Accidental Peccei-Quinn symmetry in a model of flavour

Fredrik Björkeroth¹

in collaboration with: Eung Jin Chun², Stephen F. King³

¹INFN-LNF, ²KIAS, ³University of Southampton

Planck 2018, 23.05.18



Punchline: axions are flavons!

In a realistic model of a flavoured axion, knowledge of masses and mixings fixes all axion properties.

```
Based on work in

PLB 777 (2018) 428-434

[1711.05741 [hep-ph]]

+

work in progress
```

Ingredients in a standard PQ solution

- Global $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry with chiral anomaly
- Complex scalar field $\varphi \to \langle \varphi \rangle$ which breaks $U(1)_{PQ}$

 $U(1)_{PQ}$ does not need to be put in by hand!

 \rightarrow accidental PQ symmetry

We connected an accidental $U(1)_{PQ}$ to the flavons that control Yukawa structures

 \rightarrow the axion is <code>flavoured</code>

See also

- Flaxion [Ema, Hamaguchi, Moroi, Nakayama '16]
- Axiflavon [Calibbi, Goertz, Redigolo, Ziegler, Zupan '16]

A unified model of flavour: "A to Z"

- Pati-Salam gauge group $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$
- A₄ family symmetry
- \mathbb{Z}_N family/shaping symmetries
- Supersymmetry



Can accommodate all quarks/leptons, masses + mixings

Features

Basic field content

| Field | PS | A_4 | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------|--|
| $ \begin{array}{c} F \\ F_{1,2,3}^c \\ \phi_i^f \end{array} $ | (4, 2, 1) (4, 1, 2) 1 | 3 1 3 | |

- Yukawa couplings become dynamical: $y_{ij}FF^cH \rightarrow \frac{\langle \phi_i \rangle}{M}F_iF_j^cH$
- \mathcal{L} has accidental $U(1)_{PQ}$ with generation-dependent PQ charges $X(f_i)$: $X(F_1^c) = 2, X(F_2^c) = 1, X(F_3^c) = 0$
- Physical axion lives inside (multiple) ϕ_i^f
- $\circ\,$ PQ scale: $v_{PQ}\sim\langle\phi_2^u\rangle\sim y_cM_{\rm GUT}\sim 10^{12-13}\,\,{\rm GeV}$

-

 $f_a \gtrsim 10^{12}$ GeV is close to cosmological upper bound

Dark matter axion? Mass: $m_a \sim 1 - 10 \mu \text{eV}$

Axion couplings to matter predicted by Yukawa structures

Flavour violation via axion interactions

Flavoured axions contribute to many flavour-violating decays (suppressed by v_{PQ})

Example: $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a$ (e.g. NA62 experiment)

$$\operatorname{Br}(K^+ \to \pi^+ a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(K^+)} \frac{|V_{21}^d|^2}{16\pi} \frac{m_K^3}{v_{PQ}^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_K^2}\right)^3 |f_+(0)|^2$$

with $f_+(0) \approx 1$.

• Experiments [E787, E949] contrain the ratio

$$\frac{v_{PQ}}{|V_{21}^d|}\gtrsim 7\times 10^{11}~{\rm GeV}$$

 NA62 experiment predicts order of magnitude improvement in limit – approaching predicted range from model! Other interesting decays

•
$$\mu^+ \to e^+ a(\gamma)$$
 (e.g. MEG experiment)
• $K_L^0 \to \pi^0 a$ (e.g. KOTO, KLEVER)

 $\circ \ B^{\pm}
ightarrow K^{\pm}(\pi^{\pm}) a$ (e.g. Belle-II, LHCb)

Other searches

- Haloscopes (e.g. ADMX): searching for axion DM ADMX sensitive to predicted mass range ($m_a \sim$ a few μ eV)
- Helioscopes (e.g. CAST, IAXO) probing $g_{a\gamma}$ and g_{ae} $g_{a\gamma}$ resembles DFSZ axion

- 1. The great advantage of the unified approach is that predictions are *correlated* and *fixed*.
- 2. Once flavour observables are determined, all axion couplings are immediately known.
- 3. Potentially rich phenomenology, but heavy suppression by f_a .
- 4. No new scalar field is needed to accommodate axion already present in the theory!

Backup slides

Leptons

| Observable | l | Data | | Model | | |
|---|---------------|---------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | Central value | 1σ range | Best fit | Interval | | |
| θ_{12}^{ℓ} /° | 33.57 | $32.81 \rightarrow 34.32$ | 32.88 | $32.72 \rightarrow 34.23$ | | |
| θ_{13}^{ℓ} /° | 8.460 | $8.310 \rightarrow 8.610$ | 8.611 | $8.326 \rightarrow 8.882$ | | |
| θ_{23}^{ℓ} /° | 41.75 | $40.40 \rightarrow 43.10$ | 39.27 | $37.35 \rightarrow 40.11$ | | |
| δ^{ℓ} /° | 261.0 | $202.0 \rightarrow 312.0$ | 242.6 | $231.4 \rightarrow 249.9$ | | |
| $y_e / 10^{-5}$ | 1.004 | $0.998 \rightarrow 1.010$ | 1.006 | 0.911 ightarrow 1.015 | | |
| y_{μ} /10 ⁻³ | 2.119 | $2.106 \rightarrow 2.132$ | 2.116 | $2.093 \rightarrow 2.144$ | | |
| $y_{\tau} / 10^{-2}$ | 3.606 | 3.588 ightarrow 3.625 | 3.607 | $3.569 \rightarrow 3.643$ | | |
| $\Delta m^2_{21} / 10^{-5} { m eV}^2$ | 7.510 | $7.330 \rightarrow 7.690$ | 7.413 | $7.049 \rightarrow 7.762$ | | |
| $\Delta m^2_{31} / 10^{-3} {\rm eV}^2$ | 2.524 | $2.484 \rightarrow 2.564$ | 2.540 | $2.459 \rightarrow 2.616$ | | |
| <i>m</i> ₁ /meV | | | 0.187 | 0.022 ightarrow 0.234 | | |
| <i>m</i> ₂ /meV | | | 8.612 | $8.400 \rightarrow 8.815$ | | |
| <i>m</i> ₃ /meV | | | 50.40 | $49.59 \rightarrow 51.14$ | | |
| $\sum m_i$ /meV | | < 230 | 59.20 | $58.82 \rightarrow 60.19$ | | |
| α_{21} | | | 10.4 | -38.0 ightarrow 70.1 | | |
| α_{31} | | | 272.1 | $218.2 \rightarrow 334.0$ | | |
| <i>т_{ββ} /</i> meV | | | 1.940 | $1.892 \rightarrow 1.998$ | | |

We set $\tan \beta = 5$, $M_{\rm SUSY} = 1$ TeV and $\bar{\eta}_b = -0.24$

Quarks

| Observable | | Data | Model | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Central value | l value 1σ range | | Interval | |
| $\theta_{12}^q /^\circ$ | 13.03 | 12.99 ightarrow 13.07 | 13.04 | 12.94 ightarrow 13.11 | |
| θ_{13}^q / \circ | 0.1471 | $0.1418 \rightarrow 0.1524$ | 0.1463 | $0.1368 \rightarrow 0.1577$ | |
| $\theta_{23}^q /^\circ$ | 1.700 | 1.673 ightarrow 1.727 | 1.689 | 1.645 ightarrow 1.753 | |
| δ^q / \circ | 69.22 | 66.12 ightarrow 72.31 | 68.85 | $63.00 \rightarrow 75.24$ | |
| $y_u / 10^{-6}$ | 2.982 | $2.057 \rightarrow 3.906$ | 3.038 | 1.098 ightarrow 4.957 | |
| $y_c / 10^{-3}$ | 1.459 | $1.408 \rightarrow 1.510$ | 1.432 | 1.354 ightarrow 1.560 | |
| Уt | 0.544 | $0.537 \rightarrow 0.551$ | 0.545 | $0.530 \rightarrow 0.558$ | |
| $y_d / 10^{-5}$ | 2.453 | $2.183 \rightarrow 2.722$ | 2.296 | $2.181 \rightarrow 2.966$ | |
| $y_s / 10^{-4}$ | 4.856 | $4.594 \rightarrow 5.118$ | 4.733 | $4.273 \rightarrow 5.379$ | |
| Уь | 3.616 | $3.500 \rightarrow 3.731$ | 3.607 | $3.569 \rightarrow 3.643$ | |

We set $\taneta=$ 5, $M_{
m SUSY}=$ 1 TeV and $ar\eta_b=-0.24$

Input parameters

| Parameter | Value |
|---|---|
| $a/10^{-5} b/10^{-3} c y_0^0/10^{-5} y_5^0/10^{-4} y_b^0/10^{-2} c_{13}/10^{-3} c_{23}/10^{-2} B$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1.246 \ e^{4.047i}\\ 3.438 \ e^{2.080i}\\ -0.545\\ 3.053 \ e^{4.816i}\\ 3.560 \ e^{2.097i}\\ 3.607\\ 6.215 \ e^{2.434i}\\ 2.888 \ e^{3.867i}\\ 10.20 \ e^{2.777i}\\ 5.002\end{array}$ |
| B x | 10.20 e ^{2.7777} 5.880 |

| Value | | |
|-------|--|--|
| 3.646 | | |
| 1.935 | | |
| 1.151 | | |
| 2.592 | | |
| 2.039 | | |
| | | |

Full Yukawa/mass superpotential

$$\begin{split} W_{F}^{\text{eff}} &= (F \cdot h_{3})F_{3}^{c} + \frac{(F \cdot \phi_{1}^{u})h_{u}F_{1}^{c}}{\langle \Sigma_{u} \rangle} + \frac{(F \cdot \phi_{2}^{u})h_{u}F_{2}^{c}}{\langle \Sigma_{u} \rangle} \\ &+ \frac{(F \cdot \phi_{1}^{d})h_{d}F_{1}^{c}}{\langle \Sigma_{15} \rangle} + \frac{(F \cdot \phi_{2}^{d})h_{15}^{d}F_{2}^{c}}{\langle \Sigma_{d} \rangle} + \frac{(F \cdot \phi_{1}^{u})h_{d}F_{1}^{c}}{\langle \Sigma_{d} \rangle} \\ W_{\text{Maj}}^{\text{eff}} &= \frac{\overline{H^{c}}\overline{H^{c}}}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\xi^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}F_{1}^{c}F_{1}^{c} + \frac{\xi}{\Lambda}F_{2}^{c}F_{2}^{c} + F_{3}^{c}F_{3}^{c} + \frac{\xi}{\Lambda}F_{1}^{c}F_{3}^{c}\right) \end{split}$$

Notes



Sample diagrams



| Field | G _{PS} | A_4 | \mathbb{Z}_5 | \mathbb{Z}_3 | \mathbb{Z}_5' | R | $U(1)_{PQ}$ |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------|
| F | (4, 2, 1) | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $F_{1,2,3}^{c}$ | (4, 1, 2) | 1 | $lpha$, $lpha^3$, 1 | eta,eta^2 , 1 | γ^3 , γ^4 , 1 | 1 | -2, -1, 0 |
| $\overline{H^c}$ | (4, 1, 2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| H^{c} | (4, 1, 2) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\phi_{1,2}^{u}$ | (1, 1, 1) | 3 | $lpha^4$, $lpha^2$ | eta^2 , eta | γ^2 , γ | 0 | 2,1 |
| $\phi_{1,2}^{d'}$ | (1, 1, 1) | 3 | α^3 , α | eta^2 , eta | γ^2 , γ | 0 | 2,1 |
| h ₃ | (1, 2, 2) | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| hu | (1, 2, 2) | $1^{\prime\prime}$ | α | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| h_{15}^{u} | (15, 2, 2) | 1 | α | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| h _d | (1, 2, 2) | 1' | α^3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| h_{15}^{d} | (15, 2, 2) | 1' | $lpha^4$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Σμ | (1, 1, 1) | $1^{\prime\prime}$ | α | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Σ_d | (1, 1, 1) | 1' | α^3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Σ_{15}^d | (15, 1, 1) | 1' | α^2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ξ | (1, 1, 1) | 1 | $lpha^4$ | β^2 | γ^2 | 0 | 2 |

Discrete \mathbb{Z}_N symmetries

 $\circ \mathbb{Z}_5$

Shaping symmetry of original A to Z model Ensures CSD(4)

 $\circ \mathbb{Z}_3$

Ensures PQ symmetry at renormalisable level Forbids most off-diagonal terms in $Y^{d,e}$ (new!)

 $\circ \mathbb{Z}'_5$

Protects PQ symmetry to sufficient order

Yukawa and mass matrices

$$Y^{u} = Y^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & \epsilon_{13}c \\ a & 4b & \epsilon_{23}c \\ a & 2b & c \end{pmatrix} \qquad Y^{d} = \begin{pmatrix} y^{0}_{d} & 0 & 0 \\ By^{0}_{d} & y^{0}_{s} & 0 \\ By^{0}_{d} & 0 & y^{0}_{b} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$Y^{e} = \begin{pmatrix} -(y^{0}_{d}/3) & 0 & 0 \\ By^{0}_{d} & xy^{0}_{s} & 0 \\ By^{0}_{d} & 0 & y^{0}_{b} \end{pmatrix} \qquad M_{R} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1} & 0 & M_{13} \\ 0 & M_{2} & 0 \\ M_{13} & 0 & M_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Neutrino matrix after seesaw,

$$m^{\nu} = m_a \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + m_b e^{i\eta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 16 & 8 \\ 2 & 8 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + m_c e^{i\xi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Constrained sequential dominance (CSD) [King '99, '00, '02]

- $\circ\,$ SD originally devised for neutrinos:
 - 1) $N_{
 m atm}
 ightarrow$ atmospheric mass $m_{
 u_3}$ and mixing $heta_{23} \sim 45^\circ$
 - 2) $N_{
 m sol}
 ightarrow$ solar mass $m_{
 u_2}$ and solar+reactor mixing $heta_{12}, heta_{13}$
 - 3) $N_{
 m dec}$, if present, nearly decoupled from theory $o m_{
 u_1} \ll m_{
 u_{2,3}}$

CSD(n) with two neutrinos:

$$Y^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & * \\ a & nb & * \\ a & (n-2)b & * \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M_R \sim \operatorname{diag}(M_{\operatorname{atm}}, M_{\operatorname{sol}}, M_{\operatorname{dec}})$$

$$m^{\nu} = v^{2} Y^{\nu} M_{R}^{-1} (Y^{\nu})^{T}$$

= $m_{a} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + m_{b} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n & n-2 \\ n & n^{2} & n(n-2) \\ n-2 & n(n-2) & (n-2)^{2} \end{pmatrix} + m_{c} \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$

- $\circ~$ In unified scenario, CSD is extended to the quarks!
- Consider n = 4 [King '13]. With Y^d diagonal,

$$Y^{u} = Y^{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & * \\ a & 4b & * \\ a & 2b & * \end{pmatrix}$$

• To first approximation, Cabibbo angle

$$\theta_{12}^q \approx \frac{Y_{12}^u}{Y_{22}^u} \approx \frac{1}{4}$$

• This is compellingly close to the true value $\theta_{12}^q \approx 0.227$.

 $\circ~\mathsf{CSD}(4)$ achieved by A_4 triplet flavons ϕ

• Flavons acquire VEVs with particular alignments:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_1^u \rangle &= v_{\phi_1^u}(0, 1, 1), & \langle \phi_1^d \rangle &= v_{\phi_1^d}(1, 0, 0) \\ \langle \phi_2^u \rangle &= v_{\phi_2^u}(1, 4, 2), & \langle \phi_2^d \rangle &= v_{\phi_2^d}(0, 1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

• Example: first-generation up-type quarks

$$W \supset \frac{(F \cdot \phi_1^u) h_u F_1^c}{M} \to v_u \frac{v_{\phi_1^u}}{M} \left(F_1 F_2 F_3\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} F_1^c$$

• Alignments can be fixed by A₄ and orthogonality arguments, implemented by a superpotential

PQ charges

$$\begin{split} W_F^{\text{eff}} &\sim (F \cdot h_3) F_3^c + (F \cdot \phi_1^u) h_u F_1^c + (F \cdot \phi_2^u) h_u F_2^c \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ & & + (F \cdot \phi_1^d) h_d F_1^c + (F \cdot \phi_2^d) h_{15}^d F_2^c + (F \cdot \phi_1^u) h_d F_1^c \\ & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -2 \\ W_{\text{Maj}}^{\text{eff}} &\sim \overline{H^c} \overline{H^c} \left(\xi \ \xi \ F_1^c F_1^c + \xi \ F_2^c F_2^c + F_3^c F_3^c + \xi \ F_1^c F_3^c \right) \\ & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 \end{split}$$

Notes

- $\circ~\ensuremath{\mathsf{PQ}}$ symmetry realised also at renormalisable level
- $\circ~$ Higgs sector completely neutral \rightarrow no GUT-scale PQ breaking
- $U(1)_{PQ}$ assignments unique
- Third family is neutral

Breaking $U(1)_{PQ}$

• $\phi_i^f \rightarrow \langle \phi_i^f \rangle \sim v_{\phi_1^f}$ breaks all discrete symmetries and $U(1)_{PQ}$ • PQ-breaking scale

$$v_{PQ}^2 = (N_a f_a)^2 = \sum_{\phi} x_{\phi}^2 v_{\phi}^2$$

• Dominated by largest VEV: $\langle \phi_2^u \rangle$ (related to charm mass)

Axion

$$a = \frac{1}{v_{PQ}} \sum_{\varphi} x_{\varphi} v_{\varphi} a_{\varphi}$$

Domain wall number

$$N_a \equiv \left| 6x_F + 2\sum_i x_{F_i^c} \right| = \left| 6(0) + 2(-2 + -1 + 0) \right| = 6$$

Protecting the PQ symmetry

Consider terms like

$$\frac{\{\phi\}^n}{M_P^n}W$$

These generate a PQ-breaking axion mass

$$m_*^2 \sim m_{3/2}^2 \frac{v_{PQ}^{n-2}}{M_P^{n-2}}$$

[Holman et al '92] [Kamionkowski, March-Russell '92] [Barr, Seckel '92]

We require $m_*^2/m_a^2 < 10^{-10}$, where

$$m_a^2 \approx m_\pi^2 \frac{f_\pi^2}{f_a^2}$$

To protect our solution, we forbid all PQ-violating terms like $\{\phi\}^n$ up to n = 7 (or dim = 10)!

Phenomenology - Fit

Fitting to quark and lepton mixing data



Simple MCMC

• Minimise χ^2 to find best fit $\chi^2 = \sum_i \left(\frac{P(x_i) - \mu_i}{\sigma_i}\right)^2$

 Calculate 95% credible intervals (hpd)

$$W_{
m driving} = P_{1,2}^{u,d} \left(\bar{\phi}_{1,2}^{u,d} \phi_{1,2}^{u,d} - M^2 \right) + P_{\xi} \left(\bar{\xi} \xi - M^2 \right)$$
,

| Field | G _{PS} | A_4 | \mathbb{Z}_5 | \mathbb{Z}_3 | \mathbb{Z}_5' | R | $U(1)_{PQ}$ |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|--|--------|--------------|
| $ \begin{array}{c} \phi^u_{1,2} \\ \phi^d_{1,2} \\ \varsigma \end{array} $ | (1, 1, 1) (1, 1, 1) (1, 1, 1) | 3 3 1 | α^4, α^2 α^3, α | β^2, β β^2, β β^2 | γ^2, γ γ^2, γ γ^2^2 | 0 0 | 2, 1 2, 1 |
| $\bar{\phi}^{u}_{1,2}$ | (1, 1, 1) (1, 1, 1) | 3 | α α, α^3 | β, β^2 | γ^{3}, γ^{4} | 0 | -2, -1 |
| $\left \begin{array}{c} \varphi_{1,2}^{3}\\ \xi \end{array} \right $ | (1, 1, 1) (1, 1, 1) | 3 1 | α ⁻ , α ⁻ α | β,β² β | $\gamma^{\circ}, \gamma^{+}$ γ^{3} | 0 | -2, -1 -2 |

Yukawa matrices can be diagonalised by bi-unitary matrices $V_{L,R}^{u,d}$, $U_{L,R}^{e}$

$$\begin{split} Y^{u,\mathrm{diag}} &= V_L^u Y^u (V_R^u)^\dagger, \\ Y^{d,\mathrm{diag}} &= V_L^d Y^d (V_R^d)^\dagger, \\ Y^{e,\mathrm{diag}} &= U_L^e Y^e (U_R^e)^\dagger. \end{split}$$

We transform the fields by

$$Q \to (V_L^u)^{\dagger} Q,$$

$$d^c \to (V_R^d)^{\dagger} d^c,$$

$$u^c \to (V_R^u)^{\dagger} u^c.$$

Then $Y^u \to Y^{u, \text{diag}}$, $Y^d \to V_{\text{CKM}} Y^{d, \text{diag}}$, where $V_{\text{CKM}} = V_L^u (V_L^d)^{\dagger}$.