Boltzmann equation for relativistic species and Hot Dark Matter

Paweł Szczerbiak

University of Warsaw

Planck 2018

Bonn, Germany

In collaboration with Marek Olechowski

Motivation

Hubble constant measurement discrepancy:

Possible solution: additional effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$:

$$\rho_{\rm HDM} = \Delta N_{\rm eff} \, \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} \rho_{\gamma}$$

Motivation

Hubble constant measurement discrepancy:

Possible solution: additional effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$:

$$\rho_{\rm HDM} = \Delta N_{\rm eff} \, \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} \rho_{\gamma}$$

CMB-S4 will allow to test $\Delta N_{\rm eff} \sim$ 0.03. [arXiv:1610.02743]

Motivation

Hubble constant measurement discrepancy:

Possible solution: additional effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$:

$$ho_{
m HDM} = \Delta N_{
m eff} \, rac{7}{8} \left(rac{4}{11}
ight)^{4/3}
ho_{\gamma}$$

CMB-S4 will allow to test $\Delta N_{\rm eff} \sim$ 0.03. [arXiv:1610.02743]

Therefore, it is crucial to estimate $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$ with better accuracy in models with HDM component.

$$E(\partial_t - pH\partial_p)f(p,t) = C_E(p,t) + C_I(p,t)$$

Pseudopotential method $(\bar{\psi}\psi \rightarrow \bar{N}N, x = \frac{m}{T}, y = \frac{E}{T}, z)$: $f(p,t) \simeq (e^{xy+z} \mp 1)^{-1}$ $f_N(p,t) \simeq (e^{xy_N} \mp 1)^{-1}$

$$E(\partial_t - pH\partial_p)f(p,t) = C_E(p,t) + C_I(p,t)$$

Pseudopotential method $(\bar{\psi}\psi \rightarrow \bar{N}N, x = \frac{m}{T}, y = \frac{E}{T}, z)$: $f(p,t) \simeq (e^{xy+z} \mp 1)^{-1}$ $f_N(p,t) \simeq (e^{xy_N} \mp 1)^{-1}$

$$\frac{dz}{dx} \simeq \left(A(z,x)\frac{x}{T}\frac{dT}{dt}\right)^{-1} \left(S_I(z,x) - B(z,x)\right)$$

$$E(\partial_t - pH\partial_p)f(p,t) = C_E(p,t) + C_I(p,t)$$

Pseudopotential method $(\bar{\psi}\psi \rightarrow \bar{N}N, x = \frac{m}{T}, y = \frac{E}{T}, z)$: $f(p,t) \simeq (e^{xy+z} \mp 1)^{-1}$

$$f_N(p,t) \simeq (e^{xy_N} \mp 1)^{-1}$$

$$\frac{dz}{dx} \simeq \left(A(z,x)\frac{x}{T}\frac{dT}{dt}\right)^{-1} \left(S_I(z,x) - B(z,x)\right)$$

where:

$$A(z,x) = \frac{g}{2\pi^2} m^3 e^z J_2(z,x)$$
$$B(z,x) \equiv \frac{g}{2\pi^2} m^3 e^z x J_3(z,x) \left(H(T) + \frac{1}{T} \frac{dT}{dt} \right)$$
$$J_n(z,x) \equiv \int_0^\infty dy \, y^n \frac{e^{xy}}{(1 \mp e^{xy+z})^2}$$

$$S_I(z,x) = rac{m^4}{512\pi^6} \left(e^{2z}-1
ight) \int \mathscr{D}\Phi \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sum_{
m spin} |M(u,v,t,\cos heta,\phi)|^2$$

$$S_I(z,x) = \frac{m^4}{512\pi^6} \left(e^{2z} - 1\right) \int \mathscr{D}\Phi \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sum_{\rm spin} |M(u,v,t,\cos\theta,\phi)|^2$$

For $|M|^2 = |M(s)|^2$:

$$\mathscr{D}\Phi = \frac{1}{x^4} \int_{2x}^{\infty} dp \int_{0}^{\sqrt{p^2 - 4x^2}} dq \, \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-p})(e^{p + 2z} - 1)}$$
$$\times \ln\left[\frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(p + q) + z\right) \mp 1}{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(p - q) + z\right) \mp 1}\right] \ln\left[\frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(p + qV(p, q))\right) \mp 1}{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(p - qV(p, q))\right) \mp 1}\right]$$

where
$$V = \left(1 - \frac{4x^2}{p^2 - q^2}\right)^{1/2}$$
, $s = m^2 \frac{p^2 - q^2}{x^2}$

$$S_I(z,x) = \frac{m^4}{512\pi^6} \left(e^{2z} - 1\right) \int \mathscr{D}\Phi \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \sum_{\rm spin} |M(u,v,t,\cos\theta,\phi)|^2$$

For $|M|^2 = |M(s)|^2$:

$$\mathscr{D}\Phi = \frac{1}{x^4} \int_{2x}^{\infty} dp \int_{0}^{\sqrt{p^2 - 4x^2}} dq \; \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-p})(e^{p + 2z} - 1)} \\ \times \ln\left[\frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(p+q) + z\right) \mp 1}{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(p-q) + z\right) \mp 1}\right] \ln\left[\frac{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(p+qV(p,q))\right) \mp 1}{\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(p-qV(p,q))\right) \mp 1}\right]$$

where
$$V = \left(1 - rac{4x^2}{p^2 - q^2}
ight)^{1/2}$$
, $s = m^2 rac{p^2 - q^2}{x^2}$

Finally:

$$n(x) \equiv n_{\psi}(x) = g \frac{m^3}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{y^2 dy}{e^{xy+z} \mp 1}$$

Approximations

$$Y'(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{45}} \frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{g_s(x)}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}m}{x^2} \left(Y^2(x) - Y^2_{\rm eq}(x)\right) \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB} \frac{1}{\zeta^{\mp}}$$
$$Y_{\rm eq}(x) = g \frac{45}{2\pi^4} \frac{\zeta^{\mp}}{g_s(x)} \qquad \zeta^{\mp} \equiv \zeta(3) \begin{cases} 1 & \text{BE (-)} \\ 3/4 & \text{FD (+)} \end{cases}$$
$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB} = \frac{1}{512\pi} \frac{x^5}{m^5} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} ds \sqrt{s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}} |M(s)|^2 K_1\left(\frac{x\sqrt{s}}{m}\right)$$

Approximations

$$Y'(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{45}} \frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{g_s(x)}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}m}{x^2} \left(Y^2(x) - Y^2_{\rm eq}(x)\right) \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB} \frac{1}{\zeta^{\mp}}$$
$$Y_{\rm eq}(x) = g \frac{45}{2\pi^4} \frac{\zeta^{\mp}}{g_s(x)} \qquad \zeta^{\mp} \equiv \zeta(3) \begin{cases} 1 & \text{BE } (-) \\ 3/4 & \text{FD } (+) \end{cases}$$
$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB} = \frac{1}{512\pi} \frac{x^5}{m^5} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} ds \sqrt{s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}} |M(s)|^2 K_1\left(\frac{x\sqrt{s}}{m}\right)$$

- ▶ Pure MB: $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB}$ with $\zeta^{\mp} = 1$ artificial MB
- ► Fractional BE/FD: $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB} \times \zeta^{\mp}$ used
- Partial BE/FD: $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{p} \times \zeta^{\mp}$

artificial MB used fBE/FD used pBE/FD **Approximations**

$$Y'(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{45}} \frac{g(x)}{\sqrt{g_s(x)}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}m}{x^2} \left(Y^2(x) - Y^2_{\rm eq}(x)\right) \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB} \frac{1}{\zeta^{\mp}}$$
$$Y_{\rm eq}(x) = g \frac{45}{2\pi^4} \frac{\zeta^{\mp}}{g_s(x)} \qquad \zeta^{\mp} \equiv \zeta(3) \begin{cases} 1 & \text{BE } (-) \\ 3/4 & \text{FD } (+) \end{cases}$$
$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB} = \frac{1}{512\pi} \frac{x^5}{m^5} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} ds \sqrt{s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}} |M(s)|^2 K_1\left(\frac{x\sqrt{s}}{m}\right)$$

- Pure MB: $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB}$ with $\zeta^{\mp} = 1$ artificial MB 7
- Fractional BE/FD: $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm MB} \times \zeta^{\mp}$ used used
- Partial BE/FD: $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm p} \times \zeta^{\mp}$

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm P} = \frac{1}{512\pi(\zeta^{\mp})^2} \frac{x^5}{m^5} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} ds \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}} |M(s)|^2 \\ \times \int_{\sqrt{s}}^{\infty} dE_+ \frac{e^{-\frac{x}{2m}E_+}}{\sinh\left(\frac{x}{2m}E_+\right)} \ln\left[\frac{\operatorname{fh}\left(\frac{x}{4m}\left(E_+ + \sqrt{E_+^2 - s}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{fh}\left(\frac{x}{4m}\left(E_+ - \sqrt{E_+^2 - s}\right)\right)}\right]$$

Weinberg's Higgs portal model

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) no.24, 241301 [arXiv:1305.1971]

$$\mathcal{L}_{H,\phi} = (D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}H) + \mu_{H}^{2}H^{\dagger}H - \lambda_{H}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \partial_{\mu}\phi^{*}\partial^{\mu}\phi + \mu_{\phi}(\phi^{*}\phi)^{2} - \lambda_{\phi}(\phi^{*}\phi)^{2} - \kappa(H^{\dagger}H)(\phi^{*}\phi)^{2} H_{0} = v_{H} + \frac{\tilde{h} + iG^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \phi = v_{\phi} + \frac{\rho + i\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Diagonalization from $(\tilde{h} \tilde{\rho})$ to $(h \rho)$: $\tan 2\theta = \frac{\kappa v_H v_{\phi}}{\lambda_H v_H^2 - \lambda_{\phi} v_{\phi}^2}$

Convenient set of independent parameters: m_{ρ} , κ , λ_{ϕ}

Weinberg's Higgs portal model

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) no.24, 241301 [arXiv:1305.1971]

$$\mathcal{L}_{H,\phi} = (D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}H) + \mu_{H}^{2}H^{\dagger}H - \lambda_{H}(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \partial_{\mu}\phi^{*}\partial^{\mu}\phi + \mu_{\phi}(\phi^{*}\phi)^{2} - \lambda_{\phi}(\phi^{*}\phi)^{2} - \kappa(H^{\dagger}H)(\phi^{*}\phi) H_{0} = v_{H} + \frac{\tilde{h} + iG^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} \qquad \phi = v_{\phi} + \frac{\rho + i\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Diagonalization from $(\tilde{h} \tilde{\rho})$ to $(h \rho)$: $\tan 2\theta = \frac{\kappa v_H v_{\phi}}{\lambda_H v_H^2 - \lambda_{\phi} v_{\phi}^2}$

Convenient set of independent parameters: m_{ρ} , κ , λ_{ϕ}

Let's consider HDM annihilation into muons and pions:

$$\sigma\sigma
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-, \pi\pi$$

In narrow resonance approximation $(\Gamma_{
ho}/m_{
ho})^2 \ll 1$:

$$|M|^{2} = 2\pi\kappa^{2}m_{\rho}^{3}\delta(s-m_{\rho}^{2})\frac{m_{\mu}^{2}(m_{\rho}^{2}-4m_{\mu}^{2}) + \frac{1}{27}(m_{\rho}^{2}+\frac{11}{2}m_{\pi}^{2})^{2}}{\Gamma_{\rho}\left[(m_{\rho}^{2}-m_{h}^{2})^{2} + \Gamma_{h}^{2}m_{h}^{2}\right]}$$

Instantaneous freeze-out approximation

 $\mathcal{C}\equiv$

$$\eta(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\Gamma}{H} = \left. \frac{n\langle\sigma \mathbf{v}\rangle}{H} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{f}} = 1 \implies \mathcal{C}\frac{\mathbf{x}_{f}^{2}}{\sqrt{g(\mathbf{x}_{f})}} \mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{f}}{m_{\mu}}\right) \approx 1$$
$$\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\lambda_{\phi}} \frac{\sqrt{45}\,\zeta(3)}{32\pi^{5/2}} \frac{m_{\rho}^{5}M_{\mathrm{Pl}}}{m_{h}^{4}m_{\mu}^{2}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}}\right)^{3/2} + \frac{1}{27}\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{m_{\mu}^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{11}{2}\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}}\right)^{2} \left(1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \right]$$



A, B – astrophysical bounds [arXiv:1706.08340]

Comparison of different statistics & approximations

where

$$\mathscr{D}\Phi_{\rm MB} = e^{-2z} \frac{|M|^2}{2x} \sqrt{\frac{m_\rho^2}{m_F^2} - 4} \ K_1\left(\frac{x \ m_\rho}{m_F}\right)$$

- ► Cancellation for mixed statistics (µ⁺µ⁻)
- Amplification for BE $(\pi\pi)$
- Suppression for FD

Example: $m_{\rho} = 0.4 \text{ GeV}$

 $m_
ho=1~{
m GeV}$





Numerical scan



Numerical scan



Conclusions

- ► We compared a few approaches for ∆N_{eff} estimation in the Weinberg's model.
- Instantaneous freeze-out approximation breaks down for small Higgs portal coupling κ.
- In large part of the parameter space pure MB approximation works better than the approach which fractionally includes the BE statistics (fBE).
- Statistics of both incoming and outgoing particles influence the results.
- In order to obtain higher accuracy Boltzmann equation with full statistics should be considered, especially in the light of the CMB-S4 experiment.

Backup slides



2.0

400

Backup slides



Backup slides

Artificial model with:

$$|M|^{2} = 2\pi\kappa^{2}m_{\rho}^{3}\delta(s-m_{\rho}^{2})\frac{\frac{1}{27}(m_{\rho}^{2}+\frac{11}{2}m_{\pi}^{2})^{2}}{\Gamma_{\rho}\left[(m_{\rho}^{2}-m_{h}^{2})^{2}+\Gamma_{h}^{2}m_{h}^{2}\right]}$$

and 4 possible combinations of particles' statistics.

