Test beam results with Depleted Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors (TowerJazz Investigator chip) at the SPS at CERN

Florian Dachs, Maria Moreno Llacer, Enrico Jr. Schioppa, CERN EP on behalf of T. Kugathasan, C. Marin Tobon, S. Monzani, H. Pernegger, P. Riedler, C. Riegel, W. Snoeys, J. Van Hoorne

Outlook

- Setup at CERN North Area
- Telescope and DUT
- Data Analysis
- Results
- Conclusions

CERN SPS test beam campaign 2017

- 3 setups installed in SPS H8b
- 3 weeks as main users (1 in May, 2 in September)
 Most of the remaining time parasitic (from May to October)
 Mostly 180 GeV pions
 Overall good data taking



The TowerJazz 180nm CMOS technology



- Difficult to obtain full lateral • depletion Not radiation hard
- •

- A planar junction extends across the full pixel surface Radiation hard •
- •

The DUT – TowerJazz Investigator



1x10¹⁵ MeV n_{eq}/cm²
 2x10¹⁵ MeV n_{eq}/cm² for Minimatrix 106

2018-01-17

57 cm

0.

The telescope

- 6 planes of FE-I4 chips solder bump bonded to silicon sensors with 336x80 pixels
- Pixel dimensions: 50x250µm²
- Planes are in turn rotated by 90° to ensure a more symmetric resolution
- Data processing and control via HSIO board / RCE server
- Very compact



Combined setup of telescope and DUT



Special considerations for this setup

- DUT signal is **analogue**
 - Parameters acquired by fitting a signal waveform
 - Only 2x2 pixels read out due to hardware constraints
- > DUT is extremely small
 - > Pixel sizes range from $30\mu m$ to $50\mu m$
 - For measurements, a ROI is applied to reduce amount of recorded data
- Telescope tracks must be synchronized to DUT signals before data analysis
- dry ice cooling causes DUT movement relative to telescope

SPS test beam: analysis flow



Telescope alignment (roughly)



Finding the DUT (roughly)



Data taking (roughly)



Alignment and tracking

- Alignment and tracking done with proteus
 - > Tutorial at this workshop!
 - > Originally developed from "Judith" :
 - → G. McGoldrick et al, NIM A765 140--145, Nov. 2014
 - > Now developed and maintained by M. Kiehn et.al.
 - https://gitlab.cern.ch/unige-fei4tel/proteus
- Track resolution of 6-8µm reached
 - > 2 peaks due to rectangular shape of pixels on telescope planes



2018-01-17

Waveform analysis

- DUT signal generated via charge integration
 - Visible as step in signal
 - Rise time, start time, amplitude and other parameters extracted by fitting the waveform



Cuts and Selection Inefficiency

- Cumulative cut applied to T₀, rise time, amplitude and chi²
- Cuts were checked by looking at selected and rejected waveforms
- The selection inefficiency (i.e. the ratio of found waveforms) is not yet precisely known
 - preliminary, MC simulation is planned

Excluded:



Included:



Cuts and Selection Inefficiency



Synchronization

- Data synchronized by comparing comparing number of events in a single SPS beam spill in both data sets
- If the spill contains the same number of events in both data sets, they are correlated 1 on 1, i.e. 1st DUT event to 1st telescope event etc...
- Spills with **different numbers** of events in both data sets are **discarded**



- DUT was cooled with dry ice during first 3 months
 - Constant refills necessary (horrible!)
 - DUT moves with respect to telescope due to evaporation of dry ice (change of mech. stress on setup) and probably also due to temperature changes
- For later runs a **silicon oil chiller** was available and solved all dry-icerelated issues



track corridor spanned by telescope





20

Finding the DUT in offline data

- In order to calculate the efficiency of the DUT, it must first be located in the region of interest (ROI)
- Size and shape of the DUT are known, so the DUT is positioned inside ROI in such a way that it encompasses the maximum number of tracks with a DUT signal

400

500

NP



Edge effects

- Edge effects are considerable
 - > Telescope resolution has same OOM as DUT dimensions
 - Efficiency only unaffected in the center of the DUT







DUT position resolved cluster size

DUT position resolved amplitude size



- Pixel size of 40x40µm²
 Irradiatied to 1x10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm²

Efficiency

• Preliminary selection inefficiency: 0.4%



x Projected Efficiency







DUT position resolved cluster size

DUT position resolved amplitude size



- Pixel size of 30x30µm²
 Unirradiated
- Preliminary selection inefficiency: 0.4%

Efficiency







y Projected Efficiency



Florian Dachs BTT6 2018



DUT position resolved cluster size

DUT position resolved amplitude size



- Pixel size of 30x30µm²
 Irradiatied to 1x10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm²

Efficiency

• Preliminary selection inefficiency: 5.04%



x Projected Efficiency







Florian Dachs BTT6 2018



DUT position resolved cluster size

DUT position resolved amplitude size



- Pixel size of 30x30µm²
 Irradiatied to 2x10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm²
 Preliminary selection inefficiency: 13.34%







x Projected Efficiency





DUT position resolved cluster size

DUT position resolved amplitude size



Conclusion and next steps...

<u>So far:</u>

- Analysis chain is set up and working
- First results look promising

Next steps:

- Experimental and especially **systematic errors** must be explored further
 - > Detailed study of noise and its sources
 - » MC simulation to calculate selection inefficiency
- Energy calibration will be done for each pixel individually to take **different gains** into account
- Finalize detector characterization (rise time, SNR, etc.)

Thank you for your attention!

BACKUP

- It is assumed that the **DUT moves slowly** compared to the rate at which events are recorded.
- Data from a single run is split into time ordered "slices"
 A number of events is read in until at least 100 tracks with an associated signal from the DUT are found
 - From this set of events the median of the track coordinates and its error is calculated (for both x and y direction)
 The coordinates of each track of this set of events are then corrected
 - by subtracting the median value
 - > The median error is added in quadrature to the track position errors
- This procedure increases the error on track positions and reduces the effective resolution of the telescope



Channel Crosstalk



Waveform analysis



$$t < T_0: \qquad x_1 + t x_2 \\ t \ge T_0: \quad x_3 (1 - \exp(-(\frac{t - x_4}{x_5})))(1 + x_6(t - x_4))$$

- x₁: offset
- x_2^{-} : slope
- x₃: amplitude (infers energy when calibrated)
 x₄: T₀
- x_5 : rise time parameter (rise time = $2.2*x_5$)
- x₆: pulse decay (i.e. another slope after the pulse)

Measurement goals



Standard TJ process



Rad-hard TJ process

- Is the **modified** process really rad-hard?
 - How does it behave for different minimatrices?



- For a given minimatrix, readout 4 pixels
- Full analog information
- Measure **efficiency**



- η=<u>N^{hits}</u>
 Are there efficiency drops at the **boundary** between adjacent pixels?
 Does efficiency drop after irradiation?
 How do different minimatrices behave?

CERN ATLAS pixel telescope



Integration of the DUT in the telescope



Correcting for edge effects

- In order to correct for edge effects, the probability p that the true track was inside the DUT is calculated for each track
- This is done by integrating a 2D gaussian over the DUT area
 The gaussian is centered around the track position and the sigmas of the gaussian are the errors of the track position
- The count of the bin where the track landed is then increased by 1/p

