

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



# Alignment studies for the KATRIN experiment

Wonqook Choi and Marco Deffert for the KATRIN collaboration

Transmission properties of spectrometer affected by inhomogeneities in electric potential and magnetic guiding fields
Modeled by simulations which require precise alignment of the detector wafer, beamline and all magnets
Data from previous measurement campaigns was used for validation of the alignment in the simulation.

# **Transmission Function**

Validation of the alignment by measurements



- Electric potential (U) and magnetic field (B<sub>min</sub>) inside of the main-spectrometer can be simulated.
- More details: See J. Behrens and L. Schimpf's poster (Mo #15)

Simulation results of U and B<sub>min</sub>

Preliminary

Discrepancy between simulation and real alignment causes a sinusoidal shift of measured electron energy since distribution of U and  $B_{min}$  is approximately azimuthal symmetric





# **Uncertainty on alignment simulations**

- Alignment data from FaroArm, LASER tracker and magnetic field measurements go into model
- MC simulation with random alignment of beamline components and magnets within uncertainty range to get uncertainty on tracks



Ring centers give information about the alignment between spectrometer and detector

Krypton Line More details: See M. Slezák's poster (Mo #13)

#### Amplitude vs X,Y shifts of the detector location in the simulation



Amplitude of sinusoidal structure scanned with certain shifts of detector in Simulation

Center of contour is taken for

www.kit.edu



#### **Results and outlook**



- Asymmetric magnetic field measurements and alignment simulation in good agreement
- Sinusoidal structure shown in Krypton line position distribution that could be caused by additional unknown misalignment
  - Unknown additional misalignment estimated by finding detector location in simulation with suppressed structure of gaseous Krypton L3 and K line position.

## Further investigation in progress

- Include further alignment information in model
- Ring fitter with machine learning algorithm
- Work function differences (e.g. source systematics)



We acknowledge the support of Helmholtz Association (HGF), Ministry for Education and Research BMBF (05A17PM3, 05A17PX3, 05A17VK2, and 05A17WO3), Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics (HAP), and Helmholtz Young Investigator Group (VH-NG-1055) in Germany; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport (CANAM-LM2011019), cooperation with the JINR Dubna (3+3 grants) 2017–2019 in the Czech Republic; and the Department of Energy through grants DEFG02-97ER41020, DE-FG02-94ER40818, DE-SC0004036, DE-FG02-97ER41033, DE-FG02-97ER41041, DE-AC02-05CH11231, and DE-SC0011091 in the United States.

#### KIT – The Research University in the Helmholtz Association

![](_page_1_Picture_0.jpeg)

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

![](_page_1_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Krypton Line

Ω

Re

–0.4 <u>–</u>

K-32 Conc

500

![](_page_1_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Structure of line positions observed during krypton measurement campaign (July 2017) [ DOI: 10.1088/1748-0221/13/04/P04020 ]
- Structure from discrepancy between simulation and real alignment of main spectrometer and detector.

Magnitude of structure quantified by simple sine function:

 $f(\theta; A, \varphi, b) = A \cdot \sin(\theta + \varphi) + b$ 

www.kit.edu

![](_page_1_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_1_Picture_10.jpeg)

We acknowledge the support of Helmholtz Association (HGF), Ministry for Education and Research BMBF (05A17PM3, 05A17VK2, and 05A17WO3), Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics (HAP), and Helmholtz Young Investigator Group (VH-NG-1055) in Germany; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport (CANAM-LM2011019), cooperation with the JINR Dubna (3+3 grants) 2017–2019 in the Czech Republic; and the Department of Energy through grants DEFG02-97ER41020, DE-FG02-94ER40818, DE-SC0004036, DE-FG02-97ER41033, DE-FG02-97ER41041, DE-AC02-05CH11231, and DE-SC0011091 in the United States.

KIT – The Research University in the Helmholtz Association