Update on the darkmatter axion mass.

Andreas Ringwald

MADMAX Workshop DESY Hamburg, D 18-19 October 2017





Birth of Axion in Cosmic Evolution

> Axion field is born after PQ symmetry breaking

$$T \lesssim T_c^{\rm PQ} \sim v_{\rm PQ} = N f_A$$



[Peking University]



Birth of Axion in Cosmic Evolution

> Axion field is born after PQ symmetry breaking

 $T \lesssim T_c^{\rm PQ} \sim v_{\rm PQ} = N f_A$

Cosmological evolution of axion angular field $\theta = A/f_A$ determined by

$$\ddot{\theta} + 3H(T(t))\dot{\theta} - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2(t)}\theta = -\frac{1}{f_A^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}V(\theta, T(t))$$
$$V(\theta, T) = \chi(T)\left(1 - \cos\theta\right)$$





Birth of Axion in Cosmic Evolution

- > Axion field is born after PQ symmetry breaking
 - $T \lesssim T_c^{\rm PQ} \sim v_{\rm PQ} = N f_A$
- > Cosmological evolution of axion angular field $\theta = A/f_A$ determined by

$$\ddot{\theta} + 3H(T(t))\dot{\theta} - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2(t)}\theta = -\frac{1}{f_A^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}V(\theta, T(t))$$
$$V(\theta, T) = \chi(T)\left(1 - \cos\theta\right)$$

In causally connected region at phase transition, axion takes random initial value



[Peking University]



[Uhlmann et al. `10]



> DM from vacuum realignment:

[Preskill,Wise,Wilczek 83; Abbott,Sikivie 83; Dine,Fischler 83,....]

- In causally connected region at phase transition, axion frozen at random initial value
- Later when $H(T) \sim m_A(T)$, axion field starts to oscillate around zero; behaves like cold dark matter:

$$w_A = p_A / \rho_A \simeq 0$$



(a)



DM from vacuum realignment: >

[Preskill, Wise, Wilczek 83; Abbott, Sikivie 83; Dine, Fischler 83,....]

- In causally connected region at phase transition, axion frozen at random initial value
- Later when $H(T) \sim m_A(T)$, axion field starts to oscillate around zero; behaves like cold dark matter:

$$w_A = p_A / \rho_A \simeq 0$$



> DM from vacuum realignment:

[Preskill,Wise,Wilczek 83; Abbott,Sikivie 83; Dine,Fischler 83,....]

- In causally connected region at phase transition, axion frozen at random initial value
- Later when $H(T) \sim m_A(T)$, axion field starts to oscillate around zero; behaves like cold dark matter:

 $w_A = p_A / \rho_A \simeq 0$

- Crucial QCD input for prediction of axion DM abundance:
 - Equation of state at temperatures around 1 GeV: determines H(T)





DM from vacuum realignment:

[Preskill, Wise, Wilczek 83; Abbott, Sikivie 83; Dine, Fischler 83,....]

- In causally connected region at phase transition, axion frozen at random initial value
- Later when $H(T) \sim m_A(T)$, axion field starts to oscillate around zero; behaves like cold dark matter:

 $w_A = p_A / \rho_A \simeq 0$

- Crucial QCD input for prediction of axion DM abundance:
 - Equation of state at temperatures around 1 GeV: determines H(T)
 - Topological susceptibility:

 $\int d^4x \langle q(x)q(0)\rangle_T$ $\chi(T) \equiv$ determines $m_A^2(T) = \chi(T)/f_A^2$



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking occurs after inflation
 - Present universe consists of many causally disconnected patches with random initial values of axion field
 - Naive average over patches, ignoring inhomogeneities at boundaries

$$\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 = 0.12 \, \left(\frac{29.7 \, \mu {\rm eV}}{m_A}\right)^{1.168}$$



[Saikawa]

- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking occurs after inflation
 - Present universe consists of many causally disconnected patches with random initial values of axion field
 - Naive average over patches, ignoring inhomogeneities at boundaries

 $\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 = 0.12 \, \left(\frac{29.7 \, \mu {\rm eV}}{m_A}\right)^{1.168}$

 Non-negligible inhomogeneities: cosmic strings



[Uhlmann et al. `10]

[ctc.cam.ac.uk]





Andreas Ringwald | Update on the dark-matter axion mass, MADMAX Workshop, DESY, Hamburg, D, 18-19 October 2017 | Page 10

If Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking occurs after inflation

- Present universe consists of many causally disconnected patches with random initial values of axion field
- Naive average over patches, ignoring inhomogeneities at boundaries

 $\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 = 0.12 \, \left(\frac{29.7 \, \mu {\rm eV}}{m_A}\right)^{1.16}$

 Non-negligible inhomogeneities: cosmic strings



[Hiramatsu et al.]



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking occurs after inflation
 - Present universe consists of many causally disconnected patches with random initial values of axion field
 - Naive average over patches, ignoring inhomogeneities at boundaries

 $\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 = 0.12 \, \left(\frac{29.7 \, \mu {\rm eV}}{m_A}\right)^{1.165}$

- Non-negligible inhomogeneities: cosmic strings and domain walls
- Around QCD phase transition, axion potential develops,

$$V(A,T) = \chi(T) \left[1 - \cos\left(N\frac{A}{v_{\rm PQ}}\right) \right]$$



[Saikawa]



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking occurs after inflation
 - Present universe consists of many causally disconnected patches with random initial values of axion field
 - Naive average over patches, ignoring inhomogeneities at boundaries

 $\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 = 0.12 \, \left(\frac{29.7 \, \mu {\rm eV}}{m_A}\right)^{1.165}$

- Non-negligible inhomogeneities: cosmic strings and domain walls
- Around QCD phase transition, axion potential develops,

$$V(A,T) = \chi(T) \left[1 - \cos\left(N\frac{A}{v_{\rm PQ}}\right) \right]$$

N domain walls end at string



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking occurs after inflation
 - Present universe consists of many causally disconnected patches with random initial values of axion field
 - Naive average over patches, ignoring inhomogeneities at boundaries

 $\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 = 0.12 \, \left(\frac{29.7 \, \mu {\rm eV}}{m_A}\right)^{1.165}$

- Non-negligible inhomogeneities: cosmic strings and domain walls
- Around QCD phase transition, axion potential develops,

$$V(A,T) = \chi(T) \left[1 - \cos\left(N\frac{A}{v_{\rm PQ}}\right) \right]$$

N domain walls end at string

• N = 1: String-wall system decays





- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking occurs after inflation
 - Present universe consists of many causally disconnected patches with random initial values of axion field
 - Naive average over patches, ignoring inhomogeneities at boundaries

 $\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 = 0.12 \left(\frac{29.7 \ \mu eV}{m_A}\right)^{1.165}$

- Non-negligible inhomogeneities: cosmic strings and domain walls
- Around QCD phase transition, axion potential develops,

$$V(A,T) = \chi(T) \left[1 - \cos\left(N\frac{A}{v_{\rm PQ}}\right) \right]$$

N domain walls end at string

- N = 1: String-wall system decays
- N > 1: Domain wall problem





[Hiramatsu et al.]



N=3

- > In N = 1 post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario:
- Exploiting results from field theoretic lattice simulations, updated to latest determination of topological susceptibility, and artificially separating

$$\Omega_A^{\rm tot} = \Omega_A^{\rm vr} + \Omega_A^{\rm string+wall}$$

find

$$\Omega_{A,\text{tot}}h^2 \approx 1.6^{+1.0}_{-0.7} \times 10^{-2} \times \left(\frac{f_A}{10^{10}\,\text{GeV}}\right)^{1.1}$$

.65 [Hiramatsu et al. 11,12,13; Kawasaki,Saikawa,Segikuchi 15; Borsanyi et al. 16; Ballesteros et al. 16]

CDM explained for

 $m_A \approx (50\text{--}200)\,\mu\text{eV}$

- > Large uncertainty to account for errors due to extrapolation of string tension $T_{\rm str} = \pi f_A^2 \kappa$, with $\kappa = \ln(\sqrt{2\lambda_\sigma} f_A/H)$, from the values affordable in the simulations, $\kappa \leq 7$, to physical values, $\kappa \in [48, 67]$
- New simulation method allows to simulate at physical string tension [Klaer,Moore `17]



- > For $\kappa \gg 1$, string's interactions with the long range PQ field ($\propto f_A^2$) become less important relative to string evolution under tension ($\propto f_A^2 \kappa$)
- For κ ≫ 1, string behavior should approach that of infinitely thin, i.e. local Nambu-Goto strings [Klaer,Moore `17]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm NG} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm GS} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm KR},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NG} = \bar{\kappa}\pi f_A^2 \int d\sigma \sqrt{y'^2(\sigma)(1-\dot{y}^2(\sigma))},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm GS} = f_A^2 \int d^3x \ \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm KR} = \int d^3x \ A_{\mu\nu} j^{\mu\nu},$$

$$H_{\mu\nu\alpha} = f_A \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta \theta = \partial_\mu A_{\nu\alpha} + \text{cyclic},$$

$$j^{\mu\nu} = -2\pi f_A \int d\sigma \left(v^\mu y'^\nu - v^\nu y'^\mu\right) \delta^3(x-y(\sigma))$$



- > For $\kappa \gg 1$, string's interactions with the long range PQ field ($\propto f_A^2$) become less important relative to string evolution under tension ($\propto f_A^2 \kappa$)
- For κ ≫ 1, string behavior should approach that of infinitely thin, i.e. local Nambu-Goto strings [Klaer,Moore `17]
- New method: exploit UV extension of PQ field theory, with additional complex scalar and additional local U(1) symmetry,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm NG} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm GS} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm KR} ,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NG} = \bar{\kappa}\pi f_A^2 \int d\sigma \sqrt{{y'}^2(\sigma)(1-\dot{y}^2(\sigma))} ,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm GS} = f_A^2 \int d^3x \ \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta ,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm KR} = \int d^3x \ A_{\mu\nu} j^{\,\mu\nu} ,$$

$$H_{\mu\nu\alpha} = f_A \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta \theta = \partial_\mu A_{\nu\alpha} + \text{cyclic} ,$$

$$j^{\,\mu\nu} = -2\pi f_A \int d\sigma \left(v^\mu {y'}^\nu - v^\nu {y'}^\mu \right) \delta^3(x - y(\sigma))$$

$$-\mathcal{L}(\varphi_1,\varphi_2,A_{\mu}) = \frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \left| (\partial_{\mu} - iq_1 A_{\mu}) \varphi_1 \right|^2 + \left| (\partial_{\mu} - iq_2 A_{\mu}) \varphi_2 \right|^2 \\ + \frac{m_1^2}{8v_1^2} \left(2\varphi_1^* \varphi_1 - v_1^2 \right)^2 + \frac{m_2^2}{8v_2^2} \left(2\varphi_2^* \varphi_2 - v_2^2 \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_{12}}{2} \left(2\varphi_1^* \varphi_1 - v_1^2 \right) \left(2\varphi_2^* \varphi_2 - v_2^2 \right)$$



- > For $\kappa \gg 1$, string's interactions with the long range PQ field ($\propto f_A^2$) become less important relative to string evolution under tension ($\propto f_A^2 \kappa$)
- For κ ≫ 1, string behavior should approach that of infinitely thin, i.e. local Nambu-Goto strings [Klaer,Moore `17]
- New method: exploit UV extension of PQ field theory, with additional complex scalar and additional local U(1) symmetry, reducing in IR to Nambu-Goto string plus axion
 - Local U(1) attaches Abelian-Higgs string onto every global string, enhancing T_{str}
 - Added degrees of freedom massive off string

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm NG} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm GS} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm KR},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NG} = \bar{\kappa}\pi f_A^2 \int d\sigma \sqrt{y'^2(\sigma)(1-\dot{y}^2(\sigma))},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm GS} = f_A^2 \int d^3x \; \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm KR} = \int d^3x \; A_{\mu\nu} j^{\mu\nu},$$

$$H_{\mu\nu\alpha} = f_A \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial^\beta \theta = \partial_\mu A_{\nu\alpha} + \text{cyclic},$$

$$j^{\mu\nu} = -2\pi f_A \int d\sigma \left(v^\mu y'^\nu - v^\nu y'^\mu\right) \delta^3(x-y(\sigma))$$





> Current experimental bounds:





> Current experimental bounds vs. prediction:





Andreas Ringwald | Update on the dark-matter axion mass, MADMAX Workshop, DESY, Hamburg, D, 18-19 October 2017 | Page 22

Projected experimental sensitivities:



Andreas Ringwald | Update on the dark-matter axion mass, MADMAX Workshop, DESY, Hamburg, D, 18-19 October 2017 | Page 23



 $\nu_a \, [\text{GHz}]$

Projected experimental sensitivities vs. prediction:





Most realistic simulations, as far as string tension is concerned, find significantly lower dark-matter axion mass in N = 1 post-inflationary PQ SSB scenario than previously estimated:

 $m_A = 26.2 \pm 3.4 \,\mu\text{eV}$

- > Need independent confirmation:
 - Small distances resolved in effective way
 - Is there complete decoupling between short distances and long distances?
- > If confirmed: Mass in reach of conventional microwave cavity technique
 - However, this assumes axion 100 % of dark matter!
 - Dark-matter axion mass will move to higher values if axion sub-dominant dark matter or if $\,N>1\,$



- Topological susceptibility notoriously difficult to calculate on lattice
 - 1. Large cutoff effects when exploiting action with non-chiral quarks to calculate topological observables
 - 2. Tiny topological susceptibility needs extremely long simulation threads to observe enough changes of topological sectors
- Solutions of these problems:

[Borsanyi et al. `16]

- 1. Eigenvalue reweighting technique: Substitute topology related eigenvalues of nonchiral quark Dirac operator with its corresponding eigenvalues in continuum
- 2. Fixed sector integral technique: Measure logarithmic differential of topological susceptibility which is related to quantities to be measured in fixed topological sectors. Then integrate.



Comparison of lattice spacing dependence of topological susceptibility determined via different methods:



[Borsanyi et al. `16]

> At high temperatures, brute force ("standard") method and ratio method suffer from strong cutoff effects



Result:

[Borsanyi et al. `16]



Temperature slope close to dilute instanton gas approximation (DIGA)

DIGA underestimates topological susceptibility by overall normalization "K factor" of order ten (should be improved in two-loop DIGA)





[Ballesteros, Redondo, AR, Tamarit `16]



[Borsanyi `16]