# Summary on 4<sup>th</sup> generation part of Helmholtz alliance Workshop on single top physics and fourth generation quarks

Heiko Lacker Humboldt University of Berlin

Helmholtz alliance meeting DESY, November 11, 2009

- 1. H. Lacker: Overview talk (constraints etc.)
- 2. T. Plehn: 4<sup>th</sup> generation theory and relation to LHC physics
- 3. A. Lenz: Constraints from Flavour Physics
- 4. M. Felcini: Trigger for top and 4<sup>th</sup> generation at ATLAS & CMS

#### **<u>1. Theoretical Features of a 4<sup>th</sup> generation</u></u>**

• No good argument yet for only N<sub>gen</sub>=3 (Why generations at all?)

 $N_{gen} \ge 3 \implies CP$  violation in CKM matrix, but  $N_{gen} = 3$  not sufficient for baryogenesis

- N<sub>gen</sub>=4: sufficient CP violation for SM baryogenesis in e.w. symmetry breaking (EWSB) due to large quark masses (Hou, Chin. J. Phys.47:134, 2009)
- Heavy 4<sup>th</sup> gen. quark masses => strong EWSB
   --> 2<sup>nd</sup> problem with N<sub>gen</sub>=3 baryogenesis (M<sub>Higgs</sub> too large) possibly solvable (Carena et al., NPB 716, 319, 2005)
- EWSB w/o Higgs: Heavy Quark condensate (Holdom)
- SU(5) gauge coupling unification w/o SUSY possible (Hung, PRL80, 3000, 1998)

#### 2. Fourth generation not excluded by e.w. precision fit

$$S = \frac{1}{6\pi} (1 - 2Y \ln \frac{m_{l_4}^2}{m_{\nu_4}^2}) + \frac{N_c}{6\pi} (1 - 2Y \ln \frac{m_{u_4}^2}{m_{d_4}^2}) \qquad Y = 1/6 (\text{quarks}), -1/2 (\text{leptons})$$
$$T = \frac{1}{12\pi \sin^2 \theta_W M_W^2} (N_c \left| m_{u_4}^2 - m_{d_4}^2 \right| + \left| m_{l_4}^2 - m_{\nu_4}^2 \right|)$$



 $W = \underbrace{u_{4}}^{W} W = \underbrace{W_{4}}^{W} W$   $W = \underbrace{W_{4}}^{W} \underbrace{W_{4}}^{W} W$   $Z = \underbrace{W_{4}}^{W} W$  Z





- $m(u_{4}) > m(d_{4})$  preferred but opposite not excluded,  $m(u_{4}) m(d_{4}) > M_{w}$  not excluded
- $m(I_{A}) > m(v_{A})$  preferred but opposite not excluded

(Study with support from T. Plehn and M. Spannowsky: D. Wendland & M. Mamach (HUB))

PDG statement about exclusion of 4<sup>th</sup> generation assumes mass degeneracy
 1. assumption not needed
 2. Is the 3<sup>rd</sup> generation mass-degenerate?

## 3. Higgs phenomenology @ LHC (T. Plehn)

$$\Gamma_{H\to\gamma\gamma} = \frac{G_{\mu}\alpha^2 m_H^3}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left| \sum_f N_c Q_f^2 A_f(\tau_f) + A_W(\tau_W) \right|^2$$
$$\Gamma_{H\to gg} = \frac{G_{\mu}\alpha_s^2 m_H^3}{36\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left| \frac{3}{4} \sum_f A_f(\tau_f) \right|^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \tau_i = \frac{m_H^2}{4m_i^2}$$



Kribs, Plehn, Spannowsky & Tait, PRD76,075016 (2007) ggH-coupling enhancement: 3 γγH-coupling decrease: 1/3

 $\begin{array}{l} \sigma_{gg} \, \mathsf{BR}_{\gamma\gamma} \to \sigma_{gg} \, \mathsf{BR}_{\gamma\gamma} \\ \sigma_{gg} \, \mathsf{BR}_{ZZ} \to (5 \cdots 8) \, \sigma_{gg} \, \mathsf{BR}_{ZZ} \end{array}$ 





#### 4. Limits: FCNC decay (D0)

CDF (1.06 fb<sup>-1</sup>), PRD 76, 072006 (2007):  $p \,\overline{p} \rightarrow b' \overline{b}', b' (d_4) \rightarrow b + Z$ 

Assumption: BF(b'->Z+b)=100% & prompt b' decay





#### 4. Limits: same-sign dilepton (CDF)

In many mass/CKM scenarios:  $p \overline{p} \rightarrow b' \overline{b}' + X, b' \rightarrow W + t (\rightarrow b + W)$ 

Striking search scenario: same-sign dilepton final state + high jet multiplicity

Data

Fakes

- Uncert.

Z.diboson

140

Data

- Uncert.

Fakes

100

120

140

Z,diboson



#### CDF, note 9759, 2.7 fb-1:



95% Limits for b' (CDF Run II Prelim 2.7/fb)



#### 4. Limits: top-like search (CDF)

CDF note 9446, 2.8 fb<sup>-1</sup>

$$p \overline{p} \rightarrow t' \overline{t}' + X, t' \rightarrow W + q, W \rightarrow l \nu, W \rightarrow q \overline{q}$$



Note: no distinction between t' and b' possible

Kinematic fit like in top reconstruction No b-tagging

$$M_{reco} = M_{b j j} = M_{b l v}$$
$$H_{T} = \sum_{jets} E_{T} + E_{T, l} + E_{T, miss}$$

=> Likelihood fit

#### 4. Limits: top-like search (CDF)





Excess @ 450 GeV?
 P-value=0.01 for SM hypthesis



- Possibilities for excess:
  - \* fluctuation
  - \* badly understood SM BG
- \* NP signal:
  - a) no 4<sup>th</sup> gen. quark
  - b) 4<sup>th</sup> gen.: contributions from

t' and b' decays ?

#### 4. Limits depend on assumptions

Hung & Sher, PRD77, 037302 (2008):

 $t' \rightarrow W + q$ : CDF assumes BF(t' $\rightarrow$ W+q)=100% & prompt t' decay

$$\begin{split} m_{t'} - m_{b'} > m_{w}: & BF(t' \rightarrow W + b') \text{ dominant } => BF(t' \rightarrow W + q) \text{ small} \\ m_{w} > m_{t'} - m_{b'} > 0: & t' \rightarrow W^* + b' \text{ can still dominate for small } V_{t'q} \\ m_{t'} - m_{b'} < 0: & \tau_{t'} \text{ could be so small (for tiny } V_{t'q}) \text{ that quark could} \\ & \text{transverse cm-m before decaying (stable quark searches exclude more than ~3 m)} \end{split}$$

=> Constraint dependent on m<sub>b</sub>, and V<sub>t'q</sub> in a non-trivial way Similar in line for other constraints (for b' limit even more involved)

# 5. CKM and PMNS sector

Directly measured matrix elements:

at ~ $2\sigma$ 

 $\left|V_{CKM}^{4\times4}\right| = \begin{cases} 0.97418 & 0.2246 & 0.0039 & <0.038 \\ 0.22 & >0.84 & 0.041 & <0.51 \\ <0.06 & <0.26 & >0.78 & <0.62 \\ <0.105 & <0.51 & <0.62 & >0.74 \end{cases}$ 

my numbers

Limiting factors: \*  $|V_{tb}|$  from single top (+  $R = \frac{\Gamma(t \to W+b)}{\Gamma(t \to W+q)} = \frac{|V_{tb}|^2}{|V_{td}|^2 + |V_{ts}|^2 + |V_{tb}|^2}$ ) (Note:  $|V_{tb}|$  constraint does not take into account R info)

\* |V<sub>c</sub>| from semileptonic D-decays: form factor

•  $|V_{cs}|$  constraint significantly improved when using BF(W-> I v)

$$\left|V_{CKM}^{4\times4}\right| = \begin{vmatrix} 0.97418 & 0.2246 & 0.0039 & <0.038 \\ 0.22 & 0.97 & 0.041 & <0.2 \\ <0.04 & <0.125 & >0.78 & <0.62 \\ <0.07 & <0.20 & <0.62 & >0.78 \end{vmatrix}$$

• Currently, a consistent extraction of  $|V_{tb}|$  (together with  $|V_{ts}|$  and  $|V_{td}|$ ) missing.

#### 5. CKM and PMNS sector (A. Lenz)

Most recent work on CKM constraints for 4<sup>th</sup> generation CKM matrix using loop-induced processes (Bobrowski et al., Phys.Rev.D79:113006, 2009):

$$\frac{b}{d} \xrightarrow{t,c,u} W \xrightarrow{t,c,u} \overline{b} \qquad \frac{b}{d} \xrightarrow{t,c,u} d \xrightarrow{t,c,u} d \xrightarrow{t,c,u} \overline{b} \qquad \frac{b}{d} \xrightarrow{t,c,u} \overline{b}$$

 $\Delta m_{d}, \Delta m_{s}, \epsilon_{K}, BF(B \rightarrow X_{s}\gamma)$ 300GeV  $\leq m_{t'} \leq 650$ GeV

- Improved constraints on  $|V_{_{td}}|,\,|V_{_{ts}}|$  and  $|V_{_{tb}}|(?)$  but at the same time

still significantly different values possible compared to 3x3 unitarity:



#### 5. CKM and PMNS sector

Funny enough but only recently taken into account (Chanowitz, ): e.w. precision fit incl. a 4<sup>th</sup> generation can not rely on 3x3 unitarity

$$T_4 = \frac{1}{8\pi x_W(1-x_W)} \left\{ 3 \left[ F_{t'b'} + s_{34}^2 (F_{t'b} + F_{tb'} - F_{tb} - F_{t'b'}) \right] + F_{l_4\nu_4} \right\} \quad F_{12} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_1 - x_2} \ln \frac{x_1}{x_2} + \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_2} + \frac{x_1$$



=> However, combined CKM & e.w. precision fit is still missing

#### 5. CKM and PMNS sector

$$\Gamma \left( \tau^{-} \rightarrow l^{-} \bar{v}_{l} v_{\tau} \right) \propto G_{F}^{2} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left| U_{\tau i} \right|^{2} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \left| U_{lk} \right|^{2}$$
 => No strong constraint on  $G_{F}$   

$$\Gamma \left( \mu^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \bar{v}_{e} v_{\mu} \right) \propto G_{F}^{2} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left| U_{\mu i} \right|^{2} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \left| U_{ek} \right|^{2}$$
 => CKM & e.w. precision fit?!

- $|V_{ud}| = 0.97418 \pm 0.00026$  (superallowed  $\beta$ -decays) With 4<sup>th</sup> generation:  $\Gamma(\beta \text{-}decay) \propto G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2 \sum_{k=1,2,3} |U_{ek}|^2$  $\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e^{-} \overline{v}_e v_{\mu}) \propto G_F^2 \sum_{i=1,2,3} |U_{\mu i}|^2 \sum_{k=1,2,3} |U_{ek}|^2$
- $|V_{us}|$ : 1. Consider separate averages for  $K_{e3} \& K_{\mu3} \to \sum_{i=1,2,3} |U_{\mu i}|^2 / \sum_{k=1,2,3} |U_{ek}|^2$ 2.  $K_{\mu2}/\pi_{\mu2}$ : dependency on lepton sector cancels  $\to |V_{us}|^2 / |V_{ud}|^2$
- Possibility to determine  $G_F$  by avoiding this problem to leading order:  $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow l^+ l^-) \propto G_F^2(3$ -gen. SM + Loop Contr. from 4th family) => Combined CKM, PMNS and e.w. precision fit required

#### 6. Search strategies @ LHC: same-sign dileptons

CMS, PAS EXO-09-012 (200 pb<sup>-1</sup>, 10 TeV)



### 6. Search strategies @ LHC: t'->W+q

• BG: ttbar+jets Idea: W from t'(b') decays have large boost for high t' (b') masses jets from hadr. W-decays tend to merge => look for single jet invariant mass



Özcan, Sultansoy and Ünel (ATLAS) EPJ C57, 621 (2008):

Assumption primarly mixing with first two families  $=> b' \rightarrow W+q$ If not: t' $\rightarrow W+b$ 

Problem: BF(t'→W+b) might be small



### **SUMMARY OF THE SUMMARY**

- **1.** 4<sup>th</sup> generation has several attractive theoretical features
- 2. In contrast to PDG: 4<sup>th</sup> generation not excluded by e.w. precision fit
- 3. If existing: very different Higgs phenomenology possible
- 4. Current mass limits should be interpreted with care
- 5. Phenomenology quite involved and correlations between CKM, PMNS & e.w. precision fit still to be studied
- 6. Experimental activities inside CMS & ATLAS starting to rise

=> There is still a lot to discover in the land of four generations



#### 3. Higgs phenomenology @ LHC (T. Plehn)



#### 2.1 Constraints on a 4<sup>th</sup> generation: Γ,



LEP2:  $m_{l_4} > 100.8 \text{ GeV}$   $m_{\nu_4} > 90.3 \text{ GeV}$  (Dirac) All limits for unstable heavy leptons  $m_{\nu_4} > 80.5 \text{ GeV}$  (Majorana)

#### **2.2 TEVATRON constraints: FCNC decay**

CDF (1.06 fb<sup>-1</sup>), PRD 76, 072006 (2007):  $p \,\overline{p} \rightarrow b' \,\overline{b}', b' (d_4) \rightarrow b + Z$ 

assumption:

 $BF(b' \rightarrow Z+b) = 100\%$  & prompt b' decay





#### **2.3 TEVATRON constraint: top-like search**

CDF note 9446, 2.8 fb<sup>-1</sup>

$$p \overline{p} \rightarrow t' \overline{t}' + X, t' \rightarrow W + q, W \rightarrow l \nu, W \rightarrow q \overline{q}$$

Note: no distinction between t' and b' possible



#### **2.3 TEVATRON constraint: top-like search**

• Limit: m, > 311 GeV @ 95% C.L.



Excess @ 450 GeV?
 P-value=0.01 for SM hypthesis



- Possibilities for excess:
  - \* fluctuation
  - \* badly understood SM BG
  - \* NP signal:
    - a) no 4<sup>th</sup> gen. quark
    - b) 4<sup>th</sup> gen.: contributions from

t' and b' decays ?

- Caveat: exclusion limit assumes
  - a) final state BF of 100% => mass limit could be lower
  - b) short lifetime => no limit for very small CKM elements

## 2.4 TEVATRON constraint: same-sign dilepton

In many mass/CKM scenarios:  $p \overline{p} \rightarrow b' \overline{b}' + X, b' \rightarrow W + t (\rightarrow b + W)$ 

Striking search scenario: same-sign dilepton final state + high jet multiplicity

CDF, note 9759, 2.7 fb-1:

same-sign dilepton invariant mass

- Fakes: mainly W+jets
- Z+jets:
  - $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  with hard bremsstr.
  - + asymmetric conversion γ→e⁺e⁻



### 2.4 TEVATRON constraint: same-sign dilepton

#### Extraction method: likelihood fit in jet multiplicity



Usual caveat: CDF assumes BF(b'→W+t)=100% & prompt b' decay

#### **2.5 TEVATRON constraints: assumptions**

The following is based on Hung & Sher, PRD77, 037302 (2008)

 $t' \rightarrow W + q$ : CDF assumes BF(t' $\rightarrow$ W+q)=100% & prompt t' decay

$$\begin{split} m_{t'} - m_{b'} > m_{w}: & BF(t' \rightarrow W + b') \text{ dominant } => BF(t' \rightarrow W + q) \text{ small} \\ 0 < m_{t'} - m_{b'} < m_{w}: & t' \rightarrow W^* + b' \text{ can still dominate for small } V_{t'q} \\ m_{t'} - m_{b'} < 0: & \tau_{t'} \text{ could be so small (for tiny } V_{t'q}) \text{ that quark could} \\ & \text{transverse cm-m before decaying (stable quark searches exclude more than ~3 m)} \end{split}$$

=> Constraint dependent on  $m_{b'}$  and  $V_{t'a}$ 

#### **2.5 TEVATRON constraints: assumptions**

First CDF result (760 pb<sup>-1</sup>), PRL 100, 161803 (2008): m<sub>+</sub>>256 GeV, 95% CL





(1) Region where CDF limit applies

 (2) Region where a smaller mass limit is obtained requiring BF(t'→W+q) still being dominant

(3) lifetime region: no mass limit

#### **<u>2.5 TEVATRON constraints: assumptions</u>**

CDF (1.06 fb<sup>-1</sup>), PRD 76, 072006 (2007):  $p \ \overline{p} \rightarrow b' \overline{b}'$ ,  $b' (d_4) \rightarrow b + Z$  $m_{b'} > 268 \text{ GeV} @ 95\% \text{ CL}$ 

Hung & Sher, PRD77, 037302 (2008):



 $b' \rightarrow W + t$  threshold opens

#### **2.5 TEVATRON constraints: long-lived b'**

#### **CDF note 7244 (163 pb<sup>-1</sup>):** $b' \rightarrow Z + b$



D0, PRL 101, 111802 (2008) with 1.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $b' \rightarrow Z + b$ 

Search channel:  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^- + X$ 





	t-channel	$Wt \ { m production}$	<i>s</i> -channel
TEVATRON( $\sqrt{s} = 1.8 \text{ TeV}, p\bar{p}$ )	$1.98 \pm 0.30 \text{ pb} [149, 161]$	$\approx 0 \text{ pb}$	$0.88 \pm 0.14 \text{ pb} [149, 161]$
LHC ( $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}, p\bar{p}$ )	$245 \pm 27 \text{ pb} [161, 162]$	62.2 <sup>+16.6</sup> pb [145]	$10.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ pb} [144, 161]$



$$\sigma_{\text{SingleTop}} \sim |V_{\text{tb}}|^2 \text{ in N}_{\text{gen}} = 3-SM$$

Nonetheless, it is possible to have:  $\sigma_{singleTop}(N_{gen}=4) > \sigma_{singleTop}(N_{gen}=3)$ although  $|V_{tb}| < 1$  if e.g.  $|V_{ts}|$  is sizeable



s-channel suppressed:  $|V_{tb}| < 1$ 





• Final remark: Cross section measurement, respectively, extraction of  $|V_{tb}|$  assumes t→Wb to be dominant (--> b-tagging)

• Relevant branching ratio: 
$$R = \frac{\Gamma(t \to W + b)}{\Gamma(t \to W + q)} = \frac{\left|V_{tb}\right|^{2}}{\left|V_{td}\right|^{2} + \left|V_{ts}\right|^{2} + \left|V_{tb}\right|^{2}}$$

determined in ttbar events by measuring 0, 1, ≥2 tagged b-jet rates

**BF(t→Wb)** dominant fulfilled in N<sub>gen</sub>=3-SM:  $|V_{tb}| = 0.999142^{+0.000021}_{-0.000014}$  (CKMfitter)

• However, best measurement by D0:  $R = 0.97^{+0.09}_{+0.08}$ 

• Most often not taken into account => Single top: 
$$|V_{tb}| \frac{|V_{tb}|}{\sqrt{|V_{td}|^2 + |V_{ts}|^2 + |V_{tb}|^2}}$$

It's even more involved (pdf effect!) --> see F. Maltoni's talk

#### 5. What do we know about the CKM & PMNS matrix elements?

- Recent discussion of constraints on 4x4 CKM- & PMNS-elements:
  - 1. Kribs et al., PRD76, 075016, 2007---> See talk by T. PlehnCKM:CKM-Treelevel + W-decays + D-mixingPMNS: $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ ,  $\mu \rightarrow e$  conversion
  - 2. Bobrowski et al., PRD79, 113006, 2009 CKM-Treelevel + K-, D-, B-mixing &  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  ---> See talk by A. Lenz
  - 3. Chanowitz, PRD79, 113008 (2009) e.w. precision fit: taking into account CKM elements in T

Caveat: All studies here assume lepton universality and, implicitely,  $U_{E4}=1$  in all observables and also in the e.w. precision fit. In presence of a 4<sup>th</sup> generation: maybe not justified

# 5.1 Mixing in quark sector

Directly measured matrix elements: at ~2o

	0.97418	0.2246	0.0039	< 0.038
$ V^{4\times 4} $	0.22	>0.84	0.041	< 0.51
$\left  \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{V} & CKM \end{smallmatrix} \right  =$	< 0.06	< 0.26	>0.78	< 0.62
	< 0.105	< 0.51	< 0.62	>0.74

my numbers

• Limiting factors:

\* 
$$|V_{tb}|$$
 from single top +  $R = \frac{\Gamma(t \to W+b)}{\Gamma(t \to W+q)} = \frac{|V_{tb}|^2}{|V_{td}|^2 + |V_{ts}|^2 + |V_{tb}|^2}$  not well constrained yet

(Please note:  $|V_{tb}|$  constraint does not take into account R info)

\* |V<sub>cs</sub>| from semileptonic D-decays: still large theoretical error (form factor, decay constant)

# 5.1 Mixing in quark sector

Directly measured matrix elements:

$$\left|V_{CKM}^{4\times4}\right| = \begin{cases} 0.97418 & 0.2246 & 0.0039 & <0.038 \\ 0.22 & >0.84 & 0.041 & <0.51 \\ <0.06 & <0.26 & >0.78 & <0.62 \\ <0.105 & <0.51 & <0.62 & >0.74 \end{cases}$$

my numbers

• Constraints discussed by Kribs et al. (my numbers):

*  V		1			
tb <sup>1</sup>		0.97418	0.2246	0.0039	< 0.038
* <mark>Γ(W→had)</mark>	$ V^{4\times4}  =$	0.22	0.97	0.041	<0.2
		< 0.04	<mark>&lt;0.125</mark>	>0.78	< 0.62
		< 0.07	<0.20	< 0.62	>0.78

\* D-mixing (Golowich et al., PRD76:095009, 2007)  $\rightarrow |V_{ud_4}V_{cd_4}^*| < 0.002$ 

Limit depends on d<sub>4</sub> mass (200 GeV used) See talk by A. Lenz concerning uncertainties in predicting D-mixing



#### 5.2 Constraint from W-decays

\* BF(W $\rightarrow$ had) used by Kribs et al. calculated from measured BF(W  $\rightarrow$  I v) !

$$W^{+} - \frac{l^{+} u c}{\nu_{l} \overline{d}' \overline{s}'} \frac{\Gamma(W \to l \nu)}{\Gamma(W \to All)} \approx \frac{1}{3 + 3 \sum_{i=u,c} \sum_{j=d,s,b} |V_{ij}|^{2} (1 + \alpha_{s}(M_{W})/\pi)}$$

- \* Unitarity check in the first place
- \*  $N_{gen}$  >3: Strengthen constraint on  $|V_{cs}|$  and, consequently, on  $|V_{ts}|$ ,  $|V_{ts}|$  and  $|V_{cb'}|$ !

\* Consistent with 3x3-Unitarity: 
$$\sum_{j=d,s,b} |V_{uj}|^2 + \sum_{j=d,s,b} |V_{cj}|^2 = 2.002 \pm 0.027$$

- \* However: formula assumes lepton universality
  - $N_{gen}$ =4: lepton universality possibly violated and  $|U_{\mu}| > 0$  possible for I = e,  $\mu$ ,  $\tau$

 $BF(W \to ev) = 0.1075 \pm 0.0013$  $BF(W \to \mu v) = 0.1057 \pm 0.0015$  $BF(W \to \tau v) = 0.1125 \pm 0.0020$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma(W \to l \nu)}{\Gamma(W \to All)} \approx \frac{\sum_{k=1,2,3} \left| U_{lk} \right|^2}{\sum_{l=e,\mu,\tau} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \left| U_{lk} \right|^2 + 3 \sum_{i=u,c} \sum_{j=d,s,b} \left| V_{ij} \right|^2 (1 + \alpha_s(M_W)/\pi)}$$

#### 5.3 Mixing in lepton sector: <u>τ- and μ-decays</u>

- Constraints on 4<sup>th</sup> generation from  $\tau$  mass & (leptonic) BF's:
- \* Dova, (Swain & Taylor), NP Proc.Suppl.76:133,1999; (hep-ph/9712383; PRD55:1,1997)
- 1) Since then: Significant improvements in  $m_{f}$  & BF measurements
- 2) Assumption: Only significant mixing between 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> family

W/o this assumption:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma(\tau \to l \,\bar{\nu}_{l} \nu_{\tau}) \propto G_{F}^{2} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left| U_{\tau i} \right|^{2} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \left| U_{lk} \right|^{2} \quad l = e/\mu \\ &\Gamma(\tau \to h \nu_{\tau}) \propto G_{F}^{2} f_{h}^{2} \left| V_{uj} \right|^{2} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left| U_{\tau i} \right|^{2} \quad j = d(\pi)/s(K) \\ &\Gamma(h \to \mu^{-} \nu_{\mu}) \propto G_{F}^{2} f_{h}^{2} \left| V_{uj} \right|^{2} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left| U_{\mu j} \right|^{2} \quad j = d(\pi)/s(K) \\ &\Gamma(\mu \to e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e} \nu_{\mu}) \propto G_{F}^{2} \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left| U_{\mu i} \right|^{2} \sum_{k=1,2,3} \left| U_{ek} \right|^{2} \end{split}$$

=> No strong constraint any more on  $G_F$  => CKM & e.w. precision fit?!

# 5.4 Combining CKM, PMNS and e.w. precision fit

•  $|V_{ud}| = 0.97418 \pm 0.00026$  (superallowed  $\beta$ -decays)

With 4<sup>th</sup> generation:  $\Gamma(\beta \text{-}decay) \propto G_F^2 \left| V_{ud} \right|^2 \sum_{k=1,2,3} \left| U_{ek} \right|^2$  $\Gamma(\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu) \propto G_F^2 \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left| U_{\mu i} \right|^2 \sum_{k=1,2,3} \left| U_{ek} \right|^2$ 

- $|V_{us}|$ : 1. Consider separate averages for  $K_{e3} \& K_{\mu3} \to \sum_{i=1,2,3} |U_{\mu i}|^2 / \sum_{k=1,2,3} |U_{ek}|^2$ 2.  $K_{\mu2}/\pi_{\mu2}$ : dependency on lepton sector cancels  $\to |V_{us}|^2 / |V_{ud}|^2$
- Single top and R not affected!
- Possibility to determine  $G_F$  by avoiding this problem in leading order:  $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow l^+ l^-) \propto G_F^2(3$ -gen. SM + Loop Contr. from 4th family)
- => Need to combine CKM-, PMNS- and e.w precision fit Work started @ HU Berlin (A. Menzel & H. Lacker) within CKMfitter

## 5.5 Theoretical prejudice: U<sub>F4</sub> how close to 1 ?

Consider e.g. mixing angle  $\theta$  between 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> generation:

- Seesaw models  $\rightarrow \sin \theta = \sqrt{m_{\tau}/m_E} \approx 0.13$
- Mixing only in neutrino mass matrix  $\rightarrow \sin \theta = \sqrt{m_{v_3}/m_{v_4}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-6}$

• Global or discrete family symmetries realized that are broken  $\rightarrow \sin \theta = m_W / m_{Planck} \approx 10^{-17}$ unbroken  $\rightarrow \sin \theta = 0$ by Planck scale effects

- Flavor democracy  $\rightarrow U_{l_4 i} \approx 10^{-5} 10^{-4} (V_{t'q} \approx 10^{-4} 10^{-3}, V_{qb'} \approx 10^{-4} 10^{-3})$
- Benchmark:  $|U_{u4}| \sim 0.023$  corresponds to a  $|V_{u4}|$  change of  $1\sigma$

(possible for 
$$\sin \theta_{24} = \sqrt{m_{\mu}/m_{E}} \approx 0.03$$
)  
Scenario already excluded?  $\frac{g_{\tau}}{g_{\mu}} = 0.9976 \pm 0.0022 \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\cos \theta_{34}}{\cos \theta_{24}} \approx 0.9911$ 

#### 6.1 Search strategies @ LHC: same-sign dileptons

CMS, PAS EXO-09-012 (200 pb<sup>-1</sup>, 10 TeV)



#### 6.2 Search strategies @ LHC: t'->W+q

• BG: ttbar+jets Idea: W from t'(b') decays have large boost for high t' (b') masses jets from hadr. W-decays tend to merge => look for single jet invariant mass



Özcan, Sultansoy and Ünel (ATLAS) EPJ C57, 621 (2008):

Assumption: primarly mixing with first two families  $=> b' \rightarrow W+q$ If not: t' $\rightarrow W+b$ 

Problem: BF(t'→W+b) might be small



### 7. Summary

- 1. A 4<sup>th</sup> generation has several attractive theoretical features: special role in EWSB, baryogenesis, unification w/o SUSY, ...
- 2. E.w. prec. fit prefers: small  $\Delta m$  ( $\Delta m > m_w$  not excluded)  $m(u_4) > m(d_4), m(l_4) > m(v_4)$  (opposite not excluded)
- 3. \* 3x3 unitarity in lepton sector assumed in all analyses (CKM, ...) so far \* Combined analysis of CKM-, PMNS-, & e.w. precision fit required
- 4. Current limits depend on assumptions concerning τ/BF's!
   => Correct constraints: functions on masses & mixing angles
- 5. LHC: \* excellent place for discovery 4<sup>th</sup> (M≤1 TeV→partial wave unitarity) Complementary search scenarios: b'(t')→W+q(b) ↔ b'→W+t
  - \* BG-suppression with single jet invariant mass (→boosted W's) (also interesting for other channels)
  - \* Heavy quark with long lifetime searches need more attention



Ex.: 
$$0 < m_{u_4} - m_{d_4} < M_W$$





#### <u>**4**<sup>th</sup> Generation Pair production (w/o Higgs) at LHC</u> $p p \rightarrow f \overline{f} X (14 \text{ TeV})$



## 2.2 Cosmological constraints: heavy neutrino

- 1)  $m_{\nu_4} < m_{l_4}$  preferred (e.w. precision fit) =>  $\nu_4$  could have long lifetime => Dark Matter candidate !?
- 2) Direct searches for Dark Matter

Big bang:  $n_{v_4}(m_{v_4})$ 

If neutrinos clump together like baryons do:

m > 500 GeV, since no signal observed by CDMS II

- 3) Argument can be circumvented, if:
  - \* Neutrinos do not clump together like baryons (=> m > 100 GeV)
  - \* Lifetime significantly smaller than age of the universe

(BTW: Cosmological neutrino mass bound only valid for "light" neutrinos)

# **2.3 Lifetimes: Example of heavy charged lepton**

- 1) No heavy hydrogen found
  - => cannot be nicht quasistable
- 2) Finite lifetime cosmological constrained:
  - a) CMB
    - Perfect black-body spectrum
      - $\Rightarrow \tau (l_4) < 10^9 10^{11} s$
  - **b) Primordial nucleosynthesis** 
    - Photons from decay products would break up D (He)
    - => decrease (increase) of deuteron abundance
    - D/He compatible with standard nucleosynthesis

$$\Rightarrow \tau(l_4) < 10^7 - 10^8 \mathrm{s}$$





# 5.1 Mixing in quark sector

Directly measured matrix elements:

$$\left|V_{CKM}^{4\times4}\right| = \begin{cases} 0.97418 & 0.2246 & 0.0039 & <0.04 \\ 0.22 & >0.75 & 0.041 & <0.6 \\ <0.08 & <0.40 & >0.78 & <0.65 \\ <0.1 & <0.60 & <0.65 & >0.78 \end{cases}$$

Constraints discussed by Kribs et al.:

* IV		1			
tb <sup>1</sup>		0.97418	0.2246	0.0039	< 0.04
* <mark>Γ(W→had)</mark>	$ V^{4\times4}  =$	0.22	0.965	0.041	<0.2
	V CKM	< 0.08	<mark>&lt;0.15</mark>	>0.78	< 0.65
		< 0.09	<mark>&lt;0.17</mark>	< 0.65	>0.78

\* D-mixing (Golowich et al., PRD76:095009, 2007)  $\rightarrow |V_{ud_4}V_{cd_4}^*| < 0.002$ 

Limit depends on d<sub>4</sub> mass (200 GeV used) See talk by A. Lenz concerning uncertainties in predicting D-mixing



#### <u>CKM & PMNS-matrix: W→lep & τ-/μ-decays</u>

Constraints on PMNS elements:



IF G<sub>F</sub> is constrained to vary by 5% around its standard value

PMNS constraints are sufficiently strong to improve

CKM constraints from  $\Gamma(W \rightarrow lv)$  but less constraining compared to constraint assuming lepton universality in such a case

Constraints on CKM elements:

	0.97418	0.2246	0.0039	< 0.04
$ V^{4\times 4} $	0.22	0.965	0.041	<0.3
$\left  \begin{smallmatrix} V \\ CKM \end{smallmatrix} \right $	< 0.08	<0.3	>0.78	< 0.65
	< 0.09	< 0.3	< 0.65	>0.78

### <u>CKM & PMNS-matrix: W-lep & $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ </u>

#### Constraints on PMNS elements:





#### Constraints on CKM elements:

	0.97418	0.2246	0.0039	< 0.04
$ V^{4\times 4} $	0.22	0.965	0.041	<mark>&lt;0.2</mark>
V CKM	< 0.08	<mark>&lt;0.15</mark>	>0.78	< 0.65
	< 0.09	<mark>&lt;0.17</mark>	< 0.65	>0.78