A. Yu. Smirnov

Max-Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg, Germany



Bethe Forum, Bonn, Germany March 6 - 10, 2017

Madder Cales

Sofialasific Compension







at low energies Re A >> Im A inelastic interactions can be neglected



Refraction index:

for E = 10 MeV

n – 1 =
$$\begin{cases} \sim 10^{-20} & \text{inside the Earth} \\ < 10^{-18} & \text{inside the Sun} \end{cases}$$

Refraction length:

$$l_0 = \frac{2\pi}{V}$$

L. Wolfenstein, 1978

for $v_e v_\mu$



difference of potentials

$$V=~V_e\text{-}V_\mu=\sqrt{2}~G_F\,n_e$$

V ~ 10⁻¹³ eV inside the Earth

Natter potential

At low energies: neglect the inelastic scattering and absorption effect is reduced to the elastic forward scattering (refraction) described by the potential V (mean field approximation):

$$H_{int}(v) = \langle \psi \mid H_{int} \mid \psi \rangle = V \ \overline{v} v$$

CC interactions with electrons

$$H_{int} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) \nu \overline{e} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) e$$

 ψ is the wave function of the medium



$$\langle \overline{e} \gamma_0 (1 - \gamma_5) e \rangle = n_e$$
$$\langle \overline{e} \gamma e \rangle = n_e v$$
$$\langle \overline{e} \gamma \gamma_5 e \rangle = n_e v$$

- electron number density
- velocity of electrons
- averaged polarization vector of electrons

For unpolarized <u>medium at res</u>t:





Mixing is determined with respect to the eigenstates of propagation



Mixing angle determines flavors (flavor content) of eigenstates of propagation

$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{m}$ depends on $\boldsymbol{n}_{e},$ E

Flavor basis is the same, Eigenstates basis changes





 $V = diag(V_e, 0)$

In the flavor basis $(v_e, v_\mu)^T$

$$H_{tot} = \frac{\Delta m^2}{4E} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos 2\theta + \xi & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\xi = \frac{4V_e E}{\Delta m^2} \quad V_e = 2\sqrt{G_F}n_e$$



Eigenstates and eigenvalues

Diagonalization of the Hamiltonian:

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm m} = \frac{\sin^2 2\theta}{(\cos 2\theta - 2EV/\Delta m^2)^2 + \sin^2 2\theta}$$

$$V = \sqrt{2 G_F n_e}$$

Mixing is maximal if

$$V = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \cos 2\theta$$

 $ightarrow Resonance condition H_e = H_{\mu}$
 $\sin^2 2\theta_m = 1$

Difference of the eigenvalues

$$H_{2m} - H_{1m} = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \sqrt{(\cos 2\theta - 2EV/\Delta m^2)^2 + \sin^2 2\theta}$$



Dependence of mixing on density, energy has a resonance character





matter with varying density

Mixing angle determines flavor content of eigenstates of propagation

High density
Mixing suppressedResonance:
Maximal mixing
 $I_v = I_0 \cos 2\theta$ Low density
Vacuum mixing V_{2m} Image: Construction of the second se

Level crossing

V. Rubakov, private comm. N. Cabibbo, Savonlinna 1985 H. Bethe, PRL 57 (1986) 1271

Dependence of the neutrino eigenvalues on the matter potential (density):

l_{ν}	2E V
l_0 –	Δm^2

 $\frac{l_{v}}{l_{0}} = \cos 2\theta$

Crossing point - resonance

- the level split is minimal
- the oscillation length is maximal



Small

mixing



Oscillation length in vacuum



Refraction length



 determines the phase produced by interaction with matter





Normal mass hierarchy



Flavor in matter



Density increase \rightarrow

Normal mass hierarchy, neutrinos



Propagation effects





Oscillations in matter



Constant density medium: the same dynamics

Mixing changed phase difference changed

 $H_0 \rightarrow H = H_0 + V$

v_k → v_{mk} eigenstates eige of H₀ of H

eigenstates of H

 $\theta \rightarrow \theta_{m}$ (n)

Resonance - maximal mixing in matter - oscillations with maximal depth

 $\theta_m = \pi/4$

Resonance condition:

$$V = \cos 2\theta \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}$$



Maximal effect:

$$\sin^2 2\theta_m = 1$$

$$\phi = \pi/2 + \pi \mathbf{k}$$

MSW resonance condition

Resonance enhancement

Constant density



For neutrinos propagating in the mantle of the Earth

Large mixing $sin^2 2\theta = 0.824$

Layer of length L $k = \pi L / l_0$



Oscillation in the Earth, ORCA/PINGU

Small mixing $sin^2 2\theta = 0.08$



Resonance enhancement









Resonance enhancement



SNO Varying density

Hamiltonian for flavor states in matter

In the flavor basis $v_f = (v_e, v_\mu)^T$

$$H_{tot} = \frac{M M^{+}}{2E} + V(t)$$

$$M M^{+} = U M_{diag}^{2} U^{+} \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_{diag}^{2} = diag (m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2})$$

$$H_{tot} = \frac{\Delta m^2}{4E} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos 2\theta + \xi & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\xi = \frac{4V_e E}{\Delta m^2} \qquad V_e = \sqrt{2} G_F n_e$$

 $v_{\rm f} = U(\theta_{\rm m}) v_{\rm m}$

Mixing matrix in matter diagonalizes H_{tot} **Evolution equation for eigenstates**

In non-uniform medium the Hamiltonian depends on time:

$$i \frac{dv_{f}}{dt} = H_{tot} v_{f} \qquad v_{f} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{e} \\ v_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \qquad v_{m} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{1m} \\ v_{2m} \end{pmatrix}$$

Inserting
$$v_f = U(\theta_m) v_m$$
 $\theta_m = \theta_m(n_e(t))$



$$\frac{\left|\frac{d\theta_{m}}{dt}\right|}{\left|\frac{d\theta_{m}}{dt}\right|} \ll H_{2m} - H_{1m}$$

off-diagonal elements can be neglected no transitions between eigenstates propagate independently

 $H_{tot} = H_{tot}(n_e(t))$



Adiabaticity condition

$$\left| \frac{d\theta_{m}}{dt} \right| \ll H_{2m} - H_{1m}$$

External conditions (density) change slowly the system has time to adjust them

transitions between the neutrino eigenstates can be neglected

$$v_{1m} \leftrightarrow v_{2m}$$

Shape factors of the eigenstates do not change

The eigenstates propagate independently

Crucial in the resonance layer:

- the mixing changes fast
- level splitting is minimal



most crucial in the resonance where the mixing angle in matter changes fast



$$\begin{split} \Delta r_{R} &= h_{n} \tan 2\theta \text{ is the width of the resonance layer} \\ h_{n} &= \frac{n}{dn/dx} \text{ is the scale of density change} \\ l_{R} &= l_{v}/\sin 2\theta \text{ is the oscillation length in resonance} \end{split}$$

Explicitly:

$$\kappa_{\rm R} = \frac{\Delta m^2 \sin^2 2\theta h_{\rm n}}{2E \cos 2\theta}$$

Adiabatic conversion



if density changes slowly

the amplitudes of the wave packets do not change
 flavors of the eigenstates being determined by mixing angle follow the density change

Non-oscillatory transition

V_{2m}

 ν_e

Single eigenstate:
→ no interference
→ no oscillations
→ phase is irrelevant

This happens when mixing is very small in matter with very high density



Adiabatic conversion



interplay of adiabatic conversion and oscillations

Non-oscillatory transition is modulated by oscillations

distance

Spatial picture

The picture is universal in terms of variable $y = (n_R - n) / \Delta n_R$ no explicit dependence on oscillation parameters, density distribution, etc. only initial value y_0 matters



A Yu Smirnov



Sun, Supernova

Initial state:
$$v(0) = v_e = \cos\theta_m^0 v_{1m}(0) + \sin\theta_m^0 v_{2m}(0)$$
Adiabatic evolution
to the surface of
the Sun (zero density): $v_{1m}(0) \rightarrow v_1$
 $v_{2m}(0) \rightarrow v_2$

Final state:
$$v(f) = \cos\theta_m^0 v_1 + \sin\theta_m^0 v_2 e^{i\phi}$$

Probability to find v_e averaged over oscillations

or

$$P_{ee} = |\langle v_e | v(f) \rangle|^2 = (\cos\theta \cos\theta_m^0)^2 + (\sin\theta \sin\theta_m^0)^2$$
$$= 0.5[1 + \cos2\theta_m^0 \cos2\theta]$$

$$P_{ee} = sin^2\theta + cos 2\theta cos^2\theta_m^0$$





Pure adiabatic conversion

P - 1/2

Partialy adiabatic conversion



Oscillations versus adiabatic conversion

Different degrees of freedom

Oscillations

Vacuum or uniform medium with constant parameters

Phase difference increase between the eigenstates

Mixing

does not change

 $\theta_{\rm m}$ (E)

Adiabatic conversion

Non-uniform medium or/and medium with varying in time parameters

Change of mixing in medium = change of flavor of the eigenstates

Phase is irrelevant



In non-uniform medium: interplay of both processes

Resonance oscillations vs. adiabatic conversion

Passing through the matter filter







E/E_R



E/E_R

Parametric effects

Propagation on the Earth

Paranetic enhancement of oscillations

Enhancement associated to certain conditions for the phase of oscillations

Another way of getting strong transition No large vacuum mixing and no matter enhancement of mixing or resonance conversion

- V. Ermilova V. Tsarev, V. Chechin E. Akhmedov P. Krastev, A.S., Q. Y. Liu,
- S.T. Petcov, M. Chizhov





Parametric enhancement of 1-2 mode



Parametric enhancement



Resonance enhancement in mantle









J. Pantaleone S. Samuel V.A. Kostelecky



vv - scattering in u-channel due to Z⁰ - exchange

1. Momentum exchange → flavor exchange

2. Coherence if the background is in mixed state:

$$|v_{ib}\rangle = \Phi_{ie} |v_e\rangle + \Phi_{i\tau} |v_{\tau}\rangle$$

Coherent flavor changing transition

Probe neutrino = background neutrino Potential depends on transition probability Flavor exchange

J. Pantaleone S. Samuel V.A. Kostelecky



Flavor exchange between the beam (probe) and background neutrinos

If the background is in the mixed state:

 $|v_{ib}\rangle$ = Φ_{ie} $|v_{e}\rangle$ + $\Phi_{i\tau}$ $|v_{\tau}\rangle$

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{e}\tau} \thicksim \Sigma_{\mathbf{i}} \Phi_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{e}} \bullet_{\mathbf{i}\tau}$$

sum over particles of bg. w.f. give projections

Contribution to the Hamiltonian in the flavor basis

$$H_{vv} = \sqrt{2} G_F \Sigma_i (1 - v_e v_{ib}) \begin{pmatrix} |\Phi_{ie}|^2 & \Phi_{ie}^* \Phi_{i\tau} \\ \Phi_{ie} \Phi_{i\tau}^* & |\Phi_{i\tau}|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Evolution equation

Ensemble of neutrino polarization vectors P_{a} Negative frequencies for antineutrinos $d_{\rm T} \mathbf{P}_{\omega} = (-\omega \mathbf{B} + \lambda \mathbf{L} + \mu \mathbf{P}) \times \mathbf{P}_{\omega}$ Vacuum mixing term Usual matter Collective vector potential $\mathbf{P} = \int_{-\infty}^{+ \inf} \mathbf{P}_{\omega}$ $\mathbf{B} = (\sin 2\theta, 0, \cos 2\theta)$ L = (0, 0, 1)- inf $\omega = \Delta m^2 / 2E$ $\lambda = V = \sqrt{2} G_F n_e$ $\mu = \sqrt{2} G_F n_v (1 - \cos \theta_{vv})$

The term describes collective effects



Adiabaticity condition

$$\left| \frac{d\theta_{m}}{dt} \right| \ll H_{2m} - H_{1m}$$

External conditions (density) change slowly the system has time to adjust them

transitions between the neutrino eigenstates can be neglected

$$v_{1m} \leftrightarrow v_{2m}$$

The eigenstates propagate independently

Shape factors of the eigenstates do not change

Crucial in the resonance layer:

- the mixing changes fast
- level splitting is minimal

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta r_{R} > l_{R} & \mbox{if vacuum mixing is small} \\ l_{R} = l_{v} / \sin 2\theta & \mbox{oscillation length in resonance} \\ \Delta r_{R} = n_{R} / (dn/dx)_{R} \tan 2\theta & \mbox{width of the res. layer} \end{array}$

If vacuum mixing is large, the point of maximal adiabaticity violation is shifted to larger densities

$$n(a.v.) \rightarrow n_R^0 > n_R$$
$$n_R^0 = \Delta m^2 / 2\sqrt{2} G_F E$$



Mixing in matter - dynamical variable