3<sup>rd</sup> Helmholtz Alliance Workshop – Flavour WG

November 12<sup>th</sup>, 2009

# D<sup>0</sup> – D<sup>0</sup> Mixing Measurement at LHCb

Jörg Marks, Ulrich Uwer, Peter Weidenkaff

Physikalisches Institut University of Heidelberg





### Outline

#### Introduction

- Charm mixing and analysis strategies
- Trigger and data selection
- Toy simulation studies on the fit of mixing parameters

#### Summary



### Introduction

> Recent history of  $D^0$  mixing meaurements

Beginning of 2007 the Belle and *BABAR* Collaborations presented evidence for  $D^0 - \overline{D}^0$  Mixing, CDF II presented evidence end of 2007

A combination of all mixing measurements provides a ~10  $\sigma$  effect

- Neutral meson mixing has been already observed in the K (1956), B (1987) and B (2006) systems
- > Why is  $D^0$  mixing interesting ?
  - Processes with down type quarks are involved in the mixing loop
  - Within the Standard Model mixing and CP violation in the charm sector are expected to be small
  - Depending on the measured values of the parameters it could indicate new physics

 $\blacktriangleright$  Discuss prospects to measure  $D^0$  mixing in the decay  $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$  at LHCb



#### /larks

#### 4

 $\Gamma = \frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}{2}$ 

# Mixing Formalism

Neutral D<sup>0</sup> mesons are created as flavor eigenstates of the strong interaction. They can mix through weak interactions.

The time evolution is obtained by

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} D^0(t)\\ \bar{D}^0(t) \end{pmatrix} = (M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma) \begin{pmatrix} D^0(t)\\ \bar{D}^0(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\succ$  The physical eigenstates are D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>:

 $|D_{1,2}\rangle = p|D^0\rangle \mp q|\bar{D}^0\rangle$  $D_1$ : CP even  $D_2$ : CP odd  $|D_{1,2}(t)\rangle = e^{-i(M_{1,2} - i\Gamma_{1,2}/2)t} |D_{1,2}(t=0)\rangle$ 

 $\succ$  Define mass and lifetime differences of D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>:

$$x = \frac{\Delta M}{\Gamma} = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{\Gamma} \qquad y = \frac{\Delta \Gamma}{2\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2}{2\Gamma}$$

$$|D^{0}\rangle \frac{\bar{u}}{c} \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} |\bar{D}^{0}\rangle$$

# Mixing in $D^0 \to K\pi$ - Flavor Tagging

- Flavor tagging at production time
  - Standard technique in charm physics: use

$$Q = m(D^0\pi^+) - m(D^0) - m(\pi^+) \approx 6MeV$$

 $D^{*+}\left\{\begin{array}{c}c\\\bar{d}\end{array}\right\} D^{0}$ 

- ♦ Narrow peak in  $\Delta m = m(D^0\pi^+) m(D^0)$  due to a small Q
- \* The charge of the low energy  $\pi$  determines the flavor of the D<sup>0</sup>

#### Flavor at decay time

► Use final state particle properties to tag the D<sup>0</sup> flavor at the decay time  $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ :



Wrong Sign Decay (WS) D<sup>0</sup> Mixing

Right Sign Decay (RS) no D<sup>0</sup> Mixing



### Decays Classes $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$

The flavor tagging at decay time does not uniquely identify Mixing in hadronic D<sup>0</sup> decays

```
Cabibbo favored (CF)
decay
         R \approx 1
```







11

Interference between DCS and mixed CF decay



# Time Evolution in $D^0 \to K\pi$ Decays



 $\delta_{K\pi}$  is the strong phase between CF and DCS amplitudes (  $D^0 o K\pi$  )



### Analysis Strategy

**BABAR** approach

- Determine signal and background PDF's by unbinned max. likelihood fit
- Unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the wrong sign D<sup>0</sup> decay time distribution **BABAR** (384 fb<sup>-1</sup>) PRL 98, 211802 (2007)

Use the fit results of RS decay time and the resolution function

#### signal / RS 9 900'0 800'0 8 mixing fit no mixing fit **W**S $\frac{\Gamma_{WS}(t)}{e^{-\Gamma t}} = R_D + y'\sqrt{R_D}\Gamma t + \frac{x'^2 + y'^2}{4}(\Gamma t)^2$ no mixing fit 0.004 mixing fit 2 6 8 10 O $t[ au_{D^0}]$

#### CDF approach

1.5 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Measure the Number of WS and RS

D<sup>0</sup> decays in bins of the decay time  $N_{RS}^{tot} = (3.044 \pm 0.0023) \cdot 10^6$  $N_{WS}^{tot} = (12.7 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^3$ 

 $\succ$  Fit the  $N_{WS}^{tot}/N_{RS}^{tot}$  vs the D<sup>0</sup>decay time arXiv: 0712.1567 (2007) signal 0.01 CDF II

### MC Data Sample

#### Data samples

- $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ (D^0 \rightarrow \{K^+\pi^-, K^-\pi^+\}) + cc$ 1·107 signal events  $\equiv \int \mathcal{L} \approx 0.33 \, pb^{-1}$
- 3.6.10<sup>6</sup> L0 triggered min. bias events

#### Trigger mainly via hadron lines



- Selection  $D^0 \to K\pi$  via  $D^* \to \pi D^0 \to \pi K\pi$ 
  - Perform a preselection based on  $m, p, p_t$  of the  $D^*, D^0$  and the daughter hadrons.
  - Final selection is based on

 $\star$  particle id of  $K,\pi$ 

- x track and vertex fit quality
- ${\it x}$  track distance and significance of  $\pi_s$  to the primary vertex and of  $K,\pi\,$  to the  $D^0\,$  vertex
- ×  $D^0$  flight length significance
- *x* pointing to primary vertex



### **Data Selection Results**

	signal		minbias
	RS	WS	RS
signal	8325(8617)	48(52)	9(9)
background	483(650)	25(199)	2(2)
secondary D*	318(327)	1(1)	1(1)
ghosts	131(168)	3(19)	0(0)
double mis-id	0(0)	5(15)	0(0)
random SlowPi	16(127)	12(151)	1(1)
D <sup>o</sup> combinatoric	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)



Secondary  $D^*$  background: 3.5% produces  $D^0$  which seem to have large decay length

- Selection efficiency on HLT1 triggered events:  $\epsilon_{sel} = 0.10$
- Expected RS (WS) events  $\int \mathcal{L} \approx 50 \, pb^{-1} \equiv 1.3 \cdot 10^6 \quad (4.5 \cdot 10^3)$

### WS and RS Data



- background contributions
  - random slow  $\pi$
  - mis id  $\pi$  or K
  - combinatoric

Jörg Marks

#### $\succ$ Extract $N_{RS}$ and $N_{WS}$

- Split data in  $\Delta t_j(D^0)$
- Obtain for each bin  $\Delta m_i$  the number of signal events  $N_i(\Delta m_i)$  in a fit to the  $D^0$  mass distribution

• 
$$N_{RS/WS}(\Delta t_j) = \sum N_i(\Delta m_i)$$





# $D^0$ Lifetime and Mass



#### D<sup>0</sup> lifetime after selection



#### $\succ$ D<sup>0</sup> mass after selection



### **Toy MC Simulation**

Develop Toy Monte Carlo Simulations to understand mixing parameter extraction, fitting strategies and statistical significance of the results.

Determine D<sup>0</sup> decay time and time resolution in RS events using an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the lifetime measurement.





### Toy Simulations – RS Decays

#### $\succ$ Cut in the $D^0$ lifetime distributions



 $t_{cut} = 0.5 \cdot \tau_{D^0}$ 

Applying  $t_{cut}$  the fit does not allow to determine  $t_0, \sigma$ Still measure  $D^0$  decay time

Pull Distribution: 1000 toy simulations, 10<sup>6</sup> events:





### **Mixing Parameter Determination**

► Measure the number of WS and RS  $D^0 \to K\pi$  decays in bins of of the decay time t and determine  $R(t) = N_{WS}/N_{RS}(t)$ 



Simulate decay time distributions of of WS and RS decays

 $\sigma_t = 0.040 \ ps$   $\tau_{D^0} = 0.41 \ ps$  $x = 0.01 \ y = 0.01 \ R_D = 0.003$ 

- > Obtain  $N_{RS}$  and  $N_{WS}$  for a given binning in the decay time
- > Fit  $R = N_{WS}/N_{RS}$  as function of the average decay time in each bin

$$\rightarrow \sqrt{R_D}, y', x'^2$$



### Fit Results - Decay Time Acceptance

Systematic errors due to cuts in the decay time distribution

Generate 1000 toy sets / point (10<sup>6</sup> events each) for different cuts in decay time



### Fit Results - Decay Time Acceptance

#### Decay time acceptance from the trigger

Using triggered prompt  $D^{*\pm}$  decays the HLT1 acceptance is derived and included in the toy simulation. Decay time resolution:  $\sigma_t = 0.040 \ ps$ 

- Errors of the mixing parameters are similar to the no cut case
- Mean and width of the pull distributions of the mixing parameters show that the fit is unbiased

Pull Distributions: 500 toy simulations, 10<sup>6</sup> events











### Fit Results - Background Dependence

#### $\blacktriangleright$ Systematic errors due to $B \rightarrow D^*$

 $D^{*\pm}$  from *B* decays are background to the WS and RS decay time distributions. Simulate exponential decay time distr. from *B* decays.

- Errors and width of the pull distr. of the mixing parameters are unchanged
- Systematic changes of the mixing parameters on the 3 sigma level







### **Prospects at LHCb**









### Summary

- ➤ 50 pb<sup>-1</sup> of LHCb data yields the amount of  $D^0 \to K\pi$  events used in the BABAR  $D^0$  mixing analysis
- > We select  $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$  events in HLT1 triggered data with 10 % selection efficiency
- > Using toy MC we test a scheme to extract decay time and mixing parameters in fits to RS and WS  $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$  decay time distributions



# **Back up Slides**

### **Time Evolution and Mixing**

Mixing will occur if either x or y is non – zero. The time evolution of the probability to find a  $D^0(\overline{D}^0)$  after a time t is:

$$\begin{split} &I(D^{0} \to D^{0};t) \,:\, |\langle D^{0} | D^{0}(t) \rangle|^{2} = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} [\cosh(y\Gamma t) + \cos(x\Gamma t)] \\ &I(D^{0} \to \bar{D}^{0};t) \,:\, |\langle \bar{D}^{0} | D^{0}(t) \rangle|^{2} = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} [\cosh(y\Gamma t) - \cos(x\Gamma t)] |\frac{p}{q}|^{2} \end{split}$$





 $3^{rd}$  Helmholtz Alliance Workshop:  $D^0 - \overline{D}^0$  Mixing at LHCb

### **Charm Mixing Processes**

- The box diagram contributions to charm mixing in the Standard Model are expected to be very small

  - ♦ Lowest order short distance calculation:  $x_{box} \cong O(10^{-5})$   $y_{box} \cong O(10^{-7})$  ♦ x and y enhancement due to higher orders in OPE:  $x \sim y \cong O(10^{-3})$
- Long distance contributions dominate Numerical predictions lack in precision  $D^0 - \bar{D}^0 - \bar{D}^0 - x \approx O(10^{-2})$  $y \approx O(10^{-2})$

#### New Physics

- E.Golowich et al.: arXiv:0705.3650
   Which new physics model can yield sizeable values for x and y
- CP violation in charm is small in SM Measurement of CPV: New Physics



### **Selection Details**

 $PreSelection \ M(D^0) = M_{PDG} \pm 80 \, MeV \ M(D^*) = M_{PDG} \pm 80 \, MeV \ \Delta M < 20.5 \, MeV \ \Delta M < 20.5 \, MeV \ p(D^0) > 10 \, GeV \ p_t(D^0) > 2 \, GeV \ p_t(D^*) > 2 \, GeV \ p_t(D^*) > 5 \, GeV \ p_t(h) > 500 \, MeV$ 

 $\Delta M = M(D^0\pi) - M(D^*)$ 

#### Signal Region

 $egin{aligned} M(D^0) &= M_{PDG} \pm 25 \ MeV \ 4 \ MeV < \ \Delta M \ < 7.5 \ MeV \ IP(D^0) < 0.05 \ mm \end{aligned}$ 

#### $D^0\&hh$

 $\begin{array}{l} DLL(\pi) < 0 \ DLL(k) > 8 \\ \chi^2/Ndof(track \ hh) < 2 \\ doca < 0.08 \\ cos(\xi) < -0.92 \\ p_t(D^0) > 5 \ GeV \\ p(D^0) > 40 \ GeV \\ dira > 0.99997 \\ f \ DistanceSig > 4 \\ \chi^2/Ndof(D^0vtx) < 5 \end{array}$ 

#### $D^* \& \pi_{slow}$

 $DLL(\pi_s) < -2.5$   $IP(\pi_s) < 0.15 mm$   $p_t(\pi_s) > 350 MeV$   $\chi^2/nDoF(track \pi_s) < 2$   $IP(D^*) < 0.035 mm$  $\chi^2/Ndof(D^*vtx) < 5$ 

