





# **Future muon colliders**

And the state of the



European Network



M. Biagini, INFN-LNF and LAL-Orsay on behalf of the LEMMA study group 31A. Scharbentz, Germany BEAM

December 6th 2016

Lepton colliders most performing technology

- Up to 350 GeV: Large Circular Colliders (if affordable)
- From 350 GeV to 1.5-2 TeV: Linear Colliders
  - Plasma acceleration (feasibility being addressed)
  - ILC most mature technology (up to 0.5 TeV ext. to 1 TeV)
  - CLIC only demonstrated technology in multi-TeV (up to 2TeV)
- Above 2 TeV: Muon Colliders (if feasible)

As with an e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider, a μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> collider offers a precision probe of fundamental interactions without energy limitations

- By synchrotron radiation as e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> circular colliders
- By beamstrahlung as e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> linear colliders

Muon Collider the ideal technology to extend lepton high energy frontier in the multi-TeV range with reasonable dimension, cost and power consumption

### Muon Colliders potential of extending leptons high

energy frontier with high performance



3

### Muon Colliders extending leptons high energy frontier

with potential of considerable power savings



J.P. Delahaye

4

### Muon Collider **D. Schulte this morning!**

- Many issues exist
  - Neutrino radiation, muon cooling, background in the detector and machine, ...
  - Can they be overcome?
- Largest power in production of muons
- For fixed beam current, luminosity increases with  $\gamma^2$ 
  - Geometric emittance shrinks and shorter bunches allow smaller betafunctions
  - At lower energies, almost the same power for stringly increasing luminosity
  - Even at highest energies luminosity increases with energy for the same power
- Maybe even better solutions to produce muons can be considered?
- Reuse of old proton colliders might be possible
- $\Rightarrow$  Still feels an attractive option to be discussed for high energies and long timescales

### Muon Sources

### Goals

- Neutrino Factories:  $O(10^{21}) \mu/yr$  within the acceptance of a  $\mu$  ring
- Muon Collider: luminosities >10<sup>34</sup>/cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at TeV-scale ( $\sim N_{\mu}^{2}$ )

### Options

Conventional: Tertiary production through proton on target (and then cool), baseline for Fermilab design study

Rate >  $10^{13}\mu/sec$  N<sub>µ</sub> =  $2.10^{12}/bunch$ 

Unconventional: e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> annihilation: positron beam on target (very low emittance and no cooling needed)

Rate ~  $10^{11} \,\mu/sec$  N<sub>µ</sub>~  $5x10^7$  /bunch

• by Gammas: GeV-scale Compton  $\gamma$ s not discussed here Rate ~ 5·10<sup>10</sup> µ/sec  $N_{\mu} \sim 10^{6}$  (Pulsed Linac) [V. Yakimenko (SLAC)] Rate > 10<sup>13</sup> µ/sec  $N_{\mu} \sim \text{few} \cdot 10^{4}$  (High Current ERL)

see also: W. Barletta and A. M. Sessler NIM A 350 (1994) 36-44

### M. Palmer

# Key Feasibility Issues



 Proton Driver High Power Target Station Energy Deposition Front End **RF** in Magnetic Fields Cooling Magnet Needs (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn vs HTS) Performance Acceleration Acceptance (NF) >400 Hz AC Magnets (MC) Collider Ring **IR Magnet Strengths/Apertures**  Collider MDI SC Magnet Heat Loads (µ decay) Collider Detector Backgrounds (µ decay)

### 🛟 Fermilab



### **Technical challenges**

### Muon production as tertiary particle

proton before being cooled

of an accelerator

to fit within the acceptances



### Multi-MW class liquid HG target

#### The MERIT Experiment at the CERN PS

- Demonstrated a 20m/s liquid Hg jet injected into a 15 T solenoid and hit with a 115 KJ/pulse beam!
- ➡ Jets could operate with beam powers up to 8 MW with a repetition rate of 70 Hz

MAP staging aimed at initial 1 MW target





Hg jet in a 15 T solenoid with measured disruption length ~ 28 cm

#### Technology Challenges – Capture Solenoid



1 cm

π

- A Neutrino Factory and/or <u>Muon</u> Collider Facility requires challenging magnet design in several areas:
  - Target Capture Solenoid (15-20T with large aperture)

E<sub>stored</sub> ~ 3 GJ

O(10MW) resistive coil in high radiation environment

Possible application for High Temperature Superconducting magnet technology



J.P. Delahaye

50

100

Z[m]

150

200

250

0.02

0

## **Technical challenges**

### Cost efficient and fast acceleration

πU

Technology Challenges - Acceleration



#### ⇒ Beyond the capability of most machines

Solutions include:



- Fixed-Field Alternating-Gradient (FFAG)
- MachinesRapid Cycling Synchrotrons (RCS)

8 cell flat coll probe 2 T p-p magnets at f = 400 Hz (U Miss & FNAL)

> JEMMRLA Proposal: JLAB Electron Model of Muon RLA with Multi-pass Arcs

> > $\pi^{0}$

### Cost efficient low frequency Super-Conducting RF Cavities

RLA II

255 m 2 GeV/pas

#### Nb coated Cu cavities (D.Hartill / Cornell)

- Two 500 MHz cavities spun from <u>explosion</u> <u>bonded Nb</u>-Cu sheets
- Research partnership with Epner Technologies to study Cu on Nb electroforming



#### Technology & Design Challenges Ring, Magnets, Detector



- Emittances are relatively large, but muons circulate for ~1000 turns before decaying
  - Lattice studies for 126 GeV, 1.5 & 3 TeV CoM
- High field dipoles and quadrupoles must operate in high-rate muon decay backgrounds
  - Magnet designs under study
- Detector shielding & performance
  - Initial studies for 126 GeV, 1.5 TeV, and 3 TeV using MARS background simulations
  - Major focus on optimizing shielding configuration







Fast Pulsed Normal Conducting Dipole for hybrid (NC/MC magnets) Rapid Cycling Synchrotrons (RCS)



**1.8 T, 400Hz Dipole – D. Summers, U Miss.** A 1.8 T dipole magnet using thin grain oriented silicon steel laminations has been constructed as a prototype for a <u>muon</u> synchrotron ramping at 400Hz

The dipole has run at 1.8 Tesla both at both 425 Hz and 1410 Hz as well as DC as shown in the graph below



Reached 1.8T - further design & prototype work in progress

## Very low emittance muon beam by

## e<sup>+</sup> beam on target (LEMMA)

- Produce low emittance muon beams from e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collisions at c.o.m. energy just above the μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> production threshold with maximal beam energy asymmetry: ~ 45 GeV positron beam interacting on an electron target
- The large boost γ~200 of the c.o.m. allows for final state muons to be very collimated
- Muons produced with high energy with an average laboratory lifetime of about 500 µs, and a "minor" degradation of the positron beam emittance from Bhabha scattering
- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → µ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>-</sup> cross section is about 1 µb just above threshold, requires a target with very high electron density of the order of 10<sup>20</sup> electrons/cm<sup>-3</sup> to obtain an reasonable muon production efficiency

# Ingredients

- Positron source producing a very high number of positrons
- 45 GeV positrons on a target  $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  produced at threshold
- Ring allows for high "collision" frequency on target
- Very low emittance positron beam
- Muons collected after production, 22.5GeV, small emittance and energy spread, in two rings
- Positrons after collision re-collected if ring energy acceptance at least >10%
- Ideally muons will "copy" positrons



### Advantages

- Muons produced at high Energy:
  - longer lifetime
  - small emittance:  $P\mu$  is tunable with  $\sqrt{s}$  in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ,  $P\mu$  can be very small close to the  $\mu^+\mu^-$  threshold
  - energy spread small at threshold, gets larger as  $\sqrt{s}$  increases
  - no cooling needed
- Due to small emittance:
  - more Luminosity with fewer muons
- Lower muon emittance and muon flux in the collider
  - less backgrounds in detector
  - low v radiation (easier experimental conditions, can go up in energy)
- "Simpler" scheme

# Disavantages

- Rate: much smaller cross section wrt protons:  $\sigma(e^+e \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \sim 1 \ \mu b \ at \ most$ *i.e.* Luminosity(e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)= 10<sup>40</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>  $\rightarrow$  gives  $\mu$  rates 10<sup>10</sup> Hz
- Muon production efficiency per positron on target:  $Eff(\mu^+\mu^-) < 10^{-5}$

(due to collinear radiative Bhabha scattering cross section)

# Challenges

- Positron source with very high number of e<sup>+</sup>
- Positrons after target lose energy → large energy acceptance in e<sup>+</sup> ring (>10%)
- Small positron beam spot on target
- Target material/design → the lighter and thinner the better for muons production efficiency, muons emittance, positrons survival probability
- Power on target (temperature), mechanical stress
- Muons collecting rings design
- Collider design

### Muons angle contribution to µ beam emittance

Target thickness and c.m. energy completely determine the emittance contributions due to muon production angle





M.Antonelli, M. Boscolo, P. Raimondi

## Processes at $\sqrt{s} \sim 0.212$ GeV e<sup>+</sup> on target

•  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  muons energy spread:



M.Antonelli, M. Boscolo, P. Raimondi

## Criteria for target design

Bremsstrahlung on nuclei and multiple scattering (MS) are the dominant effects in real life... Xo and electron density will matter:

- Heavy materials
  - minimize emittance (enters linearly) → Copper has about same contributions to emittance from MS and μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> production
  - high e<sup>+</sup> loss (Bremsstrahlung is dominant)
- Very light materials
  - maximize production efficiency (enters quad) → H<sub>2</sub>
  - even for liquid need O(1m) target → emittance increase
- Not too heavy materials(Be, C)
  - Allow low emittance with small e<sup>+</sup> loss

**Optimal:** light, thin

Possible target: 3 mm Be

45 GeV e<sup>+</sup> impinging beam

• Emittance at  $E_{\mu} = 22$  GeV:  $\epsilon_x = 0.19 \cdot 10^{-9}$  m-rad Multiple Scattering contribution is negligible

-> µ after production is not affected by nuclei in target
-> e+ beam emittance is preserved, not being affected by nuclei in target

- Conversion efficiency: 10<sup>-7</sup>
- Muons beam energy spread: 9%

# Study In Y<sup>1</sup><sup>2</sup><sup>2</sup> Muons at the target exit surface



### Positron sources: studies on the market

Summary of e<sup>+</sup> sources projects (all very aggressive):

In [F. Zimmermann, et al., '**POSITRON OPTIONS FOR THE LINAC-RING LHEC**', WEPPR076 Proceedings of IPAC2012, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA]

	SLC	CLIC	ILC	LHeC	LHeC
				pulsed	ERL
E [GeV]	1.19	2.86	4	140	60
$\gamma \epsilon_x  [\mu m]$	30	0.66	10	100	50
$\gamma \epsilon_y  [\mu m]$	2	0.02	0.04	100	50
$e^{+}[10^{14} \mathrm{s}^{-1}]$	0.06	1.1	3.9	18	440

This is maybe the most critical issue

- Most of positrons experience a small energy deviation Study in Progress
  A large fraction of e<sup>+</sup> can be re-collected (deviation Study)
  - 10% momentum acceptance will increase the effective muon conversion efficiency (produced muon pairs/produced positrons) by factor 100



### Schematic Layout for muon source from e+



P. Raimondi

### Muon beam parameters

Assuming

- a positron ring with a total 25% momentum acceptance (10% easily achieved) and
- ~3 × LHeC positron source rate

	positron source	proton source
$\mu \text{ rate}[\text{Hz}]$	$9\cdot 10^{10}$	$2\cdot 10^{13}$
$\mu$ /bunch	$4.5\cdot 10^7$	$2\cdot 10^{12}$
normalised $\epsilon~[\mu {\rm m}{\text{-}{\rm mrad}}]$	40	25000

**Very small emittance**, **high muon rates** but relatively small bunch population:

> The actual number of  $\mu$ /bunch in the muon collider can be larger by a factor ~  $\tau_{\mu}^{lab}(HE)/500 \ \mu s$  (~100 @ 6 TeV) by topping up

## LEMMA Draft Parameters

Comparable luminosity with lower Nµ/bunch (lower background) thanks to very small emittance (and lower beta\*)

### Design study needed to define this table !!

Parameter	Unit
LUMINOSITY/IP	cm <sup>-2</sup> :
Beam Energy	GeV
Hourglass reduction factor	
Muon mass	GeV
Lifetime @ prod	sec
Lifetime	sec
c*tau @ prod	m
c*tau	m
1/tau	Hz
Circumference	m
Bending Field	Т
Bending radius	m
Magnetic rigidity	Τm
Gamma Lorentz factor	
N turns before decay	
β <sub>x</sub> @ IP	m
β <sub>y</sub> @ IP	m
Beta ratio	
Coupling (full current)	%
Normalised Emittance x	m
Emittance x	m
Emittance y	m
Emittance ratio	
Bunch length (zero current)	mm
Bunch length (full current)	mm
Beam current	mA
Revolution frequency	Hz
Revolution period	S
Number of bunches	#
N. Particle/bunch	#
Number of IP	#
σ <sub>x</sub> @ IP	micro
σ <sub>y</sub> @ IP	micro
σ <sub>x'</sub> @ IP	rad
σ <sub>y'</sub> @ IP	rad

	LEMC-6TeV
S	
s <sup>-1</sup>	5.09E+34
/	3000
,	1.000
	0.10566
	2.202-00
•	658.00
	1.87E+07
	1.60E+01
	6000
	15
	667
ו	10000
	28392.96
	3113.76
	0.0002
	1.0
	100
	4.00E-08
	1.41E-12
	1.41E-12
	1.0
ו	0.1
<b>`</b>	0.1
•	0.048
•	5 00F+04
	2.00E-05
	1
	6.00E+09
	1.00
on	1.68E-02
on	1.68E-02
	0.39E-U5
	0.335-03







## **Proposal for CERN H4 experiments**

- Two experiments with different scopes:
  - One week measurements at low intensity
    - Channelling on crystals (MC tuning)
    - Amorphous beam degradation
    - Gamma emitted spectrum
  - One week measurements at high intensity
    - Properties of produced muons (emittance,...)
    - Other ideas...

# H4 Beam line for high intensity

45 GeV, beam energy spread ~ 1%, contamination ~ 1% rate up to  $5x10^{6}$  e+ per spill (2/33 sec)

Modified Setup for Crystals test by Ferrara/Como



## Conclusions

- Muon factories can be the future of particle physics at very high energy if the technical challenges are solved
- Studies on proton driven muon beams have been carried out and a scheme has been developed, still several issues need to be solved
  - fast muon acceleration concepts deeply studied by MAP
- A novel scheme with positron driven muon beams has been proposed, with technical challenges to be studied
- Very low emittance muon beams can be obtained by means of positron beam on target
- Interesting muon rates require:
  - challenging positron source (synergy with LHeC, ILC, FCC-ee...)
  - positron ring with high momentum acceptance (synergy with next generation SL sources)
- Simulations for several types of targets are in progress
- Experimental tests at CERN H4 are foreseen
- A dedicated workshop will be held in Frascati June/July 2017

## LEMMA study group (at present)

- INFN-LNF: M. Antonelli, M. Biagini, M. Boscolo, S. Dabagov, S. Guiducci, M. Rotondo, T. Spadaro, F. Collamati, R. Di Nardo, M. Dreucci
- INFN-Ferrara: V. Guidi, A. Mazzolari, R. Camattari, R. Cibinetto
- Un. Como: M. Prest, E. Vallazza
- INFN/Un. Padova: D. Lucchesi, M. Morandin, M. Zanetti, A. Lupato, L. Sestini, A. Bertolin, P. Cecchia, R. Rossini
- Un. Pisa: F. Bedeschi, R. Tenchini, G. Tonelli
- Un. Romai: F. Anulli, L. Palumbo, Marongiu, G. Campogiani
- LAL-Orsay: I. Chaikovska, R. Chehab

## References

- M.Antonelli, E.Bagli, M.Biagini, M.Boscolo, G.Cavoto, P.Raimondi and A.Variola, "Very Low Emittance Muon Beam using Positron Beam on Target", IPAC (2016)
- M. Antonelli, "Performance estimate of a FCC-ee-based muon collider", FCC-WEEK 2016
- M. Antonelli, "Low-emittance muon collider from positrons on target", FCC-WEEK 2016
- M. Antonelli, M. Boscolo, R. Di Nardo, P. Raimondi, "Novel proposal for a low emittance muon beam using positron beam on target", NIM A 807 101-107 (2016)
- P. Raimondi, "Exploring the potential for a Low Emittance Muon Collider", in Discussion of the scientific potential of muon beams workshop, CERN, Nov. 18th 2015
- M. Antonelli, Presentation Snowmass 2013, Minneapolis (USA) July 2013, [M. Antonelli and P. Raimondi, Snowmass Report (2013) also INFN-13-22/LNF Note

Also invetigated SLAC team:

L. Keller, J. P. Delahaye, T. Markiewicz, U. Wienands:

- "Luminosity Estimate in a Multi-TeV Muon Collider using e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> as the Muon Source", MAP 2014 Spring workshop, Fermilab (USA) May '14
- Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop, San Jose (USA), July '14