

Investigation of beam tail/halo at Accelerator Test Facility of KEK (Japan)

RJ. Yang¹, P. Bambade¹, V. Kubytskyi¹, N. Fuster-Martínez², A. Faus-Golfe², T. Naito³

August 23, 2016

- 1. Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire (LAL), Universite Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France
- 2. Instituto de Física Corpuscular(IFIC), Valencia, Spain
- 3. High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Japan

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Reconstruction of beam tail/halo at ATF2
- 3. Experimental studies of transverse beam tail/halo
- 4. Recent status and prospects

Introduction

Accelerator Test Facility, KEK



 $[1] \ http://atf.kek.jp/twiki/bin/view/Public/ATFaccelerator$

Motivation



"From the diagnostics point of view, one thing is certainly clear by definition halo is low density and therefore difficult to measure "
— Simulation/visualization with a

high dynamic range $(> 10^6)$

Negative effects:

- Nuclear activation of beam transport channel;
- Increasing background level;
- Influence on detector (gamma ray & muons from collimator)

Evaluation of beam tail/halo in one bunch

Beam tail/halo should be increased particles comparing with the distribution of beam core...

• Kurtosis (1D)

$$k = \frac{\langle (x - x_0)^4 \rangle}{\langle (x - x_0)^2 \rangle^2}$$

• Halo parameter *H* (2D)

$$H_{i} = \frac{\sqrt{3 < x_{i}^{4} > < x_{i}^{'4} > +9 < x_{i}^{2}x_{i}^{'2} >^{2} - 12 < x_{i}x_{i}^{'3} > < x_{i}^{3}x_{i}^{'} >}{2 < x_{i}^{2} > < x_{i}^{'2} > -2 < x_{i}x_{i}^{'} >^{2}} - 2$$

 $H_i > 1 \Rightarrow$ significant halo

Ratio of beam core to offset

$$f(x) = g(x) \cdot l(x)$$

where $g(x) = A \frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}$ and $I(x) = a_0 x + b_0$

Definition of beam tail/halo

Definition used in our case

- Considering tail/halo particles together and assuming a Gaussian beam core;
- Beam tail/halo are defined as an increasing population of particles comparing with Gaussian beam core.

$$R(x) = f(x)/g(x) > 1$$
$$d(R(x))/dx > c_0$$



Where the tail/halo come from at ATF?

Particles process

• Scattering by residual gas (elastic, inelastic)





• Scattering between particles within bunch (intrabeam and Touschek scattering)

$$\frac{p_{1}}{\sqrt{p_{2}}} \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \int dr \frac{dP_{1}}{\gamma_{1}} \frac{dP_{2}}{\gamma_{2}} P(\gamma, P_{1}) P(\gamma, P_{2}) |M|^{2}}{\frac{dP_{1}}{\gamma_{1}'} \frac{dP_{2}'}{\gamma_{2}'} \frac{\delta^{2}(P_{1}' + P_{2}' - P_{1} - P_{2})}{(2\pi)^{2}}}$$

Others

• Mismatching/misalignment/dispersion/energy halo/wakefield..

Reconstruction of beam tail/halo at ATF2

Realist beam tail/halo generator for ATF2

Beam tail/halo at EXT line could be measured using Wire Scanner. With a Gaussian beam core, beam tail/halo could be described as

$$\rho_H = 2.2 \times 10^9 X^{-3.5} \tag{1}$$

$$\rho_V = \begin{cases} 2.2 \times 10^9 X^{-3.5} & 3 < X < 6\\ 3.7 \times 10^8 X^{-2.5} & X > 6 \end{cases}$$
(2)

where ρ_H and ρ_V are the horizontal and vertical distributions, $X = x/\sigma_x$ is the number of sigmas.



Defining the normalized variables $\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\beta}}$ and $\tilde{x}' = \frac{d\tilde{x}}{d\phi} = \sqrt{\beta}x' + x\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\beta}}$, The Courant-Snyder invariant can be expressed as

$$\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{x}'^2 = \gamma x^2 + 2\alpha x x' + \beta x'^2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi}$$
(3)

Assuming the vertical tail/halo distribution to be same as the horizontal one, the distributions of $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}', \tilde{y}, \tilde{y}')$ will be independent and share the same shape. The 4D distribution can be described as

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_r} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_r^2}} & |r| \le 3\sigma_r \\ NK_1 \cdot r^{-3.5} & 3\sigma_r < |r| < 40\sigma_r \end{cases}$$
(4)

 $r = \sqrt{\tilde{X}^2 + \tilde{X}'^2 + \tilde{Y}'^2 + \tilde{Y}'^2}$, N is the quantity of particles and K_1 is a matching factor.

Realistic beam tail/halo generator for ATF2

- Calculate the number of particles in beam core and beam halo regions;
- Generate the beam core and beam halo particles r_j respectively, as normalized coordinates using a Monte Carlo algorithm;
- Assign each r to $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}', \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}')_j$ randomly;
- Convert the normalized distributions (X, X', Y, Y') to the physical phase space distribution (x, x', y, y').



Realistic beam tail/halo generator for ATF2

- Visualize profile to more than 10 σ_x and 20 σ_y with realistic model, but only 5σ with gaussian model, at start/end of ATF2 (MADX)



Experimental studies of transverse beam tail/halo

Devices for beam tail/halo visualization

- In EXT line: Ce:YAG screen, Wire Scanner (removed now)
- At Post-IP: Wire Scanner, Diamond Sensor

Ce:YAG screen

Wire Scanner

- 1 mm slit in the center and only the beam halo is imaged
- Dynamic range $\sim 10^3$



• Dynamic range $\sim 10^4$



[1] T. Naito and T. Mitsuhashi, in Proc. IBIC'15. [2] M. Matsuda et al., ATF-02-04-2002

An in vacuum diamond sensor

• Why diamond?

Band gap (5.48 eV) \Rightarrow lower noise level/quasi negligible leakage current (pA) High mobility (1300~4500 cm²· V⁻¹· s⁻¹) \Rightarrow fast data acquisition (100 MHz)

High displacement energy (43 eV) \Rightarrow High radiation hardness

• Design of diamond sensor (designed by LAL)



Test of DS

- + leakage current: \sim pA @ 400 V
- integrated charge by an MIP: 2.88 fC
- charge collection efficiency (CCE): 100 % @ 400 V
- dynamic region: $\sim 10^7$

Vertical beam tail/halo at EXT line

 Beam tail/halo is assumed to be as same as that in the exit of DR, which could be predicted by elastic beam scattering theory^[1]

$$\rho(X) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}k^2 + \frac{N_t}{d} \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 (\frac{KX}{\sigma_0'} \theta_{\min} \cdot K_1(\frac{KX}{\sigma_0'} \theta_{\min}) - 1) / X \cdot \arccos(X)\right] dX dK\right]$$

Higher beam tail /halo level was observed clearly when the DR vacuum increased with low beam intensity (0.1×10¹⁰/bunch)



[1] H. Kohji, "Non-Gaussian distribution of electron beams due to incoherent stochastic processes", 1992.

• Beam optics: Bx10By1 Beam intensity: $0.1\times10^{10}/pulse{\sim}~1\times10^{10}/pulse$ DR vacuum: 10×10^{-7} Pa



Beam size measured by Diamond Sensor

	0.1e10	0.3e10	0.5e10	1.0e10
CH1/mm	1.530	1.491	1.463	1.662
CH2/mm	1.815	1.589	1.626	1.800
CH3/mm	2.046	2.025	2.055	2.081
CH4/mm	2.612	2.338	2.301	2.258

- Vertical beam tail is proportional to the beam intensity (elastic/inelastic beam-gas scattering, intra-beam scattering)
- Larger σ_y measured by DS with higher beam intensity

• Beam optics: Bx10By1 Beam intensity: $0.1\times10^{10}/pulse\sim1\times10^{10}/pulse$ DR vacuum: 10×10^{-7} Pa



• Larger vertical beam size with higher beam intensity tends to be similar to the emittance grown due to IBS in ATF DR^[1].

[1]Kubo, K., et al. Physical Review Letters 88.19 (2002): 194801

- Beam core/tail measured by WS agrees well with tracking results (MADX)⇒ No significant enhancement of beam tail/halo along ATF2 (optics related source is quite little)
- The beam tail/halo measured by DS is higher than the tracking result (might be due to misalignment of beam-DS), however it does follow the DR vacuum



- Beam core/tail measured by WS agrees well with tracking results (MADX)⇒ No significant enhancement of beam tail/halo along ATF2 (optics related source is quite little)
- The beam tail/halo measured by DS is higher than the tracking result (might be due to misalignment of beam-DS), however it does follow the DR vacuum



• Main source of vertical beam tail/halo is elastic beam-gas scattering in DR!

Horizontal beam tail/halo at Post-IP

- Beam optics: Bx10By1 Beam intensity: 0.5×10^{10} /pulse DR vacuum: 5×10^{-7} Pa, 10×10^{-7} Pa, 16.5×10^{-7} Pa
- Boundary of beam core/tail is $\pm 4\sigma_x$ which is defined by

 $f(x)/g(x) \ge 1$ $d(f(x)/g(x))/dx \ge 0$

• Beam profile is fit to

$$f(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{X^2}{2}} & 0 < X < 4\\ NK_X X^{-4.5(-4.2)} & X > 4 \end{cases}$$

where $X = x/\sigma_x$, K_x is the matching factor.



 Beam tail (halo) density didn't increase with a worse damping ring vacuum! ⇒ Main source of halo formation might not be beam gas scattering!

Beam halo suppressed by a vertical collimator







[1] Designed by N. Fuster-Martínez, IFIC, Spain

Beam halo suppressed by a vertical collimator

- Beam optics: Bx10By1 Beam intensity: 0.3×10^{10} /pulse DR vacuum: 5.07×10^{-7} Pa
- Collimator setting: open (red line)and closed to 3 mm (blue line)



- 1) Vertically, symmetric cuts by vertical collimator are observed
- In vertical plane, less residual halo beyond cut by collimator (fewer secondary particles when closing collimator)
- Horizontally, less residual halo on low energy side when collimating vertically

Recent status and prospects

Experiments of DS at PHIL (LAL, Orsay)

Goals: 1) test of diamond sensor, including linearity, HV dependence, pick-up level and dynamic range 2) study beam halo formation for photocathode RF-Gun

Status: installed in May and test is on going







Summary

- A realistic beam tail/halo generator is developed, which can approach realistic distribution without coupling between (x, x') and (y, y') plane
- Beam tail/halo at ATF2 were measured using YAG, WS and DS. For the vertical case, the main source of beam tail/halo should be elastic beam- gas scattering. Beam halo enhancement along ATF2 beamline is negligible. But, for the horizontal case, need more studies
- For a e+e- collider, beam tail/halo formation in the DR and in other systems must be considered carefully
- Theoretical studies of beam tail/halo sources in ATF DR, including elasitc/inelastic beam gas scattering and IBS, is in process

Thank you! Questions?