


# Using $t\bar{t}$ and missing energy to search for new physics

Supervisors: Michaela Queitsch-Maitland and Krisztian Peters

Martha Elliott  
08/2017



# Motivation

- We know that new phenomena in particle physics exists (dark matter, SUSY...) but we don't know where it might appear
- Top quarks are a good place to look due to their mass (strong coupling to Higgs boson or new scalar particles)
- Useful to test the feasibility of doing a general search for this new physics through  $t\bar{t}$  decays to invisible particles to see if this is actually possible at the LHC

# Method

- Use the existing search for SUSY particles and adapt it to look for  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$  decays
- Can reduce the associated uncertainties by taking the ratio of  $\frac{\sigma(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}))}{\sigma(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l}))}$  because the uncertainties are correlated, so  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l})$  was also investigated
- This can also be compared to branching ratios to indicate whether the Standard Model is incomplete...

# Theory

## A hint at new physics



- If the Standard Model holds, then  $\frac{\sigma(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}))}{\sigma(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l}))} = \frac{BR(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}))}{BR(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l}))} \approx 6$
- If invisible particles are produced (e.g. dark matter via  $t\bar{t}m_\phi(\rightarrow \mathbf{X}\bar{\mathbf{X}})$ ), then

$$\frac{\sigma(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}))}{\sigma(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l}))} = \frac{BR(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})) + BR(t\bar{t}m_\phi(\rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}))}{BR(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l}))} \neq 6$$

- Inspired by a similar study in which  $\frac{\sigma(jet + Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}))}{\sigma(jet + Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l}))}$  was measured and compared to the branching ratio
- Want to test the feasibility of using  $\frac{\sigma(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}))}{\sigma(t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l}))}$  to find new physics

**So  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l})$  was also studied (where  $l$  = electron or muon)**


- Background interactions include single top,  $t\bar{t}$ , diboson,  $Z$  + jets and  $t\bar{t}Z$
- Similar signature (jets and missing transverse energy)



# Channels of interest


## Production of dark matter

- Mediated by a spin 0 or spin 1 boson ( $m_\phi$ )
- $X\bar{X}$  are a pair of dark matter fermions



## $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu})$

- Background interaction
- Used to look for the decay
- Similar signature (jets and missing transverse energy)




## Other background decays

- Decays to a single top,  $t\bar{t}$ , diboson,  $W$  + jets,  $Z$  + jets and  $t\bar{t}V$  (where  $V$  is a  $W$  or  $Z$  boson)

# Analysis

## Preselection level

- These are the first selections applied and they are applied to all signal regions.




- This selection ensures one  $W$  boson decays leptonically and one to a quark/antiquark pair to make signal clearer.

# Analysis



## Preselection level

- A total of ~560,000 events were expected using Monte Carlo with the below proportions
- The dominant background decay is to  $t\bar{t}$



# Analysis

## Preselection level



- These plots show that  $t\bar{t}$  is the most dominant background process
- The data line shows that the Monte Carlo simulation of the shape is good
- There is an offset between the data and the Monte Carlo by  $\sim 10\%$ , this is the same as ATLAS-CONF-2017-037 before background fits (likely due to an inaccurate scaling of background)
- Validates later simulation usage




# Decay analysis

- The DM high and DM low signal regions from the SUSY search were also investigated
- $t\bar{t}$  less dominant than with just the preselection


## DM high

- 37 events in total with the below proportions



## DM low

- 67 events in total with the below proportions




Variable	DM low	DM high
Jet $p_T$ [GeV]	$> (120, 85, 65, 25)$	$> (125, 75, 65, 25)$
b jet $p_T$ [GeV]	$> 60$	$> 25$
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ [GeV]	$> 300$	$> 380$
$m_T$ [GeV]	$> 170$	$> 225$
$am_{T2}$ [GeV]	$> 160$	$> 190$
$H_{T,\text{sig}}^{\text{miss}}$	$> 14$	-
$\Delta\Phi(p_T^{\text{miss}}, l)$	$> 1.2$	$> 1.2$
$\Delta\Phi(p_T^{\text{miss}}, \text{jet}_{1,2})$	$> 1.0$	$> 1.0$





# Analysis

## Signal region for $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$ optimisation



- Signal regions that maximised the number of  $t\bar{t}Z\nu\nu$  events and the purity of  $t\bar{t}Z\nu\nu$  events were defined
- This was done by analysing the overlaid plots from the preselection
- Three examples of this are shown for  $H_{T,\text{sig}}^{\text{miss}}$ ,  $\Delta R(\text{b-jet}, l)$  and  $\Delta\Phi(p_T^{\text{miss}}, l)$
- Cuts were also made to  $m_T$ ,  $am_{T2}$ ,  $\Delta\Phi(p_T^{\text{miss}}, \text{jet}_{1,2})$  and  $E_t^{\text{miss}}$




# Analysis

■ W jets ■ Z jets ■ ttbar ■ Single top ■ Diboson ■ ttZ(->nunu) ■ ttV

Three possible signal regions were considered and the one with the smallest uncertainty chosen


## SRA

- 61 out of 1398 events




## SRB

- 43 out of 1557 events



## SRC




- 7.4 out of 39.6 events



- To reduce the uncertainty, a compromise between number of events and purity has to be made
- SRC had the lowest percentage uncertainty

# Analysis

## Studying $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l})$ at preselection level



# The future

## Investigating $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l})$ and $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$ in SRC


- The current luminosity of the LHC is  $36.5\text{fb}^{-1}$  (2015 + 2016)
- By the end of Run 2 in 2018, this will have increased to  $\sim 100\text{fb}^{-1}$
- The high luminosity LHC will begin running in 2025 and will have a luminosity of  $\sim 3000\text{fb}^{-1}$

$t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$ :

Luminosity ( $\text{fb}^{-1}$ )	Total expected number of events	Number of signals	Number of background events	Percentage uncertainty
36.5	37.3	7.4	29.9	92%
100	102.2	20.3	81.9	64%
3000	3065.8	608.2	2457	41%

$t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l})$ :

Luminosity ( $\text{fb}^{-1}$ )	Total expected number of events	Number of signals	Number of background events	Percentage uncertainty
36.5	1.65	0.70	0.95	184%
100	4.52	1.9	2.6	113%
3000	136	58	78	24%



- Using a systematic uncertainty of 10%, the percentage uncertainty on  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$  and  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l})$  was determined for SRC
- The uncertainty is shown to decrease with the increased luminosity
- Demonstrates that due to the difficulty separating  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$  from the background that a ratio to  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l})$  is not yet useful

# Conclusion

- $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$  and  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow l\bar{l})$  were isolated and studied to look for new physics in the form of invisible decays
- A new signal region was defined with the optimal cuts for achieving maximum purity and most events of  $t\bar{t}Z(\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu})$
- Despite this, the background signals were still dominant causing large percentage uncertainties
- This particular ratio could be useful only with a significantly increased luminosity and a significantly decreased systematic uncertainty
- The same method could however be applied to other decays with better purity
- Hopefully this could lead the way to the discovery of new physics

# References

Internal note (more details): <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2231917/files/ATL-COM-PHYS-2016-1623.pdf>

Latest conference note: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2266170/files/ATLAS-CONF-2017-037.pdf>

Rmiss graph: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.03263v1.pdf>

## Notes (ttZnunu files)

### Preselection

Background	Number of events
W jets	62,698
Z jets	1,954
Single top	459,178
Diboson	34,783
ttbar	3,082
ttV	429
ttZnunu	1,771

### SRA

Background	Number of events
W jets	116
Z jets	6
Single top	107
Diboson	35
ttbar	1054
ttV	19
<b><math>\bar{t}tZ(\rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu})</math></b>	<b>61</b>

### SRC

Background	Number of events
W jets	6.0
Z jets	0.6
Single top	12.7
Diboson	7.2
ttbar	2.1
ttV	3.6
<b><math>\bar{t}tZ(\rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu})</math></b>	<b>7.4</b>

### SRB

Background	Number of events
W jets	868
Z jets	4
Single top	65
Diboson	23
ttbar	542
ttV	12
<b><math>\bar{t}tZ(\rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu})</math></b>	<b>43</b>

### DM high

Background	Number of events
W jets	4.5
Z jets	0.5
Single top	12.4
Diboson	8.6
ttbar	2.9
ttV	6.6
ttZnunu	1.5

### DM low

Background	Number of events
W jets	8.4
Z jets	0.5
Single top	28.2
Diboson	12.7
ttbar	3.5
ttV	10.8
ttZnunu	2.3

Variable	SRB	SRA	SRC
$E_t^{\text{miss}}$ [GeV]	> 280	> 250	> 380
$m_T$ [GeV]	> 125	> 100	> 225
$am_{T2}$ [GeV]	-	-	> 190
$H_{T,\text{sig}}^{\text{miss}}$	> 10	> 10	> 10
$\Delta\Phi(p_T^{\text{miss}}, l)$	> 1.0	> 0.9	> 1.2
$\Delta\Phi(p_T^{\text{miss}}, \text{jet}_{1,2})$	> 0.7	> 0.6	> 1.0
$\Delta R(b \text{ jet}, l)$	-	-	< 2.0

Latest conference note: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2266170/files/ATLAS-CONF-2017-037.pdf>

Internal note (more details): <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2231917/files/ATL-COM-PHYS-2016-1623.pdf>

Rmiss graph: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.03263v1.pdf>