

# First Results from the NOvA Antineutrino Neutral-Current Disappearance University of Sterile Neutrino Search

Mike Wallbank, University of Cincinnati, for the NOvA Collaboration

XXVIII International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics Neutrino 2018: Heidelberg, June 4-9, 2018

# NOvA





# **Neutral Current Disappearance**

- Neutral Current (NC) interactions of active neutrinos are and sterile neutrinos would reduce the NC event rate.
- shown [1]; this is the first antineutrino NC-disappearance study.
- Consider a 3+1 model with  $\Delta m^2_{41} < 0.5 \, {
  m eV^2}$ , so the ND

![](_page_0_Figure_15.jpeg)

## **Neutral Current Event Selection**

- Same selection applied at the ND and FD, with ND data used along with FD and ND simulation to produce FD prediction spectrum.
- Main backgrounds of cosmic events and beam induced Charged Current (CC) interactions ( $v_{\mu}$ CC and  $v_{e}$ CC) are reduced through a succession of cuts.

#### **Cosmic Rejection**

Cosmic events are removed by identifying backward facing showers and possible cosmogenic neutrons in temporal and physical proximity to NC candidate events. Strongest cut comes from a Boosted Decision Tree trained on parameters such as shower properties and event hit information.

#### **NC Selection**

- NC and CC events have very distinct topologies in NOvA.
- A convolution neural network is used to classify event types (see Poster #79 on Wednesday) [2].
- Deep-learning algorithm designed to extract features from event images.

![](_page_0_Figure_25.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_26.jpeg)

- Additional cuts include quality assurance (such as requiring a minimum detector activity and reconstructed information), and containment cuts to isolate beam events.
- Backgrounds are significantly reduced whilst selecting an excellent NC sample.

### Results

- Select 61 events with a prediction of 69 events.

Observed	Predicted					
	All	NC	$v_{\mu}CC$	v <sub>e</sub> CC	ν <sub>τ</sub> CC	Cosmic
61	68.9	53.4	6.8	1.9	1.5	5.3

- Consistent with oscillation in a 3-neutrino framework.

![](_page_0_Figure_33.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_34.jpeg)

#### **NOvA** Preliminary

CINCINNATI

![](_page_0_Figure_36.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_37.jpeg)

Both detector views (*x*-*z*, *y*-*z*). Brightness ~ charge deposited.

- Produce FD prediction by using simulation to constrain the ND data and extrapolating these spectra to the FD by convolving with the predicted ratio of the FD and ND distributions, taking into account geometrical differences of the detectors, beam dispersion and the effects of oscillation.
- This prediction may be compared directly to the spectrum of observed FD events.
- Perform fit to 3+1 model, scanning over the mixing angles  $\theta_{24}$  and  $\theta_{34}$  for  $\Delta m_{41}^2$ fixed at 0.5 eV<sup>2</sup>. (A joint ND-FD fit to account for ND oscillations, and therefore larger values of mass splitting, will be included in the next analysis.)
  - Results consistent but not yet competitive with global limits [3].
  - Future analyses, including joint fits with neutrino data, will improve these limits.

### References

[1] NOvA Collaboration, Search for active-sterile neutrino mixing using neutral-current interactions in NOvA, Phys. Rev. D 96, 072006 (2017). [2] A Aurisano et al., A convolutional neural network neutrino event classifier, JINST 11 P09001 (2016). [3] M Dentler et al., Updated global analysis of neutrino oscillations in the presence of eV-scale sterile neutrinos, arXiv:1803.1066 (2018).

![](_page_0_Figure_46.jpeg)