frac{1}{g^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2$ relevant operator

- Examples from brane constructions.
- 6d theories with tensors and without a known Lagrangian

Five and Six dimensional gauge theories

Is this possible? 5d and 6d gauge theories are not renormalizable and IR free.

The gauge coupling is again dimensionful and grows in the UV. UV fixed point?

 $\mathcal{L}_{CFT}|_{g=\infty} + \frac{1}{g^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2$ relevant operator

- Examples from brane constructions.
- 6d theories with tensors and without a known Lagrangian

Back in 96, recent revival...

• There is no maximal superconformal algebra in D=5 in Nahm's classification. Maximal SYM has no 5d fixed points.

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

- There is no maximal superconformal algebra in D=5 in Nahm's classification. Maximal SYM has no 5d fixed points.
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry has vector multiplets (A_{μ}, ϕ, λ) and hypermultiplets (q_a, ψ_i) . Fixed points?

(日) (周) (三) (三)

- There is no maximal superconformal algebra in D=5 in Nahm's classification. Maximal SYM has no 5d fixed points.
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry has vector multiplets (A_{μ}, ϕ, λ) and hypermultiplets (q_a, ψ_i) . Fixed points?

Original examples (1996) [seiberg, morrison]

SU(2) gauge theory with N_f hypermultiplets

with enhancement of global symmetry from $SO(2N_f) \times U(1)$ to E_{N_f+1} .

- There is no maximal superconformal algebra in D=5 in Nahm's classification. Maximal SYM has no 5d fixed points.
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry has vector multiplets (A_{μ}, ϕ, λ) and hypermultiplets (q_a, ψ_i) . Fixed points?

The effective gauge coupling

$$rac{1}{g_{ ext{eff}}(\phi)^2} = rac{1}{g_0^2} + c |\phi|$$

For $g_0 \to \infty$, $g_{eff}(\phi = 0) = 0$ and you find a strongly coupled fixed point at the origin.

- There is no maximal superconformal algebra in D=5 in Nahm's classification. Maximal SYM has no 5d fixed points.
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry has vector multiplets (A_{μ}, ϕ, λ) and hypermultiplets (q_a, ψ_i) . Fixed points?

Recent revival, many examples from branes, pq-web, etc...

[Kim,Kim,Lee; Bergman,Rodrigez-Gomes,Zafrir; Bao, Mitev,Pomoni,Yagi; · · ·]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

There is a maximal superconformal algebra in D=6 in Nahm's classification. 16+16 supercharges. But two type of susy algebras (1,1) and (2,0)

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

There is a maximal superconformal algebra in D=6 in Nahm's classification. 16+16 supercharges. But two type of susy algebras (1,1) and (2,0)

• (1,1) supersymmetry has vector multiplets: $A_{\mu}, \lambda^+, \lambda^-$ and 4 scalars. D5 branes in IIB.

(日) (周) (三) (三)

There is a maximal superconformal algebra in D=6 in Nahm's classification. 16+16 supercharges. But two type of susy algebras (1,1) and (2,0)

- (1,1) supersymmetry has vector multiplets: $A_{\mu}, \lambda^+, \lambda^-$ and 4 scalars. D5 branes in IIB.
- (2,0) supersymmetry has tensor multiplets: $B_{\mu\nu}$, λ^+ , λ^+ and 5 scalars. M5 branes in M theory. Theory of (non-abelian) self-dual tensor multiplets:

$$H = dB$$
 $H = *H$

Only (2,0) is superconformal.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

The mysterious (2,0) 6d theory is realized in string theory (1995)

- Worldvolume theory of M5 branes.
- Tensionless string theory.
- Theory of (non-abelian) self-dual tensor multiplets with no Lagrangian

H = dB H = *H



Most of brane constructions originate from the mysterious (2,0) 6d theory

• Gauge fields upon compactification

 $6d \rightarrow 5d: A_{\mu} = B_{\mu 6}$

Lagrangian and non Lagrangian theories in d ≤ 6
 [Gaiotto,AGT, · · · (2009)]



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Most of brane constructions originate from the mysterious (2,0) 6d theory

• Gauge fields upon compactification

 $6d \rightarrow 5d: A_{\mu} = B_{\mu 6}$

Lagrangian and non Lagrangian theories in d ≤ 6
 [Gaiotto,AGT, · · · (2009)]





[recent: vafa, morrison, heckman, tomasiello, \cdots]



E SQA

There is no maximal superconformal algebra in D=5 in Nahm's classification. Maximal SYM has no 5d fixed points. What's about a different UV completion? [Douglas; Lambert, Papageorgakis, Schmidt-Sommerfeld]

A B F A B F

Image: Image:

There is no maximal superconformal algebra in D=5 in Nahm's classification. Maximal SYM has no 5d fixed points. What's about a different UV completion? [Douglas; Lambert, Papageorgakis, Schmidt-Sommerfeld]

6d N = (2,0) SCFT on $S^1 \longrightarrow$ 5d max SYM $m_{KK} = 1/R = 1/g^2$ instantons



(日) (周) (三) (三)
How can we study these strongly coupled theories?

• BPS spectrum. It is (partially) protected and sometimes it can be computed even without the Lagrangian

3

A B < A B </p>

Image: Image:

How can we study these strongly coupled theories?

- BPS spectrum. It is (partially) protected and sometimes it can be computed even without the Lagrangian
- Computing exact quantities: partition functions through localization.

A B F A B F

Image: A matrix

How can we study these strongly coupled theories?

- BPS spectrum. It is (partially) protected and sometimes it can be computed even without the Lagrangian
- Computing exact quantities: partition functions through localization.
- Holographically: find a gravity dual at large N.

A B F A B F

Image: A matrix

How can we study these strongly coupled theories?

- BPS spectrum. It is (partially) protected and sometimes it can be computed even without the Lagrangian
- Computing exact quantities: partition functions through localization.
- Holographically: find a gravity dual at large N.
- Constructively: bootstrap methods

PART II : Euclidean Theories in Finite Volume





<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

3

To regularize IR physics we can put the theory on a Euclidean compact manifold. Can we preserve supersymmetry?

3

To regularize IR physics we can put the theory on a Euclidean compact manifold. Can we preserve supersymmetry?

Topological Field Theories [Witten, 1988]

- N = 2 theories in 4d with $SU(2)_R$ R-symmetry can be consistently defined on any M_4 euclidean manifold
- The resulting theory is *topological*: independent of metric.

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \{Q, \cdots\}$$

To regularize IR physics we can put the theory on a Euclidean compact manifold. Can we preserve supersymmetry?

SCFT on Spheres [Pestun 2007]

• Just use conformal map from \mathbb{R}^n to S^n

A B F A B F

Image: A matrix

To regularize IR physics we can put the theory on a Euclidean compact manifold. Can we preserve supersymmetry?

- String theory strongly relies on the existence of extra-dimensions. The requirement that a vacuum is supersymmetric selects particular type of internal geometries (Calabi-Yau, Generalized Geometries, ...) which have been objects of intense investigation in the last thirty years.
- The recent QFT interest is in an even simpler question: when can we define a supersymmetric quantum field theory on a nontrivial manifold *M* ?

Supersymmetric theories

Supersymmetric theories are usually formulated on Minkowski space-time $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$. At the classical level, we have an action for bosonic and fermionic fields

 $S_{\rm SUSY}(\phi(x),\psi(x),A_{\mu}(x),....)$

invariant under transformations that send bosons into fermions and viceversa

 $\delta\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon\psi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \delta\psi = \partial_{\mu}\phi\gamma^{\mu}\epsilon + \cdots$

where ϵ is a constant spinor.

The symmetry group of the theory contains translations, Lorentz transformations SO(3,1) and the fermionic symmetries with the corresponding fermionic Noether charges Q. The theory can be also formulated on Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^4 .

Can we define the theory on a general manifold M preserving supersymmetry?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

Supersymmetric theories on curved spaces

The general strategy is to promote the metric to a dynamical field $\ensuremath{\left[\text{Festuccia,Seiberg}\right]}$.

This is done by coupling the rigid theory to the multiplet of supergravity $(g_{\mu\nu},\psi_{\mu},...)$

 $S_{\text{SUGRA}}(\phi(x),\psi(x),g_{\mu\nu}(x),\psi_{\mu}(x),...)$

which is invariant under local transformations

 $\delta\phi(x) = \epsilon(x)\psi(x), \quad \delta e^a_\mu(x) = \overline{\epsilon}(x)\gamma^a\psi_\mu(x) + \cdots$

We are gauging the original symmetries of the theory. At linear level this is just the Noether coupling

$$-\frac{1}{2}g_{mn}T^{mn}+\bar{\psi}_m\mathcal{J}^m$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

Supersymmetric theories on curved spaces

The rigid theory is obtained by freezing the fields of the metric multiplet to background values

$$g_{\mu
u} = g^M_{\mu
u} \,, \quad \psi_\mu = 0$$

The resulting theory will be supersymmetric if the variation of supersymmetry vanish

$$\begin{aligned} \delta e^a_\mu(x) &= \bar{\epsilon}(x)\gamma^a\psi_\mu(x) + \cdots \equiv 0\\ \delta \psi_\mu(x) &= \nabla_\mu \epsilon + \cdots \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

The graviton variation gives a differential equation for $\epsilon(x)$ which need to be solved in order to have supersymmetry and gives constraints on M.

The strategy here is to couple the CFT to conformal supergravity. Consider for example, N = 1 SCFTs.

- 3

< 🗇 🕨

The strategy here is to couple the CFT to conformal supergravity. Consider for example, N = 1 SCFTs.

The group of symmetries of a N = 1 SCFT is enlarged to the algebra SU(2, 2|1)

- translations + Lorentz $SO(3,1) \rightarrow$ conformal group SO(4,2)
- supersymmetry Q is doubled: (Q, S)
- extra bosonic global symmetries rotating (Q, S) (R-symmetries)

$$U(1)$$
 : $Q
ightarrow e^{ilpha}Q$

The strategy here is to couple the CFT to conformal supergravity. Consider for example, N = 1 SCFTs.

The N = 1 conformal supergravity multiplet $(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_{\mu}, A_{\mu})$ contains gauge fields for the superconformal symmetries

$$-\frac{1}{2}g_{mn}T^{mn}+A_mJ^m+\bar{\psi}_m\mathcal{J}^m$$

We freeze $(g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu})$ to background values and set $\psi_{\mu} = 0$. In order to preserve some supersymmetry, the gravitino variation must vanish.

$$(\nabla_{a} - iA_{a})\epsilon_{+} + \gamma_{a}\epsilon_{-} = 0$$

 ϵ_\pm parameters for the supersymmetries and the superconformal transformations.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

The variation of the gravitino can be written as a (twisted) conformal Killing equation

$$(\nabla_{a} - iA_{a})\epsilon_{+} + \gamma_{a}\epsilon_{-} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \nabla^{A}_{a}\epsilon_{+} = \frac{1}{d}\gamma_{a}\overline{\chi}^{A}\epsilon_{+}$$

3

The variation of the gravitino can be written as a (twisted) conformal Killing equation

$$(\nabla_a - iA_a)\epsilon_+ + \gamma_a\epsilon_- = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \nabla^A_a\epsilon_+ = \frac{1}{d}\gamma_a X^A\epsilon_+$$

 for theories with more supercharges and in different dimensions, A_a is promoted to a non-abelian gauge field, there can be other backgrounds tensor fields and extra conditions.

A possible solution is to have covariantly constant spinors

$$\nabla^{A}_{a}\epsilon_{+} = \frac{1}{d}\gamma_{a}\overline{\chi}^{A}\epsilon_{+} \qquad \text{solved by} \qquad \nabla^{A}_{a}\epsilon_{+} = 0$$

Realized for Topological Field Theories. We can turn on a $SU(2)_R$ background gauge field for the N = 2 R-Symmetry in order to cancel the SU(2) spin connection

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{a}}\epsilon_{+} = \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}\epsilon_{+} + \frac{1}{4}\omega^{\alpha\beta}_{\mathfrak{a}}\epsilon_{+} + \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{a}}\epsilon_{+} = \partial_{\mathfrak{a}}\epsilon_{+}$$

 ϵ_+ transform in the (2,0) representation of the local Euclidean group $SO(4) = SU(2) \times SU(2)$

which can be solved by $\epsilon_+ = \text{constant on any manifold } M_4$.

A possible solution is to have Killing spinors

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{A}}_{a}\epsilon_{+} = \frac{1}{d}\gamma_{a}\overline{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{A}}\epsilon_{+} \qquad \text{solved by} \qquad \nabla^{\mathcal{A}}_{a}\epsilon_{+} = \gamma_{a}\epsilon_{+}$$

Realized for Theories on Spheres, with zero background field A = 0.

3

A B K A B K

Image: A matrix

Another possible solution is to have Killing spinors on S^d and holonomies on S^1

$$abla^{A}_{a}\epsilon_{+} = rac{1}{d}\gamma_{a}X^{A}\epsilon_{+} \qquad ext{preserves susy on} \qquad S^{d} imes S^{1}$$

The path integral on $S^d \times S^1$ can be written as a trace over a Hilbert space

$$Z_{S^d \times S^1} = \operatorname{Tr}(-1)^F e^{-\beta \{Q,S\} + \sum \Delta_a J_a}$$

This is the superconformal index

[Romelsberger; Kinney, Maldacena, Minwalla, Rahu]

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

computed for large class of 4d SCFT [Gadde,Rastelli,Razamat,Yan]

In between a pletora of possibilities. Backgrounds with (twisted) conformal Killing spinors have been classified in various dimensions and with various amount of supersymmetry. For example,

- In N = 1 in 4d, the existence of a CKS is (locally) equivalent to the existence of a complex structure.
- In N = 2 in 3d, the existence of a CKS is (locally) equivalent to the existence of a holomorphic transverse foliation.

[klare, to masiello, A.Z.; dumitrues cu, festuccia, seiberg]

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Exact quantities in supersymmetric theories with a charge $Q^2 = 0$ can be obtained by a saddle point approximation

$$Z = \int e^{-S} = \int e^{-S+t\{Q,V\}} \underset{t\gg1}{=} e^{-\bar{S}|_{class}} \times \frac{\det_{fermions}}{\det_{bosons}}$$
$$\partial_t Z = \int \{Q,V\} e^{-S+t\{Q,V\}} = 0$$

Very old idea that has become very concrete recently, with the computation of partition functions on spheres and other manifolds supporting supersymmetry.

Localization ideas apply to path integral of Euclidean supersymmetric theories

- Compact space provides IR cut-off, making path integral well defined
- Localization reduces it to a finite dimensional integral, a matrix model

A B b

Localization ideas apply to path integral of Euclidean supersymmetric theories

- · Compact space provides IR cut-off, making path integral well defined
- Localization reduces it to a finite dimensional integral, a matrix model

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} du_i \prod_{j=1}^{N_2} dv_j \frac{\prod_{i < j} \sinh^2 \frac{u_i - u_j}{2} \sinh^2 \frac{v_i - v_j}{2}}{\prod_{i < j} \cosh^2 \frac{u_i - v_j}{2}} e^{\frac{ik}{4\pi} \left(\sum u_i^2 - \sum v_j^2 \right)}$$

ABJM, 3d Chern-Simon theories, [Kapustin,Willet,Yakoov;Drukker,Marino,Putrov]

Carried out recently in many cases

- many papers on topological theories
- S², T²
- S^3 , S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k , $S^2 imes S^1$, Seifert manifolds
- S^4 , S^4/\mathbb{Z}_k , $S^3 \times S^1$, ellipsoids
- S^5 , $S^4 \times S^1$, Sasaki-Einstein manifolds

with addition of boundaries, codimension-2 operators, ...

Pestun 07; Kapustin,Willet,Yakoov; Kim; Jafferis; Hama,Hosomichi,Lee, too many to count them all · · ·

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

Free energy on S^d

Successfully testing holographic prediction at large N: large N matrix model techniques



Free energy on S^3

A particularly interesting case is the sphere partition function on S^3

- the free energy $F = -\log Z_{S^3}$ counts d.o.f, like a central charge
- *F* decreases along the RG [Jafferis,Klebanov,Pufu,Safdi;Casini,Huerta]
- the exact superconformal symmetry maximizes F [jafferis]
- variety of behaviours at large N

E SQA

3d Phase diagram



5d amusement

• $Z_{S^4 \times S^1}$ = Superconformal index can see enhancement of global symmetry

SU(2) with N_f flavors : $SO(2N_f) \rightarrow E_{N_f+1}$

[Kim,Kim,Lee; Bergman,Rodrigez-Gomes,Zafrir; Bao, Mitev,Pomoni,Yagi; · · ·]

• maximally supersymmetric 5d SYM: $log Z \sim N^3$

$$Z_{S^5}(SYM_{5d}) = Z_{S^5 \times S^1}((2,0)_{6d})$$

[Kim³, Kallen,Zabzine,Mihanan, Nedelin; Hosomichi,Seong,Terashima;···]

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

PARTI: LOW DIMENSIONS





イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

3

Two dimensions

Surprises in 2d? CFT and SCFT well understood. New techniques nevertheless for computing

- Partition function on S². Kähler potential of gauged linear sigma models. [Benini,Cremonesi; Doroud,Gomis,LeFloch]
- Topologically twisted partition function on S². Amplitudes of gauged linear sigma models. [Benini,AZ; Cremonesi, Closset,Park]
- Partition function on T^2 . Elliptic genus. [Benini, Eager, Hori, Tachikawa]

One dimension

Almost totally unexplored. Conformal algebra in 1d involves SL(2, R)

H, D, K

with various superconformal extensions.

- Basic tools (state/op correspondence) lacking in 1d
- AdS_2/CFT_1 is not understood at all

One dimension

However supersymmetric black holes have horizon $AdS_2 \times S^2$

- CFT₁ living at the horizon of black holes
- density of states = BH entropy

3

A B < A B </p>

4 A N

One dimension

One can use localization to evaluate the Witten index of QM with two supercharges $_{\mbox{[Hori,Kim,Yi]}}$

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}\left((-1)^{F}e^{iJ_{F}A^{F}}e^{-\beta H}\right)$$

$$Q^{2} = H - \sigma^{F}J_{F}$$
holomorphic in $A^{F} + i\sigma^{F}$

where J_F is the generator of the global symmetry.

• Unfortunately a SCQM has no mass gap and the index is ill-defined.

- 32

Application: AdS₄ black holes

A nice application where many of the previous ingredients come together is given by the counting of microstates of asymptotically AdS_4 BPS black holes [Benini,Hristov, AZ]

Image: A matrix

AdS₄ black holes

There is a large class of 1/4 BPS asymptotically AdS₄ static black holes

[Cacciatori, Klemm; Gnecchi, Dall'agata; Hristov, Vandoren];

Holography tells us that they corresponds to states in some dual 3d SCFT theory.

3
There is a large class of $1/4\ \text{BPS}$ asymptotically AdS_4 static black holes

[Cacciatori, Klemm; Gnecchi, Dall'agata; Hristov, Vandoren];

They are characterized by

- characterized by a collection of magnetic charges $\int_{S^2} F$
- preserving supersymmetry via a twist

$$(\nabla_{\mu} - iA_{\mu})\epsilon = \partial_{\mu}\epsilon \implies \epsilon = \text{cost}$$

They correspond to 3d topologically twisted SCFT in the presence of magnetic charges for the global symmetries.

- 3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Asymptotically AdS backgrounds describe CFTs on curved space-times

$$ds_{d+1}^2 = \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + (r^2 ds_{M_d}^2 + O(r)) \qquad A = A_{M_d} + O(1/r)$$



3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The BH entropy is a ugly function of the magnetic charges

• For an M theory black hole in $AdS_4 \times S^7$ depending on one magnetic charge

$$S_{BH} = \sqrt{-1 + 6n_1 - 6n_1^2 + (-1 + 2n_1)^{3/2}\sqrt{-1 + 6n_1}}$$

3

< □ > < ---->

Can we extract the BH entropy for field theory?

- Dual CFT is a 3d gauge theory on $S^2 \times S^1$, topologically twisted on S^2 .
- A QM is obtained from compactification $S^2 imes S^1 o S^1$

The partition function depends on a set of magnetic charges q and chemical potentials A

$$Z^{ ext{twisted}}_{S^2 imes S^1}(q,A) = ext{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}\left((-1)^F e^{iJ_F A^F} e^{-eta H}
ight)$$

Can we extract the BH entropy for field theory?

- Dual CFT is a 3d gauge theory on $S^2 \times S^1$, topologically twisted on S^2 .
- A QM is obtained from compactification $S^2 imes S^1 o S^1$

When extremized with respect to the A reproduces the Black Hole entropy

 $Z^{\rm twisted}_{S^2\times S^1}(q,\bar{A})\equiv S_{BH}(q)$

For a class of BH asymptotic to $AdS_4 \times S^7$ (dual theory = ABJM)

[Benini,Hristov, AZ]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

Can we extract the BH entropy for field theory?

- Dual CFT is a 3d gauge theory on $S^2 \times S^1$, topologically twisted on S^2 .
- A QM is obtained from compactification $S^2 imes S^1 o S^1$

Where extremization has a nice interpretation in terms of selection of the exact R-symmetry of the QM.

[Benini, Hristov, AZ]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

More details

The ABJM twisted index is

$$Z = \frac{1}{(N!)^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^N} \int \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{dx_i}{2\pi i x_i} \frac{d\tilde{x}_i}{2\pi i \tilde{x}_i} x_i^{k\mathfrak{m}_i} \tilde{x}_i^{-k\mathfrak{m}_i} \times \prod_{i\neq j}^N \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{x}_i}{\tilde{x}_j}\right) \times \\ \times \prod_{i,j=1}^N \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{x_i}{\tilde{x}_j} y_1}}{1 - \frac{x_i}{\tilde{x}_j} y_1}\right)^{\mathfrak{m}_i - \mathfrak{m}_j - \mathfrak{n}_1 + 1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{x_i}{\tilde{x}_j} y_2}}{1 - \frac{x_i}{\tilde{x}_j} y_2}\right)^{\mathfrak{m}_i - \mathfrak{m}_j - \mathfrak{n}_2 + 1} \\ \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{x_i}{x_i} y_3}}{1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j} y_3}\right)^{\mathfrak{m}_j - \mathfrak{m}_i - \mathfrak{n}_3 + 1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{x_i}{x_i} y_4}}{1 - \frac{x_i}{x_i} y_4}\right)^{\mathfrak{m}_j - \mathfrak{m}_i - \mathfrak{n}_4 + 1}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}$ are the gauge magnetic fluxes and y_i are fugacities for the three independent U(1) global symmetries $(\prod_i y_i = 1)$

- 3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

More details

Black hole supported by magnetic charges: is the ABJM theory with gauge group $U(N) \times U(N)$



with quartic superpotential

 $W = A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 - A_1 B_2 A_2 B_1$

defined on twisted $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with magnetic fluxes \mathfrak{n}_i for the R/global symmetries

 $SU(2)_A \times SU(2)_B \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_R \subset SO(8)$

- 3

The large N limit

Step 1: solve the large N Limit of algebraic equations giving the positions of poles

$$1 = x_i^k \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\left(1 - y_3 \frac{\tilde{x}_j}{x_i}\right) \left(1 - y_4 \frac{\tilde{x}_j}{x_i}\right)}{\left(1 - y_1^{-1} \frac{\tilde{x}_j}{x_i}\right) \left(1 - y_2^{-1} \frac{\tilde{x}_j}{x_i}\right)} = \tilde{x}_j^k \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\left(1 - y_3 \frac{\tilde{x}_j}{x_i}\right) \left(1 - y_4 \frac{\tilde{x}_j}{x_i}\right)}{\left(1 - y_1^{-1} \frac{\tilde{x}_j}{x_i}\right) \left(1 - y_2^{-1} \frac{\tilde{x}_j}{x_i}\right)}$$

with an ansatz

$$\log x_i = i\sqrt{N}t_i + v_i$$
, $\log \tilde{x}_i = i\sqrt{N}t_i + \tilde{v}_i$





The large N limit

Step 1: we dubbed this set of equations

$$e^{iB_i}=e^{i ilde{B}_i}=1$$

Bethe Ansatz Equations in analogy with similar expressions in integrability business [Nekrasov-Shatashvili]. They can be derived by a BA potential \mathcal{F}_{BA}

The large N limit

Step 2: plug into the partition function. The final result is surprisingly simple

$$\log Z = -\frac{1}{3} N^{3/2} \sqrt{2k\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4} \sum_{a} \frac{\mathfrak{n}_a}{\Delta_a} \qquad \qquad y_i = e^{i\Delta_i}$$

3

The main result

The index is:

$$\log Z = -\frac{1}{3} N^{3/2} \sqrt{2k\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4} \sum_a \frac{\mathfrak{n}_a}{\Delta_a} \qquad \qquad y_i = e^{i\Delta_i}$$

This function can be extremized with respect to the Δ_i and

 $\log Z|_{crit}(\mathfrak{n}_i) = \operatorname{BH}\operatorname{Entropy}(\mathfrak{n}_i)$

$$\Delta_i|_{crit} \sim X^i(r_h)$$

3

The main result

Compare the field theory formula

$$\log Z = -\frac{1}{3} N^{3/2} \sqrt{2k\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4} \sum_a \frac{\mathfrak{n}_a}{\Delta_a}$$

with the gravity one

$$S = -\frac{\pi}{G_4}\sqrt{X_1(r_h)X_2(r_h)X_3(r_h)X_4(r_h)}\sum_{a}\frac{\mathfrak{n}_a}{X_a(r_h)}$$

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The world of SCFT in $1 \le d \le 6$ is a lot of fun.

Many unexpected progresses recently, many expected in the future.

A long series of omissis, with recent progresses

3

Image: A matrix

The world of SCFT in $1 \le d \le 6$ is a lot of fun.

Many unexpected progresses recently, many expected in the future.

A long series of omissis, with recent progresses

• Non-Lagrangian theories in 4d

3

A B A A B A

- **4 A b**

The world of SCFT in $1 \le d \le 6$ is a lot of fun.

Many unexpected progresses recently, many expected in the future.

A long series of omissis, with recent progresses

- Non-Lagrangian theories in 4d
- Indices and partition functions for BPS states

A 12 N A 12 N

The world of SCFT in $1 \le d \le 6$ is a lot of fun.

Many unexpected progresses recently, many expected in the future.

A long series of omissis, with recent progresses

- Non-Lagrangian theories in 4d
- Indices and partition functions for BPS states
- Chiral algebras in various dimensions

4 3 5 4 3 5 5

The world of SCFT in $1 \le d \le 6$ is a lot of fun.

Many unexpected progresses recently, many expected in the future.

A long series of omissis, with recent progresses

- Non-Lagrangian theories in 4d
- Indices and partition functions for BPS states
- Chiral algebras in various dimensions
- Constructive approach (bootstrap)

4 2 5 4 2 5

The world of SCFT in $1 \le d \le 6$ is a lot of fun.

Many unexpected progresses recently, many expected in the future.

A long series of omissis, with recent progresses

- Non-Lagrangian theories in 4d
- Indices and partition functions for BPS states
- Chiral algebras in various dimensions
- Constructive approach (bootstrap)
- Classification of 6d (1,0) SCFTs

4 3 5 4 3 5 5

Thank you for the attention !

3

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)