# **Regularization without a renormalization scale**

# Paweł Olszewski

Based on:

D.M. Ghilencea, Z. Lalak, PO / Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.5, 055034 Standard Model with spontaneously broken quantum scale invariance

D.M. Ghilencea, Z. Lalak, PO / Eur.Phys.J. C76 (2016) no.12, 656 Two-loop scale-invariant potential and quantum effective operators



Supported by National Science Centre, Poland, under research grant 2016/21/N/ST2/03312

#### References

S. Deser, "Scale invariance and gravitational coupling," Annals Phys. 59 (1970)

F. Englert, C. Truffin and R. Gastmans, "Conformal Invariance in Quantum Gravity," Nucl. Phys. B 117 (1976)

M. Shaposhnikov and D. Zenhausern, "Quantum scale invariance, cosmological constant and hierarchy problem," Phys. Lett. B 671 (2009) 162 [arXiv:0809.3406 [hep-th]]

M. E. Shaposhnikov and F. V. Tkachov, "Quantum scale-invariant models as effective field theories," arXiv:0905.4857 [hep-th]

C. Tamarit, "Running couplings with a vanishing scale anomaly," JHEP 1312 (2013) 098 [arXiv:1309.0913 [hep-th]].

#### References

S. Deser, "Scale invariance and gravitational coupling," Annals Phys. 59 (1970)

F. Englert, C. Truffin and R. Gastmans, "Conformal Invariance in Quantum Gravity," Nucl. Phys. B 117 (1976)

M. Shaposhnikov and D. Zenhausern, "Quantum scale invariance, cosmological constant and hierarchy problem," Phys. Lett. B 671 (2009) 162 [arXiv:0809.3406 [hep-th]]

M. E. Shaposhnikov and F. V. Tkachov, "Quantum scale-invariant models as effective field theories," arXiv:0905.4857 [hep-th]

C. Tamarit, "Running couplings with a vanishing scale anomaly," JHEP 1312 (2013) 098 [arXiv:1309.0913 [hep-th]].

# Plan

- 1) Anomaly of the scale symmetry
- 2) Un-doing Coleman-Weinberg dimensional transmutation
- 3) Quantum scale symmetry
- 4) Scale symmetric Standard Model

Z= - + FAL FAL +  $i \not \neq \not \otimes \not \neq +h.c.$ +  $f \not \neq y_{ij} \not \neq y_{j} \not \neq +h.c.$ +  $f \not = ( \not = )^2 - V( \not = )$ 

 $V(\phi) = m^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^2$ 

"Unnaturally" small. Forbid dimensionful parameters altogether.

 $\begin{aligned} \chi &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{AL} F^{AL} \\ &+ i \not\equiv \not \partial \not\downarrow + h.c. \\ &+ \chi_i y_{ij} \chi_j \not \partial + h.c. \\ &+ |D_{AP} \not|^2 - V(\not O) \end{aligned}$ 

 $V(\phi) = m^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^2$ 

"Unnaturally" small. Forbid dimensionful parameters altogether.

Higgs mass via Higgs portal: new scalar field  $\sigma$  with a VEV

$$-\lambda_m \sigma^2 H^{\dagger} H , \quad \langle \sigma \rangle \neq 0$$





A symmetric action 
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4$$
  
Noether current 
$$D^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi_j)} (x^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \phi_j + d_{\phi} \phi_j) - x^{\mu} \mathcal{L}$$

A symmetric action 
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4$$
Noether current 
$$D^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi_j)} (x^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \phi_j + d_{\phi} \phi_j) - x^{\mu} \mathcal{L}$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \partial_{\mu} D^{\mu} = d_{\phi} \phi_j \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_j} - dV = \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[ V(\rho^{d_{\phi}} \phi_j) - \rho^d V(\phi_j) \right] \Big|_{\rho=1}$$
trace of the E-M tensor

Г

A symmetric action 
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4$$
Noether current 
$$D^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi_j)} (x^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \phi_j + d_{\phi} \phi_j) - x^{\mu} \mathcal{L}$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \partial_{\mu} D^{\mu} = d_{\phi} \phi_j \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_j} - dV = \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[ V(\rho^{d_{\phi}} \phi_j) - \rho^d V(\phi_j) \right] \Big|_{\rho=1}$$
Homogeneity of the potential?

A symmetric action 
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4$$
Noether current 
$$D^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi_j)} (x^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \phi_j + d_{\phi} \phi_j) - x^{\mu} \mathcal{L}$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \partial_{\mu} D^{\mu} = d_{\phi} \phi_j \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_j} - dV = \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[ V(\rho^{d_{\phi}} \phi_j) - \rho^d V(\phi_j) \right] \Big|_{\rho=1}$$

$$d = 4$$
Quantum corrections require 
$$V = \frac{\lambda \phi^4}{4!} + \frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2} \left( \frac{\lambda \varphi^2}{2} \right)^2 \left( \log \frac{\lambda \varphi^2}{2\overline{\mu}^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \left( \phi \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} - 4 \right) V$$

A symmetric action 
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4$$
Noether current 
$$D^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi_j)} (x^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \phi_j + d_{\phi} \phi_j) - x^{\mu} \mathcal{L}$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \partial_{\mu} D^{\mu} = d_{\phi} \phi_j \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_j} - dV = \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[ V(\rho^{d_{\phi}} \phi_j) - \rho^d V(\phi_j) \right] \Big|_{\rho=1}$$

$$d = 4$$
Quantum corrections require 
$$V = \frac{\lambda \phi^4}{4!} + \frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2} \left( \frac{\lambda \varphi^2}{2} \right)^2 \left( \log \frac{\lambda \varphi^2}{2 \overline{\mu}^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \left( \phi \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} - 4 \right) V$$

A symmetric action 
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4$$
Noether current 
$$D^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi_j)} (x^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \phi_j + d_{\phi} \phi_j) - x^{\mu} \mathcal{L}$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \partial_{\mu} D^{\mu} = d_{\phi} \phi_j \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_j} - dV = \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[ V(\rho^{d_{\phi}} \phi_j) - \rho^d V(\phi_j) \right] \Big|_{\rho=1}$$

$$d = 4$$
Quantum corrections require 
$$V = \frac{\lambda \phi^4}{4!} + \frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2} \left( \frac{\lambda \varphi^2}{2} \right)^2 \left( \log \frac{\lambda \varphi^2}{2 \overline{\mu}^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = \left( \phi \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} - 4 \right) V = \frac{3\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{\phi^4}{4!} = \beta_{\lambda}^{(1-\text{loop})} \frac{\phi^4}{4!} \neq 0$$

$$d = 4$$

$$T^{\mu}_{\mu} \sim \sum_{g} \beta_{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} + \begin{bmatrix} -a \\ (4\pi)^{2} \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \tilde{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{c}{(4\pi)^{2}} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(x) , \text{ gravitational anomaly} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(x) , \text{ gravitational anomaly}$$





$$d = 4$$

$$\stackrel{!}{=} \phi^4 \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda + \sigma'}\right)^2$$

$$V^{(1)} \sim \phi^4 \log \frac{\phi^2}{\mu^2} + \left(-2\phi^4 \frac{\sigma'}{\Lambda} + \phi^4 \frac{\sigma'^2}{\Lambda^2} + \dots\right)$$









$$d = 4$$

$$V_{\rm eff}(\phi,\sigma) = V^{(0)} + V^{(1)} + \dots$$

- regularized  $\mu \sim \langle \sigma 
  angle$
- nonrenormalizable  $\Lambda \sim \langle \sigma 
  angle$
- homogenous:

$$\left(\phi\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi} + \sigma\frac{\delta}{\delta\sigma} - 4\right) V^{(0)} = 0$$
$$V^{(1)}$$

٠

$$d = 4$$

$$V_{\rm eff}(\phi,\sigma) = V^{(0)} + V^{(1)} + \dots$$

- regularized  $\mu \sim \langle \sigma 
  angle$
- nonrenormalizable  $\Lambda \sim \langle \sigma \rangle$
- homogenous:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} + \sigma \frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma} - 4 \end{pmatrix} V^{(0)} = 0$$

$$V^{(1)}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$scale symmetry$$

$$d = 4$$

$$V_{\rm eff}(\phi,\sigma) = V^{(0)} + V^{(1)} + \dots$$

- regularized  $\mu \sim \langle \sigma \rangle$
- nonrenormalizable  $\Lambda \sim \langle \sigma \rangle$
- homogenous:



Yes! Here's why...

Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

Consider *evanescent* interactions,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} = 0$ : e.g.  $V_{\text{new}}^{(0)} = \varepsilon \left( \phi^2 \sigma'^2 + \frac{\phi^2 \sigma'^3}{\Lambda} + \frac{\phi^4 \sigma'}{\Lambda} + \frac{\phi^4 \sigma'^2}{\Lambda^2} + \dots \right) + \varepsilon^2 \dots$ 

Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

Consider *evanescent* interactions,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} = 0$ : e.g.  $V_{\text{new}}^{(0)} = \varepsilon \left( \phi^2 \sigma'^2 + \frac{\phi^2 \sigma'^3}{\Lambda} + \frac{\phi^4 \sigma'}{\Lambda} + \frac{\phi^4 \sigma'^2}{\Lambda^2} + \dots \right) + \varepsilon^2 \dots$ 

produces new finite corrections and poles in  $\varepsilon$ 



Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

Consider evanescent interactions,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} = 0$ : e.g.  $V_{\text{new}}^{(0)} = \varepsilon \left( \phi^2 \sigma'^2 + \frac{\phi^2 \sigma'^3}{\Lambda} + \frac{\phi^4 \sigma'}{\Lambda} + \frac{\phi^4 \sigma'^2}{\Lambda^2} + \dots \right) + \varepsilon^2 \dots$ 

produces new finite corrections and poles in  $\,\varepsilon\,$ 



but **not new overlapping divergencies** — **>** new terms can be subtracktet away

Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

Consider evanescent interactions,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} = 0$ : e.g.  $V_{\text{new}}^{(0)} = \varepsilon \left( \phi^2 \sigma'^2 + \frac{\phi^2 \sigma'^3}{\Lambda} + \frac{\phi^4 \sigma'}{\Lambda} + \frac{\phi^4 \sigma'^2}{\Lambda^2} + \dots \right) + \varepsilon^2 \dots$ 

produces new finite corrections and poles in  $\,\varepsilon\,$ 



but **not new overlapping divergencies** — **>** new terms can be subtracktet away



#### Dim-Reg $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$

Recall calculation of the  $\beta$  functions,  $\mu = e^t \mu_0$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ , schematically:  $\lambda \Phi^4 \longrightarrow \mu^{2\varepsilon} Z_{1+\delta(\lambda)} \lambda \Phi^4$ ,  $[\Phi] = 1 - \varepsilon$ 

$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d} \, (e^t)^{2\varepsilon} Z(\lambda) \, \lambda}{\mathrm{d} \, t} \, \Rightarrow \, \frac{\mathrm{d} \, \lambda}{\mathrm{d} \, t} = \beta_\lambda$$

#### Dim-Reg $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$

Recall calculation of the  $\beta$  functions,  $\mu = e^t \mu_0$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ , schematically:  $\lambda \Phi^4 \longrightarrow \mu^{2\varepsilon} \underbrace{Z}_{1+\delta(\lambda)} \lambda \Phi^4$ ,  $[\Phi] = 1 - \varepsilon$   $0 = \frac{\mathrm{d} (e^t)^{2\varepsilon} Z(\lambda) \lambda}{\mathrm{d} t} \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\mathrm{d} t} = \beta_\lambda$ and consider also the nonrenorm. part:  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^t)^{N_1 \varepsilon} \mu_0^{N_2 \varepsilon} \lambda_n \frac{\Phi^n}{\Lambda^{n-4}}$ where  $[\mu_0] = [\Lambda] = 1$ hence normally:  $N_1(n) = N_2(n) = n - 2$ 

#### Dim-Reg $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$

Recall calculation of the  $\beta$  functions,  $\mu = e^t \mu_0$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ , schematically:  $\lambda \Phi^4 \longrightarrow \mu^{2\varepsilon} \underbrace{Z}_{1+\delta(\lambda)} \lambda \Phi^4$ ,  $[\Phi] = 1 - \varepsilon$   $0 = \frac{\mathrm{d} (e^t)^{2\varepsilon} Z(\lambda) \lambda}{\mathrm{d} t} \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\mathrm{d} t} = \beta_\lambda$ and consider also the nonrenorm. part:  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^t)^{N_1 \varepsilon} \mu_0^{N_2 \varepsilon} \lambda_n \frac{\Phi^n}{\Lambda^{n-4}}$ where  $[\mu_0] = [\Lambda] = 1$ hence normally:  $N_1(n) = N_2(n) = n - 2$ 

Conjecture 2. One can choose  $N_1(n) = a n + b \neq n - 2$ as long as  $4a + b \stackrel{!}{=} 2$ without modifying the  $\beta_{\lambda_n}$  functions.

#### Dim-Reg $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$

Recall calculation of the  $\beta$  functions,  $\mu = e^t \mu_0$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ , schematically:  $\lambda \Phi^4 \longrightarrow \mu^{2\varepsilon} Z \lambda \Phi^4$ ,  $[\Phi] = 1 - \varepsilon$  $1+\delta(\lambda)$  $0 = \frac{\mathrm{d} \, (e^t)^{2\varepsilon} Z(\lambda) \, \lambda}{\mathrm{d} \, t} \, \Rightarrow \, \frac{\mathrm{d} \, \lambda}{\mathrm{d} \, t} = \beta_\lambda$ and consider also the nonrenorm. part:  $\sum (e^t)^{N_1 \varepsilon} \mu_0^{N_2 \varepsilon} \lambda_n \frac{\Phi^n}{\Lambda^{n-4}}$  $n \equiv 0$ where  $[\mu_0] = [\Lambda] = 1$ hence normally:  $N_1(n) = N_2(n) = n - 2$ **Conjecture 2.** Henceforth take One can choose  $N_1(n) = a n + b \neq n - 2$ as long as  $4a + b \stackrel{!}{=} 2$  $\sim$   $N_1(n) = const = 2$ as long as  $4a + b \stackrel{!}{=} 2$ without modifying the  $\beta_{\lambda_n}$  functions.

Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

#### **Conclusion:**

$$\begin{split} \mu &= \mu(\sigma) = e^t \, f(\sigma) \,, \\ \text{where} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [f(\sigma)] = 1 \\ f \text{ analytic near } f(\langle \sigma \rangle) \equiv \mu_0 \equiv \Lambda \\ t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu(\sigma) = e^t \, \sigma^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}} \\ \end{split}$$

is perfectly allowed.

Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

#### **Conclusion:**

$$\begin{split} \mu &= \mu(\sigma) = e^t \, f(\sigma) \,, \\ \text{where} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [f(\sigma)] = 1 \\ f \text{ analytic near } f(\langle \sigma \rangle) \equiv \mu_0 \equiv \Lambda \\ t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu(\sigma) = e^t \, \sigma^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}} \\ \end{split}$$

is perfectly allowed. The broken phase succesfully mimicks an ordinary model. E.g.:

$$\mu^{2\varepsilon} \frac{\phi^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}} = (e^t)^{2\varepsilon} \left(\mu_0^{\varepsilon}\right)^{(2+2n)} \frac{\phi^{4+2n}}{\Lambda^{2n}} \left(1 + \mu_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma'}{\Lambda}\right)^{-2n + \frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}$$

Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

ŀ

#### **Conclusion:**

$$\begin{split} \mu &= \mu(\sigma) = e^t \, f(\sigma) \,, \\ \text{where} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [f(\sigma)] = 1 \\ f \text{ analytic near } f(\langle \sigma \rangle) \equiv \mu_0 \equiv \Lambda \\ t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu(\sigma) = e^t \, \sigma^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}} \\ \end{split}$$

is perfectly allowed. The broken phase succesfully mimicks an ordinary model. E.g.:

$$\mu^{2\varepsilon} \frac{\phi^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}} = (e^t)^{2\varepsilon} (\mu_0^{\varepsilon})^{(2+2n)} \underbrace{\frac{\phi^{4+2n}}{\Lambda^{2n}} \left(1 + \mu_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma'}{\Lambda}\right)^{-2n + \frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}}_{nonrenormalizable series with some evanescent coefficients (conjecture 1.)}$$

Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

ŀ

#### **Conclusion:**

$$\begin{split} \mu &= \mu(\sigma) = e^t \, f(\sigma) \,, \\ \text{where} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [f(\sigma)] = 1 \\ f \text{ analytic near } f(\langle \sigma \rangle) \equiv \mu_0 \equiv \Lambda \\ t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu(\sigma) = e^t \, \sigma^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}} \\ \end{split}$$

is perfectly allowed. The broken phase succesfully mimicks an ordinary model. E.g.:

$$\mu^{2\varepsilon} \frac{\phi^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}} = \underbrace{(e^t)^{2\varepsilon}}_{(n-independent)} (\mu_0^\varepsilon)^{(2+2n)} \underbrace{\frac{\phi^{4+2n}}{\Lambda^{2n}} \left(1 + \mu_0^\varepsilon \frac{\sigma'}{\Lambda}\right)^{-2n + \frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}}_{nonrenormalizable series with some evanescent coefficients (conjecture 1.)}$$

Dim-Reg  $d\!=\!4\!-\!2\varepsilon$ 

ł

#### **Conclusion:**

$$\begin{split} \mu &= \mu(\sigma) = e^t \, f(\sigma) \,, \\ \text{where} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [f(\sigma)] = 1 \\ f \text{ analytic near } f(\langle \sigma \rangle) \equiv \mu_0 \equiv \Lambda \\ t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu(\sigma) = e^t \, \sigma^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}} \\ \end{split}$$

is perfectly allowed. The broken phase succesfully mimicks an ordinary model. E.g.:

$$\mu^{2\varepsilon} \frac{\phi^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}} = \underbrace{(e^{t})^{2\varepsilon}}_{n\text{-independent}} (\mu_{0}^{\varepsilon})^{(2+2n)} \underbrace{\frac{\phi^{4+2n}}{\Lambda^{2n}}}_{n\text{-independent}} \left(1 + \mu_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma'}{\Lambda}\right)^{-2n + \frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}_{n\text{-independent}}$$

similarly for gauge theories: 
$$-\frac{1}{4g^2} \mu(\sigma)^{-2\varepsilon} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

1

#### Quantum scale symmetric effective lagrangian



#### Quantum scale symmetric effective lagrangian



## Quantum scale symmetric effective lagrangian







#### Spontanous scale-symmetry breaking:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{\text{eff}} = M^4 W(\theta),$$





Spontanous scale-symmetry breaking:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} , \quad V_{\text{eff}} = M^4 W(\theta) ,$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  flat direction in  $V_{
m eff}$   $\Rightarrow$ 

$$\exists_{\theta=\theta_0} W(\theta_0) = W'(\theta_0) = 0$$

renormalization condition, similar to choosing C.C.





<u>π</u> 2

• Hierarchy of scales via aligning the flat direction  $\perp \phi \longrightarrow \theta_0 \approx \frac{\phi_0}{\sigma_0} \ll 1$ 

 $\exists_{\theta=\theta_0} W(\theta_0) = W'(\theta_0) = 0$ 

renormalization condition, similar to choosing C.C.



- Hierarchy of scales via aligning the flat direction  $\perp \phi \longrightarrow \theta_0 \approx \frac{\varphi_0}{z} \ll 1$
- New perspective on naturalness: is this alignement stable wrt. embedding in a UV completion?

 $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$  (electroweak vacuum  $\longrightarrow$  electroweak flat direction)

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM}\Big|_{\substack{m^2=0\\\mu=\mu(\sigma)}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial\sigma\right)^2 - \lambda_m |H|^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{\lambda_\sigma}{4} \sigma^4 + \sum_{n=0} \lambda_n \frac{|H|^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}}$$

 $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$  (electroweak vacuum  $\longrightarrow$  electroweak flat direction)

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM}\Big|_{\substack{m^2=0\\\mu=\mu(\sigma)}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial\sigma\right)^2 - \lambda_m |H|^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{\lambda_\sigma}{4} \sigma^4 + \sum_{n=0} \lambda_n \frac{|H|^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}}$$

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}}(\phi,\sigma) \approx \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\text{eff}} \left( \log \frac{\phi}{\sigma} \right) \phi^4 = M^4 \lambda_{\text{eff}} (\log \tan \theta) \frac{\tan^4 \theta}{(1 + \tan^2 \theta)^2}$$

$$W(\theta)$$

 $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$  (electroweak vacuum — electroweak flat direction)

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM}\Big|_{\substack{m^2=0\\\mu=\mu(\sigma)}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial\sigma\right)^2 - \lambda_m |H|^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{\lambda_\sigma}{4} \sigma^4 + \sum_{n=0} \lambda_n \frac{|H|^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}}$$

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}}(\phi,\sigma) \approx \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\text{eff}} \left( \log \frac{\phi}{\sigma} \right) \phi^4 = M^4 \lambda_{\text{eff}} (\log \tan \theta) \frac{\tan^4 \theta}{(1 + \tan^2 \theta)^2}$$

$$W(\theta)$$

$$W(\theta)$$



 $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$  (electroweak vacuum  $\longrightarrow$  electroweak flat direction)

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM}\Big|_{\substack{m^2=0\\\mu=\mu(\sigma)}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial\sigma\right)^2 - \lambda_m |H|^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{\lambda_\sigma}{4} \sigma^4 + \sum_{n=0} \lambda_n \frac{|H|^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}}$$

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}}(\phi, \sigma) \approx \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\text{eff}} \left( \log \frac{\phi}{\sigma} \right) \phi^4 = M^4 \lambda_{\text{eff}} (\log \tan \theta) \frac{\tan^4 \theta}{(1 + \tan^2 \theta)^2}$$

$$W(\theta)$$

$$W($$

 $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$  (electroweak vacuum —> electroweak flat direction)

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM}\Big|_{\substack{m^2=0\\\mu=\mu(\sigma)}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial\sigma\right)^2 - \lambda_m |H|^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{\lambda_\sigma}{4} \sigma^4 + \sum_{n=0} \lambda_n \frac{|H|^{4+2n}}{\sigma^{2n}}$$

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}}(\phi, \sigma) \approx \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\text{eff}} \left( \log \frac{\phi}{\sigma} \right) \phi^4 = M^4 \lambda_{\text{eff}} (\log \tan \theta) \frac{\tan^4 \theta}{(1 + \tan^2 \theta)^2}$$

$$W(\theta)$$

$$W($$

# Summary

- 1) You may use **a field as the scale** *µ* in Dim-Reg to preserve scale symmetry at the quantum level.
- 2) The price to pay: infinitely many nonpolynomial  $\phi/\sigma$  operators and corresponding couplings: **nonrenormalizability**.
- 3) Minimal subtraction scheme involves evanescent interactions.
- 4) Presence of a **flat direction**  $\leftarrow$  tuning.
- 5) Naturalness: aligning the flat direction perpendicular to Higgs
- 6) Instability = unboundedness below

# Summary

- 1) You may use **a field as the scale** *µ* in Dim-Reg to preserve scale symmetry at the quantum level.
- 2) The price to pay: infinitely many nonpolynomial  $\phi/\sigma$  operators and corresponding couplings: **nonrenormalizability**.
- 3) Minimal subtraction scheme involves evanescent interactions.
- 4) Presence of a **flat direction**  $\leftarrow$  tuning.
- 5) Naturalness: aligning the flat direction perpendicular to Higgs
- 6) Instability = unboundedness below

**Thank You!**