Integrability in gauge and string theory

Romuald A. Janik

Jagiellonian University Kraków

Rethinking Quantum Field Theory DESY, Hamburg 2016

Outline

Introduction and motivation

AdS/CFT and strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Energy levels of a string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

How to solve the spectral problem? Some questions...

String interactions in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

The decompactified string vertex The hexagon approach

Other recent lines of research

Summary

Key questions:

► Find the spectrum of conformal weights ≡ eigenvalues of the dilatation operator ≡ (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 \Lambda$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$

Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

Key questions:

▶ Find the spectrum of conformal weights
≡ eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
≡ (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 \Lambda$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$

► Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

Key questions:

▶ Find the spectrum of conformal weights
≡ eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
≡ (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 \Lambda$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$

► Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

Key questions:

Find the spectrum of conformal weights

 \equiv eigenvalues of the dilatation operator \equiv (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$

Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

Key questions:

► Find the spectrum of conformal weights ≡ eigenvalues of the dilatation operator

 \equiv (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where}$$

where
$$\lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients *C*_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$

► Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

Key questions:

- Find the spectrum of conformal weights
 - \equiv eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
 - \equiv (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar}$$

where
$$\lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients C_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$

Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

Key questions:

- Find the spectrum of conformal weights
 - \equiv eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
 - \equiv (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar}$$

where
$$\lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients C_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$

Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

Key questions:

- ► Find the spectrum of conformal weights
 - \equiv eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
 - \equiv (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients C_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_i}}$

► Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

Key questions:

- ► Find the spectrum of conformal weights
 - \equiv eigenvalues of the dilatation operator
 - \equiv (anomalous) dimensions of operators

$$\langle O(0)O(x)\rangle = rac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}}$$

The dimensions are complicated functions of the coupling:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta_0(\lambda)}_{planar} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N_c^2} \Delta_1(\lambda) + \dots}_{nonplanar} \qquad \text{where } \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

▶ Find the OPE coefficients C_{ijk} defined through

 $\langle O_i(x_1)O_j(x_2)O_k(x_3)\rangle = rac{C_{ijk}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}|x_1 - x_3|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}|x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_j}}$

Once Δ_i and C_{ijk} are known, all higher point correlation functions are, in principle, determined explicitly.

- **1.** Wilson loop observables
- 2. Scattering amplitudes (appropriately understood/regularized)
- **3.** Nonplanar mixing: $1/N_c$ corrections..
- **4.** 4pt correlation functions (directly)

1. Wilson loop observables

- 2. Scattering amplitudes (appropriately understood/regularized)
- **3.** Nonplanar mixing: $1/N_c$ corrections..
- **4.** 4pt correlation functions (directly)

- 1. Wilson loop observables
- 2. Scattering amplitudes (appropriately understood/regularized)
- **3.** Nonplanar mixing: $1/N_c$ corrections..
- 4. 4pt correlation functions (directly)

- **1.** Wilson loop observables
- 2. Scattering amplitudes (appropriately understood/regularized)
- **3.** Nonplanar mixing: $1/N_c$ corrections..
- **4.** 4pt correlation functions (directly)

- **1.** Wilson loop observables
- 2. Scattering amplitudes (appropriately understood/regularized)
- **3.** Nonplanar mixing: $1/N_c$ corrections..
- **4.** 4pt correlation functions (directly)

The AdS/CFT correspondence

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory

Superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$

The AdS/CFT dictionary

- Operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM
- Single trace operators
- Multitrace operators
- Large *N_c* limit
- Operator dimension
- Nonplanar corrections
- OPE coefficients

- (quantized) string states in $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- \rightarrow single string states

 \equiv

- \rightarrow multistring states
- \rightarrow suffices to consider single string states
- ightarrow Energy of a string state in $\mathit{AdS}_5 imes S^5$
- \sim string interactions
- \sim string interactions

The AdS/CFT correspondence

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory

Superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$

The AdS/CFT dictionary

- Operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM \leftarrow
- Single trace operators
- Multitrace operators
- Large *N_c* limit
- Operator dimension
- Nonplanar corrections
- OPE coefficients

- (quantized) string states in $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- \rightarrow single string states

 \equiv

- → multistring states
- \rightarrow suffices to consider single string states
- ightarrow Energy of a string state in $AdS_5 imes S^5$
- \sim string interactions
- \sim string interactions

The AdS/CFT correspondence

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory

Superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Ξ

The AdS/CFT dictionary

- Single trace operators
- Multitrace operators
- Large N_c limit
- Operator dimension
- Nonplanar corrections
- **OPE** coefficients

- Operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ (quantized) string states in $AdS_5 \times S^5$
 - \leftrightarrow single string states
 - multistring states \longleftrightarrow
 - suffices to consider single string states \longleftrightarrow
 - Energy of a string state in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ \longleftrightarrow
 - string interactions \sim
 - string interactions \sim

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**

- A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed
 Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert
- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudache
- An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beis

- At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
- In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**



 A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert

- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudach
- An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beis

- At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
- In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**



 A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed

Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert

- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudac
- An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beise
- At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
- In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**



- A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert
- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudacher
 - An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beis
 - At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
 - In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**



- A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert
- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudacher
- An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beisert
- At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
- In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**



- A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert
- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudacher
- An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beisert



- At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
- In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**



- A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert
- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudacher
- An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beisert



- At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
 - In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**



- A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert
- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudacher
- An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beisert



- At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
- In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

Initially most of the progress in the development of integrability was on the **gauge theory side**



- A spin chain reformulation of the gauge theory dilatation operator was performed Minahan, Zarembo; Staudacher; Beisert
- All-order asymptotic Bethe Ansatz was proposed Beisert, Staudacher
- An S-matrix for spin chain excitations was derived Beisert



- At a certain loop order 'wrapping' graphs start to appear...
- In the integrable spin chain language no known way how to compute/treat...

- ► The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are quantum fields X^μ(τ, σ) on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- ▶ String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT) with the Lagrangian induced by the geometry of $AdS_5 \times S^5$

- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are quantum fields X^μ(τ, σ) on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- ▶ String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT) with the Lagrangian induced by the geometry of $AdS_5 \times S^5$



- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are quantum fields X^μ(τ, σ) on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- ▶ String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT) with the Lagrangian induced by the geometry of $AdS_5 \times S^5$

• Consider a closed string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$:



 The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are quantum fields X^μ(τ, σ) on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder

String theory in AdS₅ × S⁵ ≡ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT) with the Lagrangian induced by the geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵



- The embedding coordinates of the point (τ, σ) are quantum fields X^μ(τ, σ) on the worldsheet which has the geometry of a cylinder
- ▶ String theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv$ a specific two dimensional quantum field theory defined on a cylinder (worldsheet QFT) with the Lagrangian induced by the geometry of $AdS_5 \times S^5$

▶ Due to the curved geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵ this 2D worldsheet theory is interacting (and very complicated...)

review: Arutyunov, Frolov 0901.4937

This theory is not relativistic — it has a very nonstandard dispersion relation

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}} \qquad \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

- Despite this, some key properties of relativistic QFT's like crossing still hold...
- The key property that allowed for huge progress is the integrability of the theory

Due to the curved geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵ this 2D worldsheet theory is interacting (and very complicated...)

review: Arutyunov, Frolov 0901.4937

This theory is not relativistic — it has a very nonstandard dispersion relation

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}} \qquad \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

- Despite this, some key properties of relativistic QFT's like crossing still hold...
 RJ
- The key property that allowed for huge progress is the integrability of the theory

Due to the curved geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵ this 2D worldsheet theory is interacting (and very complicated...)

review: Arutyunov, Frolov 0901.4937

This theory is not relativistic — it has a very nonstandard dispersion relation

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}} \qquad \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

- Despite this, some key properties of relativistic QFT's like crossing still hold...
 RJ
- The key property that allowed for huge progress is the integrability of the theory
Due to the curved geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵ this 2D worldsheet theory is interacting (and very complicated...)

review: Arutyunov, Frolov 0901.4937

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}} \qquad \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

- Despite this, some key properties of relativistic QFT's like crossing still hold...
 RJ
- The key property that allowed for huge progress is the integrability of the theory

Due to the curved geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵ this 2D worldsheet theory is interacting (and very complicated...)

review: Arutyunov, Frolov 0901.4937

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}}$$
 $\lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$

- Despite this, some key properties of relativistic QFT's like crossing still hold...
- The key property that allowed for huge progress is the integrability of the theory

Due to the curved geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵ this 2D worldsheet theory is interacting (and very complicated...)

```
review: Arutyunov, Frolov 0901.4937
```

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}} \qquad \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

- Despite this, some key properties of relativistic QFT's like crossing still hold...
- The key property that allowed for huge progress is the integrability of the theory

► Due to the curved geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵ this 2D worldsheet theory is interacting (and very complicated...)

```
review: Arutyunov, Frolov 0901.4937
```

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}} \qquad \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

- Despite this, some key properties of relativistic QFT's like crossing still hold...
- The key property that allowed for huge progress is the integrability of the theory

► Due to the curved geometry of AdS₅ × S⁵ this 2D worldsheet theory is interacting (and very complicated...)

```
review: Arutyunov, Frolov 0901.4937
```

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}} \qquad \lambda \equiv g_{YM}^2 N_c$$

- Despite this, some key properties of relativistic QFT's like crossing still hold...
- The key property that allowed for huge progress is the integrability of the theory

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 \equiv energy levels of a single string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

 \equiv energy levels of this specific 2D integrable QFT on a cylinder

2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:

 \equiv string interactions

 \equiv the specific 2D integrable QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

≡ energy levels of a single string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ≡ energy levels of this specific 2D integrable QFT on a cylinder

- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
 - \equiv string interactions
 - \equiv the specific 2D integrable QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 \equiv energy levels of a single string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

 \equiv energy levels of this specific 2D integrable QFT on a cylinder

2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:

 \equiv string interactions

 \equiv the specific 2D integrable QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 \equiv energy levels of a single string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

 \equiv energy levels of this specific 2D integrable QFT on a cylinder



2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:

 \equiv string interactions

 \equiv the specific 2D integrable QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 \equiv energy levels of a single string in $\textit{AdS}_5 \times \textit{S}^5$

 \equiv energy levels of this specific 2D integrable QFT on a cylinder



2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:

 \equiv string interactions

 \equiv the specific 2D integrable QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 \equiv energy levels of a single string in $\textit{AdS}_5 \times \textit{S}^5$

 \equiv energy levels of this specific 2D integrable QFT on a cylinder



- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
 - \equiv string interactions
 - \equiv the specific 2D integrable QFT on a string 'pants' topology:

1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 \equiv energy levels of a single string in $\textit{AdS}_5 \times \textit{S}^5$

 \equiv energy levels of this specific 2D integrable QFT on a cylinder



- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
 - \equiv string interactions
 - \equiv the specific 2D integrable QFT on a string 'pants' topology:



1. Anomalous dimensions in the planar limit:

 \equiv energy levels of a single string in $\textit{AdS}_5 \times \textit{S}^5$

 \equiv energy levels of this specific 2D integrable QFT on a cylinder



- 2. Nonplanar corrections to the dilatation operator or OPE coefficients:
 - \equiv string interactions
 - \equiv the specific 2D integrable QFT on a string 'pants' topology:



Energy levels of a string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

... basically make no use of the Lagrangian...

Energy levels of a string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

... basically make no use of the Lagrangian...

I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

- 1. Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!
- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- **5.** ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix



I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

- **1.** Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!
- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- **5.** ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix



I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

1. Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!

- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- 5. ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix



I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

- 1. Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!
- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- **5.** ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix



I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

- 1. Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!
- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- 5. ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix



I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

- 1. Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!
- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- 5. ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix



I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

- 1. Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!
- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- 5. ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix



I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

- 1. Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!
- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- 5. ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix



I) solve the theory on an infinite plane

- 1. Particle momenta are completely unconstrained!
- 2. We may perform analytic continuation into the complex plane (of appropriate rapidities)
- 3. We get crossing equation
- 4. This together with unitarity...
- 5. ... and symmetry + Yang-Baxter equation
- 6. determines analytically the S-matrix

II) solve the theory on a (large!) cylinder

1. Bethe Ansatz Quantization

 $e^{ip_k\mathsf{L}}\prod_{l\neq k}S(p_k,p_l)=1$

2. Get the energies from

$$E = \sum_{k} E(p_k) = \sum_{k} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_k}{2}}$$

This gives the spectrum up to wrapping (Lüscher) corrections...

relativistic $\sim e^{-mL}$ weak coupling $\sim \lambda^L$ strong coupling $\sim e^{-\frac{2\pi L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$

II) solve the theory on a (large!) cylinder



1. Bethe Ansatz Quantization

 $e^{ip_k \mathsf{L}} \prod_{l \neq k} S(p_k, p_l) = 1$

2. Get the energies from

$$E = \sum_{k} E(p_k) = \sum_{k} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_k}{2}}$$

This gives the spectrum up to wrapping (Luscher) corrections... relativistic $\sim e^{-mL}$ weak coupling $\sim \lambda^{L}$ strong coupling $\sim e^{-\frac{2\pi L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$

II) solve the theory on a (large!) cylinder

1. Bethe Ansatz Quantization

 $e^{i\rho_k \mathsf{L}} \prod_{l \neq k} S(\rho_k, \rho_l) = 1$

2. Get the energies from

$$E = \sum_{k} E(p_k) = \sum_{k} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_k}{2}}$$

This gives the spectrum up to wrapping (Luscher) corrections... relativistic $\sim e^{-mL}$ weak coupling $\sim \lambda^L$ strong coupling $\sim e^{-\frac{2\pi L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$



II) solve the theory on a (large!) cylinder

1. Bethe Ansatz Quantization

$$e^{ip_k \mathsf{L}} \prod_{l \neq k} S(p_k, p_l) = 1$$

2. Get the energies from

$$E = \sum_{k} E(p_k) = \sum_{k} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_k}{2}}$$

This gives the spectrum up to wrapping (Lüscher) corrections...

relativistic $\sim e^{-mL}$ weak coupling $\sim \lambda^L$ strong coupling $\sim e^{-rac{2\pi L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$



II) solve the theory on a (large!) cylinder

1. Bethe Ansatz Quantization

$$e^{ip_k \mathsf{L}} \prod_{l \neq k} S(p_k, p_l) = 1$$

2. Get the energies from

$$E = \sum_{k} E(p_k) = \sum_{k} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_k}{2}}$$

This gives the spectrum up to wrapping (Lüscher) corrections...

relativistic $\sim e^{-mL}$ weak coupling $\sim \lambda^L$ strong coupling $\sim e^{-rac{2\pi L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$



II) solve the theory on a (large!) cylinder

1. Bethe Ansatz Quantization

$$e^{ip_k \mathsf{L}} \prod_{l \neq k} S(p_k, p_l) = 1$$

2. Get the energies from

$$E = \sum_{k} E(p_k) = \sum_{k} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_k}{2}}$$

This gives the spectrum up to wrapping (Lüscher) corrections...

relativistic $\sim e^{-mL}$ weak coupling $\sim \lambda^L$ strong coupling $\sim e^{-\frac{2\pi L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$



II) solve the theory on a (large!) cylinder

1. Bethe Ansatz Quantization

$$e^{ip_k \mathsf{L}} \prod_{l \neq k} S(p_k, p_l) = 1$$

2. Get the energies from

$$E = \sum_{k} E(p_k) = \sum_{k} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_k}{2}}$$

This gives the spectrum up to wrapping (Lüscher) corrections... relativistic $\sim e^{-mL}$ weak coupling $\sim \lambda^L$ strong coupling $\sim e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$



II) solve the theory on a (large!) cylinder

1. Bethe Ansatz Quantization

$$e^{ip_k \mathsf{L}} \prod_{l \neq k} S(p_k, p_l) = 1$$

2. Get the energies from

$$E = \sum_{k} E(p_k) = \sum_{k} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_k}{2}}$$

This gives the spectrum up to wrapping (Lüscher) corrections... relativistic $\sim e^{-mL}$ weak coupling $\sim \lambda^L$ strong coupling $\sim e^{-\frac{2\pi L}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$



III) Include leading wrapping corrections...

- generalized Lüscher formulas

► lack of relativistic invariance

RJ, Lukowski

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}}$$

need corrections for multiparticle states
Bajnok, RJ

III) Include leading wrapping corrections...

— generalized Lüscher formulas

lack of relativistic invariance

RJ, Lukowski

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}}$$

need corrections for multiparticle states
Bajnok, RJ

III) Include leading wrapping corrections...

- generalized Lüscher formulas





need corrections for multiparticle states
Bajnok, RJ

III) Include leading wrapping corrections...

- generalized Lüscher formulas



lack of relativistic invariance

RJ, Lukowski

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}}$$

need corrections for multiparticle states
Bajnok, RJ
III) Include leading wrapping corrections...

- generalized Lüscher formulas



lack of relativistic invariance

RJ, Lukowski

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}}$$

 need corrections for multiparticle states Bajnok, RJ

— on the gauge theory side these corrections correspond to graphs of the type:

III) Include leading wrapping corrections...

- generalized Lüscher formulas



lack of relativistic invariance

RJ, Lukowski

$$E(p) = \sqrt{1 + rac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 rac{p}{2}}$$

 need corrections for multiparticle states Bajnok, RJ

— on the gauge theory side these corrections correspond to graphs of the type:



IV) Exact answer: Resum all wrapping corrections Arutyunov, Frolov; Bombardelli, Fiorvanati, Tateo; Gromov, Kazakov, Vieira

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA) Zamolodchikov

$$E_0(L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-RH(L)}$$
$$\equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-LH(R)}$$

... can be generalized to excited states...

► TBA equations can be reformulated in terms of systems of functional equations with some analyticity conditions (here e.g. Y-system):

 $\frac{Y_{a,s}(u+i/2)Y_{a,s}(u-i/2)}{Y_{a+1,s}(u)Y_{a-1,s}(u)} = \frac{(1+Y_{a,s+1}(u))(1+Y_{a,s-1}(u))}{(1+Y_{a+1,s}(u))(1+Y_{a-1,s}(u))}$

In its most refined and efficient way this takes the form of Quantum Spectral Curve equations...

IV) Exact answer: Resum all wrapping corrections

Arutyunov, Frolov; Bombardelli, Fiorvanati, Tateo; Gromov, Kazakov, Vieira

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA)
Zamolodchikov

$$E_0(L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-RH(L)}$$
$$\equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-LH(R)}$$

... can be generalized to excited states...

► TBA equations can be reformulated in terms of systems of functional equations with some analyticity conditions (here e.g. Y-system):

$$\frac{Y_{a,s}(u+i/2)Y_{a,s}(u-i/2)}{Y_{a+1,s}(u)Y_{a-1,s}(u)} = \frac{(1+Y_{a,s+1}(u))(1+Y_{a,s-1}(u))}{(1+Y_{a+1,s}(u))(1+Y_{a-1,s}(u))}$$

In its most refined and efficient way this takes the form of Quantum Spectral Curve equations...

IV) Exact answer: Resum all wrapping corrections

Arutyunov, Frolov; Bombardelli, Fiorvanati, Tateo; Gromov, Kazakov, Vieira

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA)

Zamolodchikov

$$\overline{E}_{0}(L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-RH(L)}$$
$$\equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-LH(R)}$$

... can be generalized to excited states...

► TBA equations can be reformulated in terms of systems of functional equations with some analyticity conditions (here e.g. Y-system):

$$\frac{Y_{a,s}(u+i/2)Y_{a,s}(u-i/2)}{Y_{a+1,s}(u)Y_{a-1,s}(u)} = \frac{(1+Y_{a,s+1}(u))(1+Y_{a,s-1}(u))}{(1+Y_{a+1,s}(u))(1+Y_{a-1,s}(u))}$$

In its most refined and efficient way this takes the form of Quantum Spectral Curve equations...

Gromov, Kazakov, Leurent, Volin

14 / 27

IV) Exact answer: Resum all wrapping corrections

Arutyunov, Frolov; Bombardelli, Fiorvanati, Tateo; Gromov, Kazakov, Vieira

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA)

Zamolodchikov

 $R \bigcup_{L}^{H(R)} \uparrow H(L)$

$$E_0(L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-RH(L)}$$
$$\equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-LH(R)}$$

.. can be generalized to excited states...

► TBA equations can be reformulated in terms of systems of functional equations with some analyticity conditions (here e.g. Y-system):

$$\frac{Y_{a,s}(u+i/2)Y_{a,s}(u-i/2)}{Y_{a+1,s}(u)Y_{a-1,s}(u)} = \frac{(1+Y_{a,s+1}(u))(1+Y_{a,s-1}(u))}{(1+Y_{a+1,s}(u))(1+Y_{a-1,s}(u))}$$

In its most refined and efficient way this takes the form of Quantum Spectral Curve equations...

IV) Exact answer: Resum all wrapping corrections

Arutyunov, Frolov; Bombardelli, Fiorvanati, Tateo; Gromov, Kazakov, Vieira

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA)

f

$$E_0(L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-RH(L)}$$
$$\equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-LH(R)}$$

Zamolodchikov

... can be generalized to excited states...

TBA equations can be reformulated in terms of systems of functional equations with some analyticity conditions (here e.g. Y-system):

$$\frac{Y_{a,s}(u+i/2)Y_{a,s}(u-i/2)}{Y_{a+1,s}(u)Y_{a-1,s}(u)} = \frac{(1+Y_{a,s+1}(u))(1+Y_{a,s-1}(u))}{(1+Y_{a+1,s}(u))(1+Y_{a-1,s}(u))}$$

In its most refined and efficient way this takes the form of Quantum Spectral Curve equations...

IV) Exact answer: Resum all wrapping corrections

Arutyunov, Frolov; Bombardelli, Fiorvanati, Tateo; Gromov, Kazakov, Vieira

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA)
Zamolodchikov

€ L (H(k)) ↑ H(L)

$$E_0(L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-RH(L)}$$
$$\equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-LH(R)}$$

... can be generalized to excited states...

TBA equations can be reformulated in terms of systems of functional equations with some analyticity conditions (here e.g. Y-system):

 $\frac{Y_{a,s}(u+i/2)Y_{a,s}(u-i/2)}{Y_{a+1,s}(u)Y_{a-1,s}(u)} = \frac{(1+Y_{a,s+1}(u))(1+Y_{a,s-1}(u))}{(1+Y_{a+1,s}(u))(1+Y_{a-1,s}(u))}$

In its most refined and efficient way this takes the form of Quantum Spectral Curve equations...

IV) Exact answer: Resum all wrapping corrections

Arutyunov, Frolov; Bombardelli, Fiorvanati, Tateo; Gromov, Kazakov, Vieira

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA) Zamolodchikov

$$E_0(L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-RH(L)}$$
$$\equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-LH(R)}$$

... can be generalized to excited states...

► TBA equations can be reformulated in terms of systems of functional equations with some analyticity conditions (here e.g. Y-system):

$$\frac{Y_{a,s}(u+i/2)Y_{a,s}(u-i/2)}{Y_{a+1,s}(u)Y_{a-1,s}(u)} = \frac{(1+Y_{a,s+1}(u))(1+Y_{a,s-1}(u))}{(1+Y_{a+1,s}(u))(1+Y_{a-1,s}(u))}$$

In its most refined and efficient way this takes the form of Quantum Spectral Curve equations...

IV) Exact answer: Resum all wrapping corrections

Arutyunov, Frolov; Bombardelli, Fiorvanati, Tateo; Gromov, Kazakov, Vieira

Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA) Zamolodchikov

$$E_0(L) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-RH(L)}$$
$$\equiv \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{-1}{R} \log \operatorname{tr} e^{-LH(R)}$$

... can be generalized to excited states...

TBA equations can be reformulated in terms of systems of functional equations with some analyticity conditions (here e.g. Y-system):

$$\frac{Y_{a,s}(u+i/2)Y_{a,s}(u-i/2)}{Y_{a+1,s}(u)Y_{a-1,s}(u)} = \frac{(1+Y_{a,s+1}(u))(1+Y_{a,s-1}(u))}{(1+Y_{a+1,s}(u))(1+Y_{a-1,s}(u))}$$

In its most refined and efficient way this takes the form of Quantum Spectral Curve equations...

Gromov, Kazakov, Leurent, Volin

14 / 27

- How to define such quantities (like Y_{a,s}(u), or those appearing in the Quantum Spectral Curve) directly in the 4D gauge theory?
- Derive the functional equations on the gauge theory side?
- ▶ Get insight into the analytical properties? spectral parameter?

- This should hold only in the planar limit
- Beyond wrapping...

- How to define such quantities (like Y_{a,s}(u), or those appearing in the Quantum Spectral Curve) directly in the 4D gauge theory?
- Derive the functional equations on the gauge theory side?
- Get insight into the analytical properties? spectral parameter?

- This should hold only in the planar limit
- Beyond wrapping...

- How to define such quantities (like Y_{a,s}(u), or those appearing in the Quantum Spectral Curve) directly in the 4D gauge theory?
- Derive the functional equations on the gauge theory side?
- Get insight into the analytical properties? spectral parameter?

- This should hold only in the planar limit
- Beyond wrapping...

- ► How to define such quantities (like Y_{a,s}(u), or those appearing in the Quantum Spectral Curve) directly in the 4D gauge theory?
- Derive the functional equations on the gauge theory side?
- Get insight into the analytical properties? spectral parameter?

- This should hold only in the planar limit
- Beyond wrapping...

- ► How to define such quantities (like Y_{a,s}(u), or those appearing in the Quantum Spectral Curve) directly in the 4D gauge theory?
- Derive the functional equations on the gauge theory side?
- Get insight into the analytical properties? spectral parameter?

- This should hold only in the planar limit
- Beyond wrapping...

- ► How to define such quantities (like Y_{a,s}(u), or those appearing in the Quantum Spectral Curve) directly in the 4D gauge theory?
- Derive the functional equations on the gauge theory side?
- Get insight into the analytical properties? spectral parameter?

Comments:

This should hold only in the planar limit

Beyond wrapping...

- ► How to define such quantities (like Y_{a,s}(u), or those appearing in the Quantum Spectral Curve) directly in the 4D gauge theory?
- Derive the functional equations on the gauge theory side?
- Get insight into the analytical properties? spectral parameter?

- This should hold only in the planar limit
- Beyond wrapping...

- The integrable two-dimensional worldsheet theory was obtained within a particular gauge fixing of the 2D diffeomorphism+Weyl etc. symmetry of the string...
- What happens in other gauges? (e.g. AdS light cone gauge used by Roiban, Tsytlin)
- One should get distinct interacting integrable 2D QFT's which should nevertheless lead to exactly the same spectrum... — how can this happen?
- ▶ What is the story in the covariant pure spinor formulation???

- The integrable two-dimensional worldsheet theory was obtained within a particular gauge fixing of the 2D diffeomorphism+Weyl etc. symmetry of the string...
- What happens in other gauges? (e.g. AdS light cone gauge used by Roiban, Tsytlin)
- One should get distinct interacting integrable 2D QFT's which should nevertheless lead to exactly the same spectrum... — how can this happen?
- ▶ What is the story in the covariant pure spinor formulation???

- The integrable two-dimensional worldsheet theory was obtained within a particular gauge fixing of the 2D diffeomorphism+Weyl etc. symmetry of the string...
- What happens in other gauges? (e.g. AdS light cone gauge used by Roiban, Tsytlin)
- One should get distinct interacting integrable 2D QFT's which should nevertheless lead to exactly the same spectrum... — how can this happen?
- ▶ What is the story in the covariant pure spinor formulation???

- The integrable two-dimensional worldsheet theory was obtained within a particular gauge fixing of the 2D diffeomorphism+Weyl etc. symmetry of the string...
- What happens in other gauges? (e.g. AdS light cone gauge used by Roiban, Tsytlin)
- One should get distinct interacting integrable 2D QFT's which should nevertheless lead to exactly the same spectrum... — how can this happen?
- What is the story in the covariant pure spinor formulation???

- The integrable two-dimensional worldsheet theory was obtained within a particular gauge fixing of the 2D diffeomorphism+Weyl etc. symmetry of the string...
- What happens in other gauges? (e.g. AdS light cone gauge used by Roiban, Tsytlin)
- One should get distinct interacting integrable 2D QFT's which should nevertheless lead to exactly the same spectrum... — how can this happen?
- What is the story in the covariant pure spinor formulation???

- The integrable two-dimensional worldsheet theory was obtained within a particular gauge fixing of the 2D diffeomorphism+Weyl etc. symmetry of the string...
- What happens in other gauges? (e.g. AdS light cone gauge used by Roiban, Tsytlin)
- One should get distinct interacting integrable 2D QFT's which should nevertheless lead to exactly the same spectrum... — how can this happen?
- What is the story in the covariant pure spinor formulation???

- In a covariant gauge the worldsheet theory of a string is a 2D relativistic conformal field theory (CFT)
- ▶ We have a good understanding of what CFT's could lead to consistent (super)string theory (like *c* = 15)
- ► In specific light cone gauges in AdS₅ × S⁵ the worldsheet theory is not conformal but massive and integrable..
- ▶ What are the conditions to impose on a 2D integrable field theory so that it could arise from some consistent string theory in some gauge in some background?
- Can we generate in this way some new nontrivial solvable string theories/backgrounds?

- In a covariant gauge the worldsheet theory of a string is a 2D relativistic conformal field theory (CFT)
- ▶ We have a good understanding of what CFT's could lead to consistent (super)string theory (like c = 15)
- ► In specific light cone gauges in AdS₅ × S⁵ the worldsheet theory is not conformal but massive and integrable..
- ▶ What are the conditions to impose on a 2D integrable field theory so that it could arise from some consistent string theory in some gauge in some background?
- Can we generate in this way some new nontrivial solvable string theories/backgrounds?

- In a covariant gauge the worldsheet theory of a string is a 2D relativistic conformal field theory (CFT)
- ▶ We have a good understanding of what CFT's could lead to consistent (super)string theory (like c = 15)
- ► In specific light cone gauges in AdS₅ × S⁵ the worldsheet theory is not conformal but massive and integrable..
- ▶ What are the conditions to impose on a 2D integrable field theory so that it could arise from some consistent string theory in some gauge in some background?
- Can we generate in this way some new nontrivial solvable string theories/backgrounds?

- In a covariant gauge the worldsheet theory of a string is a 2D relativistic conformal field theory (CFT)
- ▶ We have a good understanding of what CFT's could lead to consistent (super)string theory (like c = 15)
- In specific light cone gauges in AdS₅ × S⁵ the worldsheet theory is not conformal but massive and integrable..
- What are the conditions to impose on a 2D integrable field theory so that it could arise from some consistent string theory in some gauge in some background?
- Can we generate in this way some new nontrivial solvable string theories/backgrounds?

- In a covariant gauge the worldsheet theory of a string is a 2D relativistic conformal field theory (CFT)
- We have a good understanding of what CFT's could lead to consistent (super)string theory (like c = 15)
- In specific light cone gauges in AdS₅ × S⁵ the worldsheet theory is not conformal but massive and integrable..
- What are the conditions to impose on a 2D integrable field theory so that it could arise from some consistent string theory in some gauge in some background?
- Can we generate in this way some new nontrivial solvable string theories/backgrounds?

- In a covariant gauge the worldsheet theory of a string is a 2D relativistic conformal field theory (CFT)
- ▶ We have a good understanding of what CFT's could lead to consistent (super)string theory (like c = 15)
- In specific light cone gauges in AdS₅ × S⁵ the worldsheet theory is not conformal but massive and integrable..
- What are the conditions to impose on a 2D integrable field theory so that it could arise from some consistent string theory in some gauge in some background?
- Can we generate in this way some new nontrivial solvable string theories/backgrounds?

String interactions in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

... no guidance from a relativistic integrable QFT setup...

String interactions in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

... no guidance from a relativistic integrable QFT setup...

- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach

Bajnok, KJ asso, Komatsu, Vieira

Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram

- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



вајпок, кј Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



вајпок, КЈ Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



Bajnok, RJ Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



Bajnok, Kj Basso, Komatsu, Vieira
- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



вајпок, кј Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



вајпок, кј Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



Bajnok, RJ Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



Bajnok, RJ

- Put the interacting worldsheet theory on a string pants diagram
- Previously we knew how to proceed only for a free worldsheet theory
 - massless free bosons and fermions in the case of flat spacetime
 - massive free bosons and fermions in the case of pp-wave background geometry
- These methods do not generalize to the interacting QFT case

Two approaches:

- 1. Decompactified string vertex
- 2. The hexagon approach



Bajnok, RJ Basso, Komatsu, Vieira

Bajnok, RJ

- ▶ The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...

- ► The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

- ▶ The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...

- ► The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

- The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...

- The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

- The theory was first solved on the infinite plane...
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...

- ► The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

- > The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...

- ▶ The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

- > The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...



- ► The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

- > The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...



- ▶ The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

- > The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...



- ▶ The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

Key insight:

- > The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...



► The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator

The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Bajnok, RJ

- The theory was first solved on the infinite plane..
- The infinite plane was crucial to have crossing equations and analyticity..
- ▶ We need a 'decompactified' version of the string pants diagram...



- ► The infinite past is string #3, infinite future is string #2 while the emission of string #1 looks like a nonlocal operator
- The effect of the nonlocal operator is like a form factor with e^{ipL} branch cut

Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex



- The exact pp-wave solution, involving the Γ_μ(θ) special function solves these equations and can be reconstructed from them!
- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections $e^{-\mu\alpha_1} = e^{-ML}$ for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex



$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1)$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = e^{-ip_1 L} N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1 - 2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta} N^{33}(\theta + i\pi, \theta) = (1 - e^{ipL}) F_0$$

- The exact pp-wave solution, involving the Γ_μ(θ) special function solves these equations and can be reconstructed from them!
- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e^{-µα1} = e^{-ML} for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex



$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1)$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = e^{-ip_1 L} N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1 - 2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta} N^{33}(\theta + i\pi, \theta) = (1 - e^{ipL}) F_0$$

- The exact pp-wave solution, involving the Γ_μ(θ) special function solves these equations and can be reconstructed from them!
- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e^{-µα1} = e^{-ML} for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex



$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1)$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = e^{-ip_1 L} N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1 - 2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta} N^{33}(\theta + i\pi, \theta) = (1 - e^{ipL}) F_0$$

- The exact pp-wave solution, involving the Γ_μ(θ) special function solves these equations and can be reconstructed from them!
- This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e^{-μα₁} = e^{-ML} for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and interacting worldsheet theory



$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1) \cdot \mathbf{S}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = e^{-ip_1 L} N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1 - 2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta} N^{33}(\theta + i\pi, \theta) = (1 - e^{ipL}) F_0$$

- The exact pp-wave solution, involving the Γ_μ(θ) special function solves these equations and can be reconstructed from them!
- This includes all exponential wrapping corrections e^{-μα₁} = e^{-ML} for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

Functional equations for the (decompactified) string vertex

written here for two incoming particles and interacting worldsheet theory



$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1) \cdot \mathbf{S}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

$$N^{33}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = e^{-ip_1 L} N^{33}(\theta_2, \theta_1 - 2\pi i)$$

$$-i \operatorname{res}_{\theta'=\theta} N^{33}(\theta + i\pi, \theta) = (1 - e^{ipL}) F_0$$

- The exact pp-wave solution, involving the Γ_μ(θ) special function solves these equations and can be reconstructed from them!
- ► This includes all exponential wrapping corrections $e^{-\mu\alpha_1} = e^{-ML}$ for the #1 string
- Straightforward generalization of the axioms to an interacting integrable QFT
- Analyticity conditions deduced from analyzing the known pp-wave solution...

- Functional equations difficult to solve in the $AdS_5 imes S^5$ case
- Currently only partial solution for two particles (a minimal L-dependent part of the full solution)

- 1. Find solutions of ordinary form factor axioms for $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- 2. Find solutions of the decompactified vertex for multiparticle states...
- 3. Check for relativistic theories (like sinh-Gordon)?

• Functional equations difficult to solve in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case

 Currently only partial solution for two particles (a minimal L-dependent part of the full solution)

- 1. Find solutions of ordinary form factor axioms for $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- 2. Find solutions of the decompactified vertex for multiparticle states...
- 3. Check for relativistic theories (like sinh-Gordon)?

- ▶ Functional equations difficult to solve in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case
- Currently only partial solution for two particles (a minimal L-dependent part of the full solution)

- **1.** Find solutions of ordinary form factor axioms for $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- 2. Find solutions of the decompactified vertex for multiparticle states...
- 3. Check for relativistic theories (like sinh-Gordon)?

- Functional equations difficult to solve in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case
- Currently only partial solution for two particles (a minimal L-dependent part of the full solution)

- 1. Find solutions of ordinary form factor axioms for $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- 2. Find solutions of the decompactified vertex for multiparticle states...
- 3. Check for relativistic theories (like sinh-Gordon)?

- Functional equations difficult to solve in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case
- Currently only partial solution for two particles (a minimal L-dependent part of the full solution)

- 1. Find solutions of ordinary form factor axioms for $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- 2. Find solutions of the decompactified vertex for multiparticle states...
- 3. Check for relativistic theories (like sinh-Gordon)?

- Functional equations difficult to solve in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case
- Currently only partial solution for two particles (a minimal L-dependent part of the full solution)

- 1. Find solutions of ordinary form factor axioms for $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- 2. Find solutions of the decompactified vertex for multiparticle states...
- 3. Check for relativistic theories (like sinh-Gordon)?

- Functional equations difficult to solve in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case
- Currently only partial solution for two particles (a minimal L-dependent part of the full solution)

- 1. Find solutions of ordinary form factor axioms for $AdS_5 \times S^5$
- 2. Find solutions of the decompactified vertex for multiparticle states...
- 3. Check for relativistic theories (like sinh-Gordon)?

- When we cut an edge we extend the relevant domains to infinity
- Split the state into two parts
- Implement gluing (and compactification) through putting particles on the mirror edges (≡ double Wick rotated – half crossing) and integrating over them ← still under development



- When we cut an edge we extend the relevant domains to infinity
- Split the state into two parts
- Implement gluing (and compactification) through putting particles on the mirror edges (≡ double Wick rotated – half crossing) and integrating over them ← still under development

Basso, Komatsu, Vieira



When we cut an edge we extend the relevant domains to infinity

- Split the state into two parts
- Implement gluing (and compactification) through putting particles on the mirror edges (≡ double Wick rotated – half crossing) and integrating over them ← still under development



- When we cut an edge we extend the relevant domains to infinity
- Split the state into two parts
- ► Implement gluing (and compactification) through putting particles on the mirror edges (≡ double Wick rotated – half crossing) and integrating over them ← still under development



- When we cut an edge we extend the relevant domains to infinity
- Split the state into two parts
- ► Implement gluing (and compactification) through putting particles on the mirror edges (≡ double Wick rotated – half crossing) and integrating over them ← still under development



- When we cut an edge we extend the relevant domains to infinity
- Split the state into two parts



- When we cut an edge we extend the relevant domains to infinity
- Split the state into two parts
- ► Implement gluing (and compactification) through putting particles on the mirror edges (≡ double Wick rotated – half crossing) and integrating over them ← still under development



- When we cut an edge we extend the relevant domains to infinity
- Split the state into two parts
- ► Implement gluing (and compactification) through putting particles on the mirror edges (≡ double Wick rotated – half crossing) and integrating over them ← still under development
- The hexagon functional equations have been solved for $AdS_5 \times S^5$!
- One gets explicit formulas for OPE coefficients at weak coupling (contributions of gluing appear at higher loop orders)
- The details of the gluing procedure have to be understood...
- One has *different* light-cone gauges on each of the three strings..

• The hexagon functional equations have been solved for $AdS_5 \times S^5$!

- One gets explicit formulas for OPE coefficients at weak coupling (contributions of gluing appear at higher loop orders)
- ▶ The details of the gluing procedure have to be understood...
- One has *different* light-cone gauges on each of the three strings..

- The hexagon functional equations have been solved for $AdS_5 \times S^5$!
- One gets explicit formulas for OPE coefficients at weak coupling (contributions of gluing appear at higher loop orders)
- ▶ The details of the gluing procedure have to be understood...
- One has *different* light-cone gauges on each of the three strings..

- The hexagon functional equations have been solved for $AdS_5 \times S^5$!
- One gets explicit formulas for OPE coefficients at weak coupling (contributions of gluing appear at higher loop orders)
- The details of the gluing procedure have to be understood...
- One has *different* light-cone gauges on each of the three strings..

- The hexagon functional equations have been solved for $AdS_5 \times S^5$!
- One gets explicit formulas for OPE coefficients at weak coupling (contributions of gluing appear at higher loop orders)
- The details of the gluing procedure have to be understood...
- One has *different* light-cone gauges on each of the three strings..

Question #4

► Analyze integrable QFT's on various topologies/geometries!

Question #4

> Analyze integrable QFT's on various topologies/geometries!

BFKL pomeron from Quantum Spectral Curve

Alfimov, Gromov, Kazakov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov

▶ Integrability for *AdS*₃/*CFT*₂...

Borsato, Ohlsson Sax, Sfondrini, Stefanski, Torrielli, Aniceto, Abbot,...

Integrability for deformed AdS backgrounds

Arutyunov, Frolov, de Leeuw, van Tongeren

► BFKL pomeron from Quantum Spectral Curve

Alfimov, Gromov, Kazakov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov

▶ Integrability for *AdS*₃/*CFT*₂...

Borsato, Ohlsson Sax, Sfondrini, Stefanski, Torrielli, Aniceto, Abbot,...

Integrability for deformed AdS backgrounds

Arutyunov, Frolov, de Leeuw, van Tongeren

BFKL pomeron from Quantum Spectral Curve

Alfimov, Gromov, Kazakov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov

▶ Integrability for *AdS*₃/*CFT*₂...

Borsato, Ohlsson Sax, Sfondrini, Stefanski, Torrielli, Aniceto, Abbot,...

Integrability for deformed AdS backgrounds

Arutyunov, Frolov, de Leeuw, van Tongeren

BFKL pomeron from Quantum Spectral Curve

Alfimov, Gromov, Kazakov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov

▶ Integrability for *AdS*₃/*CFT*₂...

Borsato, Ohlsson Sax, Sfondrini, Stefanski, Torrielli, Aniceto, Abbot,...

Integrability for deformed AdS backgrounds

Arutyunov, Frolov, de Leeuw, van Tongeren

BFKL pomeron from Quantum Spectral Curve

Alfimov, Gromov, Kazakov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov

▶ Integrability for *AdS*₃/*CFT*₂...

Borsato, Ohlsson Sax, Sfondrini, Stefanski, Torrielli, Aniceto, Abbot,...

Integrability for deformed AdS backgrounds

Arutyunov, Frolov, de Leeuw, van Tongeren

Resurgence properties of the exact answers from integrability

Aniceto; Dorigoni, Hatsuda; Arutyunov, Dorigoni, Savin

- ▶ We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of N = 4 SYM conformal dimensions
- The string description was absolutely crucial for that (use 2D QFT methods for a 4D QFT)
- It would be interesting to understand the relevant structures (like Quantum Spectral Curve) directly on the gauge theory side
- Integrable worldsheet theory in other gauges??
- Significant progress for string interactions/OPE coefficients
- Relevant setup: integrable QFT on nontrivial topologies/geometries

► We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of N = 4 SYM conformal dimensions

- The string description was absolutely crucial for that (use 2D QFT methods for a 4D QFT)
- It would be interesting to understand the relevant structures (like Quantum Spectral Curve) directly on the gauge theory side
- Integrable worldsheet theory in other gauges??
- Significant progress for string interactions/OPE coefficients
- ▶ Relevant setup: integrable QFT on nontrivial topologies/geometries

- ► We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of N = 4 SYM conformal dimensions
- The string description was absolutely crucial for that (use 2D QFT methods for a 4D QFT)
- It would be interesting to understand the relevant structures (like Quantum Spectral Curve) directly on the gauge theory side
- Integrable worldsheet theory in other gauges??
- Significant progress for string interactions/OPE coefficients
- ▶ Relevant setup: integrable QFT on nontrivial topologies/geometries

- ► We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of N = 4 SYM conformal dimensions
- The string description was absolutely crucial for that (use 2D QFT methods for a 4D QFT)
- It would be interesting to understand the relevant structures (like Quantum Spectral Curve) directly on the gauge theory side
- Integrable worldsheet theory in other gauges??
- Significant progress for string interactions/OPE coefficients
- ▶ Relevant setup: integrable QFT on nontrivial topologies/geometries

- ► We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of N = 4 SYM conformal dimensions
- The string description was absolutely crucial for that (use 2D QFT methods for a 4D QFT)
- It would be interesting to understand the relevant structures (like Quantum Spectral Curve) directly on the gauge theory side
- Integrable worldsheet theory in other gauges??
- Significant progress for string interactions/OPE coefficients
- Relevant setup: integrable QFT on nontrivial topologies/geometries

- ► We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of N = 4 SYM conformal dimensions
- The string description was absolutely crucial for that (use 2D QFT methods for a 4D QFT)
- It would be interesting to understand the relevant structures (like Quantum Spectral Curve) directly on the gauge theory side
- Integrable worldsheet theory in other gauges??
- Significant progress for string interactions/OPE coefficients
- ▶ Relevant setup: integrable QFT on nontrivial topologies/geometries

- ► We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of N = 4 SYM conformal dimensions
- The string description was absolutely crucial for that (use 2D QFT methods for a 4D QFT)
- It would be interesting to understand the relevant structures (like Quantum Spectral Curve) directly on the gauge theory side
- Integrable worldsheet theory in other gauges??
- Significant progress for string interactions/OPE coefficients
- Relevant setup: integrable QFT on nontrivial topologies/geometries

- ► We have a very good understanding of the spectrum of N = 4 SYM conformal dimensions
- The string description was absolutely crucial for that (use 2D QFT methods for a 4D QFT)
- It would be interesting to understand the relevant structures (like Quantum Spectral Curve) directly on the gauge theory side
- Integrable worldsheet theory in other gauges??
- Significant progress for string interactions/OPE coefficients
- Relevant setup: integrable QFT on nontrivial topologies/geometries