SUSY searches – Part II

P. Pralavorio (pralavor@cppm.in2p3.fr) CPPM/IN2P3–Univ. de la Méditerranée (Marseille, FRANCE) Terascale School on BSM Physics (DESY)



"This could be the discovery of the century. Depending, of course, on how far down it goes"

Part I Motivation, the tools to dig, EW SUSY Part II 3rd gene and Strong SUSY, Beyond MSSM



Lecture Organization

Ia - Ingredients for a SUSY search @ LHC

- "What drives the sensitivity to SUSY at LHC ?"
 - **1**. Teaser (1)
 - 2.SUSY Framework @LHC (11)
 - 3. Objects and Monte Carlo (3)
 - 4. Discriminating variables (5)
 - 5. Background Estimation (7)
 - 6. Fit Results (7)
 - 7. Result Interpretation (3)

~35 slides → 50'

Ib - SUSY ElectroWeak

"Exploring the bottom part of the SUSY spectrum"

- 1. Parameters of the EWK sector (2)
- 2.SUSY Higgses (8)
- 3. ElectroWeakinos (12)
- 4. Sleptons (1)
- 5. Summary (1)

~25 slides → 40'

IIa – 3 rd gene. SUSY "Best natural candidates"	IIb – Strong SUSY "Inclusive searches"	IIc – Beyond MSSM "Last hopes (!) and conclusions"
1.Sbottom (7)	1.Gluinos (12)	1.Long lived (10)
2.Stop (12)	2.1/2 nd generation squarks (1)	2.RPV (8)
3.Summary (1)	3.Summary (1)	3. Other SUSY realization (3)
~20 slides → 30'	4.Overall MSSM summary (2) ~15 slides → 25'	 4. Overall conclusions (3) ~20 slides → 30'

Recap from lecture I

1404.7191

Theory guidance

Experimental work



Preparation for lecture II

Theory guidance





Sbottom search:

- 1) main decay channel?
- 2) Final state ?
- 3) main backgrounds?
- 4) main discriminant variable ?
- 5) How to control the background ?

Lecture Part IIa



Sbottom (1)



Simpliest decay $\tilde{b} \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ Signature: 2 b-jets + MET



SRA for large sbottom mass:

Requirements	SRA	
Etmiss [GeV] >	150	Trigger-driven
Pt (j1) [GeV] >	130	Dile un driven
Pt (j2) [GeV] >	50	Plie-up-anven
Lepton and 3 rd jet veto		
MET/Meff >	0.25	QCD-killer
Δφ (jet-MET) >	0.4	
N(bjets)=	2 Tight (ε=0.6)	
М _{ст} [GeV] >	150,200,250,300,350	Discriminating var.
m _{bb} [GeV] >	200	[m _{CT} (ttbar)<135 GeV]

Background : N_B [m_{ct}>250 GeV] = 15.8 ± 2.8 (14 obs)

- ✓ Z(vv)bb: Control Region with Z→II mass constraint + 2 b-jets
- ✓ top, Wb: Control Region with =1 lep + 2 bjets + MET> 100 GeV
- ✓ <u>QCD</u>: jet smearing method

SRB for compressed spectrum:

✓ m_{CT} & m_{bb} cuts → 3rd jet (ISR) & H_T (wo 3 leading jets)<50 GeV

Sbottom (2)



P. Pralavorio

SUSY Searches

Sbottom (3)

□ Gradually improve mass limits with luminosity ...

$\widetilde{b}_{L}\widetilde{b}_{L} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} bb\widetilde{\chi}_{1}{}^{0}\widetilde{\chi}_{1}{}^{0} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 2b\text{+MET}$

Reoptimise the signal regions for each luminosity



Reaching upper mass limits of the natural SUSY spectrum for m(N1)<250 GeV

Sbottom (4)

... and center-of-mass energy

$\widetilde{b}_L\widetilde{b}_L \not \rightarrow bb\widetilde{\chi}_1{}^0\widetilde{\chi}_1{}^0 \not \rightarrow 2b\text{+MET}$

- ATLAS: improves over 8 TeV because of b-tagging performance (one more layer)
- CMS: improves over 8 TeV because of increase numbers of Signal Regions for exclusion



Reaching upper masses of the natural SUSY spectrum

Sbottom (5)





- ≥2 leptonic W : a high probability of 2 same-sign lepton
 ✓ Multipurpose final state for RPC Strong SUSY (see later)
- ~no SM background compensate for BR(W→Iv)=0.1
 - ✓ Low stat channels !



Sbottom (6)

\Box Results depends on χ_1^0 and $\chi_1^{+/-}$ masses

 $\tilde{b}_{L}\tilde{b}_{L} \rightarrow tt \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 2b+4W+\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$

- Several assumptions are chosen
- Limits quite robust at $m(\tilde{b})$ <500 GeV ... Not much change at 13 TeV yet ...



Reaching upper masses of the natural SUSY spectrum

Sbottom (7)

ATLAS: 1407.0600,1506.08616





→ Some tension in MSSM ... not fully natural anymore

Stop (2)



Stop (3)

□ Main experimental challenge

- Remove ttbar σ ~240pb
- Not too many handle (mainly MET is different)





Stop (4)



□ 1I + 4j + ≥1b-jet analysis most powerful for $\tilde{t} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi_1}^0$

4(high-

Similar strategy for ATLAS and CMS

2(low) 4(high)

2(|ow|)

ATI AS	2(1000)	3(10W)	4(mgn)	boosted)					
large-R jet		-		$\geq 1, p_T > 270 \text{ GeV}$				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	an		and $m > 75 \text{GeV}$	CMS		$t ightarrow t \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$			
$\Delta \phi(\mathrm{jet}_{2}^{\mathrm{large-}R}, \vec{p}_{T}^{\mathrm{miss}})$	ukas)		> 0.85			Cut-based			
Emiss	> 100 GeV	> 200 GeV	> 320 GeV	> 315 GeV		Selection	BDT	Low ΔM	High ΔM
-1 m _T	> 60 GeV	> 140 GeV	> 200 GeV	> 175 GeV	h h	Emiss (CaV)	yes	> 150, 200,	> 150, 200,
am _{T2}	-	> 170 GeV	$> 170 \mathrm{GeV}$	> 145 GeV		$L_{\rm T}$ (GeV)		250, 300	250, 300
m_{T2}^{τ}	-	-	> 120 GeV	-		M_{T2}^W (GeV)	yes		>200
topness		-	-	> 7		$\min \Delta \phi$	yes	>0.8	> 0.8
m _{had-top}	\in [130, 205] GeV	\in [130, 195] GeV	\in [130, 250] GeV		1	HT	yes		
τ-veto	tight	-	-	modified, see text.	1 /	Hadronic top χ^2	(on-shell top)	<5	<5
$\Delta R(b ext{-jet},\ell)$	< 2.5	-	< 3	< 2.6		Leading b-tagged jet p_{T} (GeV)	(off-shell top)		
$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}/\sqrt{H_{ m T}}$	$> 5 \ { m GeV}^{1/2}$		-			$\Delta R(\ell, \text{leading b-tagged jet})$			
$H_{\mathrm{T,sig}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	-	>	12.5	> 10	1	Lepton $p_{\rm T}$ (GeV)			
$\Delta \phi(\mathrm{jet}_i, ec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}})$	$> 0.8 \ (i = 1, 2)$	$> 0.8 \ (i = 2)$	-	$> 0.5, 0.3 \ (i = 1, 2)$	· ۲				



Stop (5)

 $\widetilde{t}_1^{}, \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\,0}$

m

□ Look at the results in Signal Regions

■ Dominated by tt→WWbb→lvlvbb events

 \checkmark where one lepton is τ_{had} or is not rec./identified



Exp. (Obs)	Low ΔM 1+2(low)	Med $\Delta M_{3(med)}$	High ∆M 4(high)
ATLAS	Shape fit	13+/-2 (12)	5+/-1 (5)
CMS: Cut-based, Higher MET	11.5+/-3.6 (9)		4.7+/-1.4 (2)

Stop (6)

\Box Set limits on the $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \, \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ scenario

- Cover nicely the allowed phase space
- ATLAS and CMS obtain very similar limits
- Sensitive to other models at low $\Delta M(\tilde{t}-\tilde{\chi_1}^0) \le m(t)$ [offshell top]



Impact of BR($t \rightarrow tN1$)



Cover a wide range of the region allowed by naturalness

Stop (6)

□ General limit on $\tilde{t_1} \rightarrow t/Wb/c + \tilde{\chi}_1^0$

- Combining all final states : 0 lepton, 1lepton, 2leptons
- ATLAS and CMS obtain very similar limits Top funnel $\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$ production, $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b$ f f' $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ / $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ / $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W$ b $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ / $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ [GeV] ۳[%] 450 [40 [40] 9] 30 ^{0°} 20 \s=8 TeV, 20 fb t0L/t1L combined t2L. SC 20 ww t1L, t2L 350 tc 0 170 tc, t1L 180 190 200 210 m_r [GeV] 300 Observed limits Expected limits All limits at 95% CL 2 I + 6 jets +MET and Top spin Correlation 250 Dedicated c-tagging and monojet for very helps to cover the top funel compressed spectra 150 Dedicated WW SR to 0 I + 6 jets +MET helps cover the funnel to increase the limit at 50 high stop masses 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 m_ĩ [GeV] Very strong constraint on naturalness (apart from Top funnel)

Stop (7)

□ Can reuse the analysis 1I + 4j + ≥1b-jet ...

- Similar signal regions but with lower cuts and wo mjjj requirement
- Results interpretation depends on $(\tilde{t_1})$, m(C1) and m(N1)
 - ✓ Need an hypothesis on m(N1) or m(C1).





m(C1)=150 GeV fixed



Also quite strong limit on stop masses

Stop (8)

ATLAS: 1506.08616 CMS: 1602.03169, 1603.00765

\Box ... and combine with other channels for $t_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^{+/-} \rightarrow W^{(*)} \tilde{\chi}_1^{0}$



Not too much dependent on $\chi_1^{+/-}$ position

Stop (9)

□ Lot of progress in three years !



Stop (10)

\Box A word on \tilde{t} 2

- A way to access the uncovered phase space of stop1: $\Delta m(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 180 \text{ GeV}, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$
- Vary Branching ratio of stop2 to Z, h, t coherently



Stop (11)



Limit a bit weaker: m(stop)> 300 GeV

Stop (12)



Natural pMSSM (BR not fixed to 100%)

Seems quite model independent !

Combining all analyses



Summary on 3rd generation squark



Seriously cornered in vanilla framework !?

Lecture Part IIb



Gluino (1)



Gluino (2) □ Gluino mass govern by one parameter (M3) 2-steps, 3/4-steps Can decay via offshell squarks (<u>1rst/2nd gene</u>) or onshell <u>stop</u>, <u>sbottom</u> Multiple light jets + MET Multiple light and b-jets + MET M Μ $\ldots \tilde{q}_{1,2} \tilde{b}_R$ $ilde q_{1,2}$ $ilde b_R$ $\ldots \tilde{q}_{1,2} \tilde{b}_R$ "Distant "Distant "Distant Cousins" | TeV . Cousins" Cousins" I TeV I TeV The "Nuclear Family" The "Nuclear Family" The "Nuclear Family" of the Higgs of the Higgs of the Higgs 500 GeV 500 GeV 500 GeV Closeness to Higgs Closeness to Higgs Closeness to Higg Complexity of the final state depends on the EWK sector (see Lecture Ib)

Gluino (3)

ATLAS-CONF-2015-062

□ ATLAS: 2-step via 1rst/2nd gene squarks



Gluino (4)

ATLAS-CONF-2015-062

□ ATLAS: 2-step via 1rst/2nd gene squarks

Inclusive searches with lepton veto



Gluino (5)

[A90] 1400 ^{or}m

 \widetilde{g} - \widetilde{g} \rightarrow gqqqWW $\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{,}\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{,}$ x = (m($\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}_{,}$) - m($\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{,}$)) / (m(\widetilde{g}) - m($\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{,}$)) = 1/2

ATLAS Preliminarv

1 e/µ + jets + E_

ATLAS-CONF-2015-076

ATLAS 8 TeV, 20.3 fb

□ 3-step via 1rst/2nd gene squarks

Inclusive searches with =1 lepton

√s=13 TeV, 3.3 fb⁻¹ 1200 Observed limit (±1 σ^{SUS)} theorem 1000 Expected limit (±1 σ =1lepton+ 2-6 jets + MET Helpful in the compressed region All limits at 95% CL 800 M $\tilde{q}_{1,2} \tilde{b}_R$ 600 "Distant 400 Cousins" I TeV $\chi_1^{+/-}$ half way The "Nuclear Family" 200 of the Higgs 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 m_a [GeV] 500 GeV \widetilde{g} - $\widetilde{g} \rightarrow qqqqWW \widetilde{\chi}_{i}^{0} \widetilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}, m(\widetilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}) = 60 \text{ GeV}$ ο^{ογ}. ATLAS Preliminary ___) w 1.4 ATLAS 8 TeV, 20.3 fb ${ ilde{h}_2^0 \over ilde{h}^+}$ $1 \text{ e/}\mu + \text{jets} + \text{E}_{-}^{\text{mis}}$ ± - m_d) / (m_g - g Observed limit (±1 σ_{theory}^{SUSY} μ or i 1.2 s=13 TeV. 3.3 fb Expected limit $(\pm 1 \sigma_{exp})$ \tilde{h}_1^0 All limits at 95% CL x = (m_i - x_i Closeness to Higgs 0.8 M₂₁⁰=60 GeV 0.6 $\sqrt{\hat{s}}_{min}$ $\sqrt{\hat{a}}(sub)$ m_{T2} 0.4 m_{efj} 0.2 IC.WW $M_{T,ZZ}$ M_{2C} 0 mreco To remove 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 m_{T2} m_ã [GeV] m_T W+jets $m_{T2\perp}$ Also quite competitive. Can be combined with Olepton oe McDonal

Gluino (6)

CMS Preliminary

CMS-PAS-SUS-15-002/3/4/5

2.2 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)**CMS** *Preliminary* 2.2 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

CMS: 2-step via 1rst/2nd gene squarks

Inclusive searches with lepton veto



P. Pralavorio

Gluino (7)

ATLAS-CONF-2015-076

□ 3-step via 3rd gene squarks

0-1lepton+ ≥3 b-jets + MET





Strongest limits on gluino masses !

Gluino (8)

ATLAS-CONF-2015-076

□ 3-step via 3rd gene squarks







Other top-killer analyses can not compete

Gluino (9)

CMS-PAS-SUS-15-002/3/4/7

□ 3-step via 3rd gene squarks

0-1lepton+ ≥3 b-jets + MET



AT.ZZ

 m_{T}

 m_{Tei}



Very similar limits (since dominated by Nb cut)

 M_{2C} $m_{T2\parallel}$

Joe McDonal

 $m_{T2\perp}$
Gluino (10)

□ Assume now LSP is the gravitino

- Next-to-Lighest LSP (NLSP) determines the event final states
- Enhance multi-leptonic / photonic signature (0/1 leptons +jets +MET analyses also strong)



JHEP 02 (2012) 115

Gluino (11)

ATLAS: 1507.05493 CMS: 1507.02898,1508.01218

 \Box NLSP = $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

Add MET to all signature in brackets



M(gluino) above 1 TeV

Gluino (12)

D pMSSM

Not assuming 100% BR …



Close-by limit wrt Simplified Model for gluinos

1rst/2nd gene squarks (1)

ATLAS: 1508.06608 CMS-PAS-SUS-15-010

□ By products of gluino-like analyses

- But not constraint by naturalness: can be anywhere !
- Generally mass degeneracy across squarks families, for left and right-handed. Not generic !



Quite weak generic limits

Summary on Strong SUSY



Limits on gluino mass very strong: >1 TeV in 'all' vanilla cases

Conclusions on Vanilla framework



Still viable if :

- -- very compressed scenario: limits are weaker but will come
- -- complicated SUSY spectrum: intricate decay chains especially due to EWK sector
- -- a new electroweak singlet is added : relax Higgs constraints and complexify more the EWK sector
- -- hard accessible process at low luminosity ($\chi_1^+\chi_1^- \rightarrow WW$), ...

Conclusions on Vanilla framework



Lecture Part IIc



Lecture Part IIc



Long-Lived Particles See also Loic Quertenmont

□ Apart from LSP other particle could be long-lived :

- 1. Very weak coupling with \tilde{G} =LSP [GMSB] :
- 2. Lifetime proportional to λ^{-2} , $\lambda^{\prime -2}$, $\lambda^{\prime \prime -2}$ [R-Parity violation] \rightarrow Displaced vertex if λ , λ^{\prime} , $\lambda^{\prime \prime} \sim 0(10^{-5})$
- 3. Low mass difference, e.g $\Delta M(\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0) \sim 100 \text{ MeV } [AMSB] \rightarrow Low p \text{ emitted, kinked track}$
- 4. Stable Massive Particle (mix of 1 and 3)

→ Stable R-hadron (\tilde{g} or \tilde{q}), sleptons

 \rightarrow Non pointing γ or Z



Generic limits on life-time vs mass plane !

Long-Lived Particles (1) ATLAS: 1409.5542

□ Non pointing photon could have several striking signatures

• LSP=G and long-lived bino-NLSP = $\chi_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma G$





Late Timing arrival in the EM calorimeter (t_γ)
 → Need excellent calorimeter timing reso.





- No pointing to the primary vertex
- 1. Stand-alone pointing capabilities of the calorimeter $\rightarrow \tau(\chi_1^0) < 50$ ns
- 2. Impact parameter of the converted photons $\rightarrow \tau(\chi_1^0) < 1$ ns

Need a deep understanding of the detector !

Long-Lived Particles (2) CMS: 1411 6530

R = 1082 mm

R = 554R = 514 m R = 443 m

TRT

□ High mass displaced vertex with 5 tracks or lepton

- Design a background-free analysis in M_{vertex} N_{track} plane
- Build up a dedicated tracking to increase signal efficiency
- Could come from e.g. RPV with $\lambda_{iik} \neq 0$ or Long-lived gluinos



TRT

Long-Lived Particles (3)

\TLAS: 1310.367**{** CMS: 1411.6006

Data (1s = 8 TeV, Ldt = 20.3 fb⁻¹) ATLAS

 $m_{z^{\pm}} = 200 \text{ GeV}, \tau_{z^{\pm}} = 0.2 \text{ ns}$ (Decay radius < infinite)

= 200 GeV, t = 0.2 ns (Decay radius < 563 mm)

SM MC prediction

10

10

Direct production of a metastable $\chi_1^{\pm *}$

- Motivated by AMSB, but model independent results
 - ✓ χ_1^{\pm} → soft π^+ + χ_1^0 : Disapearing track in the TRT
- Remove background : N_{TRT} + highest p_T isolated track



Long-Lived Particles (4)

□ Slowly moving particles

- Can be colored (gluinos)
 - ✓ If lifetime > hadronization time scale ~O(10⁻²⁴)s then gluino R-hadrons or gluinoballs can form



2

- ✓ Can flip sign (1, 2 times) or not 1, 3
- ✓ Can stop and decay later
- Can be non-colored (*sleptons, charginos*) 4
 - Manifest as a heavy muon, charged and penetrating



➔ Important to combine all detector information to cover all cases

Long-Lived Particles (5)

1211.1597, ATLAS-2013-058

□ Possible to reconstruct its mass !

■ Start from one (or two) high pT isolated track →p



 \rightarrow Add the 2 or 3 information together and compute M=p/ $\beta\gamma$

Long-Lived Particles (6)

□ Look at the Mass distributions

ATLAS: 1411.6795, 1506.05332, CMS: 1305.0491

- And search for a peak !
- Good S/B in general



Long-Lived Particles (7) ATLAS: 1310.6584 CMS: 1501.05603

R-hadrons can also stop in the calo and decay later

- In Split SUSY (unnatural model), the stopped gluino only particle reachable at LHC
- High energetic jets in absence of collisions
- Background = calorimeter noise, cosmics and beam halo not SM !



Can look for it in a window 1 μ s < t < 300 hours !!

Summary Long-Lived Particles (1)

□ Combining all, very strong on gluino mass limit !



Summary Long-Lived Particles (2)

Combining all, very strong on chargino mass limit !



Exclude m(chargino) >100 GeV whatever the lifetime !

Lecture Part IIc







- Add 48 new Yukawa couplings and 96 complex parameters
- Proton decay only forbids simultaneous violation of lepton and baryon number
 - ✓ ... but not one **or** the other
- Allow the LSP to decay
- Can change other sparticle decay

RPV (1)

ATLAS: 1503.04430

□ New signature: Lepton flavor violation in the production / decay



• λ'_{311} , $\lambda_{i32} \neq 0$ and pp $\rightarrow \widetilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e\mu$, $e\tau$, $\mu\tau$ resonance $W = W_{MSSM} + \lambda_{ijk}L_iL_j\bar{E}_k + \lambda'_{ijk}L_iQ_j\bar{D}_k + \kappa_iL_iH_u + \lambda''_{ijk}\bar{U}_i\bar{D}_j\bar{D}_k$





 $\Delta \phi$ (e, $\mu){\sim}\pi\,$, MET=132 GeV, no Jet !



RPV (2)

ATLAS: 1405.5086

 $W = W_{\text{MSSM}} + \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j \bar{E}_k + \lambda_{ijk}' L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k + \kappa_i L_i H_u + \lambda_{ijk}'' \bar{U}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k$

 $pp \to \widetilde{\chi}^{*}_{*}\widetilde{\chi}^{*}_{*} \to W^{*} \ \widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{*} \ W^{*}\widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{*}; \quad \widetilde{\chi}^{0}_{*} \to \nu \ l^{*} \ l$

□ New signature: lepton but no Z

• χ_1^0 LSP, $\lambda_{ijk} \neq 0$ implies $\chi_1^0 \rightarrow II'\nu$ with I=e, μ, τ



Now limit on direct charginos production (not possible in RPC) !

RPV (3)

ATLAS: 1502.05686 CMS: 1311.1799

□ New signature: 2x3 jet resonance

- Motivated by $g \rightarrow qqq$ and $g \rightarrow qq\chi_1^0 \rightarrow qqqqq$
- Multi-jets background data driven and differentiates 0, 1, 2 btag …
- 2 different strategies pursued by CMS and ATLAS





Resolve 6-10jet evts with a lower p_T cut

 $W = W_{\rm MSSM} + \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j \bar{E}_k + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k + \kappa_i L_i H_u + \lambda''_{ijk} \bar{J}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k$



RPV (4)

□ Results for 2x3 jets resonance

Show for light flavor (top) and heavy flavor (bottom)



→ Exclude Gluino masses < 1 TeV. ATLAS above CMS



CMS: 1306.6643

\Box Stop RPV (λ)

 $W = W_{\rm MSSM} + \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j \bar{E}_k + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k + \kappa_i L_i H_u + \lambda''_{ijk} \bar{U}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k$

- χ_1^0 LSP, consider two possible combinations
- pp $\rightarrow \widetilde{t}\widetilde{t} \rightarrow t\chi_1^0 t\chi_1^0 \rightarrow >4I$ (+ jets)+MET
- Assume χ_1^0 bino-like





Very strong limits for direct stop, w or wo taus (independent of LSP mass)



CMS: 1306.6643

□ Stop RPV (λ')

 $W = W_{\rm MSSM} + \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j \bar{E}_k + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k + \kappa_i L_i H_u + \lambda''_{ijk} \bar{U}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k$

- χ_1^0 LSP, consider several decay modes-
- Final states: 2µ + 2t + 2b
- Assume χ_1^0 bino-like

≻	region label	kinematic region	stop decay mode(s)
	А	$m_t < m_{\widetilde{t}} < 2m_t, m_{\widetilde{\chi}^0_1}$	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow t u b ar{b}$
	В	$2m_t < m_{\widetilde{t}} < m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow t \mu t \overline{b} + t u b \overline{b}$
	С	$m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < m_{\widetilde{t}} < m_W + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow \ell u b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 + j j b \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$
	D	$m_W + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < m_{\widetilde{t}} < m_t + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0}$	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow {\sf W}b\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$
	E	$m_t + m_{\widetilde{\chi}_1^0} < m_{\widetilde{t}}$	$\widetilde{t} ightarrow t \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$



Weaker limits dependent of LSP mass

RPV (7)

ATLAS: 1306.6643

\Box Stop RPV (λ ")

- Stop direct decay to bs
- Final states 2x2 resonant jets

 $W = W_{\rm MSSM} + \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j \bar{E}_k + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j \bar{D}_k + \kappa_i L_i H_u + \lambda''_{ijk} \bar{J}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k$





Lecture Part IIc



Beyond MSSM



Loose simplicity, can generally evade part of the LHC limits – but not for long

Beyond MSSM (1)

□ Dirac Gluino: 2x2 jets final states

- sgluon (→ gg) pair produced: 2 resonances M_1 , M_2 reconstructed with ≥4 jets pT>80 GeV
- Reduce combinatorics by minimizing $|\Delta R_1 1| + |\Delta R_2 1|$
- Note: @LHC lower masses are hardly accessible because of trigger threshold !



→ Exclude scalar gluons for masses below 300 GeV

1210.4826

1 To

Beyond MSSM (2)

Dirac Gluinos: 4 top final states

- For more massive sgluon (M>350 GeV), sgluon→tt dominates → 4 top final states
- Can be accessed by asking 2 same-sign leptons



→ Exclude scalar gluons for masses below 800 GeV

Conclusions (1)

What we have seen in Run1 (beg. Of Run2) ? nothing except from few small effect



Conclusions (2)

What we conclude ?

The Hard Facts

A: MSSM B: Natural >10% C1: **RPC** C2: LSP nature: χ_1^0 , G C3: Spectra opening



Connection of MSSM with the hierarchy problem diminished

Conclusions (3)

□ Particle Physicists continue to dig hard ...



