Nucleon Helicity and Transversity Parton Distributions from Lattice QCD

> Jiunn-Wei Chen National Taiwan U.

Collaborators: Huey-Wen Lin, Saul D. Cohen, Xiangdong Ji, Jianhui Zhang arXiv: 1402.1462 + 1603.06664

PDF---An Infinite-Body Problem

• The number of quark anti-quark pairs diverges (manifestation of non-perturbative nature of the problem)

One Possible Solution...

- Lattice QCD: making the degree of freedom finite by discretizing the space time
- Goal: Computing the x-dependence of PDF's from first principles (QCD).

Past Limitation

- Traditional approach: can only calculate lower moments PDFs.
- Still first principle, carried out successfully: close to using physical parameters---highly non-trivial and demanding in computing power.
- However, it also means the community has reached the limit on what one can learn from the lower moments.

New Hopes

- Davoudi & Savage (smeared sources)
- Detmold & Lin (light to heavy transition currents)
- Xiangdong Ji (Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002): quasi-PDF: computing the x -dependence directly.

Lattice PDF: from Moments to the Sea

• Quark PDF in a proton: $(\lambda^2 = 0)$


 $q(x,\mu^2) = \int \frac{d\xi^-}{4\pi} e^{ix\xi^-P^+} \left\langle P \left| \overline{\psi}(0)\lambda \cdot \gamma \Gamma \psi(\xi^-\lambda) \right| P \right\rangle$


- Euclidean lattice: light cone operators cannot be distinguished from local operators
- Moments of PDF given by local twist-2 operators; LPDF limited to first few moments; Sea quarks cannot be isolated

• Quark PDF in a proton:
$$(\lambda^2 = 0)$$

 $q(x, \mu^2) = \int \frac{d\xi^-}{4\pi} e^{ix\xi^- P^+} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(0)\lambda \cdot \gamma \Gamma \psi(\xi^- \lambda) | P \rangle$

- Boost invariant in the z-direction, rest frame OK
- Quark bilinear op. always on the light cone
- What if the quark bilinear is slightly away from the light cone (space-like) in the proton rest frame?





• Then one can find a frame where the quark bilinear is of equal time but the proton is moving.

Review: Ji's LPDF

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{q}(x,\mu^2,P^z) &= \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{-ixzP^z} \left\langle P \left| \overline{\psi}(0)\lambda \cdot \gamma \Gamma \psi(z\lambda) \right| P \right\rangle \\ &\equiv \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{-ixzP^z} h(zP^z)P^z \end{split}$$

$$\lambda^{\mu} = (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

Review: Ji's LPDF


$$\langle P \left| O^{(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n)} \right| P \rangle = 2a_n P^{(\mu_1} \cdots P^{\mu_n)}$$


- LHS: trace, twist-4 $O(\Lambda_{QCD}^2/(P^z)^2)$ corrections, parametrized in this work
- RHS: trace $\mathcal{O}(M^2/(P^z)^2)$
- One loop matching $\alpha_s \ln P^z$, an OPE

$$ilde{q}(x,\Lambda,P_z) = \int rac{dy}{|y|} Z\left(rac{x}{y},rac{\mu}{P_z},rac{\Lambda}{P_z}
ight) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(rac{\Lambda^2_{ ext{QCD}}}{P_z^2},rac{M^2}{P_z^2}
ight) + \dots$$

What do we expect to see on the lattice?

• Suppose LPDF is the CTEQ PDF at $P^z \to \infty$






First (isovector) LPDF Computation

• Lattice: $24^3 \times 64$


 $a~pprox~0.12~{
m fm}~L~pprox~3~{
m fm}$

- Fermions: MILC highly improved staggered quarks (HISQ) Clover (valence) $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ $M_\pi \approx 310$ MeV
- Gauge fields/links: hypercubic (HYP) smearing, 461 config.

•
$$P^{z} = \frac{2\pi}{L}n = n \times 0.43 \ GeV$$
 $n = 1, 2, 3...$



Quasi-PDF (unpolarized)



 $P^{z} = \frac{2\pi}{L}n = n \times 0.43 \ GeV$ n = 1, 2, 3.

RG of Wilson Coefficient

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}(x,\Lambda,P_z) &= \int \frac{dy}{|y|} Z\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_z},\frac{\Lambda}{P_z}\right) q(y,\mu) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2}{P_z^2},\frac{M_N^2}{P_z^2}\right) + \dots \end{split}$$

Xiong, Ji, Zhang, Zhao

Still need to do lattice perturbation calculation

$$\mathcal{O}(M^2/(P^z)^2)$$
 ·Corrections

$$P^z = \frac{2\pi}{L}n = n \times 0.43 \ GeV$$

• Computed to all orders in $\mathcal{O}(M^2/(P^z)^2)$.

$$q(x) = \sqrt{1+4c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_{-}^{n}}{f_{+}^{n+1}} \Big[(1+(-1)^{n}) \tilde{q} \Big(\frac{f_{+}^{n+1}x}{2f_{-}^{n}} \Big) + (1-(-1)^{n}) \tilde{q} \Big(\frac{-f_{+}^{n+1}x}{2f_{-}^{n}} \Big) \Big]$$

$$f_{\pm} = \sqrt{1 + 4c} \pm 1$$
 $c = M^2/4P_z^2$

$$\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^2_{QCD}/(P^z)^2)$$
 Corrections


• Twist-4:


$$q_{tr}(x,\mu^2,P^z) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dt}{t} e^{i\frac{zk^2}{t}} \left\langle P \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{tr}(z) \right| P \right\rangle$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{tr}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} dz_{1} \overline{\psi}(0) \left[\gamma^{\nu} \Gamma\left(0, z_{1}\right) D_{\nu} \Gamma\left(z_{1}, z\right) \right. \\ \left. + \int_{0}^{z_{1}} dz_{2} \lambda \cdot \gamma \Gamma\left(0, z_{2}\right) D^{\nu} \Gamma\left(z_{2}, z_{1}\right) D_{\nu} \Gamma\left(z_{1}, z\right) \right] \psi(z\lambda)$$




Quasi-PDF (green) w/ loop (red) w/ loop + mass (blue)






Unpolarized Isovector Proton PDF




х




Quark mass effect!


A follow-up work (Alexandrou et. al.1504.07455)




A follow-up work (Alexandrou et. al.1504.07455)



Helicity and Transversity (isovector)




Quasi-PDF (Helicity and Transversity)




$$P^{z} = \frac{2\pi}{L}n = n \times 0.43 \ GeV$$
 n = 1, 2, 3.

Quasi-PDF (green) w/ loop (red) w/ loop + mass (blue)







Isovector Proton Helicity and Transversity



Isovector Proton Helicity



Isovector Proton Transversity




Outlook

- Wee partons, a good test to this approach--smaller quark mass
- Next: linear divergence in the matching kernel, lattice perturbation theory, proof of factorization
- If it works, lots of things to do: LCW, PDF, GPD, TMD ...

Backup slides

Correlation between EMC effect and SRC



Source: Klaus Rith

arXiv:1607.03065 JWC, William Detmold, Joel E. Lynn, Achim Schwenk

- Linear relation emerges naturally from EFT
- a2 computed ab-initioly
- Slope can be computed from deuteron

