Self amplified spontaneous emission from relativistic electrons in crystals: linear response analysis for PXR and channeling radiation mechanisms

Andrei Benediktovitch, CFEL, DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Ihar Lobach, Stasis Chuchurka, Alexander Leonau Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus

Sergei Galyamin, St.Petersburg State University, St.Petersburg, Russian Federation





Motivation

What determines size of XFEL and are there analogues for crystal abased radiation mechanisms?

(X-ray) Free Electron Laser



Radiation wavelength: $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} (1 + \frac{K^2}{2})$ Undulator size: $L \sim \frac{\lambda_u}{\rho}, \quad \rho = \left(\frac{I}{\gamma^3 I_A} \frac{K^2 [JJ]^2}{32\pi} \frac{\lambda_u^2}{2\pi\sigma_z\sigma_u}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$

Motivation

What determines size of XFEL and are there analogues for crystal abased radiation mechanisms?

(X-ray) Free Electron Laser



Radiation wavelength: $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} (1 + \frac{K^2}{2})$ Undulator size: $L \sim \frac{\lambda_u}{\rho}, \quad \rho = \left(\frac{I}{\gamma^3 I_A} \frac{K^2 [JJ]^2}{32\pi} \frac{\lambda_u^2}{2\pi\sigma_z\sigma_z}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$

Parametric X-Ray Radiation (PXR) and Channeling radiation (Ch R)



Radiation wavelength: $\lambda_{\rm PXR}=2d\sin\Theta, \quad E>50{
m MeV}$ $\omega_{\rm ChR}=2\gamma^2\Omega$ Increment estimation:

$$\delta = -2^{-1/2} (Q \omega_{\rm r} |g_0|)^{1/4},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} |\delta| \omega_{\rm r}^{-1} \left[\operatorname{Im}(g_0 - \sqrt{g_\tau g_{-\tau}}) \right]^{-1} > 1.$$
(16)

One can find the following estimation from eq. (16) under the above-mentioned conditions:

 $j_{\rm s} \gtrsim 10^7 \text{ A/cm}^2 \cdot = 100 \text{pC}/(100 \text{um})^2/100 \text{fs}$

Volume 102A, number 3

PHYSICS LETTERS

7 May 1984

PARAMETRIC BEAM INSTABILITY OF RELATIVISTIC CHARGED PARTICLES IN A CRYSTAL

V.G. BARYSHEVSKY and I.D. FERANCHUK Department of Physics, Byelorussian State University, Minsk-80, USSR

DESY. |SASE from relativistic electrons in crystals: linear response analysis for PXR and channeling radiation | A. Benediktovitch, RREPS-17, 20.09.2017 Page 3

Beam susceptibility

Small perturbation of the trajectory (linear theory):

$$\beta_j(t) = \beta + \delta \beta_j(t)$$

$$r_j(t) = r_{j0} + \beta ct + \delta r(t)$$

$$\delta r_j(t) = c \delta \beta_j(t)$$

Equation of motion (2-nd

Newton law, relativistic):

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\delta\beta}}_{j} = \frac{-e}{mc\gamma_{i}}((\boldsymbol{1}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}\otimes\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j})\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{r}_{j},t) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}\times\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{r}_{j},t))$$

Resulting current density (in k-w space)

$$\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{k},w) = \frac{ie^2 n_b}{mc\gamma w} (\boldsymbol{1} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \otimes \boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{k} \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta}}{w - \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}} + \frac{k^2 - w^2}{(w - \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})^2} \boldsymbol{\beta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta}) \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{k},w)$$

SASE for PXR – 1-st order perturbation theory Dispersion equation

Direct wave (at exp[*i* **k r**]) Diffracted wave (at exp[*i* (**k+g**) **r**])

$$(T - \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k})\mathbf{E} - w^2 \chi_{-g}\mathbf{E}_g = -Y\boldsymbol{\beta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta}\mathbf{E}$$
$$(T_g - \mathbf{k}_g \otimes \mathbf{k}_g)\mathbf{E}_g - w^2 \chi_g \mathbf{E} = 0,$$

0

here

$$\begin{split} T &= k^2 - w^2 \epsilon_0, \ T_g = k_g^2 - w^2 \epsilon_0 \quad \text{dispersion equations for "free" waves} \\ Y &= \frac{w_b^2}{\gamma} \frac{k^2 - w^2}{(w - \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})^2} \quad \text{factor due to Induced current} \\ w_b^2 &= 4\pi e^2 n_b / (mc^2) \quad \text{electron beam plasma frequency} \end{split}$$

Resulting dispersion equation for σ polarized wave:

$$\left(w - \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^2 D_{\sigma} = \frac{w_b^2}{\gamma w^2} \left(k^2 - w^2\right) \left(D_{\sigma} - \beta_{\perp}^2 w^2 T_g\right)$$

here $D_{\sigma}=TT_g-\chi_g\chi_{-g}w^4~$ - dispersion equation in case of dynamical diffraction theory

Dispersion equation

$$\frac{(w - \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 D_{\sigma}}{\text{proportional to}} = \frac{w_b^2}{\gamma w^2} \left(k^2 - w^2\right) \left(D_{\sigma} - \beta_{\perp}^2 w^2 T_g\right)$$

$$\beta_z^2 (\delta_z - \delta_{\text{Ch}})^2 (\delta_z - \delta_1) (\delta_z - \delta_2) (\delta_z - \delta_3) (\delta_z - \delta_4)$$
Bragg condition
Cherenkov condition
$$T = k^2 - w^2 \epsilon_0, T_g = k_g^2 - w^2 \epsilon_0$$

Boundary conditions



Homogeneous and inhomogeneous parts of the current:

$$(T - \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k})\mathbf{E} - w^{2}\chi_{-g}\mathbf{E}_{g} = -Y\boldsymbol{\beta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta}\mathbf{E} + H\boldsymbol{\beta}\delta(w - \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

$$(T_{g} - \mathbf{k}_{g} \otimes \mathbf{k}_{g})\mathbf{E}_{g} - w^{2}\chi_{g}\mathbf{E} = 0$$
Homogeneous and inhomogeneous parts of the field
$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}, w) = \mathbf{E}^{i}(\mathbf{k}, w) + \sum \mathbf{E}_{m}^{h}(w)\delta\left(k_{n} - k_{n}^{(m)}(w)\right)$$

$$E_c(k_{\tau} + k_n^c, w)dk_n^c = \int E_v(k_{\tau} + k_n^v, w)dk_n^v$$
 - continuity at the interface

Current continuity

Field continuity entry surface

exit surface

$$\sum_{m} E_{m} = R \qquad \sum_{m} \exp(i\delta_{z}^{(m)}l)E_{m} = T \qquad \widehat{J}E_{9} = J_{0}$$

$$\sum_{m} k_{z}^{(m)}E_{m} = -w_{z}R \qquad \sum_{m} \exp(i\delta_{z}^{(m)}l)k_{z}^{(m)}E_{m} = w_{z}T \qquad \widehat{J} = -Y\beta \otimes \beta$$

$$\sum_{m} \widehat{g}_{m}E_{m} = G_{u} \qquad \sum_{m} \exp(i\delta_{z}^{(m)}l)\widehat{g}_{m}E_{m} = G_{d} \qquad J_{0} = H\beta/\beta_{z}$$

$$H = 8\pi^{2}iwe/c.$$

$$\sum_{m} k_{z}^{(m)}\widehat{g}_{m}E_{m} = -w_{zg}G_{u} \qquad \sum_{m} \exp(i\delta_{z}^{(m)}l)k_{z}^{(m)}\widehat{g}_{m}E_{m} = w_{zg}G_{d}$$
One can show that
$$E^{i} \rightarrow \left(\exp(i\delta_{z}^{(9)}l)\frac{\delta_{z}^{(9)}}{\delta_{z}^{(9)} - \delta_{z}^{(10)}} + \exp(i\delta_{z}^{(10)}l)\frac{-\delta_{z}^{(10)}}{\delta_{z}^{(9)} - \delta_{z}^{(10)}}\right)E^{i}$$

DESY. |SASE from relativistic electrons in crystals: linear response analysis for PXR and channeling radiation | A. Benediktovitch, RREPS-17, 20.09.2017 Page 7

Deteriorative effect of emittance

Average over velocity directions: $\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{k},w) = \frac{ie^2}{mc\gamma w} \int \frac{dn_B}{d\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{k^2 - w^2}{(w - \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})^2} \boldsymbol{\beta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta} d\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{k},w)$

Ideal beam $\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{k},w) \sim n_e \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta}}{(w-\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})^2}$

with emittance $\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{k},w) \sim n_e \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle \otimes \langle \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle}{(w-\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} - ik_y \Delta \alpha_1)(w-\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta} - ik_y \Delta \alpha_1 - (k_z \cos \eta + k_x \sin \eta) \Delta \alpha_2)}$

Deteriorative effect of emittance

Average over velocity directions: $\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{k},w) = \frac{ie^2}{mc\gamma w} \int \frac{dn_B}{d\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{k^2 - w^2}{(w - \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})^2} \boldsymbol{\beta} \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta} d\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{k},w)$



Analogs in THz range

Artificial crystal in ThZ range



One can have

$$\chi_g \sim 10^{-2} .. 10^{-3}$$

And apply the same formalism.

V.G.Baryshevsky, E.A.Gurnevich, *Cherenkov and parametric (quasi-Cherenkov) radiation produced by a relativistic charged particle moving through a crystal built from metallic wires*, NIM B, 402, 30-34 (2017) DESY. [SASE from relativistic electrons in crystals: linear response analysis for PXR and channeling radiation | A. Benediktovitch, RREPS-17, 20.09.2017 Page 10

Analogs in THz range

Artificial crystal in ThZ range



One can have

 $\chi_g \sim 10^{-2} .. 10^{-3}$

And apply the same formalism.

Numerical example:



Resulting peak gain

 L_q =1.6 mm, Lg/ ψ =15 cm

V.G.Baryshevsky, E.A.Gurnevich, *Cherenkov and parametric (quasi-Cherenkov) radiation produced by a relativistic charged particle moving through a crystal built from metallic wires*, NIM B, 402, 30-34 (2017) DESY. [SASE from relativistic electrons in crystals: linear response analysis for PXR and channeling radiation | A. Benediktovitch, RREPS-17, 20.09.2017 Page 11

Axial channeling and channeling radiation

Transverse motion of relativistic electron can be described by

Schrodinger equation with effective mass $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ m



Sketch of axial channeling along [001] axes in Si crystal

The transition between the transverse energy levels results in spontaneous channeling radiation. The radiation properties are close to that of undulator radiation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\gamma m}\Delta_{x,y} + U(x,y)\right)\psi$$



Axes potential and energy levels for 25 MeV electrons

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{radiation frequency:} & \omega = \frac{2\gamma^2\Omega}{1+\gamma^2\theta^2} \\ \mbox{peak photon density:} & \frac{dN}{d^2\vec{n}d\omega/\omega} = 4\alpha K_u^2 N_u^2\gamma^2 P_e \\ \mbox{effective undulator} \\ \mbox{parameter:} & K_u = \gamma \frac{\Omega d_{eg}}{c} \sim 0.02 \\ \mbox{effective number of} \\ \mbox{undulator periods:} & N_u = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\omega L}{2\gamma^2} \end{array}$

Equations from first principles

- starting from Hamiltonian for the field and electrons in axial potential,
- quantizing by imposing equal-time commutation relations,
- from Heisenberg equation one obtains operator equations:

Equations from first principles

- starting from Hamiltonian for the field and electrons in axial potential,
- quantizing by imposing equal-time commutation relations,
- from Heisenberg equation one obtains operator equations:

Field:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r},t) &- \frac{\epsilon(\vec{r})}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^2} - \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r},t)) = \\ & \frac{4\pi i}{c} \sum_i e\Omega \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(t) [\vec{d}_{eg} + \frac{i}{\Omega} \vec{u} (\vec{d}_{eg} \cdot \vec{\nabla})] \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0^{(i)} - \vec{u}t) + h.c. \end{split}$$

Equations from first principles

- starting from Hamiltonian for the field and electrons in axial potential,
- quantizing by imposing equal-time commutation relations,
- from Heisenberg equation one obtains operator equations:

Field:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r},t) &- \frac{\epsilon(\vec{r})}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^2} - \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r},t)) = \\ & \frac{4\pi i}{c} \sum_i e\Omega \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(t) [\vec{d}_{eg} + \frac{i}{\Omega} \vec{u} (\vec{d}_{eg} \cdot \vec{\nabla})] \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0^{(i)} - \vec{u}t) + h.c. \end{split}$$

Channeled electrons (two level systems) :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(t)}{\partial t} &= i\Omega \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(t) + \hat{\sigma}_{zz}^{(i)}(t) \frac{e\Omega}{\hbar c} [\vec{d}_{eg} + \frac{i}{\Omega} \vec{u} (\vec{d}_{eg} \cdot \vec{\nabla})] \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r}, t)|_{\vec{r} = \vec{r}_{0}^{(i)} + \vec{u}t} + h.c. \\ \frac{\partial \hat{\sigma}_{zz}^{(i)}(t)}{\partial t} &= \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(t) \frac{2e\Omega}{\hbar c} [\vec{d}_{eg} + \frac{i}{\Omega} \vec{u} (\vec{d}_{eg} \cdot \vec{\nabla})] \hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r}, t)|_{\vec{r} = \vec{r}_{0}^{(i)} + \vec{u}t} + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

The operator describing electron state are:

coherences $\hat{\sigma}_{eg} = |e\rangle\langle g|$, occupation inversion $\hat{\sigma}_{zz} = |e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|$

0-th order approximation: spontaneous radiation

When radiation backaction on channeling states is negligible the dynamic of electron variable is trivial: $\hat{\sigma}_{eg}(t) = e^{i\Omega t}\hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty)$, $\hat{\sigma}_{zz}(t) = \hat{\sigma}_{zz}(-\infty)$. The quantum-mechanical averages are $\langle \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty) \rangle = 0$, $\langle \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty) \hat{\sigma}_{ge}(-\infty) \rangle = P_e$. The radiation field is due to $j_{sp} \sim \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty)$ With help of Green function for wave equation one can obtain $\frac{dN}{d^2 \vec{n} d\omega} \sim \langle \vec{A} \vec{A}^* \rangle \sim \langle \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty) \hat{\sigma}_{ge}(-\infty) \rangle \sim P_e$

0-th order approximation: spontaneous radiation

When radiation backaction on channeling states is negligible the dynamic of electron variable is trivial: $\hat{\sigma}_{eg}(t) = e^{i\Omega t}\hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty)$, $\hat{\sigma}_{zz}(t) = \hat{\sigma}_{zz}(-\infty)$. The quantum-mechanical averages are $\langle \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty) \rangle = 0$, $\langle \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty) \hat{\sigma}_{ge}(-\infty) \rangle = P_e$. The radiation field is due to $j_{sp} \sim \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty)$ With help of Green function for wave equation one can obtain $\frac{dN}{d^2 \vec{n} d\omega} \sim \langle \vec{A} \vec{A}^* \rangle \sim \langle \hat{\sigma}_{eg}(-\infty) \hat{\sigma}_{ge}(-\infty) \rangle \sim P_e$

1-st order approximations: beam susceptibility

Assume $\hat{\sigma}_{zz}(t) = \hat{\sigma}_{zz}(-\infty)$, performing integration of operator equation one obtains

$$\hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(t) = \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(-\infty)e^{i\Omega t} + \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \hat{\sigma}_{zz}^{(i)}(-\infty)\frac{e\Omega}{\hbar c} [\vec{d}_{eg} + \frac{i}{\Omega}\vec{u}(\vec{d}_{eg}\cdot\vec{\nabla})]\hat{\vec{A}}(\vec{r},t')|_{\vec{r}=\vec{r}_{0}^{(i)}+\vec{u}t'}e^{i\Omega(t-t')}$$

Substituted in equations for field it results in linear susceptibility. In k, ω space susceptibility is

$$\chi^{(b)}(\vec{k},\omega) = \chi_b \frac{\omega}{\omega - \vec{k}\vec{v} - \Omega} \vec{a} \otimes \vec{a}, \quad \chi_b = \frac{4\pi ec}{\hbar\omega^3} j_b \frac{\Omega^2 d_{eg}^2}{c^2} (P_e - P_g), \quad \vec{a} = \frac{\vec{d}_{eg}}{d_{eg}} + \vec{v} \frac{\vec{k}\vec{d}_{eg}}{\Omega d_{eg}}, \quad \vec{d}_{eg} = \langle e | \vec{r} | g \rangle$$

Wavefields and dispersion equation

Consider periodic permittivity $\epsilon(\vec{r}) = 1 + \chi_0 + \chi_H e^{i\vec{H}\vec{r}} + \chi_{-H}e^{-i\vec{H}\vec{r}}$ due to crystallographic order and assume that the emitted radiation is close to Bragg conditions for reciprocal lattice vector H (a two-wave approximation):

$$\begin{split} & [X_k I - \vec{k} \otimes \vec{k} - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \chi^{(b)}(\vec{k}, \omega)] \cdot \vec{A}_k(\vec{k}, \omega) - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \chi_{-H} \vec{A}_H(\vec{k}, \omega) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j}_{(sp)}(\vec{k}, \omega) \\ & [X_H I - (\vec{k} + \vec{H}) \otimes (\vec{k} + \vec{H})] \cdot \vec{A}_H(\vec{k}, \omega) - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \chi_H \vec{A}_k(\vec{k}, \omega) = 0, \end{split}$$



Here the dispersion equations for incident and diffracted wavefields are $X_k = k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} (1 + \chi_0), \quad X_H = (\vec{k} + \vec{H})^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} (1 + \chi_0),$

The noise current (resulting in spontaneous radiation) is

$$\vec{j}_{(sp)}(\vec{k},\omega) = 2\pi i e \Omega d_{eg} \vec{a} \delta(\omega - \vec{k} \vec{u} - \Omega) \sum_{i} e^{-i\vec{k}\vec{r}_{0}^{(i)}} \hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(-\infty).$$

Dispersion equation and boundary conditions

 σ

The dispersion equation can be factorized for σ and π polarizations, for σ one obtains

$$[X_k X_H - \frac{\omega^4}{c^4} \chi_H \chi_{-H}](\omega - \vec{k}\vec{u} - \Omega) = \chi_b X_H \frac{\omega^3}{c^2}.$$

Solution of the wave field inside the crystal takes the following form:

$$\vec{A}(\vec{k},\omega) = \sum_{s} A_s(\vec{k},\omega)\vec{e}_s(\vec{k},\omega)\delta(k_z - k_z^{(s)}(\vec{k}_{||},\omega))$$

To find A_s one needs boundary conditions for the field and in addition for the current density, since the number of dispersion equation roots is larger by one compared to dynamical diffraction case:

$$8\pi^{2}ie\Omega d_{eg}\sum_{i}e^{-i\vec{k}\vec{r}_{0}^{(i)}}\hat{\sigma}_{eg}^{(i)}(-\infty) = \sum_{s}A_{s}\frac{\left[X_{k}X_{H} - \frac{\omega^{4}}{c^{4}}\chi_{H}\chi_{-H}\right]}{X_{H}\omega^{2}/c^{2}}|_{k_{z}=k_{z}^{(s)}}.$$

Dispersion equation: numerical example and general view

Here following parameters were used:

E=25 MeV

axial channeling in Si along [001]

Ω=14.3 eV

 $P_{e}-P_{q}=6.5\%$

B=1.7.1019 A m-2 rad-2 (*)

resulting beam susceptibility

 $\chi_{b} = 4.6 \cdot 10^{-13}$

Bragg condition for (004) at $\Theta_{\rm B} = \pi/2$

x-ray photons ω =4.5 keV



blue - solutions corresponding to dynamical diffraction,

red - to channeling radiation condition

(*)F.Li, J.F.Hua, et al., *Generating high-brightness electron beams via ionization injection by transverse colliding lasers in a plasma-wakefield accelerator*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 111, 015003 (2013)

DESY. |SASE from relativistic electrons in crystals: linear response analysis for PXR and channeling radiation | A. Benediktovitch, RREPS-17, 20.09.2017 Page 20

Dispersion equation: numerical example and detailed view

The largest instability increment is at roots intersection that takes place at the edge of Darwin table. For considered case:

$$\mathrm{Im}k_z^{(r)} = \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{\chi_b}{2\mathrm{Im}\chi_0(1-e^{-W})}$$

Bragg diffraction leads to increase of instability increment due to decrease of effective absorption – an analogue of Borrmann effect.



detailed view near the intersection, dip of red line results in instability increment

0

 $\theta - \theta_r$, arcsec

2

Δ

 $m k_z [\mu m^{-1}$

blue - solutions corresponding to dynamical diffraction, red - to channeling radiation condition

-4

-2

0.15

0.10

0.05

0.00

Numerical example of SASE within 1-st order perturbation theory



detailed view near the intersection, dip of red line results in instability increment

Gain length L_g~60 μm

Radiation intensity profile from 200µm crystal slab, solid line represents SASE effect, dashed line corresponds to spontaneous radiation

Conclusions

...

SASE for PXR – 1-st order perturbation theory

-beam divergence deteriorates the effect crucially -in THz range the effect could survive

SASE for Channeling radiation – 1-st order perturbation theory

-QM operator equations should be solved, methods developed for X-ray ASE could be applied

-within 1-st order perturbation theory one can described by means of effective medium -> dispersion equations -> boundary conditions

-Bragg diffraction helps to decrease the absorption length by analogue of Borrmann effect

Thank you for your attention!