Crystalline Confined Phases in Lattice Gauge Theories

Debasish Banerjee DESY-Zeuthen

with M. Bögli, F.-J. Jiang, P. Widmer, U.-J. Wiese

based on J. Stat. Phys. (2013); Phys. Rev. B (2014); Phys. Rev. B (2016)

> 29 September 2016 DESY Theory Workshop: Rethinking Quantum Field Theory





Introduction

Quantum Link Model

Quantum Dimer Model

Conclusion

Outline

Introduction

Quantum Link Model

Quantum Dimer Model

Conclusion

Introduction

- Lattice gauge theories \rightarrow strongly correlated systems.
- Most non-perturbative computations done in Euclidean space with Wilson formulation.
- ▶ Ultra-cold atoms toolbox → quantum dynamics of gauge theories.
- Questions of real-time evolution and finite baryon density.
- Alternate formulation of gauge theories (Horn,1981; Orland, Rohrlich, 1990; Chandrasekharan, Wiese, 1997) and QCD with domain wall fermions (Brower, Chandrasekharan, Wiese, 1999) are particularly relevant.
- These realize continuous gauge symmetries using discrete quantum link variables, having finite dimensional Hilbert space → extension of Wilson formulation of gauge theories.
- Excellent candidate models to be implemented in cold-atom systems.
- Qualitatively new phases can be observed in these systems to be studied by Monte-Carlo + Quantum Simulators.



Introduction

Quantum Link Model

Quantum Dimer Model

Conclusion

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆三 ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Hamiltonian U(1) LGT: Quantum Links

U(1) gauge invariant Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{x,i} E_{x,i}^2 - \frac{1}{2g^2} \sum_{\Box} (U_{\Box} + U_{\Box}^{\dagger})$$

•
$$U = S^1 + iS^2 = S^+$$
; $U^{\dagger} = S^1 - iS^2 = S^-$
 \Rightarrow are operators in the Hamiltonian formulation, operating in a

finite dimensional Hilbert space on a single link

Electric field operator describes the kinematics of U:

 $E = S^3$, [E, U] = U, $[E, U^{\dagger}] = -U^{\dagger}$; $[U, U^{\dagger}] = 2E$

U(1) gauge transformations generated by Gauss Law:

$$G_x = \sum_i (E_{x,i} - E_{x-\hat{i},i}); \quad [G_x, H] = 0$$

$$V = \prod_x \exp(i\alpha_x G_x); \quad U'_{xy} = V U_{xy} V^{\dagger} = \exp(i\alpha_x) U_{xy} \exp(-i\alpha_y)$$

The (2+1)-d U(1) Quantum Link model

Simplest Abelian pure gauge model: with spin S = 1/2

$$H = -J\sum_{\Box} \left(U_{\Box} + U_{\Box}^{\dagger} \right) + \lambda \sum_{\Box} \left(U_{\Box} + U_{\Box}^{\dagger} \right)^{2}$$

Link states: 2-dim Hilbert space per link

 $E|\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|\uparrow\rangle; \quad E|\downarrow\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}|\downarrow\rangle; \quad U|\uparrow\rangle = 0; \quad U|\downarrow\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle; \quad U^{\dagger}|\uparrow\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle; \quad U^{\dagger}|\downarrow\rangle = 0$

 E^2 contributes a constant for S = 1/2.



Plaquettes are flipped only if they have flux in the right order; second term (= H_λ) counts the number of flippable plaquettes



Gauss Law and Charge Sectors

To define the path integral $\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr}(\exp(-\beta H)\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}})$, the Gauss Law must be implemented :

$$\sum_{i} \left(E_{x,i} - E_{x-\hat{i},i} \right) = Q_x$$

There is zero charge everywhere (charge-0 sector) unless external static charges are placed at vertices.



A staggered charge background $Q = \pm 1$ with the Hamiltonian *H* is called the Quantum Dimer model.

Symmetry breaking and phase transitions

- ► Discrete: Rotation by $\pi/2$, Reflection, Charge Conjugation (*C*), Translation($T = (T_x, T_y)$)
- Symmetry breaking patterns can be deduced very well from exact diagonalizations.
- 2-component order parameter (M_A, M_B) to analyze the symmetry breaking patterns



Figure: Order parameter(OP) distribution at $\lambda = -1$ (left) and at $\lambda = 0$ (right); Effect of symmetry operations on the OP (middle).

Symmetry breaking and phase transitions

- ► Discrete: Rotation by $\pi/2$, Reflection, Charge Conjugation (*C*), Translation($T = (T_x, T_y)$)
- Symmetry breaking patterns can be deduced very well from exact diagonalizations.
- 2-component order parameter (M_A, M_B) to analyze the symmetry breaking patterns



Figure: Order parameter(OP) distribution at $\lambda \sim \lambda_c$ for L = 24 (left) and at L = 48 (right); Effect of symmetry operations on the OP (middle).

Phase diagram

Explored with exact diagonalization and a newly developed cluster algorithm using dualization techniques.



An approximate global SO(2) symmetry is emergent at λ_c . A description in terms of a low-energy effective theory suggests a weak 1st order transition.

Crystalline confinement



Energy density $\langle H_J \rangle$ of two charges $Q = \pm 2$ placed along the axis on L = 72 lattice



Introduction

Quantum Link Model

Quantum Dimer Model

Conclusion

Ice and Spin-Ice

Gauge theories play a fundamental role in condensed matter systems as well \longrightarrow Ice.



Ice rules can be encoded by the ground states of the Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = J \sum_{i,j} S_i^z S_j^z = J \sum_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i_{\alpha}=1}^4 S_{i_{\alpha}}^z \right)^2; \ J > 0$$

Constraints can select the sector of the theory by using an external magnetic field

$$H_2 = J \sum_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i_{\alpha}=1}^4 S_{i_{\alpha}}^z \right)^2 - \sum_{i_{\alpha}} h S_{i_{\alpha}}^z = J \sum_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i_{\alpha}=1}^4 S_{i_{\alpha}}^z - h/2 \right)^2$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ○へ (~)

QDM and the U(1) link model

Rule: $E_{x,i} = (-1)^{x+y} [n_{x,i} - \frac{1}{2}]$ $E_{x,i}$: Electric flux at site x in dir i $n_{x,i}$: Dimer number on the bond connecting sites x and x+ \hat{i}





U(1) QLM config

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ <

QDM and the U(1) link model

Rule: $E_{x,i} = (-1)^{x+y} [n_{x,i} - \frac{1}{2}]$ $E_{x,i}$: Electric flux at site x in dir i $n_{x,i}$: Dimer number on the bond connecting sites x and x+ \hat{i}



Dimer Model config



shaded : flippable plquette crosses : non-flippable plaq

QDM and the U(1) link model

Rule: $E_{x,i} = (-1)^{x+y} [n_{x,i} - \frac{1}{2}]$ $E_{x,i}$: Electric flux at site x in dir i

 $n_{x,i}$: Dimer number on the bond connecting sites x and x+ \hat{i}



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ <

Candidate Phases and Phase Diagrams



(a) Columnar (b) Plaquette (c) Staggered

Interfaces between columnar phases



Plaquette phases exist as interfaces between columnar phases $\lambda = -1.0$

Interfaces with charges



Energy density for a 2*Q* charge-anti-charge profile at $\lambda = -0.5$. Plaquette "phase" acts as interface carrying fractionalized flux $\frac{1}{4}$

Outline

Introduction

Quantum Link Model

Quantum Dimer Model

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Interesting non-trivial physics, exotic phasess, interesting continuum limits.
- Extensively studied in condensed matter physics with reference to high-T_c phenomena.
- Interface physics: fractionalized flux.
- Broader applicability of the dualization techniques. Playground for ideas for extending the construction to other theories, particularly QCD.
- "Observing" this physics in engineered cold-atom systems.
 Glätzle et. al., PRX (2014); D. Marcos et. al., Ann. Phys. (2014)
- Wilson-type gauge theories can be constructed by self-adjoint extensions which show similar ground states (under study).

Thank You for your attention!

Mimicking QLM physics in Wilson-type theories

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{E} + \mathcal{H}_{B} = \frac{e^{2}}{2} \sum_{x,i} \left(-i\partial_{\phi_{x,i}} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{4e^{2}} \sum_{x,i>j} \left[u_{x,i}u_{x+i,j}u_{x+j,i}^{*}u_{x,j}^{*} + h.c. \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{x},i}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{y},j} \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}} \delta_{i,j} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{x},i} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{x},i}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{y},j}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = -\delta_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}} \delta_{i,j} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{x},i}^{\dagger}$$



- $\bullet \ u_{x,i} = \exp(i\phi_{x,i})$
- ▶ flux-basis: $m_{x,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$
- "ground state" when all $m_{x,i} = 0$
- very different from the QLM
- However, one parameter of self-adjoint extension possible

•
$$e_{x,i} = -i\partial_{\phi_{x,i}} + \frac{\theta_{x,i}}{2\pi}$$

Motivation: quantum rotor

Quantum Rotor: Particle on a ring

- $\blacktriangleright \mathbb{H} = \frac{\mathbb{L}^2}{2I}, \mathbb{L} = -i\partial_{\phi}$
- $\psi_m = A \exp(im\phi), E_m = \frac{m^2}{2I}, m_{x,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$
- ► ψ need not be single-valued: twisted boundary condition $\psi(\phi + 2\pi) = e^{i\theta}\psi_{\phi}$
- Equivalently, demand $\psi(\phi + 2\pi) = \psi(\phi)$, but introduce θ in the Hamiltonian
- $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}^2}{2I}, \, \widetilde{\mathbb{L}} = -i\partial_{\phi} + \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$
- Energies shifted: $E_m = \frac{1}{2l}(m \frac{\theta}{2\pi})^2$
- θ : Vector potential of a magnetic field penetrating the "ring"
- Key idea: Use a similar construction to change the spectrum of the Wilson type theory.
- Flux can be made half-integral. In particular, the ground state has the same amount of flux as the QLM

Height Model

- DOF: h_x where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ (dual lattice)
- ► odd and even lattice sites: (-1)^(x+y)
- Partition function of a classical spin model:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{x \text{ even}} \sum_{h_x \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_{x \text{ odd}} \sum_{h_x + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(-S[h]); \quad S[h] = \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{x,\mu} (h_{(x+\hat{\mu})} - h_x)^2$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ <

- Integer shift symmetry: h'_x = h_x + l; l ∈ ℤ Dual to the gauge symmetry
- Charge conjugation: ${}^{C}h_{x} = -h_{x}$
- Spatial Translation: ${}^{T}h_{x} = h_{x+\hat{i}} + \frac{1}{2}$

Height Model: Order Parameters

- Any OP must be invariant under shift symmetries
- $O_{C,T}[h] = \sum_{x \text{ even }} h_x \sum_{x \text{ odd }} h_x$
- Sensitive to both C and T symmetry breaking

 $O_{C,T}[h'] = O_{C,T}[h], \ O_{C,T}[^{C}h] = -O_{C,T}[h], \ O_{C,T}[^{T}h] = -O_{C,T}[h]$

• $O_T[h] = \sum_{x \text{ even}} (h_x - \overline{h})^2 - \sum_{x \text{ odd}} (h_x - \overline{h})^2; \quad \overline{h} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_x h_x$ • Sensitive to T only

 $O_{\mathcal{T}}[h'] = O_{\mathcal{T}}[h], \quad O_{\mathcal{T}}[{}^{\mathcal{C}}h] = O_{\mathcal{T}}[h], \quad O_{\mathcal{T}}[{}^{\mathcal{T}}h] = -O_{\mathcal{T}}[h]$

- Sign problem associated with θ gone
- Can be simulated with a variety of algorithms: Metropolis, Over-relaxation, Cluster

Histograms: Distribution of O_T, O_{CT}



Broken translational symmetry, but charge conjugation is unbroken. $\lambda = 0$ phase in QLM.