# Report from the Analysis Center Monte Carlo Group

Albert Knutsson

Co-conveners and contact persons: H. Jung, J. Katzy, Z. Nagy, S. Levonian

#### Outline

- The MC Group
- Activities and projects
  - PDFs and unintegrated PDFs for Monte Carlo
  - HEPMCAnalyser
  - > OOPDFs
  - Parton showers
- Summary and Future Plans







#### The Monte Carlo group is an international meeting place for theorist and experimentalists, a link between experiments, and a training center for students and post-docs.

Theorists and experimentalists work together for an improved understanding of the Standard Model, especially QCD.

• Mission  $\rightarrow$  An improved understanding of (QCD) LHC events:

*Event* = *PDF* × (*hard part* × *shower* + *MPI/UE* × *shower*) × *hadronisation* 

- Parton showers: Theoretical improvements and tuning to data of existing models.
- Parton density functions. Standard and unintegrated PDFs, and their use together with MC generators.
- The understanding of the UE. Tuning of MPI models.
- Understanding of the hard process in higher order calculations.
- Education:
  - Yearly MC school, and typically 1-2 QCD/MC block courses with exercises/year
  - Diploma, PhD, Post Docs.
  - This (last) year we had 3 (2) summer students connected to the MC group.







- **CASCADE**. MC generator based on kt-factorization and unintegrated PDFs. Used as framework for developments of parton showers and PDFs.
- **PDF4MC**. An attempt to refine the PDFs and unintegrated PDF for MC event generators.
- Fitting tool for faster and high statistical fits (PROFFIT).
- PDF framework **OOPDF** (complementary to LHAPDF)
- **Tuning efforts** within ATLAS (and CMS), and across the experiments (PROFFIT tool). (ATLAS tuning: see separate talk by M. Warsinsky)
- Validation efforts and tools **HEPMCAnalyser**, application to GENSER validation.
- Improving existing tools and frameworks, e.g. HZTOOL in C++







The standard PDFs have so far all been fitted in a semi-analytic approach.

- PDFs @ starting  $Q_0^2: f(x, Q_0^2) \xrightarrow{\text{DGLAP}} \text{PDFs}$  @ measured  $Q^2: f(x, Q^2)$
- calculate e.g. x-section @ Q<sup>2</sup> using these PDFs

$$\frac{d^2\sigma(x,Q^2)}{dQ^2dx} \sim ME \bigotimes PDF \bigotimes D_{frag}$$

• fit these theoretical predictions to data by changing parameters of starting PDFs  $f(x,Q_0^2) = A_0 \, x^{A_1} (1-x)^{A_2} (1+A_3 \, x^{A_4})$ 

 $A_i$  are parameters to be determined by fits.







However, a full MC event includes part not considered in the standard PDF fits.

Event = PDF × (hard part × shower + MPI/UE × shower) × hadronisation



Need to refine PDF to work better together with the MC.

 $\rightarrow$  Fit the the PDF using MC event generator.







F. Himmelstjerna

- CTEQ6L refitted with PYTHIA to F<sub>2</sub> measurements from HERA
- Refitted PDFs for different MC settings:

Black line – no parton showers, Red line – initial and final state PS









 Standard PDFs: k<sub>t</sub>-"integrated". Evolved with e.g. DGLAP. Final state partons ordered in k<sub>t</sub>. For example PYTHIA MC generator. Typically CTEQ, MRST or GRV PDFs. (As on previous slides.)





- Standard PDFs: k<sub>t</sub>-"integrated". Evolved with e.g. DGLAP. Final state partons ordered in k<sub>t</sub>. For example PYTHIA MC generator. Typically CTEQ, MRST or GRV PDFs.
- Unintegrated PDFs: k<sub>t</sub>-dependent. uPDF starting distribution (example):

$$xA_0(x, k_T, \bar{q}_0) = N \cdot x^{-B} \cdot (1-x)^C \cdot exp(-\frac{(k_T - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

- Defined at some starting scale and evolved to higher scales by emissions of gluons according to the *CCFM* evolution scheme.
- Angular ordering of emitted gluon (Color coherence). No explicit k<sub>t</sub>-ordering.



• CCFM and uPDFs are fully implemented in the general purpose LHC MC generator CASCADE (H. Jung, Comput.Phys.Commun.143:100-111,2002).

### More complicated calculations make event generation time consuming. Need exclusive final states to be sensitive to k<sub>t</sub>. → Fitting of uPDFs more time consuming







Former fitting method: Based on running the generator in an iterative procedure in parameter space.
→ Time consuming for exclusive final states.
A high statistics MC run can take more than 24h, and ~100 iterations are needed.

New Approach: Describe parameter dependence before parameter fitting, by building up a *grid in parameter space*. The MC grid points can be calculated simultaneously. The fitting itself then takes a few seconds.







Simplest possible example 1 parameter, 1 data cross-section <u>1. Build the MC grid</u>









### Simplest possible example 1 parameter, 1 data cross-section

# 2. Approximate MC with polynomial









Simplest possible example

1 parameter, 1 data cross-section

3. Minimize Chi2 with a fit to data



### Similar approach used in the program PROFESSOR by the MCNet people. Future collaboration in the pipe-line.

Use this method to for multidimensional fits of the parameters in the uPDFs.





• Determine the parameters in the uPDF starting distribution. Fit using PROFFIT and CASCADE with full evolution, PS and hadronisation.

$$xA_0(x,k_T) = N \cdot x^{-B} \cdot (1-x)^C \cdot exp(-\frac{(k_T - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

•Fitting the MC to di-jet data suggests a gluon PDF which is suppressed at low  ${\bf k_t}$  (shifted Gaussian,  $\mu=3$  )



A. Bacchetta (External collaborator/Guest Researcher) H. Jung, A. Knutsson, A. Kutak

Research still ongoing....







• Saturation effects mimicked?

The suppression at low k<sub>t</sub> is also seen when using saturated PDFs.

Saturation of parton density due to recombination of partons.



Jung, Kutak. arXiv 0812.4082 (Also work within the MC group.)

# Implementation of valence quarks in CASCADE



•So far only unintegrated gluons (i.e. indirectly also sea quarks) in CASCADE.

•Valence quarks expected to be relevant for LHC. For example high Pt production:



Two scale process. With relevant physics for both  $x \rightarrow 0$  and  $x \rightarrow 1$ . High sensitivity to parton dynamics.

Quarks successfully implemented. The k<sub>t</sub> dependent quark PDF is taken from derivated CTEQ5.1.

*Deak, Hautmann (MC group external collaborator), Jung, Kutak.* Published in JHEP within short. arXiv:0908.0538



# **Object Oriented PDFs**



### What is wrong with LHAPDF?

## × Not object oriented

- only one pdf available (*more pdf can be hard wired*)
- harder to add new pdf sets with different parameterization, evolution code or interpolation table
- ✗ Not thread safe
  - ONLY ONE pdf in a multi threaded program
- X Hard wired pdfs use lots of memory

## **Object Oriented PDF**

- ✓ It is an ANSI-C library
  - Seasy to use in C++ code
  - ➡ can be interfaced to Fortran
  - ➡ dynamic linkage of the pdf sets
- ✓ Fully object oriented (*even in F77*)
- ✓ Thread safe
- ✓ All cteq6.x pdfs are already implemented (table based)
- ✓ and some QCDNUM based: Alekhin 2002, Botje 1999, Fermi 2002, HERA 2001, ZEUS 200x,...
- Modern MRST/MSTW are not yet implemented.
- **!!!** Please support the idea!

CTEQ version is available from <a href="http://www.desy.de/~znagy/Site/CTEQ\_PDF.html">http://www.desy.de/~znagy/Site/CTEQ\_PDF.html</a>







# **HEPMCAnalysis:** a tool for generator validation and comparisons

- Developed in the Statistics Tools group,
- used in the MC group
- Used for validation of the GenSer (GeneratorService) library of all generators used by LHC collaborations
- usable for all LHC generators!
- Example 1: validation of different PYTHIA versions (predictions for top-antitop pair transverse momentum).
- Example 2: top-antitop pseudorapidity distribution with CASCADE generator.







J. Katzy, S. Johnert





## Parton shower development

- Developing parton shower algorithm based only on the soft and collinear approximation of the tree and 1-loop matrix elements.
  - Must be systematically improvable
  - Quantum effects (color and spin)
  - Better kinematics in the shower.
  - Non-global observables **>** *super leading logarithms*
- Developing a matching scheme fro LO and NLO matching
  - Proper matching of color and spin
  - Proper treatment of the 1-loop effects

### Validating the parton shower algorithms against known QCD results

- ✓ DGLAP evolution in the final state (Dokshitzer & Marchesini problem)
- ✓ Validate the shower against known QCD results *e.g.*: *Drell-Yan pT distribution*
- Developing general procedure for validation
- Validating the matching scheme against QCD





# Summary

- PDFs and *unintegrated* PDFs for Monte Carlo generators at LHC, and OOPDF.
- PROFFIT tuning tool.
- HEPMCAnalysis validation tool.
- Work on CASCADE. Preparations for LHC: Valence quarks, uPDFs, saturation.
- Parton showers theory.

# **Other Achievements not mentioned earlier**

- Parton Shower and Resummation institute (May 2009, several speakers and discussions)
- Pheno weeks (Typically two days meetings with talks, discussions, lectures, exercises)
   e.g. Tuning, Low x, Rivet tutorial.
- MC School once a year.

# **Future Plans**

• Increase the collaboration with CMS. Tuning. PDFs. MI. Tools. (Already work with ATLAS.)

• Combined efforts with MCNet:

- MC School: Next MC School together with MCNet

- Tuning: Collaboration between PROFFIT and PROFFESOR

- Continue with the bi-weekly meeting. At least one speaker and discussion each time on a MC related topic. Everyone is welcome to participate. EVO exist.
- Student ships. ~ 3 month. We are searching for money...

# Web-page

http://www.terascale.de/research\_topics/rt1\_physics\_analysis/monte\_carlo\_generators













• Use PROFFIT to determine the x-dependence in the starting distribution of the uPDF. Fit the uPDF using CASCADE with full evolution, PS and hadronisation.

(1) 
$$xA_0(x, k_T, \bar{q}_0) = N \cdot x^{-B} \cdot (1-x)^C \cdot G(k_T)$$

After fit to  $F_2$  data: Chi2/ndf = 5.4

The research on fitting the uPDFs resulted in the introduction of an addition factor in the PDF (Inspired by CTEQ)

(2) 
$$xA_0(x, k_T, \bar{q}_0) = N \cdot x^{-B} \cdot (1-x)^C \cdot (1-Dx) \cdot G(k_T)$$

After fit to  $F_2$  data: Chi2/ndf = 2.8









	parton shower and PDF set									
	no p.s.		intr. $k_{\perp}$		in. state p.s.		fin. state p.s.		in.+fin. state p.s.	
generator and ordering	CTEQ6L	fitted	CTEQ6L	fitted	CTEQ6L	fitted	CTEQ6L	fitted	CTEQ6L	fitted
Pythia, $k_{\perp}$ -ord.	139.12	105.03	283.34	157.96	1162.28	211.52	773.66	112.68	2180.42	182.40
Pythia, $Q^2$ -ord.	97.61	77.18	-	-	268.46	113.81	740.65	145.09	920.11	134.73
Rapgap	106.29	89.81	-	-	134.97	74.06	108.00	77.78	113.72	87.52

Table 9.1: Values of  $\chi^2$  for RAPGAP and the two parton shower orderings of PYTHIA running with no parton shower, intrinsic  $k_{\perp}$ , initial state parton shower, final state parton shower and the combination of initial and final state parton shower. The  $chi^2$  is calculated when the Monte Carlo event generators were running with the CTEQ6L PDF set (left subdivision) and with the fitted PDF (right subdivision).







The small *x* behaviour (**B**) is roughly arbitrary as long as we choose (fit) the correct normalization, N.







### Summerstudent projects 2009:

- 3- and forward-jet cross sections at HERA.
- Analysis of charm in photoproduction at HERA
- Analysis of pt spectrum in Drell-Yan production at LHC

### Extensive work in the HZTOOL framework.

*"HZTool is a library of routines which will allow you to reproduce an experimental result using the four-vector final state from Monte Carlo generators."* 

Large collection of data and analyses implemented, but less frequently updated by experiments recent years due to old programming language.

Thanks to these students we for the first time code HZTOOL in C++ !





1. Build up a grid in parameter – cross section space using Monte Carlo.

If you have a CPU farm (or use the *G R I D* ) this ultimately takes the time of running the MC generator once.

2. Fit polynomials to the Monte Carlo grid.

$$\sigma_{\text{poly}} = A + \sum_{1}^{N} B_i \cdot p_i + \sum_{1}^{N} C_i \cdot p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} D_{ij} \cdot p_i p_j + H.O.$$

$$A, B, C \text{ and } D \text{ are determined}$$
by fitting the polynomial to the parameter grid.

Step 1. and 2. are done for each data point in the measurement.

3. Determine PDF parameters,  $p_i$ , by fitting all the polynomials to data simultaneously Also this takes only a few seconds.







### User example code









Former fitting method: Based on running the generator in an iterative procedure in parameter space.
→ Time consuming for exclusive final states.
A high statistics MC run can take more than 24h, and ~100 iterations are needed.

Also a challenge: Fitting several "event types" simultaneously, e.g. Charm production and inclusive di-jet production Above method makes separated event generation difficult.

New Approach: Describe parameter dependence before parameter fitting, by building up a *grid in parameter space*. The MC grid points can be calculated simultaneously. The fitting itself then takes a few seconds.



