Photon-Photon Collisions: Ambiguity and Duality in QCD factorization theorem

I.V. Anikin (JINR, Dubna)

in collaboration with I. O. Cherednikov, N. G. Stefanis and O.V. Teryaev (arXiv:0806.4551[hep-ph], EPJC, in press)

PHOTON'09, Hamburg

May 9, 2009

- Factorization in "s" and "t" channels: GDAs and TDAs;
- Factorization in overlap region: GDAs vs. TDAs;
- Duality in φ_E^3 model (α -reps);
- Duality in QCD;
- SSA and Duality;
- Conclusions.

Factorization in "s" – and "t" – channels: GDAs and TDAs



When both "s" and "t" are small compared to Q^2 , both GDA- and TDA- schemes of factorization can be performed for $\gamma^* \gamma \to \pi \pi$.

Therefore, the question now is:

```
ADDITIVITY: GDA + TDA
```

or

```
DUALITY: GDA = TDA?
```

Duality in φ_E^3 model



Asymptotic Formula (Laplace int.) \iff Factorization Formula

The contribution of the leading "box" diagram can be written as

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(s,t,m^2) &= -\frac{g^4}{16\pi^2\Lambda^4}\,\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\,,\\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}} &= \int_0^1 \frac{\prod\limits_{i=1}^4 d\beta_i}{\tilde{D}^2} \exp\left[-\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 \bar{\beta}_3 \bar{\beta}_4}{\tilde{D}} - \frac{s}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 \bar{\beta}_1 \bar{\beta}_3}{\tilde{D}} \right]\\ &- \frac{t}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\beta_1 \beta_3 \bar{\beta}_2 \bar{\beta}_4}{\tilde{D}} - \frac{m^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\tilde{D}}{\bar{\beta}_1 \bar{\beta}_2 \bar{\beta}_3 \bar{\beta}_4}\right], \end{split}$$

where $\tilde{D} = \beta_1 \bar{\beta}_2 \bar{\beta}_3 \bar{\beta}_4 + ... + \bar{\beta}_1 \bar{\beta}_2 \bar{\beta}_3 \beta_4$ and $\bar{\beta}_i = 1 - \beta_i$.

(a) $s \ll Q^2$ while t is of order Q^2 ; (b) $t \ll Q^2$ while s is of order Q^2 ; (c) s, $t \ll Q^2$;

Regime (a): $s \ll Q^2$ while t is of order Q^2 (GDA)

 $s/\Lambda^2 = 1, t/\Lambda^2 = 50.0, Q^2/\Lambda^2 = 100.0.$



- the β_2 -wing $\implies 85\%$ to the whole amplitude
- the region : $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 0.1] \Longrightarrow 5 \%$

By analytic integration over the region where $\beta_1 \sim 0$ (corresponding to the "GDA factorization"):

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{GDA}}^{\text{as}}(s,t,m^2) &= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\beta_2 \, d\beta_3 \, d\beta_4}{\tilde{D}_0^2} \\ & \exp\left(-\frac{s}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\beta_2 \beta_4 \bar{\beta}_3}{\tilde{D}_0} - \frac{m^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\tilde{D}_0}{\bar{\beta}_2 \bar{\beta}_3 \bar{\beta}_4}\right) \\ & \left[\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\beta_2 \bar{\beta}_3 \bar{\beta}_4}{\tilde{D}_0} + \frac{t}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\beta_3 \bar{\beta}_2 \bar{\beta}_4}{\tilde{D}_0} + \frac{m^2}{\Lambda^2}\right]^{-1}, \end{split}$$

where $\tilde{D}_0 = \beta_2 \bar{\beta}_3 \bar{\beta}_4 + \bar{\beta}_2 \beta_3 \bar{\beta}_4 + \bar{\beta}_2 \bar{\beta}_3 \beta_4$.

One should stress that when $s/\Lambda^2 = 1.0$, $t/\Lambda^2 = 50.0$, the ratio between the asymptotic and the exact amplitude is

$${\it R} = rac{ ilde{{\cal A}}_{
m GDA}^{
m as}}{ ilde{{\cal A}}} = 1.01\,.$$

For this regime, the asymptotic formula is given by the following replacement:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{TDA}}^{\mathsf{as}}(s,t,m^2) \stackrel{s \leftrightarrow t}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{GDA}}^{\mathsf{as}}(t,s,m^2).$$



Option 1:
$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \approx \left\{ \int_{\text{Reg.-1}} + \int_{\text{Reg.-3}} + \int_{\text{Reg.-4}} \right\} \Rightarrow 80\%$$
 of the ex.ample
Option 2: $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \approx \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{GDA}}^{\text{as}}(s, t, m^2) \Big|_{t \to s} \Rightarrow R = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{GDA}}^{\text{as}}/\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$

Analyzing the ratio $R = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{GDA}}^{\text{as}}/\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ in terms of t/Λ^2 , we obtain that



Regime (a) and (b): NO Ambiguity in Factorization.

Regime (c)

Option 1: NO Factorization \Rightarrow $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \approx (\beta_1 - \text{wing}) \oplus (\beta_2 - \text{wing}) \equiv \text{``ADDITIVITY''}$ **Option 2**: Factorization \Rightarrow $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \approx \text{either } \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{CDA}^{as} \text{ or } \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{TDA}^{as} \equiv \text{``DUALITY''}$ We consider the process:

$$\gamma_L^*(q) + \gamma_T(q') \rightarrow \pi(p_1)\pi(p_2).$$

The conditions to observe duality are

- the helicity amplitude: ${\cal A}_{(0,+)}=arepsilon_{\mu}^{(0)}\,T_{\gamma\gamma^*}^{\mu
 u}arepsilon_{
 u}^{\prime(+)}$
- $\xi \approx 1 \text{ (small } s \text{)}$ for TDA Factorization
- $\zeta \approx 0$ (small t) for GDA Factorization



The $\gamma \to \pi^-$ matrix elements, entering the TDA-factorized amplitude, can be parameterized in the form

$$\langle \pi^{-}(p_{2}) | \bar{\psi}(-z/2) \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{5}[-z/2; z/2] \psi(z/2) | \gamma(q', \varepsilon') \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{=} \frac{e}{f_{\pi}} \varepsilon'_{T} \cdot \Delta_{T} P_{\alpha} A_{1}(x, \xi, t) ,$$

where $P = (p_2 + q')/2$, and $\Delta = p_2 - q'$.

To normalize the axial-vector TDA, A_1 , we express A_1 in terms of the axial-vector form factor measured in the weak decay $\pi \rightarrow I \nu_I \gamma$, i.e.,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx A_1(x, \, \xi, \, t) = 2 f_{\pi} F_{\mathcal{A}}(t) / m_{\pi} \, ,$$

where $f_{\pi} = 0.131 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\pi} = 0.140 \text{ GeV}$, and $F_A(0) \approx 0.012$.

Thus, the helicity amplitude associated with the TDA mechanism reads

$$\mathcal{A}_{(0,j)}^{\mathsf{TDA}} = \frac{\varepsilon'^{(j)} \cdot \Delta^{\mathsf{T}}}{Q} \mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{TDA}},$$
$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathsf{TDA}} = [4 \pi \alpha_{s}(Q^{2})] \frac{C_{\mathsf{F}}}{2 N_{c}} \Big(\mathrm{tw} - 2 \ \mathrm{DA} \Big) \Big(\mathrm{tw} - 2 \ \mathrm{TDA} \Big),$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{tw}-2 \ \text{DA} \end{pmatrix} = \int_{0}^{1} dy \, \phi_{\pi}(y) \left(\frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{\bar{y}}\right)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{tw}-2 \ \text{TDA} \end{pmatrix} = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, A_1(x,\xi,t) \left(\frac{e_u}{\xi-x} - \frac{e_d}{\xi+x}\right),$$

where $\xi^{-1} = 1 + 2W^2/Q^2$; the 1-loop $\alpha_s(Q^2)$ in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme with $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 0.312$ GeV for $N_f = 3$.

The GDA helicity amplitude is (Wandzura-Wilczek approx.)

$$\mathcal{A}_{(0,j)}^{\text{GDA}} = \frac{\varepsilon'^{(j)} \cdot \Delta^{T}}{Q} \mathcal{F}^{\text{GDA}},$$
$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{GDA}} = 2 \frac{W^{2} + Q^{2}}{Q^{2}} (e_{u}^{2} + e_{d}^{2}) \left(\text{tw} - 3 \text{ GDA WW} \right),$$

where

$$\left(\operatorname{tw}-3 \operatorname{GDA} \operatorname{WW}\right) = \int_{0}^{1} dy \, \partial_{\zeta} \Phi_{1}(y,\zeta,W^{2}) \left(\frac{\ln \bar{y}}{y} - \frac{\ln y}{\bar{y}}\right),$$

where $2\zeta - 1 = \beta \cos \theta_{cm}^{\pi}$ and $\cos \theta_{cm}^{\pi} = 2t/(W^2 + Q^2) - 1$ and the partial derivative is defined by $\partial_{\zeta} = \partial/\partial(2\zeta - 1)$.

Modeling of non-perturbative objects

• Twist 2 TDA
$$A_1(x,\xi) \stackrel{\xi \approx 1}{\Longrightarrow}$$

 $\varphi_{\gamma}(\frac{1+x}{2}) \sim \left\{ a_1^D = -0.5, a_2 \in [0.3, 0.6], a_4 \in [0.4, 0.8] \right\}$

• Twist 3 GDA $\Phi_3^{WW}(z,\zeta), \Phi_A^{WW}(z,\zeta) \stackrel{\zeta \approx 0}{\Longrightarrow} \Phi_1(z) \sim \left\{ \tilde{B}_{10}(W^2), \tilde{B}_{12}(W^2) \right\}$

 $\tilde{B}_{10}(W^2)$ can be discarded, while $\tilde{B}_{12}(W^2)$ can be modeled either by simplest ansatz $\beta^2 10 R_{\pi}/(9N_f)$ or by the resonance formula .

(The relevant phase shift of the $\pi\pi$ scattering is defined by $\delta_2(W_0 = 0.8) \approx 0.03\pi$)



Thus, duality in QCD we observed means that

$$\mathcal{A}_{(0,+)}^{\mathsf{TDA}} = \frac{\varepsilon'^{(+)} \cdot \Delta^{\mathsf{T}}}{Q} [4 \pi \alpha_{\mathfrak{s}}(Q^2)] \Big(\mathrm{tw} - 2 \ \mathrm{DA} \Big) \Big(\mathrm{tw} - 2 \ \mathrm{TDA} \Big),$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{(0,+)}^{\mathsf{GDA}} = \frac{\varepsilon'^{(+)} \cdot \Delta^{\mathsf{T}}}{Q} \left(\mathsf{tw}{-}\mathbf{3} \; \mathrm{GDA} \right),$$

are equivalent each other.

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{SSA}} = \frac{d\sigma_{\rightarrow} - d\sigma_{\leftarrow}}{d\sigma_{\rightarrow} + d\sigma_{\leftarrow}} = \frac{\text{Im}[\rho_k^{(+,0)}] \text{Im} \begin{bmatrix} * \\ \mathcal{A}_{(+,+)} & \mathcal{A}_{(0,+)} \end{bmatrix}}{4 \rho_k^{(+,+)} |\mathcal{A}_{(+,+)}|^2}$$

where

$$\rho_k^{(i,i')} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\stackrel{*^{(i)}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathcal{L}(k_1, k_2) \right] \left[\stackrel{*^{(i')}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathcal{L}^+(k_1, k_2) \right],$$
$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha(k_1, k_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{u}(k_2) \gamma_\alpha u(k_1)$$

.

- ★ We have shown that when it happens that both Mandelstam variables *s* and *t* are much less than the large momentum scale Q^2 , with the variables s/Q^2 and t/Q^2 varying in the interval (0.001, 0.7), then the TDA and the GDA factorization mechanisms are equivalent to each other and operate in parallel.
- ★ We demonstrated that duality may serve as a tool for selecting suitable models for the non-perturbative ingredients of QCD factorization of various exclusive amplitudes.
- ★ We also observed that twist-3 GDAs appear to be dual to the convolutions of leading-twist TDAs and DAs, multiplied by a QCD effective coupling.



🛸 B. Pire and L. Szymanowski,

"Hadron annihilation ...", Phys. Rev. D **71**, 111501 (2005)



🛸 J. P. Lansberg, B. Pire and L. Szymanowski, "Exclusive meson pair production ...", Phys. Rev. D 73,

074014 (2006)



🛸 B. Pire, M. Segond, L. Szymanowski and S. Wallon, "QCD factorizations ...", Phys. Lett. B 639, 642 (2006)

M. Diehl.

"Generalized parton distributions", Phys. Rept. **388**, 41 (2003)