Status of (N)NLO Calculations

Thomas Gehrmann, Universität Zürich



QCD at High Energy Colliders
QCD: successful theory of strong interactions

QCD is omnipresent in high energy collisions



QCD effects

- initial state: parton distributions
- final state: jets
- hard scattering matrix elements
- higher order corrections
- multiple radiation
- Detailed understanding of QCD mandatory for
 - Interpretation of collider data
 - Precision studies
 - Searches for new physics





I.Jets and event shapes

2. Multiparticle production at NLO

3. Precision observables at NNLO

4.Infrared structure and resummation



Jets and event shapes



Physics at LHC 2010 - DESY

Jets

- final-state signature of quark and gluon production
- defined through a jet algorithm
 - Infrared-safety: jets insensitive to collinear and soft radiation
 - cone algorithms
 - ▶ intuitive picture, numerically fast
 - splitting and merging nearby cones: infrared sensitivity
 - ▶ IR-safe formulation: SISCone (G. Salam, G. Soyez)
 - ▶ recombination algorithms (e.g. Durham k_T)
 - less intuitive, numerically slow
 - infrared safety ensured
 - cone-formed jets obtained by anti-k_T algorithm (M. Cacciari, G. Salam)
 - fast implementation: FastJet (M. Cacciari, G. Salam)



Jets as analysis tool

- Jet catchment area (M. Cacciari, G. Salam)
 - allows study of outside-jet activity (underlying event)

Jet substructure

- aim: reconstruction of boosted massive particles decaying into jets
- all decay products inside one 'fat jet'
- resolve decay products by jet substructure (J. Butterworth, A. Davison, M. Rubin, G. Salam)
- reconstruction of $t\bar{t}H$ final states becomes feasible

(T. Plehn, G. Salam, M. Spannowsky)







Status of (N)NLO Calculations

Physics at LHC 2010 - DESY

Event shapes

characterize geometical properties of hadronic final state

- e.g. thrust: momentum flow along event axis $T = \max_{\vec{n}} \left(\frac{\sum_i |\vec{p_i} \cdot \vec{n}|}{\sum_i |\vec{p_i}|} \right)$
- ideal case: global variables (depend on all hadrons in event)

extensively measured at LEP for precision QCD studies

- measurements of α_s
- resummation effects
- power corrections

event shapes at hadron colliders

(A. Banfi, G. Salam, G. Zanderighi)

- defined usually from transverse momenta
- tools for model-independent searches
- global variables must account for finite coverage²
- extensive classification of different variables





Multiparticle production at NLO



Physics at LHC 2010 - DESY

Multi-particle production

Multi-particle final states (with jets, leptons, photons) very frequent at colliders

- decay signatures of short-lived massive particles (e.g. top quarks)
- are signal and background for new particle searches
- want reliable predictions

Why NLO?

- reduce uncertainty of theory prediction
 - reliable normalization
 - reliable error estimate
- jet algorithm dependence
- effects of extra radiation



NLO calculations

Require two principal ingredients (here: $pp \rightarrow 3j$)

- one-loop matrix elements
 - explicit infrared poles from loop integral
 - known for all $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes
 - known for many $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes
 - current frontier $2 \rightarrow 4$: major challenge
- tree-level matrix elements
 - implicit poles from soft/collinear emission





Combining virtual corrections and real emission

- extract process-independent implicit poles from real emission
 - residue subtraction (S. Frixione, Z. Kunszt, A. Signer)
 - dipole subtraction (S. Catani, S. Dittmaier, M. Seymour, Z. Trocsanyi)
 - antenna subtraction (D. Kosower; J. Campbell, M. Cullen, E.W.N. Glover; A. Daleo, D. Maitre, TG)



NLO: multi-leg one-loop amplitudes

Challenges of one-loop multileg-amplitudes

- complexity: number of diagrams, number of scales
- stability: linear dependence among external momenta
- General structure

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i} d_i \operatorname{Box}_i + \sum_{i} c_i \operatorname{Triangle}_i + \sum_{i} b_i \operatorname{Bubble}_i + \sum_{i} a_i \operatorname{Tadpole}_i + R$$

- Enormous recent progress
 - tensor reduction and form factor decomposition (A. Denner, S. Dittmaier; T. Binoth, J.P. Guillet, G. Heinrich, E. Pilon, C. Schubert)
 - Unitarity and multi-particle cuts to fix coefficients
 (Z. Bern, L. Dixon, D. Dunbar, D.A. Kosower; R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng; P. Mastrolia; D. Forde; S. Badger)
 - reduction at integrand level (G. Ossola, C. Papadopoulos, R. Pittau)
 - numerical D-dimensional unitarity (R.K. Ellis, W. Giele, Z. Kunszt, K. Melnikov)



Automating NLO calculations

Real radiation: based on LO event generators

dipole subtraction

- SHERPA (T. Gleisberg, F. Krauss)
- MadDipole (R. Frederix, N. Greiner, TG)
- TeVJet (M. Seymour, C. Tevlin)
- AutoDipole (K. Hasegawa, S. Moch, P. Uwer)
- Helac/Phegas (M. Czakon, C.G. Papadopoulos, M. Worek)

residue subtraction

MadFKS (R. Frederix, S. Frixione, F. Maltoni, T. Stelzer)

extensive libraries in existing NLO packages

- MCFM (J. Campbell, R.K. Ellis)
- NLOJET++ (Z. Nagy, Z. Trocsanyi)



Automating NLO calculations

Virtual corrections: implementations

semi-numerical form factor decomposition: GOLEM (T. Binoth, J.P. Guillet, G. Heinrich, E. Pilon, T. Reiter)

unitarity and multi-particle cuts: BlackHat

(C.F. Berger, Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon, F. Febres Cordero, D. Forde, H. Ita, D.A. Kosower, D. Maitre)

- reduction at integrand level: CutTools (G. Ossola, C. Papadopoulos, R. Pittau)
- generalized D-dimensional unitarity: Rocket (W. Giele, G. Zanderighi)
- generalized D-dimensional unitarity: Samurai (P. Mastrolia, G. Ossola, T. Reiter, F. Tranmontano)
- several more packages in progress
 (A. Lazopoulos; W. Giele, Z. Kunszt, J. Winter; K. Melnikov, M. Schulze)



NLO: multi-leg processes

▶ recent results for $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes

- ▶ $pp \rightarrow VV + j$ (S. Dittmaier, S. Kallweit, P. Uwer; J. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, G. Zanderighi; T. Binoth, T. Gleisberg, S. Karg, N. Kauer, G. Sanguinetti)
- $pp \rightarrow H + 2j$ (J. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, G. Zanderighi; M. Ciccolini, A. Denner, S. Dittmaier; S. Badger, E.W.N. Glover, P. Mastrolia, C. Williams)
- ▶ $pp \rightarrow VVV$ (A. Lazopoulos, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello; G. Bozzi, F. Campanario, V. Hankele, D. Zeppenfeld; T. Binoth, G. Ossola, C. Papadopoulos, R. Pittau)

vector boson fusion processes

▶ VBFNLO (D. Zeppenfeld et al.)





1.6 $\mu_{\rm R}^2 = m_{\rm t} \sqrt{p_{\rm T,b} p_{\rm T,\bar{b}}} \xi^2$ 2500 using CutTools/Helac 1.4 $\mu_{\rm F}^2 = m_{\rm t} \sqrt{p_{\rm T,b} p_{\rm T,\bar{b}}} \xi^2$ 2000 1.2 $p_{\mathrm{T,b\bar{b}}} > 200 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ (G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, C. Papadopoulos, M. Worek) 1500 1 NLO corrections affect shape 0.81000 0.65000.4 $p_{\mathrm{T,b}\bar{\mathrm{b}}} > 200 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ background to Higgs studies 0.2 $0.125 \ 0.25 \ 0.5$ 2 $0 \quad 50 \quad 100 \quad 150 \quad 200 \quad 250 \quad 300 \quad 350 \quad 400$ $m_{\mathrm{b}\bar{\mathrm{b}}} \,[\mathrm{GeV}]$ $pp \rightarrow t\overline{t} + 2j$ 10¹ 10¹ 1st 2nd [pb/GeV] using CutTools/Helac 10⁰ (G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, C. Papadopoulos, M. Worek) $d\sigma/dp_{T,j}$ in progress: $pp \rightarrow bbbb$ 10-2 10-2 (T. Binoth, N. Greiner, A. Guffanti, J.P. Guillet, T. Reiter, J. Reuter) 200 300 100 200 300 400 100 0 400 $p_{T,i}$ [GeV] p_{T.i} [GeV]

 $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b} + X$

LO NLO

 σ [fb]

3500

3000



NLO multileg: $t\overline{t} + b\overline{b}$ and $t\overline{t} + 2j$

 $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}bb$: 2 \rightarrow 4 including masses

using numerical tensor reduction

(A. Bredenstein, A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, S. Pozzorini)

 $\frac{d\sigma_{\rm NLO}}{d\sigma_{\rm LO}}$

1.8

 $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b} + X$

NLO multileg: $W^{\pm} + 3j$, $Z^{0} + 3j$

Calculations of W[±] + 3j

- Blackhat + Sherpa (C.F. Berger, Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon,
 F. Febres Cordero, D. Forde, T. Gleisberg, H. Ita, D.A. Kosower, D. Maitre)
- Rocket (R.K. Ellis, K. Melnikov, G. Zanderighi)

excellent description of Tevatron data

- moderate corrections
- precise prediction
- rich phenomenology
 - scale setting
 - final state correlations between lepton and jets
- Calculation of Z⁰ + 3j
 - Blackhat + Sherpa





NLO multileg: $W^{\pm} + 3j$

- Scale choice in multileg processes (Blackhat+Sherpa)
 - $\mu = E_{TW}$ is inappropriate: poor perturbative convergence
 - $\mu = H_T$ accounts for full hard final state dynamics



Allows optimization of LO studies
local choice at each vertex
important for background studies
e.g. pp → W(→TV) + 3j for SUSY (K. Melnikov, G. Zanderighi)



NLO multileg: $e^+e^- \rightarrow 5$ jets

- one-loop amplitudes are crossing of hadron-collider V+3j
 - computed using Rocket package
- real radiation from MadGraph
 - subtraction using MadFKS
- improved scale dependence
- better agreement with data
- possibly new extraction of α_s



R. Frederix, S. Frixione, F. Maltoni, K. Melnikov, H. Stenzel, G. Zanderighi



Precision observables at NNLO



Physics at LHC 2010 - DESY

Precision observables

Processes measured to few per cent accuracy

▶ $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3$ jets

- > 2+1 jet production in deep inelastic scattering
- jet production at hadron colliders
- vector boson production at hadron colliders
- vector boson plus jet production at hadron colliders
- top quark pair production at hadron colliders

Processes with potentially large perturbative corrections

- Higgs production at hadron colliders
- vector boson pairs at hadron colliders

Require NNLO corrections for

- meaningful interpretation of experimental data
- precise determination of fundamental parameters



NNLO calculations

Require three principal ingredients (here: $pp \rightarrow 2j$)

- two-loop matrix elements
 - explicit infrared poles from loop integral
 - known for all massless $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes
- one-loop matrix elements
 - explicit infrared poles from loop integral
 - and implicit poles from soft/collinear emission
 - usually known from NLO calculations
- tree-level matrix elements
 - implicit poles from two partons unresolved

need method to extract implicit poles

known from LO calculations





NNLO calculations: real radiation

Technical challenge: real radiation for arbitrary final state cuts

- two unresolved partons at tree level, one parton at one loop
- infrared limits are process-independent

Solutions

- sector decomposition: expansion in distributions, numerical integration (T. Binoth, G. Heinrich; C. Anastasiou, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello; M. Czakon)
- subtraction: approximation in all unresolved limits, analytical integration
 - several well-established methods at NLO
 - NNLO for specific hadron collider processes: qT subtraction (S. Catani, M. Grazzini)
 - ▶ NNLO for e⁺e⁻ processes : antenna subtraction (A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, E.W.N. Glover, TG)





Higgs boson production at NNLO

Dominant production process: gluon fusion



- exclusive calculations to NNLO, including H decay to γγ or VV
 - using sector decomposition (C.Anastasiou, K. Melnikov, F. Petriello)
 - **using qT-subtraction** (S. Catani, M. Grazzini)
- Higgs at Tevatron: $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow Iv Iv$
 - all distributions to NNLO
 (C. Anastasiou, G. Dissertori, M. Grazzini, F. Stöckli, B. Webber)
 - cuts on jet activity
 - neural-network output to NNLO



precise prediction requires mixed QCD/EW corrections (C.Anastasiou, R. Boughezal, F. Petriello)



Higgs boson production

Gluon fusion cross section: sensitive to new physics effects



Vector boson production at NNLO

- Inclusive NNLO coefficient functions known for long time
- Recent results: fully exclusive calculations
 - parton-level event generator
 - using sector decomposition (K. Melnikov, F. Pertriello)
 - **using qT subtraction** (S. Catani, L. Cieri, G. Ferrera, D. de Florian, M. Grazzini)
 - including vector boson decay
 - allowing arbitrary final-state cuts

Application: lepton charge asymmetry (S. Catani, G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini)

 $p\overline{p} \rightarrow W + X \rightarrow l \nu + X$

- small NNLO corrections
- determine quark distributions ...



MSTW2008

MSTW2008

√s=1.96 TeV

 $p\overline{p} \rightarrow W + X \rightarrow l \nu + X$



Jet production at NNLO: e⁺e⁻ collisions

Two calculations of NNLO corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3$ jets

- **Using antenna subtraction** (A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, E.W.N. Glover, G. Heinrich, TG; S. Weinzierl)
- ▶ as parton-level event generator
- allow evaluation of event shape distributions/moments and jet rates
- NNLO corrections differ substantially between observables
 - e.g. moments of thrust/heavy jet mass
 - improved consistency among shapes
- NNLO scale uncertainty
 - few per cent for most shape variables
 - one per cent for three-jet rate
- use to extract α_s from LEP data





Jet production at NNLO: e⁺e⁻ collisions

• Event shapes: matching NNLO onto resummation in 2-jet limit

- NNLO+NLLA for all shapes (G. Luisoni, H. Stenzel, TG)
- NNLO+N³LLA for thrust and heavy jet mass (T. Becher, M. Schwartz; Y.T. Chien)

hadronization corrections

- from LL parton-shower event generators
- From renormalon-based dispersive model (Y. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini, B. Webber)
- extend dispersive model to NNLO (M. Jaquier, G. Luisoni, TG)
- power corrections differ substantially





α_s (M_Z) from NNLO jet observables

- event shapes at NNLO+NLLA
 - JADE (S. Bethke, S. Kluth, C. Pahl, J. Schieck)
 - ▶ 0.1172 ± 0.0006 (st) ± 0.0040 (sy) ± 0.0030 (th)
 - ALEPH (G. Dissertori, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, E.W.N. Glover, G. Heinrich, G. Luisoni, H. Stenzel, TG)
 - 0.1224 ± 0.0009 ± 0.0015 ± 0.0035
- thrust at NNLO+N³LLA (T. Becher, M. Schwartz)

0.1172 ± 0.0010 ± 0.0014 ± 0.0012

- thrust: NNLO+dispersive model (R. Davison, B. Webber)
 0.1164 ± 0.0027
- moments: NNLO+dispersive model
 - JADE/OPAL (M. Jaquier, G. Luisoni, TG)
 - 0.1153 ± 0.0017 (exp) ± 0.0023 (th)
- three-jet rate at NNLO
 - ALEPH (G. Dissertori et al.)
 - 0.1175 ± 0.0020 (exp) ± 0.0015 (th)



Status of (N)NLO Calculations



NNLO jet cross sections at hadron colliders

two-loop matrix elements known for:

- two-jet production
 - (C.Anastasiou, E.W.N. Glover, C. Oleari, M.E. Tejeda-Yeomans; Z. Bern, A. De Freitas, L.J. Dixon)
- vector-boson-plus-jet production (E. Remiddi, TG)
- (2+1) jet production in DIS (E.W.N. Glover, TG)

antenna subtraction formalism at NNLO: radiators in initial state

- final-final antennae known
- initial-final antennae derived recently: sufficient for (2+1) jets in DIS (A. Daleo, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, G. Luisoni, TG)
- initial-initial in progress (N. Glover, J. Pires; R. Boughezal, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, M. Ritzmann)



final-final









Status of (N)NLO Calculations

Physics at LHC 2010 - DESY

Towards top quark pairs at NNLO

• two-loop matrix elements: $q \bar{q}
ightarrow t \bar{t}$ and $g g
ightarrow t \bar{t}$

- known in large-energy limit (M. Czakon, A. Mitov, S. Moch)
- quark-initiated process: known numerically (M. Czakon)
- quark-initiated process: fermionic contributions and leading-colour terms confirmed analytically (R. Bonciani, A. Ferroglia, D. Maitre, C. Studerus, TG)
- one-loop self-interference known (J. Körner, Z. Merebashvili, M. Rogal; C. Anastasiou, M. Aybat)
- one-loop and tree-level matrix elements known from NLO corrections to $pp \to t \bar{t} + j$ (S. Dittmaier, P. Uwer, S. Weinzierl)
- requires: method to handle NNLO real radiation
 - including hadronic initial states and massive particles
 - using sector decomposition (M. Czakon)
 - developments towards massive antenna subtraction (A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, M. Ritzmann)



Infrared Structure and Resummation



Physics at LHC 2010 - DESY

Resummation of large logarithms

• QCD perturbative expansion in α_s

- reliable, if process is defined by single hard scale
- problematic, if several largely different scales contribute
 - encounter large logarithms at each perturbative order (e.g. $\log^{n} (p_T/M_W)$)
- problematic, if specific restrictions apply to final state
 - phase space for soft radiation is limited (e.g. logⁿ (1-x) in DIS, Drell-Yan)
- problematic if final state multiplicity is unrestricted
 - ▶ large corrections in high energy limit (e.g. logⁿ x in DIS: BFKL)
- need for rearranging perturbative series
 - resummation of large logarithmic corrections to all orders
- leading logarithmic resummation
 - parton shower based event generators (HERWIG, PYTHIA, SHERPA,...)



Resummation and fixed order

Combining NLO and parton shower generators

- MC@NLO approach (B.Webber, S. Frixione)
 - W[±]t production, including interference effects (C.White, S. Frixione, E. Laenen, F. Maltoni)
 - ▶ H[±]t production

(C. Weydert, S. Frixione, M. Herquet, M. Klasen, E. Laenen, T. Plehn, G. Stavenga, C. White)

- POWHEG approach (S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari)
 - single top production (S.Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re)
 - Higgs in vector boson fusion (P. Nason, C. Oleari)
 - general framework: POWHEG box







Understanding infrared structure

Infrared poles in loop amplitudes ↔ logarithms in real emission

- predict IR poles at two loop from resummation (S.Catani; G. Sterman, M. Tejeda-Yeomans)
- Predict large-x resummation coefficients for DIS, DY, Higgs production from IR poles of three-loop from factors (S. Moch, J. Vermaseren, A. Vogt)
- ▶ IR poles in QCD ↔ UV poles in soft-collinear effective theory (SCET)
 - ▶ pole structure from multiplicative renormalization in SCET (T. Becher, M. Neubert)

$$|\mathcal{M}_n(\{\underline{p}\},\mu)\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \, \mathbf{Z}^{-1}(\epsilon,\{\underline{p}\},\mu) \, |\mathcal{M}_n(\epsilon,\{\underline{p}\})\rangle$$

all-order conjecture: IR poles of massless multi-loop multi-leg determined by cusp anomalous dimension and collinear anomalous dimensions

(T. Becher, M. Neubert; L. Dixon, E. Gardi, L. Magnea)

$$\boldsymbol{Z}(\epsilon, \{\underline{p}\}, \mu) = \mathbf{P} \exp\left[\int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu'}{\mu'} \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\{\underline{p}\}, \mu')\right], \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\{\underline{p}\}, \mu) = \sum_{(i,j)} \frac{\boldsymbol{T}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_j}{2} \, \gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \, \ln \frac{\mu^2}{-s_{ij}} + \sum_i \, \gamma^i(\alpha_s) \, \mathrm{d}\mu' \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\{\underline{p}\}, \mu') = \sum_{i,j} \, \frac{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_j}{2} \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\{\underline{p}\}, \mu') = \sum_i \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\{\underline{p}\}, \mu') = \sum_{i,j} \, \boldsymbol{\Gamma$$



Resummation in SCET

General structure of resummation (J. Collins, G. Sterman, D. Soper)

- σ = (hard) × (soft) × (jet functions final state collinear) × (parton distributions)
- only hard coefficient is process dependent
- SCET identifies each element with an operator or a non-local function (A. Idilbi, X. Ji, F.Yuan; T. Becher, M. Neubert, B. Pecjak)
 - each element has natural scale (hard, collinear, soft)
 - compute anomalous dimensions
 - resum large logarithms by solving evolution equations
- applications, matched onto fixed order
 - thrust in e⁺e⁻ (T. Becher, M. Schwartz)
 - inclusive Drell-Yan and Higgs production (A. Idilbi, X. Ji, F.Yuan; V.Ahrens, T. Becher, M. Neubert, L.L.Yang)
 - ▶ inclusive photons (T. Becher, M. Schwartz)
- open issues
 - ▶ jet production, radiation off incoming partons





Physics at LHC 2010 - DESY

Top quark pair production: resummation

- Soft-gluon resummation: previously NLO+NLL
- infrared structure more involved with massive quarks
 - Multi-particle correlations appear (A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, B. Pecjak, L.L. Yang)
 - can predict IR poles to two-loop order (M. Beneke, M. Czakon, P. Falgari, A. Mitov)

recent progress: NLO+NNLL

- **dominant contributions derived previously** (S. Moch, P. Uwer; N. Kidonakis)
- full corrections obtained from massive soft anomalous dimensions (M. Czakon, A. Mitov, G. Sterman)
- and using SCET (V.Ahrens, A. Ferroglia, M. Neubert, B. Pecjak, L.L. Yang)





Conclusions

Precision QCD crucial for successful LHC physics

- masses, couplings and parton distributions
- jets and event shapes as observables and tools
- understanding signals and backgrounds

Theory is getting ready for future challenges

- improved jet algorithms and event shape definitions
- enormous progress on NLO multi-leg calculations
- first NNLO calculations for precision observables
- turn into NNLO parton distributions
- understanding of infrared structure enables resummation

