HELAC - PHEGAS : automatic helicity amplitude calculation and parton level generation

Costas G. Papadopoulos

NLO Mini-Workshop June 2-3, 2009, Universität Wuppertal

Contributors - Contact Persons

Costas Papadopoulos costas.papadopoulos@cern.ch Malgorzata Worek worek@physik.uni-wuppertal.de Alessandro Cafarella cafarella@inp.demokritos.gr Michau Czakon mczakon@physik.uni-wuppertal.de Giuseppe Bevilacqua bevilacqua@inp.demokritos.gr



• Reliable cross section computation and event generation for multiparticle processes, with $\sim 10-12$ particles in the final state.

- Reliable cross section computation and event generation for multiparticle processes, with $\sim 10-12$ particles in the final state.
- HELAC: A.Kanaki and C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 132 (2000) 306, hep-ph/0002082.
 Matrix element computation algorithm, based on Dyson-Schwinger equations, including: EWK, QCD, fermion masses, reliable arithmetic, running couplings and masses

- Reliable cross section computation and event generation for multiparticle processes, with $\sim 10-12$ particles in the final state.
- HELAC: A.Kanaki and C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 132 (2000) 306, hep-ph/0002082.
 Matrix element computation algorithm, based on Dyson-Schwinger equations, including: EWK, QCD, fermion masses, reliable arithmetic, running couplings and masses

PHEGAS:C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 137 (2001) 247, hep-ph/0007335Monte-Carlo phase space integration/generation basedon optimized multichannel approach.

- Reliable cross section computation and event generation for multiparticle processes, with $\sim 10-12$ particles in the final state.
- HELAC: A.Kanaki and C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 132 (2000) 306, hep-ph/0002082.
 Matrix element computation algorithm, based on Dyson-Schwinger equations, including: EWK, QCD, fermion masses, reliable arithmetic, running couplings and masses

PHEGAS: C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 137 (2001) 247, hep-ph/0007335 Monte-Carlo phase space integration/generation based on optimized multichannel approach.

hep-ph/0012004 and Tokyo 2001, (CPP2001) Computational particle physics, p. 20-25

T. Gleisberg, et al. Eur. Phys. J. C 34 (2004) 173

Old Feynman graphs \rightarrow computational cost $\sim n!$

Old Feynman graphs \rightarrow computational cost $\sim n!$

New Dyson-Schwinger \rightarrow computational cost $\sim 3^n$

P.Draggiotis, R.H.Kleiss and C.G.Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. B439 (1998) 157

F. Caravaglios and M. Moretti, Phys. Lett. B358 (1995) 332

F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 759.

Old Feynman graphs \rightarrow computational cost $\sim n!$

New Dyson-Schwinger \rightarrow computational cost $\sim 3^n$

P.Draggiotis, R.H.Kleiss and C.G.Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. B439 (1998) 157

F. Caravaglios and M. Moretti, Phys. Lett. B358 (1995) 332

F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 759.

• Example: $e^-e^+ \rightarrow e^-e^+e^-e^+$ in QED:



The Dyson-Schwinger recursion

• Imagine a theory with 3- and 4- point vertices and just one field. Then it is straightforward to write an equation that gives the amplitude for $1 \rightarrow n$









The solution

			e^-	(1) <i>e</i>	+(2)	$ ightarrow e^{-}(4)$	$ar{ u}_e(8)$	u (16)	$ar{d}(32)$
1	10	33	2	-2	8	1			
1	12	33	4	-2	8	1			
1	48	34	16	-3	32	4			
2	26	-4	10	33	16	-3			
			• • •						
2	62	-2	10	33	52	-1			
2	62	-2	12	33	50	-1			
2	62	-2	58	31	4	-2			
2	62	-2	58	32	4	-2			
2	62	-2	60	31	2	-2			
2	62	-2	60	32	2	-2			



HELAC

- Construction of the skeleton solution of the Dyson-Schwinger equations. At this stage only integer arithmetic is performed. This is part of the initialization phase.
- Dressing-up the skeleton with momenta, provided by PHEGAS and wave functions, propagators, *n*-point functions in general.
- Unitary and Feynman gauges implemented. Due to multi-precision arithmetic, tests of gauge invariance can be extended to arbitrary precision.
- All fermions masses can be non-zero.
- All Electroweak and QCD vertices are implemented, including Higgs and would-be Goldstone bosons.

Colour Configuration - EWK⊕QCD





• Ordinary approach SU(N)-type

$$\mathcal{A}^{a_1...a_n} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}}...T^{a_{\sigma_n}}) \quad A(\sigma_1...\sigma_n)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}} \dots T^{a_{\sigma_n}})Tr(T^{a_{\sigma'_1}} \dots T^{a_{\sigma'_n}})$$



• Ordinary approach SU(N)-type

$$\mathcal{A}^{a_1...a_n} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}}...T^{a_{\sigma_n}}) \quad A(\sigma_1...\sigma_n)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}} \dots T^{a_{\sigma_n}}) Tr(T^{a_{\sigma'_1}} \dots T^{a_{\sigma'_n}})$$

Quarks and gluons treated differently

Colour Configuration - EWK⊕QCD

• New approach U(N)-type

$$M\left(a_{1},\ldots,a_{ng},i_{1+n_{g}},\ldots,i_{n_{q}+n_{g}},j_{1+n_{g}},\ldots,j_{n_{q}+n_{g}}\right)$$

$$t_{i_1j_1}^{a_1} \dots t_{i_ngj_ng}^{a_ng} \to M_{j_1\dots}^{i_1\dots}$$

$$M_{j_1\ldots}^{i_1\ldots} = \sum \delta_{i_1,\sigma_I(j_1)} \delta_{i_2,\sigma_I(j_2)} \ldots \delta_{i_n,\sigma_I(j_n)} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_I}$$

- \star quarks $1 \dots n$
- \star antiquarks $\sigma_i(1 \dots n)$ and
- \star gluons = $q\bar{q}$

Colour Configuration - EWK⊕QCD

$$\sum |M^{i_1 \ldots}_{j_1 \ldots}|^2 = \sum \mathcal{C}_{IJ} \mathcal{A}^*_I \mathcal{A}_J$$

$${\cal C}_{IJ} = \sum D_I D_J = N^lpha_c \;,\;\; lpha = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2
angle$$

$$D_I = \delta_{i_1,\sigma_I(j_1)} \delta_{i_2,\sigma_I(j_2)} \dots \delta_{i_n,\sigma_I(j_n)}$$

\blacklozenge exact color treatment \Rightarrow low color charge

Problem: number of colour connection configurations: $\sim n!$ where n is the number of gluons or $q\bar{q}$ pairs. \Rightarrow Monte-Carlo over continuous colour-space.









🖬 đo. 💵 🖉 🖻 🖻 🗂 🖊 📁 🎘 🛷 🕅

🔊 Quick Connect 🗀 Profiles

Eile Edit View Window Help

the	color	ur	of	par	tic	les	ONE	2 1	. 0	4	0	3									
the	color	ur	of	par	tic	cles	TWO	1 2	2 3	0	4	0									
for 1	the		8	col	our	CO1	nf.	the	e	ar	е		() s	ubam	plitu	ides				
the	color	ur	of	par	tic	cles	ONE	2 3	8 0	1	0	4									
the	color	ur	of	par	tic	cles	TWO	1 2	2 3	0	4	0									
for 1	the		9		7	cold	our	cont		th	er	e a	are		30	suba	ampli	tude	S		
1	2	6		11	7	1	1	2	2	35		2	4	-11	3	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	2	6		11	7	0	1	2	2	35		2	4	-11	3	0	0	0	2	1	1
3	1	48	1	31	8	1	1	10	5 -	12		5	32	12	6	0	0	0	0	1	0
4	1	48		32	9	1	1	10	5 -	12		5	32	12	6	0	0	0	0	1	0
5	1	48		35	10	1	1	10	5 -	12		5	32	12	6	0	0	0	0	1	2
6	2	52	-	11	11	1	3	48	3	31		8	4	-11	3	0	0	0	1	1	0
7	2	52	-	11	11	0	3	48	3	31		8	4	-11	3	0	0	0	2	1	0
8	2	52	-	11	11	2	3	48	3	32		9	4	-11	3	0	0	0	1	1	0
9	2	52	-	11	11	0	3	48	3	32		9	4	-11	3	0	0	0	2	1	0
10	2	52	- 1	11	11	3	3	48	3	35	1	10	4	-11	3	0	0	0	1	1	2
11	2	52	-	11	11	0	3	48	3	35	6	10	4	-11	3	0	0	0	2	1	2
12	3	56		11	12	1	3	48	3	31		8	8	11	4	0	0	0	1	1	0
13	3	56		11	12	0	3	48	3	31		8	8	11	4	0	0	0	2	1	0
14	3	56		11	12	2	3	48	3	32		9	8	11	4	0	0	0	1	1	0
15	3	56		11	12	0	3	48	3	32		9	8	11	4	0	0	0	2	1	0
16	3	56		11	12	3	3	48	3	35		10	8	11	4	0	0	0	1	1	2
17	3	56		11	12	0	3	48	3	35		10	8	11	4	0	0	0	2	1	2
18	2	54		11	13	1	4	- 2	2	35		2	52	-11	11	0	0	0	1	1	1
19	2	54	-	11	13	0	4	2	2	35		2	52	-11	11	0	0	0	2	1	1
20	2	54		11	13	2	4	48	3	31		8	6	-11	7	0	0	0	1	1	0
21	2	54	-	11	13	0	4	48	3	31		8	6	-11	7	0	0	0	2	1	0
22	2	54		11	13	3	4	48	3	32		9	6	-11	7	0	0	0	1	1	0
23	2	54		11	13	0	4	48	3	32		9	6	-11	7	0	0	0	2	1	0
24	2	54		11	13	4	4	48	3	35		10	6	-11	7	0	0	0	1	1	2
25	2	54	-	11	13	0	4	48	3	35		10	6	-11	7	0	0	0	2	1	2
26	1	60		35	14	1	2	- 4	-	11		3	56	11	12	0	0	0	0	1	1
27	1	60		35	14	2	2	52	2 -	11		11	8	11	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
28	4	62		35	15	1	3	- 2	2	35		2	60	35	14	0	0	0	0	1	1
29	1	62		35	15	2	3	_ (11		7	56	11	12	0	0	0	0	1	1
30	1	62		35	15	3	3	54		11		13	8	11	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
the number of Feynman graphs = 10																					
the number of Feynman graphs = 10																					
(Teynman.I) the number of reynman graphs is: 10																					
the colour of particles ONE 2 3 0 4 0 1																					
τ <mark>n</mark> e	COTO	ur	ΟĪ	par	TIC	tes	TWO	Τž	: 3	0	4	U									

NUM

- 7

🖬 đã 🍠 🖻 🖻 🖨 🖄 💭 🎭 🔗 😢

🐔 Quick Connect 🗀 Profiles

<u>File Edit View Window Help</u>

```
_____
     0.274738D-02 0.171512D-01
                                 23735
                                          93000
                                                  93000
sigma=
------
sigma= 0.274242D-02 0.170635D-01
                                 23998
                                          94000
                                                  94000
sigma= 0.274562D-02 0.169650D-01
                                 24257
                                          95000
                                                  95000
sigma= 0.274661D-02 0.168580D-01
                                 24514
                                          96000
                                                  96000
------
sigma= 0.274584D-02 0.167396D-01
                                 24763
                                          97000
                                                  97000
      0.274919D-02 0.166607D-01
                                 25012
sigma=
                                          98000
                                                  98000
------
sigma= 0.275387D-02 0.165664D-01
                                 25267
                                          99000
                                                  99000
------
sigma= 0.276020D-02 0.165019D-01
                                 25518
out of 100000 100001 points have been used
and 25518 points resulted to =/= 0 weight
whereas 74483 points to 0 weight
 estimator x: 0.276017D-02
 estimator y: 0.207463D-08
 estimator z: 0.177629D-19
 average estimate : 0.276017D-02
            +\- 0.455481D-04
 variance estimate: 0.207463D-08
             +\-
                  0.133278D-09
lwri: points have used 0.00000000000000E+00
2212 2212 7000.0000000000 7000.0000000000 3 1
% error: 1.650188706631237
<w>/w max,w max 3.402995943569451E-03 0.8111008833504001
 0.811101E+00 0.472230E+00 0.213615E+00
                                         0.413747E-01 0.113141E-01 0.626923E-02
                                                                                 0.000000E+00
 0.000000E+00 0.000000E+00 0.00000E+00
<me>/memax,memax 2.824090411444549E-04 4.886440423606611E-03
iwarning(4) = 46
number of w=1 events 0
number of w>1 events 0
ONO.199 LOG : INSIDE, UNDER, OVER
                           0
                                   0
                                        0
 NO ENTRIES INSIDE HISTOGRAM
TIME= 2009 5 5 120 20 51 35 13
"output" 1992L, 118814C
                                                                              1992.2
                                                                                         Bot
```

NUM

PHEGAS

• Phase space

$$d\Phi_n = (2\pi)^{4-3n} \prod_{i=1}^n rac{d^3 p_i}{2E_i} \delta\left(\sum E_i - w
ight) \delta^3\left(\sum ec p_i
ight)$$

• RAMBO, VEGAS-based nice but completely inefficient!

$$d\sigma_n = \mathrm{FLUX} imes |\mathcal{M}_{2
ightarrow n}|^2 d\Phi_n$$

need appropriate mappings of **peaking structures**, plus optimization!

 ● Efficiency ⇒ to a large number of generators, each one for a specific class of processes. Multichannel approach

$${\cal I} = \int f(ec x) d\mu(ec x) = \int rac{f(ec x)}{p(ec x)} p(ec x) d\mu(ec x)$$

$$p(ec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M_{ch}} lpha_i \ p_i(ec{x}) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{M_{ch}} lpha_i = 1$$
 $- i = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{f(ec{x})}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{f(ec{x})}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{f(ec{x})}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}$

$$\mathcal{I}
ightarrow \left\langle rac{f(x)}{p(ec{x})}
ight
angle \quad \mathcal{E}^2 N
ightarrow \left\langle \left(rac{f(x)}{p(ec{x})}
ight) - \mathcal{I}^2
ight
angle$$

 $\star \text{ Optimize } \alpha_i \Rightarrow \text{ Minimize } \mathcal{E} \star$

R.Kleiss and R.Pittau, Comput. Phys. Commun. 83, 141 (1994).

New Dyson-Schwinger equations: subamplitude is a combination of several peaking structures!

problem unsolved? QCD antennas

P.D.Draggiotis, A.van Hameren and R.Kleiss, hep-ph/0004047.

New Dyson-Schwinger equations: subamplitude is a combination of several peaking structures!

problem unsolved? QCD antennas

 $P.D.Draggiotis, A.van \ Hameren \ and \ R.Kleiss, \ hep-ph/0004047.$

Old Feynman graphs: exhibit single peaking structure! problem solved Back to Feynman graphs:



The corresponding intrinsic representation looks like

62	-2	4	-2	58	31
58	31	2	-2	56	2
56	2	48	33	8	1
48	33	16	-3	32	4






• Extra version with HG^n and $\mathrm{H}\gamma^n$ couplings

OPP REDUCTION - INTRO

G. Ossola., C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, Nucl. Phys. B 763, 147 (2007) - arXiv:hep-ph/0609007

and JHEP 0707 (2007) 085 - arXiv:0704.1271 [hep-ph]

R. K. Ellis, W. T. Giele and Z. Kunszt, JHEP 0803, 003 (2008)

Any *m*-point one-loop amplitude can be written, before integration, as

$$A(\bar{q}) = \frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}}$$

A bar denotes objects living in $n = 4 + \epsilon$ dimensions

$$ar{D}_i = (ar{q}+p_i)^2 - m_i^2$$
 $ar{q}^2 = q^2 + ar{q}^2$
 $ar{D}_i = D_i + ar{q}^2$

External momenta p_i are 4-dimensional objects

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

$$\int A = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) C_0(i_0 i_1 i_2)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) B_0(i_0 i_1)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} a(i_0) A_0(i_0)$$

$$+ \text{ rational terms}$$

$$A(\bar{q}) = \frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}}$$

$$N(q)
ightarrow q^{\mu_1} \dots q^{\mu_m}
ightarrow g^{\mu_1 \mu_2} p_i^{\mu_3} \dots$$

G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, "One Loop Corrections For E+ E- Annihilation Into Mu+ Mu- In The Weinberg Model," Nucl. Phys. B 160 (1979) 151.

$$\begin{split} T^{N}_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{P}}(p_{1},\ldots,p_{N-1},m_{0},\ldots,m_{N-1}) &= \frac{(2\pi\mu)^{4-D}}{i\pi^{2}} \int d^{D}q \frac{q_{\mu_{1}}\cdots q_{\mu_{P}}}{D_{0}D_{1}\cdots D_{N}} \\ T^{N}_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{P}}(p_{1},\ldots,p_{N-1},m_{0},\ldots,m_{N-1}) &= \sum_{i_{1},\ldots,i_{P}}^{N-1} T^{N}_{i_{1}...i_{P}}p_{i_{1}\mu_{1}}\cdots p_{i_{P}\mu_{P}} \\ D_{\mu} &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{i\mu}D_{i}, \\ D_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu}D_{00} + \sum_{i_{j}=1}^{3} p_{i\mu}p_{j\nu}D_{ij}, \\ D_{\mu\nu\rho} &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} (g_{\mu\nu}p_{i\rho} + g_{\nu\rho}p_{i\mu} + g_{\mu\rho}p_{i\nu})D_{00i} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{3} p_{i\mu}p_{j\nu}p_{k\rho}D_{ijk}, \\ D_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= (g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} + g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho})D_{0000} \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} (g_{\mu\nu}p_{i\rho}p_{j\sigma} + g_{\nu\rho}p_{i\mu}p_{j\sigma} + g_{\mu\rho}p_{i\nu}p_{j\sigma} \\ &+ g_{\mu\sigma}p_{i\nu}p_{j\rho} + g_{\nu\sigma}p_{i\mu}p_{j\rho} + g_{\rho\sigma}p_{i\mu}p_{j\nu})D_{00ij} \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} p_{i\mu}p_{j\nu}p_{k\rho}p_{l\sigma}D_{ijk}. \end{split}$$

$$A(\bar{q}) = \frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}}$$

$$N(q)
ightarrow q^{\mu_1} \dots q^{\mu_m}
ightarrow g^{\mu_1 \mu_2} p_i^{\mu_3} \dots$$

G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, "One Loop Corrections For E+ E- Annihilation Into Mu+ Mu- In The Weinberg Model," Nucl. Phys. B 160 (1979) 151.

W. L. van Neerven and J. A. M. Vermaseren, "Large Loop Integrals," Phys. Lett. B 137, 241 (1984)

The derivation of the reduction formula starts as in ref. [1] with the Schouten identity which is a relation between five Levi-Civita tensors:

$$\epsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} Q_{\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu p_2 p_3 p_4} Q_{\cdot} p_1 + \epsilon^{p_1 \mu p_3 p_4} Q_{\cdot} p_2 + \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 \mu p_4} Q_{\cdot} p_3 + \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 \mu} Q_{\cdot} p_4 .$$
(6)

$$A(\bar{q}) = \frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}}$$

$$N(q)
ightarrow q^{\mu_1} \dots q^{\mu_m}
ightarrow g^{\mu_1 \mu_2} p_i^{\mu_3} \dots$$

G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, "One Loop Corrections For E+ E- Annihilation Into Mu+ Mu- In The Weinberg Model," Nucl. Phys. B 160 (1979) 151.

W. L. van Neerven and J. A. M. Vermaseren, "Large Loop Integrals," Phys. Lett. B 137, 241 (1984)

The derivation of the reduction formula starts as in ref. [1] with the Schouten identity which is a relation between five Levi-Civita tensors:

$$\epsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} Q_{\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu p_2 p_3 p_4} Q \cdot p_1 + \epsilon^{p_1 \mu p_3 p_4} Q \cdot p_2 + \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 \mu p_4} Q \cdot p_3 + \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 \mu} Q \cdot p_4 .$$
(6)

which yields the final formula for the scalar one-loop five-point function:

$$E_{01234}(w^2 - 4\Delta_4 m_0^2) = D_{1234}[2\Delta_4 - w \cdot (v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)]$$

$$+ D_{0234} v_1 \cdot w + D_{0134} v_2 \cdot w + D_{0124} v_3 \cdot w + D_{0123} v_4 \cdot w .$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

$$A(\bar{q}) = \frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}}$$

$$N(q)
ightarrow q^{\mu_1} \dots q^{\mu_m}
ightarrow g^{\mu_1 \mu_2} p_i^{\mu_3} \dots$$

G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, "One Loop Corrections For E+ E- Annihilation Into Mu+ Mu- In The Weinberg Model," Nucl. Phys. B 160 (1979) 151.

W. L. van Neerven and J. A. M. Vermaseren, "Large Loop Integrals," Phys. Lett. B 137, 241 (1984)

The derivation of the reduction formula starts as in ref. [1] with the Schouten identity which is a relation between five Levi-Civita tensors:

$$\epsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} Q_{\mu} = \epsilon^{\mu p_2 p_3 p_4} Q \cdot p_1 + \epsilon^{p_1 \mu p_3 p_4} Q \cdot p_2 + \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 \mu p_4} Q \cdot p_3 + \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 \mu} Q \cdot p_4 . \tag{6}$$

which yields the final formula for the scalar one-loop five-point function:

$$E_{01234}(w^2 - 4\Delta_4 m_0^2) = D_{1234}[2\Delta_4 - w \cdot (v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)]$$

$$+ D_{0234} v_1 \cdot w + D_{0134} v_2 \cdot w + D_{0124} v_3 \cdot w + D_{0123} v_4 \cdot w .$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

This method is completely different from the one used in ref. [3].

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

CAPP 2009 11 / 84

UNITARITY



Started in 90's, mainly QCD, amplitude level (analytical results)
Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower,
[arXiv:hep-ph/9403226].
Gluing tree amplitudes plus colinear limits → extract coefficients

$$\mathcal{C} * \int A = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \mathcal{C} * D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) \mathcal{C} * C_0(i_0 i_1 i_2)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) \mathcal{C} * B_0(i_0 i_1)$$

	Integral	Unique Function
a	$I_4^{0\mathrm{m}}(s,t)$	$\ln(-s)\ln(-t)$
b	$I_{3}^{1m}(s)$	$\ln(-s)^2$
с	$I_{3}^{1m}(t)$	$\ln(-t)^2$
d	$I_2(s)$	$\ln(-s)$
е	$I_2(t)$	$\ln(-t)$

Table 1: The set of integral functions that may appear in a cut-constructible massless four-point amplitude, together with the independent logarithms.

UNITARITY



	Integral	Unique Function
а	$I^{3\mathrm{m}}_{4:r,r';i}$	$\ln(-t_i^{[r]})\ln(-t_{i+r+r'}^{[n-r-r'-1]})$
b	$I_{4:r;i}^{2m e}$	$\ln(-t_i^{[r]})\ln(-t_{i+r+1}^{[n-r-2]})$
с	$I_{4:r,r',r'';i}^{4m}$	$\ln(-t_i^{[r]})\ln(-t_{i+r+r'}^{[r'']})$
d	$I_{4:r;i}^{2 \le h}$	$\ln(-t_i^{[r]})\ln(-t_{i+r}^{[n-r-1]})$
е	$I_{4;i}^{1\mathrm{m}}$	$\ln(-t_i^{[r]})\ln(-t_i^{[r+1]})$
f	$I^{3m}_{3:r,r';i}$	$\ln(-t_i^{[r]}) \ln(-t_{i+r}^{[r']})$

Table 2: Following the ordering shown and taking large $t_i^{[r]}$ makes the proof of uniqueness of the cuts straightforward.

R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, [arXiv:hep-th/0412103]. Quadruple cut with complex momenta $\rightarrow d(i_0i_1i_2i_3)$



General expression for the 4-dim N(q) at the integrand level in terms of D_i

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

- The quantities $d(i_0i_1i_2i_3)$ are the coefficients of 4-point functions with denominators labeled by i_0 , i_1 , i_2 , and i_3 .
- c(i₀i₁i₂), b(i₀i₁), a(i₀) are the coefficients of all possible 3-point, 2-point and 1-point functions, respectively.

OPP "MASTER" FORMULA - II

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0i_1i_2i_3) + \tilde{d}(q;i_0i_1i_2i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0i_1i_2) + \tilde{c}(q;i_0i_1i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0i_1) + \tilde{b}(q;i_0i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q;i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

The quantities \tilde{d} , \tilde{c} , \tilde{b} , \tilde{a} are the "spurious" terms

- They still depend on q (integration momentum)
- They should vanish upon integration

What is the explicit expression of the spurious term?

Following F. del Aguila and R. Pittau, arXiv:hep-ph/0404120

• Express any q in N(q) as

$$q^{\mu} = -p_0^{\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^4 G_i \, \ell_i^{\mu} \, , \, \, \ell_i^2 = 0$$

$$k_{1} = \ell_{1} + \alpha_{1}\ell_{2}, \quad k_{2} = \ell_{2} + \alpha_{2}\ell_{1}, \quad k_{i} = p_{i} - p_{0}$$
$$\ell_{3}^{\mu} = <\ell_{1}|\gamma^{\mu}|\ell_{2}], \quad \ell_{4}^{\mu} = <\ell_{2}|\gamma^{\mu}|\ell_{1}]$$

• The coefficients G_i either reconstruct denominators D_i

 \rightarrow They give rise to *d*, *c*, *b*, *a* coefficients

Following F. del Aguila and R. Pittau, arXiv:hep-ph/0404120

• Express any q in N(q) as

$$q^{\mu} = -p_0^{\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^4 G_i \, \ell_i^{\mu} \, , \, \, \ell_i^2 = 0$$

$$k_{1} = \ell_{1} + \alpha_{1}\ell_{2}, \quad k_{2} = \ell_{2} + \alpha_{2}\ell_{1}, \quad k_{i} = p_{i} - p_{0}$$
$$\ell_{3}^{\mu} = <\ell_{1}|\gamma^{\mu}|\ell_{2}], \quad \ell_{4}^{\mu} = <\ell_{2}|\gamma^{\mu}|\ell_{1}]$$

• The coefficients G_i either reconstruct denominators D_i or vanish upon integration

 \rightarrow They give rise to *d*, *c*, *b*, *a* coefficients \rightarrow They form the spurious \tilde{d} , \tilde{c} , \tilde{b} , \tilde{a} coefficients • $\tilde{d}(q)$ term (only 1)

$$\tilde{d}(q) = \tilde{d} T(q),$$

where \tilde{d} is a constant (does not depend on q)

$$T(q) \equiv Tr[(\not q + \not p_0) \not l_1 \not l_2 \not k_3 \gamma_5]$$

• $\tilde{d}(q)$ term (only 1)

$$\tilde{d}(q) = \tilde{d} T(q),$$

where \tilde{d} is a constant (does not depend on q)

$$T(q) \equiv Tr[(\not q + \not p_0) \ell_1 \ell_2 k_3 \gamma_5]$$

• $\tilde{c}(q)$ terms (they are 6)

$$ilde{c}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{j_{max}} \left\{ ilde{c}_{1j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_3]^j + ilde{c}_{2j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_4]^j
ight\}$$

In the renormalizable gauge, $j_{max} = 3$

• $\tilde{d}(q)$ term (only 1)

$$\check{d}(q) = \check{d} T(q),$$

where \tilde{d} is a constant (does not depend on q)

$$T(q) \equiv Tr[(\not q + \not p_0) \ell_1 \ell_2 k_3 \gamma_5]$$

• $\tilde{c}(q)$ terms (they are 6)

$$ilde{c}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{j_{max}} \left\{ ilde{c}_{1j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_3]^j + ilde{c}_{2j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_4]^j
ight\}$$

In the renormalizable gauge, $j_{max} = 3$

• $\tilde{b}(q)$ and $\tilde{a}(q)$ give rise to 8 and 4 terms, respectively

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$
$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{D_{i_0}(q^+)} + \frac{1}{D_{i_0}(q^-)} \right)$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^+)} + \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^-)} \right)$$

Melrose, Nuovo Cim. 40 (1965) 181
G. Källén, J.Toll, J. Math. Phys. 6, 299 (1965)

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^+)} + \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^-)} \right)$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^+)} + \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^-)} \right)$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} T_0^5 & -T_0^4(0) & -T_0^4(1) & -T_0^4(2) & -T_0^4(3) & -T_0^4(4) \\ 1 & Y_{00} & Y_{01} & Y_{02} & Y_{03} & Y_{04} \\ 1 & Y_{10} & Y_{11} & Y_{12} & Y_{13} & Y_{14} \\ 1 & Y_{20} & Y_{21} & Y_{22} & Y_{23} & Y_{24} \\ 1 & Y_{30} & Y_{31} & Y_{32} & Y_{33} & Y_{34} \\ 1 & Y_{40} & Y_{41} & Y_{42} & Y_{43} & Y_{44} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

GENERAL STRATEGY

Now we know the form of the spurious terms:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[\mathbf{a}(i_0) + \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

Our calculation is now reduced to an algebraic problem
GENERAL STRATEGY

Now we know the form of the spurious terms:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[\mathbf{a}(i_0) + \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

Our calculation is now reduced to an algebraic problem

Extract all the coefficients by evaluating N(q) for a set of values of the integration momentum q

GENERAL STRATEGY

Now we know the form of the spurious terms:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[\mathbf{a}(i_0) + \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

Our calculation is now reduced to an algebraic problem

Extract all the coefficients by evaluating N(q) for a set of values of the integration momentum q

There is a very good set of such points: Use values of q for which a set of denominators D_i vanish \rightarrow The system becomes "triangular": solve first for 4-point functions, then 3-point functions and so on

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= d + \tilde{d}(q) + \sum_{i=0}^{3} \left[c(i) + \tilde{c}(q;i) \right] D_{i} + \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{3} \left[b(i_{0}i_{1}) + \tilde{b}(q;i_{0}i_{1}) \right] D_{i_{0}} D_{i_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0}=0}^{3} \left[a(i_{0}) + \tilde{a}(q;i_{0}) \right] D_{i \neq i_{0}} D_{j \neq i_{0}} D_{k \neq i_{0}} \end{split}$$

We look for a q of the form $q^{\mu}=-p_{0}^{\mu}+x_{i}\ell_{i}^{\mu}$ such that

$$D_0 = D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = 0$$

 \rightarrow we get a system of equations in χ_i that has two solutions q_0^{\pm}

$N(q) = d + \tilde{d}(q)$

Our "master formula" for $q = q_0^{\pm}$ is:

 $N(q_0^{\pm}) = [d + \tilde{d} T(q_0^{\pm})]$

ightarrow solve to extract the coefficients d and $ilde{d}$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) - d - \tilde{d}(q) &= \sum_{i=0}^{3} \left[c(i) + \tilde{c}(q;i) \right] D_{i} + \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{3} \left[b(i_{0}i_{1}) + \tilde{b}(q;i_{0}i_{1}) \right] D_{i_{0}} D_{i_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0}=0}^{3} \left[a(i_{0}) + \tilde{a}(q;i_{0}) \right] D_{i \neq i_{0}} D_{j \neq i_{0}} D_{k \neq i_{0}} \end{split}$$

Then we can move to the extraction of *c* coefficients using

$$N'(q) = N(q) - d - \tilde{d}T(q)$$

and setting to zero three denominators (ex: $D_1 = 0, D_2 = 0, D_3 = 0$)

$N(q) - d - \tilde{d}(q) = [c(0) + \tilde{c}(q; 0)] D_0$

We have infinite values of q for which

$$D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = 0$$
 and $D_0 \neq 0$

 \rightarrow Here we need 7 of them to determine c(0) and $\tilde{c}(q; 0)$

• Let's go back to the integrand

$$A(ar{q}) = rac{N(q)}{ar{D}_0 ar{D}_1 \cdots ar{D}_{m-1}}$$

• Insert the expression for $N(q) \rightarrow$ we know all the coefficients

$$N(q) = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d + \tilde{d}(q) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c + \tilde{c}(q) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i + \cdots$$

• Finally rewrite all denominators using

$$rac{D_i}{ar{D}_i} = ar{Z}_i\,, \hspace{1em} ext{with} \hspace{1em} ar{Z}_i \equiv \left(1 - rac{ ilde{q}^2}{ar{D}_i}
ight)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(\bar{q}) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \frac{d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1} \bar{D}_{i_2} \bar{D}_{i_3}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{Z}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \frac{c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1} \bar{D}_{i_2}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{Z}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \frac{b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{Z}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \frac{a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0)}{\bar{D}_{i_0}} \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{Z}_i \end{split}$$

The rational part is produced, after integrating over $d^n q$, by the \tilde{q}^2 dependence in \bar{Z}_i

$$\bar{Z}_i \equiv \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i}\right)$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

The "Extra Integrals" are of the form

$$I_{s;\mu_1\cdots\mu_r}^{(n;2\ell)} \equiv \int d^n q \, \tilde{q}^{2\ell} \frac{q_{\mu_1}\cdots q_{\mu_r}}{\bar{D}(k_0)\cdots \bar{D}(k_s)},$$

where

$$\bar{D}(k_i) \equiv (\bar{q} + k_i)^2 - m_i^2, k_i = p_i - p_0$$

These integrals:

- have dimensionality $\mathcal{D} = 2(1 + \ell s) + r$
- contribute only when $\mathcal{D} \geq 0$, otherwise are of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$

Expand in D-dimensions ?

$$\bar{D}_i = D_i + \tilde{q}^2$$

Expand in D-dimensions ?

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i + \tilde{P}(q) \prod_i^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \end{split}$$

Expand in D-dimensions ?

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i + \tilde{P}(q) \prod_i^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i + \tilde{P}(q) \prod_i^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \end{split}$$

Polynomial dependence on \tilde{q}^2

$$b(ij; \tilde{q}^2) = b(ij) + \tilde{q}^2 b^{(2)}(ij), \quad c(ijk; \tilde{q}^2) = c(ijk) + \tilde{q}^2 c^{(2)}(ijk).$$

Polynomial dependence on \tilde{q}^2

$$b(ij; \tilde{q}^2) = b(ij) + \tilde{q}^2 b^{(2)}(ij), \quad c(ijk; \tilde{q}^2) = c(ijk) + \tilde{q}^2 c^{(2)}(ijk).$$

$$\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} \left[m_i^2 + m_j^2 - \frac{(p_i - p_j)^2}{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) ,$$

$$\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) , \quad \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_l} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{6} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) .$$

Furthermore, by defining

$$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}(q, \tilde{q}^2) \equiv \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i ,$$

the following expansion holds

$$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}(q, \tilde{q}^2) = \sum_{j=2}^m \tilde{q}^{(2j-4)} d^{(2j-4)}(q) \, ,$$

where the last coefficient is independent on q

$$d^{(2m-4)}(q) = d^{(2m-4)}$$

In practice, once the 4-dimensional coefficients have been determined, one can redo the fits for different values of \tilde{q}^2 , in order to determine $b^{(2)}(ij)$, $c^{(2)}(ijk)$ and $d^{(2m-4)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1} &= -\frac{i}{96\pi^{2}}d^{(2m-4)} - \frac{i}{32\pi^{2}}\sum_{i_{0} < i_{1} < i_{2}}^{m-1}c^{(2)}(i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}) \\ &- \frac{i}{32\pi^{2}}\sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{m-1}b^{(2)}(i_{0}i_{1})\left(m_{i_{0}}^{2} + m_{i_{1}}^{2} - \frac{(p_{i_{0}} - p_{i_{1}})^{2}}{3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau,arXiv:0802.1876 [hep-ph]

A different source of Rational Terms, called R_2 , can also be generated from the ϵ -dimensional part of N(q)

$$ar{N}(ar{q}) = N(q) + ilde{N}(ar{q}^2,\epsilon;q)$$
 $\mathrm{R}_2 \equiv rac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^n \, ar{q} rac{ ilde{N}(ilde{q}^2,\epsilon;q)}{ar{D}_0 ar{D}_1 \cdots ar{D}_{m-1}} \equiv rac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^n \, ar{q} \, \mathcal{R}_2$
 $ar{q} = q + ar{q},$
 $ar{\gamma}_{ar{\mu}} = \gamma_{\mu} + ar{\gamma}_{ar{\mu}},$
 $ar{g}^{ar{\mu}ar{
u}} = g^{\mu
u} + ar{g}^{ar{\mu}ar{
u}}.$

New vertices/particles or GKM-approach

RATIONAL TERMS - R_2



$$\begin{split} \bar{N}(\bar{q}) &\equiv e^3 \left\{ \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}} \left(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1 + m_e \right) \gamma_\mu \left(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2 + m_e \right) \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \right\} \\ &= e^3 \left\{ \gamma_\beta (\mathcal{Q}_1 + m_e) \gamma_\mu (\mathcal{Q}_2 + m_e) \gamma^\beta \\ &- \epsilon \left(\mathcal{Q}_1 - m_e \right) \gamma_\mu (\mathcal{Q}_2 - m_e) + \epsilon \tilde{q}^2 \gamma_\mu - \tilde{q}^2 \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu \gamma^\beta \right\} \,, \end{split}$$

RATIONAL TERMS - R_2

$$\begin{split} &\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,, \\ &\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{2\epsilon} g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(1) \,, \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_2 = -\frac{ie^3}{8\pi^2}\gamma_\mu + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,,$$

$$\mu \qquad = -\frac{ie^3}{8\pi^2}\gamma_{\mu}$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

RATIONAL TERMS - R_2

Rational counterterms

$$\mu \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \dots \qquad = -\frac{ie^2}{8\pi^2} g_{\mu\nu} \left(2m_e^2 - p^2/3\right)$$

$$\stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \qquad = \frac{ie^2}{16\pi^2} \left(-p + 2m_e\right)$$

$$\mu \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} \qquad = \frac{ie^4}{12\pi^2} \left(g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} + g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho}\right)$$

Calculate N(q)

Calculate N(q)

• We do not need to repeat this for all Feynman diagrams. We can group them and solve for (sub)amplitudes directly

Calculate N(q)

- We do not need to repeat this for all Feynman diagrams. We can group them and solve for (sub)amplitudes directly
- Calculate N(q) numerically via recursion relations

Calculate N(q)

- We do not need to repeat this for all Feynman diagrams. We can group them and solve for (sub)amplitudes directly
- Calculate N(q) numerically via recursion relations
- Just specify external momenta, polarization vectors and masses and proceed with the reduction!

Calculate N(q)

- We do not need to repeat this for all Feynman diagrams. We can group them and solve for (sub)amplitudes directly
- Calculate N(q) numerically via recursion relations
- Just specify external momenta, polarization vectors and masses and proceed with the reduction!

Compute all coefficients

Calculate N(q)

- We do not need to repeat this for all Feynman diagrams. We can group them and solve for (sub)amplitudes directly
- Calculate N(q) numerically via recursion relations
- Just specify external momenta, polarization vectors and masses and proceed with the reduction!

Compute all coefficients

• by evaluating N(q) at certain values of integration momentum

Calculate N(q)

- We do not need to repeat this for all Feynman diagrams. We can group them and solve for (sub)amplitudes directly
- Calculate N(q) numerically via recursion relations
- Just specify external momenta, polarization vectors and masses and proceed with the reduction!

Compute all coefficients

• by evaluating N(q) at certain values of integration momentum

Evaluate scalar integrals

Calculate N(q)

- We do not need to repeat this for all Feynman diagrams. We can group them and solve for (sub)amplitudes directly
- Calculate N(q) numerically via recursion relations
- Just specify external momenta, polarization vectors and masses and proceed with the reduction!

Compute all coefficients

by evaluating N(q) at certain values of integration momentum

Evaluate scalar integrals

- massive integrals \rightarrow FF [G. J. van Oldenborgh]
- massless+massive integrals \rightarrow OneLOop [A. van Hameren]





Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)







=

HELAC COLOR TREATMENT

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_2,\dots,j_k}^{a_1,i_2,\dots,i_k} t_{i_1j_1}^{a_1} \to \mathcal{M}_{j_1,j_2,\dots,j_k}^{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_1,j_2,\dots,j_k}^{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k} = \sum_{\sigma} \delta_{i_{\sigma_1},j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma_2},j_2} \dots \delta_{i_{\sigma_k},j_k} A_{\sigma}$$

$$\sum_{\{i\},\{j\}} |\mathcal{M}_{j_1,j_2,\dots,j_k}^{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_k}|^2$$

$$\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} A_{\sigma}^* \mathcal{C}_{\sigma,\sigma'} A_{\sigma'}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma,\sigma'} \equiv \sum_{\{i\},\{j\}} \delta_{i_{\sigma_1},j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma_2},j_2} \dots \delta_{i_{\sigma_k},j_k} \delta_{i_{\sigma_1'},j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma_2'},j_2} \dots \delta_{i_{\sigma_k'},j_k}$$

 $(x_1, y_1) \dots (x_n, y_n)$

where y_i take the values $\{1, 2, ..., n_l\}$ if *i* is a gluon or an outgoing quark (incoming anti-quark) otherwise $y_i = 0$, whereas x_i take the values $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_{n_l}\}$ if *i* is a gluon or an incoming quark (outgoing anti-quark) otherwise $x_i = 0$. So for instance for a $q\bar{q} \rightarrow gg$ process, $n_l = 3$ and a possible color connection is given by (3,0)(0,1)(1,2)(2,3)



HELAC COLOR TREATMENT

whereas for $gg \rightarrow ggg$, $n_l = 5$ and a possible color connection is given by (2,1)(3,2)(4,3)(5,4)(1,5)



$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma,\sigma'} = \mathit{N}_{c}^{m(\sigma,\sigma')}$$

where $m(\sigma, \sigma')$ count the number of common cycles of the two permutations.

HELAC COLOR TREATMENT - 1 LOOP




HELAC R2 TERMS

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{p}{70000000000} \\ \mu_{1,a_{1}} \mu_{2,a_{2}} \end{array} = \frac{ig^{2}N_{col}}{48\pi^{2}} \delta_{a_{1}a_{2}} \left[\frac{p^{2}}{2}g_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} + \lambda_{HV} \left(g_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}p^{2} - p_{\mu_{1}}p_{\mu_{2}}\right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{N_{f}}{N_{col}} \left(p^{2} - 6\,m_{q}^{2}\right)g_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} \right] \end{array}$$

$$\prod_{\substack{p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \\ p_4, a_1 \\ p_5 \\ \mu_3, a_3}}^{p_2 \\ p_2 \\ \mu_2, a_5} = -\frac{g^3 N_{col}}{48\pi^2} \left(\frac{7}{4} + \lambda_{HV} + 2\frac{N_f}{N_{col}}\right) f^{a_1 a_2 a_3} V_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$$

$$\begin{split} & \overset{\mu_{1,a_{1}}}{\overset{\mu_{1,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset{\mu_{2,a_{2}}}}{\overset$$

$$\frac{p}{l} = \frac{ig^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{N_{cd}^2 - 1}{2N_{cd}} \delta_{kl}(-p + 2m_q) \lambda_{HV}$$

$$\mu, a \cos k = \frac{ig^3}{16\pi^2} \frac{N_{cd}^2 - 1}{2N_{cd}} t_{kl}^a \gamma_{\mu} (1 + \lambda_{HV})$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

OPP Reduction

HELAC R2 TERMS



Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

OPP Reduction

Killan,	, nec	, Inci	~ 0 /		10														
INFO										====									
INFO	COL	OR 1	out	ot	6	1	-	1			Ter-				-				
INFO	1	12	35	7		1	4	-4	3	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	
INFO	1	48	35	8	1	1	16	-8	5	32	8	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	
INFO	2	14	-3	9	1	1	12	35	7	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	2	14	-3	9	0	1	12	35	7	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	2	28	-8	10	1	1	12	35	7	16	-8	5	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	2	28	-8	10	0	1	12	35	7	16	-8	5	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	3	44	8	11	1	1	12	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	3	44	8	11	0	1	12	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	2	50	-3	12	1	1	48	35	8	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	2	50	-3	12	0	1	48	35	8	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	2	52	-4	13	1	1	48	35	8	4	-4	3	Ó	Ō	Ō	1	1	2	
INFO	2	52	-4	13	Ó	1	48	35	8	4	-4	3	Ó	Ō	Ō	2	1	2	
INFO	3	56	4	14	1	1	48	35	8	8	4	4	0	0	Ō	1	1	2	
INFO	3	56	4	14	0	1	48	35	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	1	60	35	15	1	4	4	-4	3	56	4	14	0	0	0	0	1	2	
INFO	1	60	35	15	2	4	16	-8	5	44	8	11	Ō	õ	õ	Õ	1	2	
INFO	1	60	35	15	3	4	28	-8	10	32	8	6	ō	Ō	Ō	Ō	1	2	
INFO	1	60	35	15	4	4	52	-4	13	8	4	4	ō	ō	Ō	Ō	1	2	
INFO	2	62	-3	16		3	12	35	7	50	-3	12	ō	Ō	Ō	1	1	2	
INFO	2	62	-3	16	ò	3	12	35	7	50	-3	12	ō	Ō	Ō	2	1	2	
TNEO	2	62	-3	16	2	3	48	35	8	14	-3	9	õ	õ	õ	1	1	2	
INFO	2	62	-3	16	ō	3	48	35	8	14	-3	9	õ	õ	õ	2	1	2	
INFO	2	62	-3	16	3	3	60	35	15	2	-3	2	õ	õ	õ	1	i	2	
TNEO	2	62	-3	16	Ő	3	60	35	15	2	-3	2	õ	õ	ñ	2	1	2	
INFO																	1 13	200	
TNEO	COL	OR 2	out	of	6														
INFO	1	12	35	7	1	1	4	-4	3	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	
INFO		48	35	8			16	-8	5	32	8	6	ñ	ñ	ñ	ñ	2		
					1.2	1000											1000	and the second second	and the second se

	papad	opo@ aiol	os:/tmp - S	hell - K	onsole
--	-------	-----------	-------------	----------	--------



			Ó RÌ		\$																	
INFO	===			====					-===:		====	==					Cord.		Trank's	0-2		
INFO	COL	OR 4	out	t of	6																	
INFO	nun	nber	of I	nums	143																	
INFO	NUN	41	of	143	10																	
INFO	3	96	8	9	1	1	64	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	1	1	2				
INFO	3	96	8	9	0	1	64	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	2	1	2				
INFO	1	112	35	10	1	1	16	-8	5	96	8	9	0	0	0	0	1	1				
INFO	3	120	4	11	1	1	112	35	10	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	1				
INFO	3	120	4	11	0	1	112	35	10	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	1				
INFO	1	124	35	12	1	1	4	-4	3	120	4	11	0	0	0	0	1	1				
INFO	2	126	-3	13	1	1	124	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	1				
INFO	2	126	-3	13	0	1	124	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	1				
INFO	2	254	-3	14	15 . 1	1	128	35	8	126	-3	13	0	0	0	1	1	2				
INFO	2	254	-3	14	0	1	128	35	8	126	-3	13	0	0	0	2	1	2				
INFO	6	32	16	8	4	2		35	8	35	4	35	-3	0	0	0	3					
INFOY	Υ	1	1																			
INFO	NUM	12	ot	143	10				2			-		-		200	6.4	2010				
INFO	3	96	8	9	1	1	64	35	~	32	8	6	0	U	U	1		1				
INFO	3	96	8	9	U		64	35	4	32	8	0	U	U	U	4	1900	100000				
INFO	1	112	35	10	214	1	110	-8	5	96	8	9	0	U	U	U	1	100				
INFO	3	120	4	11	1	1	112	35	10	8	4	4	U O	U O	0	-	-					
TNEO	2	120	25	11	ų,		112	35	10	120	4	- 4	0	Ň	0	-	19					
TNEO	-	124	33	12	18	-	174	25	12	120	7	11	0	N.	0	ų		5				
TNEO	5	120	-3	12		100	124	25	12	5	-3	5	0	ő	0	-	1997	5				
TNEO	5	254		14	1	-	129	33	14	126	_2	12	ö	ő	0	4		1				
TNEO	5	254	-3	14		-	120	25	0	126	-3	12	ö	ŏ		5						
TNEO	6	234	16	14	4	2	120	25	°	25	-3	25	-3	ŏ	0	6	2	6.8				
TNEOV	v	1 1	10	0	1	4	1000	33	•	35	-	33	-3			0	3					
TNEO	NUM	1 3	of	143	10																	
THE O	1101		~	1 73		-			aller -			1.1.1.1.1.1	11		1000							

🖾 paj	padop	oo@ ai	iolos:	:/tmp	- Sh	ell	- Kon	sole											
<u>.</u>	5	r (3 💌	r 7															
INFO	NUM	127	of	143	15	1.20	and and	Ser. 2		Streep.	1000	Sile	2.0.0	2437	1		No.2	100	
INFO	1	48	35	9	1	1	16	-8	5	32	8	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	
INFO	3 1	112	3	10	1	1	48	35	9	64	3	7	0	0	0	1	1	1	
INFO	3 1	112	3	10	0	1	48	35	9	64	3	7	0	0	0	2	1	1	
INFO	1	12	35	11	1	1	4	-4	3	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	
INFO	1 2	240	35	12	1	1	128	-3	8	112	3	10	0	0	0	0	-1	1	
INFO	2 2	242	-3	13	1	1	240	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	al the and the share the she
INFO	2 2	242	-3	13	0	1	240	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	
INFO	3 2	248	4	14	1	1	240	35	12	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	
INFO	3 2	248	4	14	0	1	240	35	12	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	1	and the state of the
INFO	1 2	252	35	15	1	2	4	-4	3	248	4	14	0	0	0	0	1	1	dente a proper a state and a state
INFO	4 ;	252	35	15	2	2	12	35	11	240	35	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	
INFO	2 2	254	-3	16	1	2	12	35	11	242	-3	13	0	0	0	1	1	1	8 12 15 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
INFO	2 2	254	-3	16	0	2	12	35	11	242	-3	13	0	0	0	2	1	1	
INFO	2 2	254	-3	16	2	2	252	35	15	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	and the state of the state of the state
INFO	2 2	254	-3	16	0	2	252	35	15	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	
INFO	2	48	15	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	
INFOY	Y !	5																	
INFO	NUM	128	of	143	11														
INFO	1	12	35	7	1	1	4	-4	3	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	and a second
INFO	1	48	35	8	1	1	16	-8	5	32	8	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	
INFO	2	28	-8	9	1	1	12	35	7	16	-8	5	0	0	0	1	1	1	The Carlo Contraction of the Carlo
INFO	2	28	-8	9	0	1	12	35	7	16	-8	5	0	0	0	2	1	1	2011年1月 1日日 1日日 1日日日
INFO	3	56	4	10	1	1	48	35	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	
INFO	3	56	4	10	0	1	48	35	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	1	
INFO	1	60	35	11	1	3	4	-4	3	56	4	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	
INFO	4	60	35	11	2	3	12	35	7	48	35	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	
INFO	1	60	35	11	3	3	28	-8	9	32	8	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	a na a a a a a a a a a
INFO	25	62	-3	12	1	1	60	35	11	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	
INFO	25	62	-3	12	0	1	60	35	11	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	
INFO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	and the state of the
INFOY	Y 1	1																	destruction of the second second
INFO	NUM	129	of	143	12														
TNEO	23	12	35	7	1	1	4	-4	3	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

OPP Reduction

	$pp ightarrow tar{t}bar{b}$							
$uar{u} o tar{t}bar{b}$								
	ϵ^{-2}	ϵ^{-1}	ϵ^0					
HELAC-1L	-2.347908989000179E-07	-2.082520105681483E-07	3.909384299635230E-07					
$I(\epsilon)$	-2.347908989000243E-07	-2.082520105665445E-07						
		$gg ightarrow t ar{t} b ar{b}$						
HELAC-1L	-1.435108168334016E-06	-2.085070773763073E-06	3.616343483497464E-06					
$I(\epsilon)$	-1.435108168334035E-06	-2.085070773651439E-06						

	p _×	p_y	p _z	E
u(g)	0	0	250	250
$\bar{u}(g)$	0	0	-250	250
t	12.99421901255723	-9.591511769543683	75.05543670827210	190.1845561691092
Ŧ	53.73271578143694	-0.2854146459513714	17.68101382654795	182.9642163285034
Ь	-41.57664370692741	3.895531135098977	-91.94931862397770	100.9874727883170
Б	-25.15029108706678	5.981395280396083	-0.7871319108423604	25.86375471407044

$pp ightarrow VVbar{b}$ and $pp ightarrow VV+$ 2 jets									
$uar{u} o W^+W^-bar{b}$									
ϵ^{-2} ϵ^{-1} ϵ^{0}									
HELAC-1L	-2.493916939359002E-07	-4.885901774740355E-07	1.592538533368835E-07						
$I(\epsilon)$	-2.493916939359001E-07	-4.885901774752593E-07							
	g	$g ightarrow W^+ W^- b ar{b}$							
HELAC-1L -2.686310592221201E-07 -6.078682316434646E-07 -2.43162444034663									
$I(\epsilon)$	-2.686310592221206E-07	-6.078682340168020E-07							

	p _x	p_y	pz	E
u(g)	0	0	250	250
$\bar{u}(g)$	0	0	-250	250
W^+	22.40377113462118	-16.53704884550758	129.4056091248114	154.8819879118765
W^{-}	92.64238702192333	-0.4920930146078141	30.48443210132545	126.4095336206695
Ь	-71.68369328357026	6.716416578342183	-158.5329205583824	174.1159068988160
Ъ	-43.36246487297426	10.31272528177322	-1.357120667754454	44.59257156863792

pp ightarrow V+ 3 jets								
$uar{d} o W^+ ggg$								
ϵ^{-2} ϵ^{-1} ϵ^{0}								
HELAC-1L	-1.995636628164684E-05	-5.935610843551600E-05	-5.323285370666314E-05					
$I(\epsilon)$	-1.995636628164686E-05	-5.935610843566534E-05						
		$u ar{u} ightarrow Zggg$						
HELAC-1L	-7.148261887172997E-06	-2.142170009323704E-05	-1.906378375774021E-05					
$I(\epsilon)$	-7.148261887172976E-06	-2.142170009540120E-05						

	p_{x}	ρ_y	pz	E
и	0	0	250	250
đ	0	0	-250	250
W^+	23.90724239064912	-17.64681636854432	138.0897548661186	162.5391101447744
g	98.85942812363483	-0.5251163702879512	32.53017998659339	104.0753327455388
g	-76.49423931754684	7.167141557113385	-169.1717405928078	185.8004692730082
g	-46.27243119673712	11.00479118171890	-1.448194259904179	47.58508783667868

$pp ightarrow tar{t}+$ 2 jets								
$uar{u} o tar{t}gg$								
ϵ^{-2} ϵ^{-1} ϵ^{0}								
HELAC-1L	-6.127108113312741E-05	-1.874963444741646E-04	-3.305349683690902E-04					
$I(\epsilon)$	-6.127108113312702E-05	-1.874963445081074E-04						
		$gg ightarrow tar{t}gg$						
HELAC-1L	-3.838786514961561E-04	-9.761168899507888E-04	-5.225385984750410E-04					
$I(\epsilon)$	-3.838786514961539E-04	-9.761168898436521E-04						

	p_{\times}	p _y	pz	E
u(g)	0	0	250	250
$\bar{u}(g)$	0	0	-250	250
t	12.99421901255723	-9.591511769543683	75.05543670827210	190.1845561691092
ī	53.73271578143694	-0.2854146459513714	17.68101382654795	182.9642163285034
g	-41.57664370692741	3.895531135098977	-91.94931862397770	100.9874727883170
g	-25.15029108706678	5.981395280396083	-0.7871319108423604	25.86375471407044

$pp ightarrow bar{b}bar{b}$						
$uar{u} o bar{b}bar{b}$						
	ϵ^{-2}	ϵ^{-1}	ϵ^0			
HELAC-1L	-9.205269484951069E-08	-2.404679886692200E-07	-2.553568662778129E-07			
$I(\epsilon)$	-9.205269484951025E-08	-2.404679886707971E-07				
$gg ightarrow bar{b}bar{b}$						
HELAC-1L	-2.318436429821683E-05	-6.958360737366907E-05	-7.564212339279291E-05			
$I(\epsilon)$	-2.318436429821662E-05	-6.958360737341511E-05				

	p _x	p_y	pz	E
u(g)	0	0	250	250
$\bar{u}(g)$	0	0	-250	250
Ь	24.97040523056789	-18.43157602837212	144.2306511496888	147.5321146846735
Б	103.2557390255471	-0.5484684659584054	33.97680766420219	108.7035966213640
Ь	-79.89596300367462	7.485866671764871	-176.6948628845280	194.0630765341365
Ъ	-48.33018125244035	11.49417782256567	-1.512595929362970	49.70121215982584

$$pp
ightarrow t \overline{t} b \overline{b}$$

• Virtual corrections

- Virtual corrections
- $I(\epsilon)$ operator or integrated dipoles

- Virtual corrections
- $I(\epsilon)$ operator or integrated dipoles
- SF collinear counter-terms

- Virtual corrections
- $I(\epsilon)$ operator or integrated dipoles
- SF collinear counter-terms
- Real dipoles corrections

- Virtual corrections
- $I(\epsilon)$ operator or integrated dipoles
- SF collinear counter-terms
- Real dipoles corrections
- Re-weighting

- Virtual corrections
- $I(\epsilon)$ operator or integrated dipoles
- SF collinear counter-terms
- Real dipoles corrections
- Re-weighting
- MC over colors

$$P(x) = \frac{g(x)}{\int dxg(x)}$$
$$\int dxf(x) = \int dxg(x)\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \int dxP(x)\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\int dxg(x)$$
$$\int dxP(x)\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \langle \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \rangle$$

$$\sum |M_{j_1\dots j_n}^{i_1\dots i_n}|^2$$

$$M_{j_1\dots j_n}^{i_1\dots i_n} = \sum \delta_{\sigma(i_1),j_1}\dots \delta_{\sigma(i_n),j_n} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$$

Choose a color configuration

$$i_1 \dots i_n$$
 and $j_1 \dots j_n$

$$M_{j_1\dots j_n}^{i_1\dots i_n} = (\mathcal{A}_8 + \mathcal{A}_9 + \mathcal{A}_{23} + \mathcal{A}_{24})$$

$$M_{j_1...j_n}^{i_1...i_n} = T_{j_1...j_n}^{i_1...i_n} + L_{j_1...j_n}^{i_1...i_n}$$

$$|M|^{2} = |T|^{2} \left(1 + \frac{T^{*}L + L^{*}T}{|T|^{2}}\right)$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

	Integrated	Exact sum	Montecarlo sum
$gg \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	-0.748951	-0.744077	-0.743839
$gg \to t\bar{t}$	0.247375	0.251082	0.237591
$u\bar{u} \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	-0.463479	-0.465309	-0.458668
$u\bar{u} \to t\bar{t}$	0.0448277	0.0442139	0.0473160

Gauge check







Invariant mass of b b



OPP

OPP

• changes the computational approach at one loop

OPP

- changes the computational approach at one loop
- Numerical but still algebraic: speed and precision not a problem

OPP

- changes the computational approach at one loop
- Numerical but still algebraic: speed and precision not a problem

Current

OPP

- changes the computational approach at one loop
- Numerical but still algebraic: speed and precision not a problem

Current

• Automatize through Dyson-Schwinger equations Full one-loop amplitudes (color, rational)

OPP

- changes the computational approach at one loop
- Numerical but still algebraic: speed and precision not a problem

Current

- Automatize through Dyson-Schwinger equations Full one-loop amplitudes (color, rational)
- Several calculations

OPP

- changes the computational approach at one loop
- Numerical but still algebraic: speed and precision not a problem

Current

- Automatize through Dyson-Schwinger equations Full one-loop amplitudes (color, rational)
- Several calculations

Future

OPP

- changes the computational approach at one loop
- Numerical but still algebraic: speed and precision not a problem

Current

- Automatize through Dyson-Schwinger equations Full one-loop amplitudes (color, rational)
- Several calculations

Future

• Automatize the real contributions (dipoles)

OPP

- changes the computational approach at one loop
- Numerical but still algebraic: speed and precision not a problem

Current

- Automatize through Dyson-Schwinger equations Full one-loop amplitudes (color, rational)
- Several calculations

Future

• Automatize the real contributions (dipoles)

A generic NLO calculator ante portas

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

OPP Reduction

BlackHat

C.F. Berger, Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon, F. Febres Cordero, D. Forde, H. Ita, D.A. Kosower, D. Maitre, arXiv:0803.4180 [hep-ph]

Rocket

W. T. Giele and G. Zanderighi, arXiv:0805.2152 [hep-ph]

CutTools

G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, [arXiv:0711.3596 [hep-ph]].

HELAC-1LOOP

A. van Hameren, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau [arXiv:0903.4665 [hep-ph]].