Studies of the ATLAS hadronic Calorimeter response to different particles at Test Beams

Tamar Zakareishvili (HEPI TSU, Georgia), on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

6th Beam Telescopes and Test Beams Workshop 2018 (BTTB6) 16-19 January 2018, Zurich



The author was funded by the by grants DI/20/6-200/14, # FR17_184 through Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation



High Energy Physics Institute Tbilisi State University

Outline

- ATLAS Tile Calorimeter
- Test Beam setup
- The results obtained using:
 - Muons
 - Electrons
 - Hadrons
- Summary

ATLAS Tile Calorimeter

Principle of TileCal:

- The defining role of hadron calorimetry is to measure the energies of jets.
- Measure light produced by charged particles in plastic scintillator.
- Scint. light from tiles collected by WLS fibers and delivered to PMTs.
- Tile readout is grouped into projective geometry cells. each cell readout by 2 PMTs except special cells (layer E).
- Each barrel consist of 11 tile rows which form 3 longitudinal layers (A, BC, D).



Test Beam setup

Motivation:

The Phase II Upgrade of the LHC – increase of instantaneous luminosity by a factor of 5-10. Electronics will need to withstand a much higher radiation dose as well as a increased demand for data throughput.



• Half-module (LBC65) has been equipped with so-called Hybrid **Demonstrator**. The 3-in-1 frontend option has been mounted in this Demonstrator which provides all the upgrade functionalities but maintaining the analog trigger signals for backward compatibility. Another half-module (LBA65) has been instrumented with other frontend electronics options FATALIC and M0 A was equipped with Multi-Anode PMT.



These modules equipped with Phase-II upgrade electronics together with modules equipped with the legacy system where exposed to different particles and energies, coming from SPS accelerator, in the test-beam campaigns during 2015 – 2017. **Following work is done using Demonstrator data.**

Results with:

Muons

- The high energy muons traverse the entire TileCal modules for any angle of incidence, thereby allowing a study of the module response in great detail through their entire volume.
- The interaction of muons with matter is well understood. The dominant energy loss process is ionization and the energy loss is essentially proportional to the muon track path length.
- Muon data allows us to:
 - verify the new electronics performance by checking the equalization of the cell response.

• Electrons

Hadrons

Results with muons: strategy

- The TileCal response to high energy muons follows a Landau type distribution with characteristically long tails at high energies.
- The most obvious definition, namely the most-probable (peak) value of the signal divided by the muon path length, displays a significant residual dependence on the path length.
- Instead, the mean value of the measured muon energy loss spectrum truncated at 97.5% of the total number of entries was adopted.
- The truncated mean was preferred to the full one because it is less affected by rare high energy loss processes.



Results with muons: dE/dl VS cell



- The response of the detector has been studied determining the ratio between the energy deposited in a calorimeter cell (dE) and the track path-length in the cell (dl) using 165GeV muons at an incident angle of -90°.
- The ratio of experimental and simulated dE/dl values was defined for each calorimeter cell:

$$R = \frac{\langle dE/dl \rangle_t}{\langle dE/dl \rangle_t^{MC}}$$

- The red horizontal lines the mean values of dE/dl for each layer.
- The data show a layer uniformity at 1%. An offset of max 4% is observed for Data/MC.

Results with:

Muons

Electrons

- electron beams provide perfect tool to:
 - Determine the electromagnetic scale— by measuring signals of beam particles at known energies and calculating the average charge-to-energy conversion factor, in pC/GeV.
 - Verify the linearity of the response vs. energy and to test the detector uniformity and its energy resolution.

• Hadrons

Electron identification

- Test beam is a mixture of electrons, hadrons and muons:
 - muons were rejected by requiring the total measured energy to be $E_{tot} > 5$ GeV.
 - For electron/hadron separation, two shower profile criteria were used (C-method): C_{long} and C_{tot} exploiting the difference of electromagnetic and hadronic showers profiles in the calorimeter.

$$C_{long} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{E_{ij}}{E_{beam}}$$

$$C_{tot} = \frac{1}{\sum_{c} E_{c}^{\alpha}} \sqrt{\sum_{c} \frac{\left(E_{c}^{\alpha} - \sum_{c} E_{c}^{\alpha} / N_{cell}\right)^{2}}{N_{cell}}}$$

• The variable *C*_{long} represents the fraction of energy deposited in the first two longitudinal layers of the demonstrator module:

Where *j* runs over 3 adjacent cells of the layer *i* centered around the beam and E_{ij} stands for the energy measured in a cell.

The variable C_{tot} measures the spread of the energy deposited in the calorimeter cell c:

where E_c represents the energy in cell c and $N_{cell} = 9$ stands for the total number of cells considered. The exponent $\alpha =$ 0.6 was tuned using a Monte Carlo simulation to achieve maximum electron/hadron separation.

Electron identification

- The combination of the quantities C_{long} and C_{tot} are used for electron/hadron separation.
- The region on the right/top corresponds to electrons, the other to hadrons.



- The cut on C_{long} (C_{tot}) depends on the beam energy, it ranges from 0.75 (2.1) at 20 GeV to 0.88 (6.5) at 100 GeV.
- At energy $E_{beam} = 20$ GeV the purity of the electron sample is improved requiring signals of the Cherenkov counters 1 and 3 of the beam line larger than 500 ADC counts.

Electron data MC comparison

- The distributions obtained using experimental and simulated data in the case of beams incident in the A-4 cell at 20° are shown.
- For a given beam energy the experimental and the simulated shapes are very similar proving the purity of the selected experimental electron samples.
- The solid (dashed) distribution corresponds to experimental (simulated) data.



Response linearity

• The linearity of the calorimeter response to electrons was checked in the range of 20-100 GeV.

The values of E_{fit}/E_{beam} obtained with electron beams with different energies, 20, 50 and 100 GeV



Results with:

- Muons
- Electrons
- Hadrons
 - The defining role of hadron calorimetry is to measure the energies of jets.
 - It is important to determine response from different hadrons.

Separation of hadrons

- Test beam is a mixture of: p, π , K, e, μ
- Particles of the beam were identified mainly by the calorimeter's response and three beam-line Cherenkov counters, which were tuned for p,K separation:
 - Cherenkov 3 (Helium at 0.3 bar): separation of p/K from the rest
 - Cherenkov 2 (CO2 at 2 bar): separation of p from the rest
 - Cherenkov 1 (CO2 at 2.6 bar): separation of p/K from the rest



Separation of hadrons

The selection criteria chain applied for 18 GeV beam is visualized in figures.

p/K particles are separated from π /e requiring:

• Cher1 < 400 and

Cher3 < 420 ADC counts

Pions from electrons:

• Cher1 < 2600 and

Cher2 < 3900 ADC counts

Kaons from the protons:

• Cher2 < 460 ADC counts





Response of hadrons



- For all the beam energies, the responses < E > are extracted as the mean values of a Gaussian fitted in the range $\pm 2\sigma$ centered on the peak.
- The width of the distributions are extracted as the σ of the fit function.
- The low energy peak is due to muons coming from kaons decays.

Results with hadrons

- Left figure shows the measured response < E > as function of the beam energy.
 - The agreement with the simulated results obtained using the Geant 4.10.1 (FTFP_BERT_ATL physics list) improves with increase of the beam energy.
- Right figure shows the width σ as a function of the beam energy.
- In the considered range, the response is larger for pions and kaons than for protons.
- The agreement Data/MC is better than 5% for measured response < E > and better than 12% for measured width (ratio is shown at the bottom of each plot).



Summary

- Motivation: The Phase II Upgrade of the LHC plans to increase instantaneous luminosity by a factor of 5-10. Electronics will need to withstand a much higher radiation dose as well as a increased demand for data throughput.
- A stack of three modules of the hadronic calorimeter of the ATLAS experiment (TileCal) equipped with the updated front-end electronics has been exposed to the beams of the SPS at CERN.
- The results obtained using muons, electrons and hadrons are in agreement with the calibration settings obtained using the old electronics and with the expectations obtained using simulated data.
- The Results confirm good performance of the new electronics.

Thank you for your attention!

Backup

ATLAS detector

- The TileCal is the central hadronic calorimeter within the ATLAS at the LHC situated at CERN, Geneva.
- The TileCal is composed of four barrel sections (two central and two extended barrels), each containing 64 azimuthal slices.
- The Phase II Upgrade of the LHC plans to increase the present instantaneous luminosity by a factor of 5-10. will need to withstand a much higher radiation dose as well as a increased demand for data throughput.

