

### Monodromy Dark Matter

New Ideas in String Pheno DESY, Hamburg 17th February 2017

Joerg Jaeckel, Lukas T. Witkowski, Viraf M. Mehta

arXiv:1605.01367[hep-th] . . .



### Light shining through walls?





### Monodromy Dark Matter

New Ideas in String Pheno DESY, Hamburg 17th February 2017

Joerg Jaeckel, Lukas T. Witkowski, Viraf M. Mehta

arXiv:1605.01367[hep-th] . . .



**Dark Matter Candidates** 

>80 years since Zwicky "postulated" DM

Zwicky 1933

$$10^{-22} \text{eV} \lesssim m_{\text{DM}} \lesssim 10^{59} \text{eV}$$

Main proposals - two classes:

Particles: LSP, WIMPs, Sterile Neutrinos, KK states, ...





**Axions as Dark Matter** 

- -pNGBs of spontaneously broken approximate global symmetries
- -ubiquitous in string models
- —bottom-up ADM models employ misalignment mechanism
- -field has random initial displacement set by inflation and then relaxes to minimum
- -process is *non-thermal*



### **Misalignment Mechanism**

Abbott, Sikivie; Preskill, Wise, Wilczek; Dine, Fischler; 1983

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \to m \equiv m(t)$ 

e.g.  $\mathcal{L} \supset m^2 \phi^2$ 



Random  $\phi_i$ , after inflation



### **Misalignment Mechanism**

Abbott, Sikivie; Preskill, Wise, Wilczek; Dine, Fischler; 1983

Fast oscillations, slow amplitude decay



### Using pNGBs







Universität

HEIDELBERG Zukunft. Seit 1386.



### **Choose your favourite description**

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \Lambda^4\left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f}\right)\right)$$

#### Monodromy

Silverstein, Westphal; McAllister, Silverstein, Westphal 2008



Kaloper, Sorbo 2008

Aligned Kim, Nilles, Peloso 2004













#### **Current and future experiments**



IDM 2016



#### UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG Zukunft. Seit 1386. Nonodromy Dark Matter

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \Lambda^4\left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f}\right)\right)$$



#### UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG Zukunft. Seit 1386. **Mote on notation**





#### **Classical evolution**









field stuck in different minima

> only requires small change in  $\varphi$ initial



## **Monodromy Dark Matter**











# **Monodromy Dark Matter**

**Linearized EoMs** 

#### no growth, purely oscillatory

 $c_{\mathbf{k}} \sim \exp(\eta(k)\tau) \exp(-i\omega_k\tau)$  $\omega_k \sim n, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  $\eta(k) > 0$ 







#### Universität Heidelberg **Monodromy Dark Matter**



Zukunft. Seit 1386.

k



# **Monodromy Dark Matter**





# **Monodromy Dark Matter**

**Total growth** 

mode-by-mode



at a point

Fourier transform:  

$$\varphi(\varkappa, \tau) = \varphi_0(\tau) + \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} c_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \varkappa)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in ading \\ order}} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} c_{\mathbf{k}}(0) \exp(\eta(k)\tau) \exp(-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\tau) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \varkappa)$$



### **Monodromy Dark Matter** Total growth

### approximate as Gaussian ensemble

spectrum: 
$$\langle c_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\star} \rangle = \mathcal{F}(k) (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$$

### correlation function:

$$\langle \varphi(\varkappa)\varphi(\varkappa)\rangle(\tau)\sim \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\mathcal{F}(k,\tau=0)\exp(2\eta(k)\tau)$$



$$\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\mathcal{F}(k,\tau=0) = \infty$$

renormalise to remove divergence?

Only care about growth, i.e. difference - FINITE!









### **Monodromy Dark Matter** Cosmological implications

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, t_0) = \phi(t_0) + \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{f_{\mathbf{p}} + 1/2}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} a_{\mathbf{p}} \exp(i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

$$\kappa^2 \equiv \frac{\Lambda^4}{m^2 f^2} \qquad \qquad \phi \to \varphi \equiv \frac{\phi}{f}$$

$$t \to \tau \equiv mt$$

$$h = \frac{H}{m} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x} \to \varkappa \equiv m\mathbf{x}$$

$$\langle \varphi(\varkappa)\varphi(\varkappa)\rangle = \frac{m^2}{f^2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{f_k + 1/2}{\omega_k}$$

### Universität **Monodromy Dark Matter** Heidelberg Zukunft. Seit 1386. **Cosmological implications** $\mathcal{F}(k) = \frac{m^2}{f^2} \left( \mathbf{y} \right)$ unexcited

#### using typical values:



indeed very small...

quantum



#### How many oscillations in a realistic model?







### **Monodromy Dark Matter** Cosmological effects

- Fluctuations are now radiation-like and thus, in this regime, would lead to observation/exclusion
- At this point, the linear approximation breaks down
  - REQUIRE FULL THEORY SIMULATION!!

Recall, this is the regime that is potentially detectable!!



# **Monodromy Dark Matter**

### **Cosmological implications**

> requires only  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  background oscillation periods for  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  fluctuations

• axions now behave as hybrid dark matter: both warm and cold (fluctuations act as radiation)

expansion also shifts high momentum modes to low momentum modes - increased growth

classical approximation breaks down in certain regimes



Conclusions

- classical realm:
  - ) enhancement of  $g_{\phi\gamma\gamma}-m$  parameter space, accessible?
  - field may settle in "wrong" minimum
    - b different CC and DM mass
  - non-negligible cos-term has significant effects on EoS
- quantum realm:
  - growth of fluctuations is rapid;
  - significant contribution could lead to large observable effect or exclusion;
  - if detected, full simulation required
- results generic for pNGBs with monodromy

applications to inflation - Hebecker, et al. 2016



## Outlook

#### **Future directions & open questions**

- > more elaborate analysis of parameter space required realistic  $\kappa$  from UV?
- when are fluctuations important?
- what are the effects on EoS and structure formation?
- suitable description when linear approximation breaks down?
- numerical solutions of classical field equations underway



## Outlook

#### **Future directions & open questions**

- more elaborate analysis of parameter required - realistic  $\kappa$  from UV?
- to to the • when are fluctuations
- what are th forma

br

on when linear approximation

re1

ructure

numerical solutions of classical field equations underway