Numerical Stochastic Perturbation Theory (NSPT)

F. Di Renzo University of Parma and INFN





Rethinking Quantum Field Theory

DESY Hamburg, 27-09-2016

An invitation

Perturbation Theory (PT) is nothing less than ubiquitous in Field Theory. In principle the lattice is a regulator among the others ... in practice it is a dreadful one so that when it comes to compute something in Lattice Perturbation Theory (LPT) you will probably start to get nervous ...



NUCLEAR PHYSICS B



Nuclear Physics B 457 (1995) 202-216

Renormalons from eight-loop expansion of the gluon condensate in lattice gauge theory *

F. Di Renzo^a, E. Onofri^a, G. Marchesini^b

* Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Parma and INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, Italy ^b Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano and INFN, Sezione di Milano, Italy

Received 3 April 1995; revised 31 July 1995; accepted 5 October 1995

PRL 108, 242002 (2012)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 15 JUNE 2012

Compelling Evidence of Renormalons in QCD from High Order Perturbative Expansions

Clemens Bauer,¹ Gunnar S. Bali,¹ and Antonio Pineda² ¹Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany ²Grup de Física Teòrica, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain (Received 16 November 2011; published 12 June 2012)

We compute the static self-energy of SU(3) gauge theory in four spacetime dimensions to order α^{20} in the strong coupling constant α . We employ lattice regularization to enable a numerical simulation within the framework of stochastic perturbation theory. We find perfect agreement with the factorial growth of high order coefficients predicted by the conjectured renormalon picture based on the operator product expansion.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.242002

Agenda

- Basics of Stochastic Quantization and Stochastic Perturbation Theory
- From Stochastic Perturbation Theory to NSPT (moving straight to LGT)
- Stochastic Gauge Fixing
- Fermionic loops in NSPT
- A few different frameworks for NSPT (i.e. a few handles to possibly improve it)
- A canonical application: renormalization constants
- Something maybe more field-theoretic (numerics stumbles on fundamental QFT...)
 - a. Renormalons
 - b. Resurgence?
- (*) Of course I had to make a selection; other interesting projects run in NSPT ...
- Conclusions

Basics of Stochastic Quantization and Stochastic Perturbation Theory

Basics of Stochastic Quantization and Stochastic Perturbation Theory

You start with a field theory you want to solve

Parisi-Wu, Sci. Sinica 24 (1981) 35, Damgaard-Huffel, Phys Rept 152 (1987) 227

You now want an extra degree of freedom which you will think of as a stochastic time in which an evolution takes place according to the Langevin equation

$$\phi(x) \mapsto \phi_{\eta}(x;t)$$

 $\frac{d\phi_{\eta}(x;t)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial S[\phi]}{\partial\phi_{\eta}(x;t)} + \eta(x;t)$

 $\langle \dots \rangle_{\eta} = \frac{\int D\eta(z,\tau) \dots e^{-\frac{1}{4} \int dz d\tau \eta^{2}(z,\tau)}}{\int Dn(z,\tau) e^{-\frac{1}{4} \int dz d\tau \eta^{2}(z,\tau)}}$

 $\langle O[\phi] \rangle = \frac{\int D\phi \ O[\phi] \ e^{-S[\phi]}}{\int D\phi \ e^{-S[\phi]}}$

The drift term is given by the equations of motion...

... but beware! This is a stochastic differential equation due to the presence of the gaussian noise

$$\eta(x;t): \quad \langle \eta(x,t) \ \eta(x',t') \rangle_{\eta} = 2\,\delta(x-x')\,\,\delta(t-t')$$

Noise expectation values are now naturally defined

The key assertion of Stochastic Quantization can be now simply stated

$$\langle O[\phi_{\eta}(x_1;t)\dots\phi_{\eta}(x_n;t)]\rangle_{\eta} \rightarrow_{t\to\infty} \langle O[\phi(x_1)\dots\phi(x_n)]\rangle$$

A conceptually simple proof comes from the Fokker Planck equation formalism

$$\langle O[\phi_{\eta}(t)] \rangle_{\eta} = \frac{\int D\eta \, O[\phi_{\eta}(t)] \, e^{-\frac{1}{4} \int dz d\tau \eta^{2}(z,\tau)}}{\int D\eta \, e^{-\frac{1}{4} \int dz d\tau \eta^{2}(z,\tau)}} = \int D\phi \, O[\phi] \, P[\phi,t]$$

$$\dot{P}[\phi,t] = \int dx \, \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(x)} \left(\frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(x)} \right) P[\phi,t]$$
Floratos-Iliopoulos, Nucl.Phys. B 214 (1983) 392

for the solution of which we can introduce a perturbative expansion which generates a hierarchy of equations

$$P[\phi, t] = \sum_{k=0} g^k P_k[\phi, t]$$

Leading order is easy to solve and admits an infinite time (equilibrium) limit such that

$$P_0[\phi, t] \to_{t \to \infty} P_0^{eq}[\phi] = \frac{e^{-S_0[\phi]}}{Z_0}$$

In a convenient weak sense at every order one gets equilibrium $P_k[\phi,t] \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_k^{eq}[\phi]$

in terms of quantities which are interelated by a set of relations in which one recognizes the Schwinger-Dyson equations ... i.e. we are done!

We want to go via another expansion, i.e. the expansion of the solution of Langevin equation in power of the coupling constant

$$\phi_{\eta}(x;t) = \phi_{\eta}^{(0)}(x;t) + \sum_{n>0} g^n \phi_{\eta}^{(n)}(x;t)$$

Parisi-Wu, Damgaard-Huffel

Langevin equation for the free scalar field (momentum space)

Look for (propagator)
$$\phi(k,t) = \int_0^t d\tau \, G(k,t-\tau) \, \eta(k,\tau) \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G^{(0)}(k,t) = -(k^2 + m^2) \, G^{(0)}(k,t) + \delta(t)$$

i.e.
$$G^{(0)}(k,t) = \theta(t) \exp\left(-(k^2 + m^2)t\right)$$
$$\phi^{(0)}(k,t) = \phi^{(0)}(k,0) \exp\left(-(k^2 + m^2)t\right) + \int_0^t d\tau \exp\left(-(k^2 + m^2)(t-\tau)\right)\eta(k,\tau)$$

Interacting case (cubic interaction in the following) is solved by superposition ...

$$\phi(k,t) = \int_0^t d\tau \exp(-(k^2 + m^2)(t-\tau)) \left[\eta(k,\tau) - \frac{\lambda}{2!} \int \frac{dpdq}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \phi(p,\tau) \phi(q,\tau) \,\delta(k-p-q) \right]$$

... which leaves the solution in a form which is ready for iteration. It is actually also ready for a graphical intepretation and for the formulation of a

diagrammatic Stochastic Perturbation Theory





The stochastic diagrams one obtains when averaging over the noise (contractions!) reconstruct, in a convenient infinite time limit, the contributions of the (topologically) correspondent Feynman diagrams ...

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{\eta}^{(0)}(k,t) = -(k^2 + m^2)\phi_{\eta}^{(0)}(k,t) + \eta(k,t)$

but we do not want to go this way ...

From Stochastic Perturbation Theory to NSPT (directly for LGT...)

Stochastic Quantization for LGT Batrouni et al (Cornell group) PRD 32 (1985)

We now start with the Wilson action
$$S_G = -\frac{\beta}{2N_c} \sum_P \operatorname{Tr}\left(U_P + U_P^{\dagger}\right)$$

We now deal with a theory formulated in terms of group variables and Langevin equation reads

$$U_{\mu x} = e^{A_{\mu}(x)}$$
where the Lie derivative is in place
$$\nabla_{x\mu} = T^a \nabla^a_{x\mu} = T^a \nabla^a_{U_{x\mu}} \qquad \nabla^a_V f(V) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} (f\left(e^{i\alpha T^a}V\right) - f(V))$$

This is again a stochastic differential equation with (gaussian) noise averages satisfying

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \langle O[U(t;\eta)] \rangle_{\eta} = \frac{1}{Z} \int DU \, e^{-S_G[U]} \, O[U]$$

In order to proceed we now need a (numerical) integration scheme to simulate, e.g. Euler

$$U_{x\mu}(n+1;\eta) = e^{-F_{x\mu}[U,\eta]} U_{x\mu}(n;\eta) \qquad \qquad F_{x\mu}[U,\eta] = \epsilon \nabla_{x\mu} S_G[U] + \sqrt{\epsilon} \eta_{x\mu}$$

$$F_{x\mu}[U,\eta] = \frac{\epsilon\beta}{4N_c} \sum_{U_P \supset U_{x\mu}} \left[\left(U_P - U_P^{\dagger} \right) - \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} \left(U_P - U_P^{\dagger} \right) \right] + \sqrt{\epsilon} \eta_{x\mu} \left\langle \eta_{i,k}(z) \ \eta_{l,m}(w) \right\rangle_{\eta} = \left[\delta_{il} \ \delta_{km} - \frac{1}{N_c} \ \delta_{ik} \ \delta_{lm} \right] \delta_{zw}$$

Now we look for a solution in the form of a perturbative expansion

$$U_{x\mu}(t;\eta) \to 1 + \sum_{k=1} \beta^{-k/2} U_{x\mu}^{(k)}(t;\eta)$$

then we plug it into the (numerical scheme!) Langevin equation and get a hierarchy of equations!

$$U^{(1)'} = U^{(1)} - F^{(1)}$$

$$U^{(2)'} = U^{(2)} - F^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}F^{(1)2} - F^{(1)}U^{(1)}$$

$$U^{(3)'} = U^{(3)} - F^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}(F^{(2)}F^{(1)} + F^{(1)}F^{(2)}) - \frac{1}{3!}F^{(1)3} - (F^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}F^{(1)2})U^{(1)} - F^{(1)}U^{(2)}$$
...

In practice: we do not look closely at the (underlying) Stochastic Perturbation Theory because the computer is going to (numerically) take care of it and all that you are interested in are the observables, for which

$$\langle O[\sum_{k} g^{k} \phi_{\eta}^{(k)}(t)] \rangle_{\eta} = \sum_{k} g^{k} \langle O_{k}(t) \rangle_{\eta} \qquad \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} \langle O_{k}(t) \rangle_{\eta} = \lim_{T \to \infty} 1/T \sum_{j=1}^{T} O_{k}(jn)$$

Beware! Lattice PT is (always!) a decompactification of lattice formulation, so that ultimately one should be able to make contact with the continuum Langevin equation, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A^a_{\mu}(\eta, x; t) = D^{ab}_{\nu}F^b_{\nu\mu}(\eta, x; t) + \eta^a_{\mu}(x; t)$$

Where has this gone?

We did not loose anything, since we can always think of all this in the algebra

$$\begin{split} A_{x\mu}(t;\eta) &\to \sum_{k=1} \beta^{-k/2} A_{x\mu}^{(k)}(t;\eta) \\ A &= \log(U) = \log\left(1 + \sum_{k>0} \beta^{-\frac{k}{2}} U^{(k)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} U^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(U^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} U^{(1)}{}^2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(U^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(U^{(1)} U^{(2)} + U^{(2)} U^{(1)}\right) + \frac{1}{3} U^{(1)}{}^3\right) + \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} A^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\beta} A^{(2)} + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} A^{(3)} + \dots \qquad \qquad A^{(k)\dagger} = -A^{(k)} \quad \operatorname{Tr} A^{(k)} = 0 \quad \forall k \end{split}$$

and the (expanded) Langevin equation now reads

$$A^{(1)'} = A^{(1)} - F^{(1)}$$

$$A^{(2)'} = A^{(2)} - F^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \left[F^{(1)}, A^{(1)} \right]$$

$$A^{(3)'} = A^{(3)} - F^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} \left[F^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[F^{(2)}, A^{(1)} \right] + \frac{1}{12} \left[F^{(1)}, \left[F^{(1)}, A^{(1)} \right] \right] + \frac{1}{12} \left[A^{(1)}, \left[F^{(1)}, A^{(1)} \right] \right]$$

... which I wanted to specify because it is an effective way of preparing for the fact that this is not the end of the story! Problems are going to pop out which we have to take care of ...

Stochastic Gauge Fixing

Stochastic Gauge Fixing D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. B 192 (1981) 259

Let's go back to the continuum

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A^a_{\mu}(\eta, x; t) = D^{ab}_{\nu}F^b_{\nu\mu}(\eta, x; t) + \eta^a_{\mu}(x; t)$$

whose expanded version has a (momentum space) solution

$$A^{(n)a}_{\mu}(k;t) = T^{ab}_{\mu\nu} \int_0^t ds \, e^{-k^2(t-s)} f^{(n)b}_{\nu}(k,s) + L^{ab}_{\mu\nu} \int_0^t ds \, f^{(n)b}_{\nu}(k,s)$$

in which vertices pop in (as they should ...)

$$f_{\nu}^{(0)a}(k;t) = \eta_{\nu}(k;t)^{a} \qquad \qquad f_{\nu}^{(n)a}(k;t) = gI_{\mu}^{(3)(n-1)a}(k;t) + g^{2}I_{\mu}^{(4)(n-2)a}(k;t)$$

$$gI_{\mu}^{(3)a}(k;t) = \frac{igf^{abc}}{2(2\pi)^{n}} \int dp dq \,\delta(k+p+q) \,A_{\nu}^{b}(-p;t) \,A_{\sigma}^{c}(-q;t) \,v_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{(3)}(k,p,q)$$
$$v_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{(3)}(k,p,q) = \delta_{\mu\nu}(k-p)_{\sigma} + \text{cyclic permutations}$$

Remember the scalar case ... $\phi(k,t) = \int_0^t d\tau \exp{-(k^2 + m^2)(t - \tau)} \left[\eta(k,\tau) - \frac{\lambda}{3!} \int \frac{dp dq ds}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \phi(p,\tau) \phi(q,\tau) \phi(s,\tau) \delta(k-p-q-s) \right]$

BUT ALL THIS IS GOING TO BE ONLY FORMAL ... WE WILL NOT OBTAIN LONG TIME CONVERGENCE BECAUSE OF THE LOSS OF DAMPING IN THE LONGITUDINAL (NON-gauge-invariant) SECTOR

SOLUTION: add an extra piece

$$\dot{A}^a_\mu(x;t) = -\frac{\delta S[A]}{\delta A^a_\mu(x;t)} \underbrace{D^{ab}_\mu V^b[A,t]}_\mu \eta^a_\mu(x;t)$$

Any functional evolves like

$$\frac{\partial F[A]}{\partial t} = \int dx \, \frac{\delta F[A]}{\delta A^a_\mu(x;t)} \, \frac{\partial A^a_\mu(x;t)}{\partial t}$$

but **GAUGE INVARIANT** ones are such that

$$D^{ab}_{\mu} \frac{\delta F[A]}{\delta A^b_{\mu}(x)} = 0$$

and thus physics is unaffected! (integration by parts ...) ... while if we make a convenient choice for the extra term we have new damping factors in place!

$$-D^{ab}_{\mu}V^{b} = \frac{1}{\alpha}D^{ab}_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}A^{b}_{\nu} \qquad A^{a(n)}_{\mu}(k;t) = T_{\mu\nu}\int_{0}^{t}ds\,e^{-k^{2}(t-s)}f^{a(n)}_{\nu}(k,s) + L_{\mu\nu}\int_{0}^{t}ds\,e^{-\frac{k^{2}}{\alpha}(t-s)}f^{a(n)}_{\nu}(k,s)$$

On the lattice we interleave a gauge fixing step to the Langevin evolution

$$U'_{x\mu} = e^{-F_{x\mu}[U,\eta]} U_{x\mu}(n)$$
$$U_{x\mu}(n+1) = e^{w_x[U']} U'_{x\mu} e^{-w_{x+\hat{\mu}}[U']}$$

which has by the way an obvious interpretation

$$U_{x\mu}(n+1) = e^{-F_{x\mu}[U^G, \, G\eta G^{\dagger}]} \, U_{x\mu}^G(n)$$



Figure 1. The effect of stochastic gauge fixing.

Fermionic loops in NSPT

FERMIONIC LOOPS in NSPT Di Renzo, Scorzato 2001

Let's add fermions (Wilson fermions, in this case) in the Langevin equation

$$\begin{split} S_{F}^{(W)} &= \sum_{xy} \bar{\psi}_{x} M_{xy}[U] \psi_{y} \\ &= \sum_{x} (m+4) \bar{\psi}_{x} \psi_{x} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x\mu} \left(\bar{\psi}_{x+\hat{\mu}} \left(1 + \gamma_{\mu} \right) U_{x\mu}^{\dagger} \psi_{x} + \bar{\psi}_{x} \left(1 - \gamma_{\mu} \right) U_{x\mu} \psi_{x+\hat{\mu}} \right) \end{split}$$
From the point of view of the functional integral measure
$$e^{-S_{G}} \det M = e^{-S_{eff}} = e^{-(S_{G} - T_{F} \ln M)}$$
and in turns
$$\nabla_{x\mu}^{a} S_{G} \mapsto \nabla_{x\mu}^{a} S_{eff} = \nabla_{x\mu}^{a} S_{G} - \nabla_{x\mu}^{a} \operatorname{Tr} \ln M \in \nabla_{x\mu}^{a} S_{G} - \operatorname{Tr} \left((\nabla_{x\mu}^{a} M) M^{-1} \right) \right)$$
Batrouni et al (Cornell group) PRD 32 (1985)
In
$$U_{x\mu}(n+1;\eta) = e^{-F_{x\mu}[U,\eta]} U_{x\mu}(n;\eta) \text{ we now write}$$

$$F = T^{a} (\epsilon \Phi^{a} + \sqrt{\epsilon} \eta^{a}) \qquad \Phi^{a} = \left[\nabla_{x\mu}^{a} S_{G} - \operatorname{Re} \left(\xi_{k}^{\dagger} (\nabla_{x\mu}^{a} M)_{kl} (M^{-1})_{ln} \xi_{n} \right) \right]$$
where
$$\langle \xi_{i}\xi_{j}\rangle_{\xi} = \delta_{ij} \text{ or (this is what we always do)}$$

$$\Phi^{a} = \left[\nabla_{x\mu}^{a} S_{G} - \operatorname{Re} \left(\xi_{l}^{\dagger} (\nabla_{x\mu}^{a} M)_{ln} \psi_{n} \right) \right] \qquad M_{kl}\psi_{l} = \xi_{k}$$

From a numerical point of view this boils down to the (technically challenging) problem of inverting the Dirac operator efficiently. This is a heavy task, making unquenched simulations much more demanding in terms of computer time.

But we have not put our expansion in the coupling in place! Once we do it, we find much less problems than expected from the non-perturbative simulations point of view!

$$M = M^{(0)} + \sum_{k>0} \beta^{-k/2} M^{(k)} \qquad M^{-1} = M^{(0)^{-1}} + \sum_{k>0} \beta^{-k/2} M^{-1}^{(k)}$$

In NSPT we have to deal with only one inverse (known once and for all: the Feynman free propagator) plus a tower of recursive relations

$$M^{-1(1)} = -M^{(0)^{-1}} M^{(1)} M^{(0)^{-1}}$$

$$M^{-1(2)} = -M^{(0)^{-1}} M^{(2)} M^{(0)^{-1}} - M^{(0)^{-1}} M^{(1)} M^{-1(1)}$$

$$M^{-1(3)} = -M^{(0)^{-1}} M^{(3)} M^{(0)^{-1}} - M^{(0)^{-1}} M^{(2)} M^{-1(1)} - M^{(0)^{-1}} M^{(1)} M^{-1(2)}$$

i.e.
$$M^{-1(n)} = -M^{(0)^{-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M^{(n-j)} M^{(j)^{-1}}$$

This has a direct counterpart in the solution of the linear system we have to face, which is also translated into a perturbative version (beware! the noise source is 0-th order)

$$\psi^{(0)} = M^{(0)^{-1}} \xi$$

$$\psi^{(1)} = -M^{(0)^{-1}} M^{(1)} \psi^{(0)}$$

$$\psi^{(2)} = -M^{(0)^{-1}} \left[M^{(2)} \psi^{(0)} + M^{(1)} \psi^{(1)} \right]$$

$$\psi^{(3)} = -M^{(0)^{-1}} \left[M^{(3)} \psi^{(0)} + M^{(2)} \psi^{(1)} + M^{(1)} \psi^{(2)} \right]$$

i.e. $\psi^{(n)} = -M^{(0)^{-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M^{(n-j)} \psi^{(j)}$

with $M^{(0)}^{-1}$ the (tree-level, field independent) Feynman propagator

 $\psi^{(j)} \equiv M^{-1}{}^{(j)}$

which is particularly nice, since it can be solved by going back and forth from momentum to coordinate representation!

A few different frameworks for NSPT (i.e. a few handles to possibly improve it)

(there are projects going on this!)

There are various formulations of NSPT one can think of ...

(1) Is Langevin the only stochastic equation one can play with in NSPT? NO! e.g. Stochastic Molecular Dynamics (SMD Horowitz 1985 ...) (not to mention ISPT Luescher 2014)



$$\eta(x;t): \quad \langle \eta(x,t) \ \eta(x',t') \rangle_{\eta} = 4 \,\mu_0 \,\delta(x-x') \,\delta(t-t')$$

which is Langevin for $\mu_0 \to \infty$

Notice that one can tune the lattice parameter $\gamma = 2 \mu_0 a$ to minimize errors! (which depend on both autocorrelation times and standard deviations (*)!) (*) subtle issues in the continuum limit!

Dalla Brida Kennedy Garofalo 2015 Dalla Brida Luescher 2016 (Gradient Flow!)

```
(2) Numerical integrators (numerical integration schemes) DO MATTER!
... and of course various combinations are possible ... e.g.
```

```
(2a) Langevin with 2nd order integrator
```

(2b) Stochastic Molecular Dynamics with 4th order OMF integrator

Dalla Brida Kennedy Garofalo 2015 Dalla Brida Luescher 2016 Bali Bauer Torrero 2008

- A canonical application: renormalization constants

Renormalization constants used to be the realm of LPT ...

... but these days this is NOT the case. A non-perturbative determination (where possible) is now the preferred choice (RI-MOM Rome group, SF ALPHA 90s). Still,

Renormalization is strictly speaking proved in PT There are different systematics involved in PT and non-PT ... and at some point PT is supposed to converge (this is a UV problem ...)

The RI-MOM schemes (Rome group 1994) are a good framework (in the massless limit). Being the scheme Regulator Independent, the coefficients of the logs are known! ... and the finite parts are the easy part in NSPT ...

Let's see how it works for quark bilinear (currents)

$$G_{\Gamma}(p) = \int dx \, \langle p | \, \overline{\psi}(x) \Gamma \psi(x) \, | p \rangle \qquad \Gamma_{\Gamma}(p) = S^{-1}(p) \, G_{\Gamma}(p) \, S^{-1}(p) \qquad O_{\Gamma}(p) = Tr \left(\hat{P}_{O_{\Gamma}} \Gamma_{\Gamma}(p) \right)$$
$$Z_{O_{\Gamma}}(\mu, \alpha) Z_{q}^{-1}(\mu, \alpha) O_{\Gamma}(p)|_{p^{2} = \mu^{2}} = 1 \qquad Z_{q}(\mu, \alpha) = -i \frac{1}{12} \frac{Tr(\not p S^{-1}(p))}{p^{2}}|_{p^{2} = \mu^{2}}$$

We know what to expect $Z(\mu,\alpha_0) = 1 + \sum_{n>0} d_n(l) \, \alpha_0^n \qquad d_n(l) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_n^{(i)} l^i \qquad l \equiv \log(\mu a)^2$

A key ingredient is the quark 2-points function (beware! we will work with Wilson fermions...)

What one really computes is

$$Z_{O_{\Gamma}}(\mu = p, \beta^{-1})|_{\text{finite part}} = \lim_{\substack{a \to 0 \\ L \to \infty}} \frac{\widehat{\Sigma}_{\gamma}(\hat{p}, pL, \bar{\mu})}{\widehat{O}_{\Gamma}(\hat{p}, pL)}|_{\text{log subtr}}$$

where the limits are encoded in expansions, e.g.

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{\gamma}(\hat{p}, pL, \bar{\mu})|_{\text{log subtr}} = c_1^{(0)} + c_2^{(0)} \sum_{\nu} \hat{p}_{\nu}^2 + c_3^{(0)} \frac{\sum_{\nu} \hat{p}_{\nu}^4}{\sum_{\nu} \hat{p}_{\nu}^2} + c_1^{(1)} p_{\bar{\mu}}^2 + \Delta \widehat{\Sigma}_{\gamma}(pL) + \mathcal{O}(a^4)$$

and finite size effects come from

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{\gamma}(\hat{p}, pL, \bar{\mu}) \equiv \widehat{\Sigma}_{\gamma}(\hat{p}, \infty, \bar{\mu}) + \Delta \widehat{\Sigma}_{\gamma}(\hat{p}, pL, \bar{\mu}) \qquad \Delta \widehat{\Sigma}_{\gamma}(\hat{p}, pL, \bar{\mu}) \sim \Delta \widehat{\Sigma}_{\gamma}(pL)$$



Three-loop computations of RI-MOM renormalization constants (*) Parma group 2007, 2013, 2014 (*) for different glue action

Something maybe more field-theoretic (numerics stumbles on fundamental QFT...) a. Renormalons

An old goal: a lattice determination of the gluon condensate ...

... where an OPE is in place ...

... now the plaquette is our observable V

$$W(N) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \langle \mathrm{Tr} U_p \rangle$$

 $W = \left\langle \alpha_s F^2 \right\rangle / Q^4 = W_0 + \left(\Lambda^4 / Q^4 \right) W_4 + \cdots$

... unavoidably computed on a lattice of finite extent Na

Perturbative (PT) contribution (associated to the identity) should be subtracted from Non-Perturbative (NPT) Monte Carlo (MC) data measured at various values of the lattice coupling β , looking for the signature dictated by asymptotic scaling, i.e. $\Lambda a \sim e^{-\beta/12b_0}$

 $W_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle MC} - W_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle pert} = (\Lambda^4/Q^4) W_4 + \cdots$

PROBLEM: expect RENORMALONS!
From dimensional and RG arguments
$$W^{\rm ren} = C \int_{r\Lambda^2}^{Q^2} \frac{k^2 dk^2}{Q^4} \alpha_s(k^2)$$

by changing variable $z \equiv z_0 \left(1 - \alpha_s(Q^2)/\alpha_s(k^2)\right) \qquad z_0 \equiv \frac{1}{3b_0}$

$$\begin{split} W^{\mathrm{ren}} &= \mathcal{N} \int_{0}^{z_{0_{-}}} dz \; e^{-\beta z} \; (z_{0} - z)^{-1 - \gamma} & \text{The experts will recognize a Borel integral ...} \\ & 4\pi \alpha_{s}(Q^{2}) \equiv 6/\beta \quad \gamma \equiv 2 \frac{b_{1}}{b_{0}^{2}} \quad 0 < z < z_{0_{-}} \equiv z_{0}(1 - \alpha_{s}(Q^{2})/\alpha_{s}s(r\Lambda^{2})) \\ W^{\mathrm{ren}} &= \sum_{\ell=1} \; \beta^{-\ell} \; \{c_{\ell}^{ren} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-z_{0}\beta})\} \quad \underbrace{c_{\ell}^{ren} = \mathcal{N}' \; \Gamma(\ell + \gamma) \; z_{0}^{-\ell}} \end{split}$$

CAN WE INSPECT RENORMALONS IN A NSPT COMPUTATION OF THE PLAQUETTE? Di Renzo Marchesini Onofri 1995

PROBLEMS

1. Computing power ...

2. The IR renormalon deserves its name and relevant momenta go like ($k^* \sim s^{-1} e^{-(\ell-1)/2}$

$$\text{ rather study } W^{\text{ren}}(N) = C \int_{Q_0^2(N)}^{Q^2} \frac{k^2 \, dk^2}{Q^4} \, \alpha_s(sk^2) \quad \rightarrow \quad \sum_{\ell=1} \beta^{-\ell} \, c_\ell^{ren}(N) \, dk^{-\ell} \,$$

... where the finite lattice has been explicitly taken into account, while the change of scale can be reabsorbed in a change of scheme (i.e., look for a scheme in which renormalon is better described...)

... but all in all the final result for the subtraction was signaling something odd going on ... WRONG SCALING! Burgio Di Renzo Marchesini Onofri 1998

We now know that NSPT CAN ACTUALLY DIRECTLY INSPECT RENORMALONS, but one has to go to HIGHER ORDERS ... (at the time the first 8 orders had been computed)



Solution of the puzzle and direct inspection of renormalons Bali Bauer Pineda 2014

In 2012, Horsley et al computed the first 20 orders.

In 2013 Bali and Pineda detected the renormalon in the HQET/pole mass framework: dimensions do matter! The order at which renormalons show up increases with the dimension of the operator!

Improvements (Bali Pineda) for the plaquette case (2014):

- 1. Twisted BCs (which kill zero modes; I have cheated a little bit about those till now...)
 2. 2nd order integrator for Langevin equation(s)
- 3. computer power (well ... it was 20 years later ...)

4. careful treatment of finite size effects by perturbative OPE (separation of scales!)

$$\langle P \rangle_{\text{pert}}(N) = P_{\text{pert}}(\alpha) \langle \mathbb{1} \rangle + \frac{\pi^2}{36} C_{\text{G}}(\alpha) a^4 \langle O_{\text{G}} \rangle_{\text{soft}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^6}\right) \qquad \frac{1}{a} \gg \frac{1}{Na}$$
$$P_{\text{pert}}(\alpha) = \sum_{n \ge 0} p_n \alpha^{n+1} \qquad \frac{\pi^2}{36} a^4 \langle O_{\text{G}} \rangle_{\text{soft}} = -\frac{1}{N^4} \sum_{n \ge 0} f_n \alpha^{n+1} ((Na)^{-1})$$

(with both p_n and f_n asymptotically dominated by the IR renormalon!)

Normalization for Wilson action is fixed by $C_{\rm G}(\alpha) = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 0} c_k \alpha^{k+1} = -\frac{\beta_0 \alpha^2}{2\pi \beta(\alpha)}$... and one can finally fit the computed $\langle P \rangle_{\rm pert}(N) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \left[p_n - \frac{f_n(N)}{N^4} \right] \alpha^{n+1}$

IT WORKS!

	$C_n^{(3,0)}$	$C_n^{(3,1/6)}$	$c_n^{(8,0)}C_F/C_A$	$c_n^{(8,1/6)} C_F / C_A$
<i>C</i> ₀	2.117274357	0.72181(99)	2.117274357	0.72181(99)
C 1	11.136(11)	6.385(10)	11.140(12)	6.387(10)
<i>c</i> ₂ /10	8.610(13)	8.124(12)	8.587(14)	8.129(12)
$c_{3}/10^{2}$	7.945(16)	7.670(13)	7.917(20)	7.682(15)
$c_4/10^3$	8.215(34)	8.017(33)	8.197(42)	8.017(36)
$c_{5}/10^{4}$	9.322(59)	9.160(59)	9.295(76)	9.139(64)
$c_{6}/10^{6}$	1.153(11)	1.138(11)	1.144(13)	1.134(12)
$c_7/10^7$	1.558(21)	1.541(22)	1.533(25)	1.535(22)
<i>c</i> ₈ /10 ⁸	2.304(43)	2.284(45)	2.254(51)	2.275(45)
$c_{9}/10^{9}$	3.747(95)	3.717(97)	3.64(11)	3.703(98)
$c_{10}/10^{10}$	6.70(22)	6.65(22)	6.49(25)	6.63(22)
$c_{11}/10^{12}$	1.316(52)	1.306(53)	1.269(59)	1.303(53)
$c_{12}/10^{13}$	2.81(13)	2.79(13)	2.71(14)	2.78(13)
$c_{13}/10^{14}$	6.51(35)	6.46(35)	6.29(37)	6.45(35)
$c_{14}/10^{16}$	1.628(96)	1.613(97)	1.57(10)	1.614(97)
$c_{15}/10^{17}$	4.36(28)	4.32(28)	4.22(29)	4.33(28)
$c_{16}/10^{19}$	1.247(86)	1.235(86)	1.206(89)	1.236(86)
$c_{17}/10^{20}$	3.78(28)	3.75(28)	3.66(28)	3.75(28)
$c_{18}/10^{22}$	1.215(93)	1.204(94)	1.176(95)	1.205(94)
$c_{19}/10^{23}$	4.12(33)	4.08(33)	3.99(34)	4.08(33)

	$f_n^{(3,0)}$	$f_n^{(3,1/6)}$	$f_{n}^{(8,0)}C_{F}/C_{A}$	$f_n^{(8,1/6)} C_F / C_A$
f ₀	0.7696256328	0.7810(59)	0.7696256328	0.7810(69)
<i>f</i> ₁	6.075(78)	6.046(58)	6.124(87)	6.063(68)
<i>f</i> ₂ /10	5.628(91)	5.644(62)	5.60(11)	5.691(78)
$f_{3}/10^{2}$	5.87(11)	5.858(76)	6.00(18)	5.946(91)
$f_4/10^3$	6.33(22)	6.29(17)	6.57(40)	6.26(23)
<i>f</i> ₅ /10 ⁴	7.73(35)	7.71(26)	7.67(66)	7.78(42)
<i>f</i> ₆ /10 ⁵	9.86(53)	9.80(42)	9.68(99)	9.79(69)
$f_7/10^7$	1.388(81)	1.378(71)	1.35(15)	1.38(11)
<i>f</i> ₈ /10 ⁸	2.12(12)	2.11(12)	2.06(22)	2.10(17)
<i>f</i> ₉ /10 ⁹	3.54(20)	3.52(20)	3.40(37)	3.51(27)
$f_{10}/10^{10}$	6.49(33)	6.44(34)	6.23(67)	6.44(43)
<i>f</i> ₁₁ /10 ¹²	1.296(64)	1.286(66)	1.24(13)	1.286(74)
<i>f</i> ₁₂ /10 ¹³	2.68(19)	2.64(18)	2.65(33)	2.65(21)
<i>f</i> ₁₃ /10 ¹⁴	6.70(54)	6.68(52)	6.36(90)	6.66(57)
$f_{14}/10^{16}$	1.58(14)	1.56(14)	1.55(22)	1.57(15)
<i>f</i> ₁₅ /10 ¹⁷	4.41(34)	4.37(33)	4.24(47)	4.37(35)
<i>f</i> ₁₆ /10 ¹⁹	1.241(92)	1.230(91)	1.20(11)	1.231(94)
<i>f</i> ₁₇ /10 ²⁰	3.79(28)	3.75(28)	3.67(30)	3.76(28)
<i>f</i> ₁₈ /10 ²²	1.215(94)	1.204(94)	1.176(97)	1.205(94)
$f_{19}/10^{23}$	4.12(33)	4.08(33)	3.99(34)	4.08(33)



PRL 113, 092001 (2014)



Model Independent Determination of the Gluon Condensate in Four Dimensional SU(3) Gauge Theory

Gunnar S. Bali,^{1,2} Clemens Bauer,¹ and Antonio Pineda³ ¹Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany ²Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India ³Grup de Física Teòrica, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain (Received 25 March 2014; revised manuscript received 7 May 2014; published 25 August 2014)

We determine the nonperturbative gluon condensate of four-dimensional SU(3) gauge theory in a modelindependent way. This is achieved by carefully subtracting high-order perturbation theory results from nonperturbative lattice QCD determinations of the average plaquette. No indications of dimension-two condensates are found. The value of the gluon condensate turns out to be of a similar size as the intrinsic ambiguity inherent to its definition. We also determine the binding energy of a *B* meson in the heavy quark mass limit.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.092001

PACS numbers: 12.38.Gc, 11.55.Hx, 12.38.Bx, 12.38.Cy

Something maybe more field-theoretic (numerics stumbles on fundamental QFT...) b. Resurgence?

Resurgence, trans-series and all that

From Gerald Dunne's lectures at the Parma School 2016 (Decoding the path integral: resurgence, Lefschetz thimbles, non-perturbative physics)

Divergent series are the invention of the devil, and it is shameful to base on them any demonstration whatsoever ... That most of these things [summation of divergent series] are correct, in spite of that, is extraordinarily surprising. I am trying to find a reason for this; it is an exceedingly interesting question.

The series is divergent; therefore we may be able to do something with it

O. Heaviside, 1850 – 1925



N. Abel, 1802 - 1829



resurgent functions display at each of their singular points a behaviour closely related to their behaviour at the origin. Loosely speaking, these functions resurrect, or surge up - in a slightly different guise, as it were - at their singularities

J. Écalle, 1980



Resurgence, trans-series and all that

From Mitat Unsal's presentation at LATTICE2015

Simpler question: Can we make sense of the Argyres, MÜ, semi-classical expansion of QFT? Argyres, MÜ, 2012

$$f(\lambda\hbar) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{(0,k)} \left(\lambda\hbar\right)^k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda\hbar)^{-\beta_n} e^{-n A/(\lambda\hbar)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{(n,k)} \left(\lambda\hbar\right)^k$$

pert. th. n-instanton factor pert. th. around n-instanton

All series appearing above are asymptotic, i.e., divergent as $c_{(o,k)}$ - k!. The combined object is called trans-series following resurgence terminology.

Actually the Resurgence people have quite $a_n \equiv P(q^2) \equiv \sum_{redigt=0}^{\infty} q_r g_{for}^{2q}$ has convergent expansions and the predictions for QM cases (double-well potential ...)

resurgence: fluctuations about the instanton/anti-instanton saddle are determined by those about the vacuum saddle.

There quite a lot of work we can do! ... The main point is that NSPT can compute expansions in the background of (in principle) any classical solution! In particular, for field theories the resurgence scenario needs to be tested $\frac{1}{g^2} \int_0^\infty BP(t)e^{-t/g^2}dt$.

for all includes $D(x^2)$ but is call includes D(x) by a singularity of D = 0

A canonical example of expansion around a non-trivial vacuum: the Schrodinger Functional (SF) in NSPT Brambilla, Dalla Brida, Di Renzo, Hesse, Sint

The SF is a perfect framework for NSPT! Fluctuations in the background of classical solution ...



At least, useful for taking the continuum limit ...

ALPHA Collaboration / Nuclear Physics B 713 (2005) 378-406

2.4. Discretization effects

The influence of the underlying space–time lattice on the evolution of the coupling can be estimated perturbatively [29], by generalizing Symanzik's discussion [36–38] to the present case. Close to the continuum limit we expect that the relative deviation

$$\delta(u, a/L) = \frac{\Sigma(u, a/L) - \sigma(u)}{\sigma(u)} = \delta_1(a/L)u + \delta_2(a/L)u^2 + \cdots$$
(2.30)

Conclusions

- NSPT has been around for roughly 20 years, but it is never too late to have a closer look at it!

- I think there are many applications one can think of, but what I am really interested in is how (brute...) numerics can lead you to tackle fundamental issues in field theories (it has been the case for renormalons; now ... tackle Resurgence!)