



Cosmological singularities in holography

Marjorie Schillo New Ideas in String Phenomenology 16/2/17

Based on work with Adam Bzowski and Thomas Hertog: 1512:05761 + ongoing

Cosmological singularities

- It appears that our universe began with a big bang singularity, *i.e.* our inflating FLRW cosmology evolved out of a phase with Planckian curvature and energy density, beyond the purview of any (semi)classical theory of gravity
 - I will neglect the possibility of a bouncing universe
- Primary goal of quantum gravity: provide an understanding of singularities. This is necessary for cosmology in order to describe the initial conditions of the universe.
- Holography provides a tool for studying singularities. Crunching AdS spacetimes have been constructed from M-theory compacted on S⁷. These are N = 8, D = 4 = d+1 bulk theories with a boundary dual that is the (deformed) CFT on a stack of M2 branes.

Hertog and Horowitz: 0406134 & 0503071 Aharoney, Bergman, Jafferis, Maldacena: 0806:1218

- <u>Further exploration and other models:</u> Craps, Hertog & Turok: 0711.1824 & 0905.0709, Barbon & Rabinovici: 1102.3015, Smolkin & Turok: 1211.1322, Craps, Rajaraman & Sethi: hep-th/0601062, Das, Michelson, Nrayan & Trivedi: hep-th/0602107, Awad, Das, Nampuri, Narayan & Trivedi: 0807:1517, Engelhardt, Hertog & Horowitz 1404:2309 &1503.08838
- <u>Recently:</u> Kumar & Vaganov: 1510.03281, Brandenberger, Cai, Das, Ferreira, Morrison & Wang: 1601:00231, Ferreira& Brandenberger: 1602:08152

Holography \longleftrightarrow cosmology

- What is the signature of the bulk singularity in the dual field theory?
- The form of the answers
 - Correlation functions
 - Properties of the state and stability of the boundary theory
- The relation to cosmology
 - Learn something about properties of singularities in quantum gravity (initial conditions)
 - Learn something about cosmologies (time dependent spacetimes) with strong gravity

Holographic setup

• We study a specific consistent truncation to a theory with gravity and a single scalar field with $m^2 = -2$:

$$S_{\text{bulk}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + 2 + \cosh(\sqrt{2}\phi) \right] \qquad \text{Duff \& Liu: 9901149}$$

- The gauge coupling has been chosen such that the pure AdS solution with $\phi = 0$ has $R_{AdS} = 1$
- The tachyonic scalar is within the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound. With appropriate asymptotic boundary conditions, the theory is non-perturbatively stable at $\phi = 0$.
- For non-trivial scalar fields, the boundary CFT is deformed by an operator of weight Δ

$$m^2 = \Delta(\Delta - d)$$

$$S_{\text{boundary}} = S_{\text{CFT}} + \int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma} \ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$$

Bulk geometry

• Non-standard asymptotic boundary conditions to allow for non-trivial instanton solutions

$$S_E = \int d^4x \sqrt{g_E} \left(-\frac{1}{2}R + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - 2 - \cosh(\sqrt{2}\phi) \right)$$
$$ds_E^2 = d\rho^2 + A^2(\rho) \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\Omega_2^2 \right)$$

Lorentzian Continuations

• Outside the light cone

 $\theta \to i\tau + \pi/2$

$$ds^{2} = d\rho^{2} + a_{\text{out}}(\rho)^{2} \left(-d\tau^{2} + \cosh^{2}(\tau)d\Omega^{2}\right)$$

• Inside the light cone

 $\rho \rightarrow it \text{ and } \theta \rightarrow i\chi$

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a_{\rm in}(t)^{2} \left(d\chi^{2} + \sinh^{2}(\chi) d\Omega^{2} \right)$$



Bulk evolution

- The set of regular Euclidean instantons is a one parameter family of solutions that can be characterised by the value of the scalar field at the origin ϕ_0
- Outside the light cone the scalar field dies off and the space is asymptotically AdS
- Larger values of \$\phi_0\$ correspond to crunches that happen at earlier and earlier FLRW times. As \$\phi_0\$ → \$\infty\$ the big crunch singularity lies along the light cone.



Holographic setup: boundary conditions

• The Lorentzian continuation picks a natural slicing of AdS such that the boundary is 3-dimensional de Sitter space:

$$ds^{2} = d\rho^{2} + a(\rho)^{2} \left(-d\tau^{2} + \cosh^{2}(\tau) d\Omega_{2}^{2} \right)$$

• Then the asymptotic behaviour of the scalar field is given by:

$$\phi = \phi_{-} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta_{-}\rho} + \phi_{+} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta_{+}\rho} + \cdots$$

- where Δ_-/Δ_+ are the smaller/larger roots of $m^2 = -\Delta(d-\Delta)$
- For our case of $m^2 = -2$

$$\phi = \alpha \,\mathrm{e}^{-\rho} + \beta \,\mathrm{e}^{-2\rho} + \cdots$$

- We impose Neuman boundary $\beta = \text{fixed (source)}, \quad \Delta = 1$ conditions $\alpha = \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle \text{ (VEV)}$ Maldacena: 1012:0274

Boundary theory: massive scalar in de Sitter

• The choice of boundary condition $\beta = \text{const.}$ corresponds to a boundary theory where a conformally coupled scalar on de Sitter space receives a massive deformation.

$$S = -\int d^3x \sqrt{-\gamma_{dS}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2 + (\frac{3}{8} + \beta)\varphi^2\right)$$

- The bulk singularity does not hit the boundary at finite boundary time the boundary theory is globally well defined.
- Although the boundary theory is strongly coupled, we gain intuition from the free theory: expect a critical deformation, $\beta_c^{fr} = -3/8$, where the boundary theory is that of a minimally coupled massless scalar.
 - We lose the existence of the Euclidean vacuum
 - The vacuum does not respect the full de Sitter symmetry group/ the zero mode of the Allen, 1985 correlation has to be removed/ only derivatives of the correlators can be considered
 - In the massless limit, two-point functions do not die off in the IR

Kirsten & Garriga: 9305013 Tolley & Turok: 0108119

Mass deformation determined by the regular instantons

- The one parameter family of regular instantons defines a curve in the α - β plane.
- A choice of boundary conditions does not guarantee either existence or uniqueness of the instanton solution
- There is a critical $\beta \approx -2.12$ at which a singular instanton enters, and below which two instanton geometries correspond to a given boundary theory
 - This corresponds to a second saddle point entering the computation for boundary correlators
 - not a feature of other consistent truncations





- Stable for $\beta > \beta_c^{fr} = -3/8$
- No stable vacua for tachyonic scalars

- Regime of with a metastable minimum corresponding to the first saddle point, and an unstable maxima corresponding to the second saddle point and no overall stable vacuum.
- No (meta)stable vacua for large deformations

Correlation functions: geodesic method

$$\langle \psi | \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(x) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(x') | \psi \rangle = \sum_{i} w_{i} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{x}')}$$

- $\langle \psi |$ is the state of the boundary theory
- $\Delta \gg 1$
- w_i is a weighting, given by the Euclidean action, relevant in the case of multiple saddle points
- \mathcal{L}_{reg} is the regularised length of a geodesic connecting boundary points x and x'



The IR behavior

• The strong IR tail is produced by the second saddle point, where $a_{\max} \rightarrow 0$



• The proper time between the closest approach of the geodesic t_{max} , and the crunch shrinks as we increase ϕ_0 . Therefore the IR tail near the critical deformation comes from geodesics which come *close* to the singularity.

Comparison with free theory: $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c$

Free theory

• To compare to the geodesic approximation we need to compute the two-point function for a heavy operator.

 $\mathcal{O}_{N/2} =: \varphi^N :$ $\langle \mathcal{O}_{N/2}(x) \mathcal{O}_{N/2}(x') \rangle = N! G^N(Z)$

• Expanding the free theory around the massless limit, one finds:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle_{\text{free}} \propto \frac{1}{(\beta_c^{fr} - \beta)^{2\Delta}} \left[1 + 2\Delta(\beta_c^{fr} - \beta) \log(-2Z) + \mathcal{O}(\beta_c^{fr} - \beta)^2 \right] + \text{subleading}$$

Strong coupling

• In the limit of the critical deformation we need numerical fits to describe the geometry

$$a_1 \approx \frac{A}{\sqrt{\beta_c - \beta}}$$

 $\log(a_{\max}) \approx B_1 - \frac{B_2}{\beta_c - \beta}$

• Using these we find the two point function in the limit $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c$ is:

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle_{\text{s.c.}} \propto \frac{1}{(\beta_c - \beta)^{\Delta}} \left[1 - \Delta e^{B_1} e^{-\frac{B_2}{\beta_c - \beta}} \log(-2Z) + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{2B_2}{\beta_c - \beta}} \right) \right] \\ + \text{ subleading} \end{split}$$

- The Z-dependence matches the free theory
- The expansion in e^{-1/(β_c-β)} is reminiscent of an instanton expansion especially in view of the metastable effective potential.

Euclidean Action

- The Euclidean action is not single single valued, signature of a first order phase transition.
- Also indicated from purely field theory calculation by Nosaka, Shimizu and Terashima (1512.00249)



Conclusions

- The boundary theory on de Sitter is stable (for some range of parameters), whereas this is not the case for a Minkowski boundary.
- Signatures of the bulk singularity are reminiscent of the massless minimally coupled scalar in de Sitter
 - The dependence on boundary separation of correlation functions in the IR matches that of the free theory in the massless limit
 - The IR tail of the two point function comes from geodesics which come arbitrarily close to the singularity
 - Speculation: cosmological singularities are linked to strong correlations on large length scales (also one of the main virtues of inflation)
- We find indications of an instability for a branch of instanton solutions
 - Instability in the boundary theory indicated by $V_{eff.}(\varphi^2)$
 - Correlation functions dual to heavy bulk fields show hints of an instanton expansion in the limit of singular geometry
 - Double valued Euclidean action indicates first order phase transition

Thank you for your attention

Free scalar on dS_3

• All correlators only depend on the de Sitter invariant distance: $Z = \eta_{\mu\nu} \Delta X^{\mu} \Delta X^{\nu}$

$$Z = \begin{cases} \cos D & \text{for } D \leq \pi, \\ -\cosh(D - \pi) & \text{for } D > \pi. \end{cases}$$

• Two-point function

$$G_{\beta}(Z) = \frac{\sin\left(\sqrt{1-8\beta} \arcsin\sqrt{\frac{1+Z}{2}}\right)}{2\pi \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{1-8\beta}\right)\sqrt{1-Z^2}}$$



• One-point function

$$\varphi^{2}(x) = \lim_{x' \to x} \left[\varphi(x)\varphi(x') - \langle \varphi(x)\varphi(x') \rangle_{0} \times 1 \right]$$

$$\alpha = \langle \varphi^2 \rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{1-8\beta}}{4\pi} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{1-8\beta}\right)$$

Anninos, Denef, Harlow: 1207:5517

Quantum effective action

• The effective potential for $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$, (*i.e.* α) can be computed from the standard quantum effective action.

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_0}{\delta\alpha(x)} = \sqrt{-\gamma_{\rm dS}}\beta_i(x)$$

• We are interested in a theory with fixed von Neumann boundary conditions. We deform the theory by some fixed source β_{BC} , resulting in a shift of the quantum effective action:

$$\Gamma_{\beta}(\alpha) = \Gamma_{0}(\alpha) - \int d^{3}x \sqrt{-\gamma_{\rm dS}} \int_{0}^{\alpha(x)} \beta_{\rm BC}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

• The effective potential for α is obtained from the quantum effective action via the definition: $\Gamma_{\beta}(\alpha) = -Vol_{ds}V_{\text{eff}}(\alpha)$, resulting in:

$$V_{\rm eff}(\alpha) = -\int_0^\alpha \beta_i(\alpha) d\alpha + \int_0^\alpha \beta_{\rm BC}(\alpha) d\alpha \qquad \text{Hertog \& Horowitz: 0412169}$$

• The extrema of this potential are in one-to-one correspondence with the regular solutions (either in the free theory or the regular instanton solutions) that obey the von Neumann boundary conditions.

Geodesics in crunching AdS

Kumar & Vaganov: 1510.03281

- Geodesics do not enter the region $t > t_{max}$, where t_{max} is defined by $a(t_{max}) = a_{max}$. As the boundary separation of the endpoints is taken to infinity, the geodesic lies along the surface $t = t_{max}$
 - This has been used to argue that boundary correlators do not encode information about the singularity because geodesics don't get *close* to it. We do not find this to be the case.
- Geodesic length can only depend on the de Sitter invariant distance (Z) between the boundary points
- Geodesics with small boundary separations only probe the near boundary region asymptotically AdS region

$$\mathcal{L}(Z \to 1^{-}) = 2\log a(\rho_{cut}) + \log (2(1-Z)) - \frac{\alpha^2}{12}(1-Z) + \mathcal{O}(1-Z)^2$$

• Regularisation removes the universal volume divergence

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}} = \lim_{\rho_{\text{cut}} \to \infty} (\mathcal{L} - 2\rho_{\text{cut}}) - \log(a_1^2) \quad \text{with} \quad a = a_1 e^{\rho} + a_{-1} e^{-\rho} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho})$$

Weight saddle points via the Euclidean action

• Weights are given by:
$$w_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \beta > \beta_c ,\\ \frac{e^{-S_{E i}}}{\sum_j e^{-S_{E j}}} & \text{for } \beta_{\min} \le \beta \le \beta_c , \end{cases}$$

- When the second saddle point enters, it has a less negative Euclidean action, and is therefore subdominant
 - reminiscent of Maldacena's eternal BH in AdS story

hep-th/0106112



Comparison with free theory: $\phi_0 \ll 1$

Free theory

• To compare to the geodesic approximation we need to compute the two-point function for a heavy operator.

$$\mathcal{O}_{N/2} =: \varphi^N :$$

 $\mathcal{O}_{N/2}(x)\mathcal{O}_{N/2}(x')\rangle = N!G^N(Z)$

• Focusing on the IR, we expand the two point function around $Z \to \infty$. Additionally, the $\phi_0 \ll 1$ limit corresponds to small β :

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle_{\text{free}} \propto \left[(-2Z)^{-\Delta} - 4\Delta(-2Z)^{-\Delta} \log(-2Z)\beta \right] + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) + \text{ subleading}$$

Strong coupling

• In the near AdS limit we can solve the bulk perturbatively in β , we find:

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\beta^2}{9} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^3)$$
$$a_{\max} = 1 - \frac{4\beta^2}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^3)$$

• Plugging into the IR expansion of the holographic two point function we find

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \rangle_{\mathrm{sc}} \propto \left[(-2Z)^{-\Delta} + \frac{\Delta}{12} (-2Z)^{-\Delta} \left(\log(-2Z) + \pi - 2/3 \right) \beta^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\beta^3) + \mathrm{subleading}$$

• The Z-dependence matches the free theory, however, the subleading dependence enters at a different order in β

Holographic Renormalization

$$S_{\rm div} = -2a_1^3 e^{3\rho_{\rm cut}} + \frac{a_1}{4} e^{\rho_{\rm cut}} (\alpha^2 - 6) + \text{finite terms}$$

$$S_{\rm ct} = \int_{\rho=\rho_{\rm cut}} \mathrm{d}^3 \mathrm{x} \sqrt{\gamma^{\rho}} \left(2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{R}[\gamma^{\rho}] + \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 \right)$$

$$S_{-} = -\int_{\rho=\rho_{\rm cut}} \mathrm{d}^3 \mathrm{x} \sqrt{\gamma^{\rho}} \,\phi \,\pi_{\rm r}$$

$$\pi_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^{\rho}}} \frac{\delta(S_E + S_{\rm ct})}{\delta\phi} = \partial_{\rho}\phi + \phi$$

$$S_{\rm ren} = \lim_{\rho_{\rm cut} \to \infty} \left[-\int d^4 x \sqrt{g_{\rm E}} V(\phi) + \int_{\rho=\rho_{\rm cut}} d^3 x \sqrt{\gamma^{\rho}} \left(2 + \frac{1}{2} R[\gamma^{\rho}] - K - \frac{1}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\rho} \phi^2 \right) \right]$$

Some numerical fits

