# UNDERLYING EVENT MEASUREMENTS AND MC GENERATOR TUNING WITH FIRST LHC DATA

Holger Schulz, Heiko Lacker, Jan Eike von Seggern (HU Berlin), Andy Buckley (Edinburgh), Hendrik Hoeth (Durham)

November 12, 2009  $3^{\,\rm rd}$  Annual Workshop "Physics at the Terascale"





- $\bullet\,$  LHC is a QCD machine  $\rightarrow$  hard to find interesting signals
- QCD perturbatively calculable in hard processes
- Need models for soft physics ( $\alpha_s \ll 1$ ) to understand background
- Large background at LHC is Underlying Event (UE)
- $\bullet~{\rm UE}$   $\approx$  everything except the hard scattering of interest
- Have different models/generators: Herwig, Pythia, Phojet, Sherpa ...
- LHC-predictions differ vastly
- $\bullet \ \rightarrow$  need measurements to tune generators
- For early data: identify worthwile measurements first!





#### **1** UNDERLYING EVENT (UE)

#### **2** GENERATOR TUNING WITH PROFESSOR

#### **3** TUNING TO EARLY LHC DATA

Incoming beams, parton density functions (pdfs) & primordial  $k_{\perp}$ 



The hard sub-process, the matrix element





Resonance decays  $\rightarrow$  correlated with the hard sub-process





#### Initial-state radiation (ISR), parton shower (backward evolution)



#### Final-state radiation (FSR), parton shower (forward evolution)



Multiple parton-parton interactions  $\rightarrow$  soft, semi-hard or hard scatterings



#### Initial-/Final state showers of ISR-particles



#### Formation of colour strings, outgoing partons & beam remnants





#### Hadronisation



Holger Schulz

Decay of unstable particles, this is what hits the detector



Holger Schulz

#### UE MEASUREMENTS AT THE TEVATRON

- Z  $p_{\perp}$  from  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow$  Z:  $\alpha_S$  in ISR, primordial  $k_{\perp}$
- Multiplicity distributions: number of particles produced
- $\langle p_{\perp} 
  angle$  vs.  $\mathit{N}_{ch}$ : number and  $p_{\perp}$  of particles produced
- Exploiting the event topology p<sup>sum</sup><sub>⊥</sub>, N<sub>ch</sub> vs. p<sub>⊥,leading jet</sub> in jet events: almost everything







#### EXTRAPOLATIONS TO THE LHC

- Drastically different predictions for LHC
- Different UE energy-scaling: Phojet  $\sim \ln s$ Pythia  $\sim \ln^2 s$
- Generators were tuned to data at different  $\sqrt{s}$
- → Will need retuning of UE-parameters to LHC data



Holger Schulz

UE and generator tuning for the LHC



#### **1** UNDERLYING EVENT (UE)

#### **2** GENERATOR TUNING WITH PROFESSOR

#### **3** TUNING TO EARLY LHC DATA

DELPHI 1995, Hamacher et al.: bin-wise interpolation of MC generator response and  $\chi^2$  minimization

Professor (arXiv:0907.2973, arXiv:0906.0075, arXiv:0902.4403)

#### "PROCEDURE FOR ESTIMATING SYSTEMATIC ERRORRS"



- Pick up DELPHI idea
- Use flexible python interface
- Use quadratic or cubic interpolations
- Respond to new (LHC) data quickly
- Validation of results possible in many ways



**Q** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space



Holger Schulz



**Q** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space



Holger Schulz

р

**Q** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space



Holger Schulz

р

**1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space



**1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space



- **1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space
- Q Run generator and fill histograms



- **1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space
- Q Run generator and fill histograms



- **1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space
- 2 Run generator and fill histograms



- **1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space
- Run generator and fill histograms
- For each bin: use N points to fit interpolation (2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> order polynomial)







- **1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space
- Run generator and fill histograms
- For each bin: use N points to fit interpolation (2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> order polynomial)
- Construct overall (now trivial)  $\chi^2 = \sum_{bins} \frac{(interpolation-data)^2}{error^2}$





7/16

- **1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space
- Run generator and fill histograms
- For each bin: use N points to fit interpolation (2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> order polynomial)
- Construct overall (now trivial)  $\chi^2 = \sum_{bins} \frac{(interpolation-data)^2}{error^2}$
- Sumerically minimize using pyMinuit, SciPy





3

Holger Schulz

UE and generator tuning for the LHC

- **1** Random sampling: *N* parameter points in *n*-dimensional space
- Run generator and fill histograms
- For each bin: use N points to fit interpolation (2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> order polynomial)
- Construct overall (now trivial)  $\chi^2 = \sum_{bins} \frac{(interpolation-data)^2}{error^2}$
- Sumerically minimize using pyMinuit, SciPy





#### PROFESSOR TUNINGS

- Pythia6: simultaneous tuning of
  - 9 flavour parameters to LEP-data
  - 6 fragmentation parameters to LEP-data
  - 9 Underlying Event parameters to Tevatron-data
  - Repeated for several pdfs
- Pythia8
  - Repeated Pythia6-tune for flavour & fragmentation (new default)
  - Underlying Event broken/unusable in Pythia8
- Sherpa
  - Currently, shower (AHADIC) is being tuned to LEP-data





#### **1** UNDERLYING EVENT (UE)

#### **2** GENERATOR TUNING WITH PROFESSOR

#### **3** TUNING TO EARLY LHC DATA

#### DISADVANTAGES OF FIRST LHC DATA

- Jet-energy calibration not very precise in the beginning
- $\rightarrow$  rather use tracks and lepton-ID
- Cross-section at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV smaller than at 10 or 14 TeV
- Expect integrated luminosity of  $\mathcal{O}(100 \text{ pb}^{-1})$



Holger Schulz

UE and generator tuning for the LHC

#### Advantages of first LHC data

- Measurements at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV give another energy point for extrapolations to 10, 14 TeV
- Lower luminosity means reduced pile-up, e.g.  $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ :





- Measure track- $p_{\perp}$  using only inner detector
- Identify leading track = largest  $p_{\perp}$  in event ightarrow defines  $\phi_0$
- Define "transverse" region, measure  $N_{
  m tracks}$ , scalar  $p_{\perp}$ -sum as function of  $p_{\perp}$  , leading track



• get cov. matrix





• get cov. matrix

• Eigendecomp.

















UE and generator tuning for the LHC

#### CONFIDENCE BELT CONSTRUCTION

- Estimate tunig uncertainties: use points sampled from ellipse
- Q Run generator or use parameterisation to get bin-content prediction
  - **)** For each bin *b* and each observable  $\mathcal{O}$ : determine central 68, 95 pct.



→ Use confidence belts for early data sensitivity studies, i.e., if we add LHC (pseudo-) data to the existing tune, does the confidence belt shrink? If so, consider corresponding measurement worthwile for early data. Holger Schulz UE and generator tuning for the LHC 13/16 Pythia6 (tune 329) prediction for the LHC ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV)





Pythia6 (tune 329) + 100k events of pseudo-data





Pythia6 (tune 329) + 1M events of pseudo-data





Pythia6 (tune 329) prediction for the LHC ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV)



Pythia6 (tune 329) + 50  $pb^{-1}$  of pseudo-data



Pythia6 (tune 329) + 100  $pb^{-1}$  of pseudo-data



#### SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

- UE measurements at LHC essential for understanding high  $p_{\perp}$  physics
- UE measurements important for generator re-tuning
- Professor excellent tool for quick turn-around tunings, sensitivity estimation
- UE as function of leading track  $p_{\perp}$  looks promising
- Z-boson  $p_{\perp}$  important cross-check (as well as 900 GeV LHC data)
- Investigate also sensitivity to UE activity as function of Z- $p_{\perp}$ , W- $p_{\perp}$
- Can quantify tuning uncertainty, include systematics of Professor method soon



#### FOR FURTHER READING

#### A. Buckley et al., 2009

Systematic event generator tuning for the LHC arXiv:0907.2973, accepted for publication in EPJC

#### A. Buckley et al., 2008

Monte Carlo tuning and generator validation arXiv:0906.0075

#### A. Buckley et al., 2008

Monte Carlo event generator validation and tuning for the LHC arxiv:0902.4403

Website of the Professor project (plots, howtos, exercises, ...) http://projects.hepforge.org/professor If you are interested, please join the fun!

#### Backup

#### Professor tunes in Pythia 6:

6.4.20 : 20 February 2009 - Comprehensive updates to PYTUNE, with the addition of the "Perugia" and "Pro" tunes, following the MPI workshop in Perugia in October 2008. The older tunes remain unaltered. The new available tunes in PYTUNE are: --- Professor Tunes : 110+ (= 100+ with Professor's tune to LEP) ----110 A-Pro : Tune A, with LEP tune from Professor (Oct 2008) 111 AW-Pro : Tune AW, -"-(Oct 2008) 112 BW-Pro : Tune BW, -"-(Oct 2008) 113 DW-Pro : Tune DW. -"-(Oct 2008) 114 DWT-Pro : Tune DWT. - "-(Oct 2008) 115 QW-Pro : Tune QW, -"-(Oct 2008) 116 ATLAS-DC2-Pro: ATLAS-DC2 / Rome, -"-(Oct 2008) 117 ACR-Pro : Tune ACR. - "-(Oct 2008) 118 D6-Pro : Tune D6, -"-(Oct 2008) 119 D6T-Pro : Tune D6T, -"-(Oct 2008) --- Professor's Q2-ordered Perugia Tune : 129 -----129 Pro-Q20 : Professor Q2-ordered tune (Feb 2009) 211 APT-Pro : Tune APT, with LEP tune from Professor (Oct 2008) ===== New UE, interleaved pT-ordered showers, annealing CR ========= --- Professor Tunes : 310+ (= 300+ with Professor's tune to LEP) 310 SO-Pro : SO with updated LEP pars from Professor (Oct 2008) 311 S1-Pro : S1 -"-(Oct 2008) 312 S2-Pro : S2 -"-(Oct 2008) 313 SOA-Pro : SOA -"-(Oct 2008) 314 NOCR-Pro : NOCR -"-(Oct 2008) 315 Old-Pro : Old -"-(Oct 2008) --- Professor's pT-ordered Perugia Tune : 329 -----329 Pro-pT0 : Professor pT-ordered tune w. S0 CR model (Feb 2009) 

2nd order polynomial includes lowest-order correlations between parameters

$$MC_{b}(\vec{p}) \approx f^{(b)}(\vec{p}) = \alpha_{0}^{(b)} + \sum_{i} \beta_{i}^{(b)} p_{i}' + \sum_{i \leq i} \gamma_{ij}^{(b)} p_{i}' p_{j}'$$

Now use N generator runs, i.e. N different parameter sets x,y:



 $ec{c}_b = ilde{\mathcal{I}}[ ilde{\mathsf{P}}]ec{v}$ 

- Use Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), a general diagonalisation for all normal matrices  $M:M = U\Sigma V^*$
- Method available in SciPy.linalg
- Minimal number of runs = number of coefficients in  $\vec{c}_b$ :  $N_{\min}^{(n)} = 1 + n + n(n+1)/2$

 $\vec{c}_b = \tilde{\mathcal{I}}[\tilde{\mathbf{P}}]\vec{v}$ 

- Use Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), a general diagonalisation for all normal matrices  $M:M = U\Sigma V^*$
- Method available in SciPy.linalg
- Minimal number of runs = number of coefficients in  $\vec{c}_b$ :  $N_{\min}^{(n)} = 1 + n + n(n+1)/2 + \underbrace{(n+1)(n+2)/6}_{(n+1)(n+2)/6}$

cubic only

 $ec{c}_b = ilde{\mathcal{I}}[ ilde{\mathsf{P}}]ec{v}$ 

- Use Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), a general diagonalisation for all normal matrices  $M:M = U\Sigma V^*$
- Method available in SciPy.linalg
- Minimal number of runs = number of coefficients in  $\vec{c}_b$ :  $N_{\min}^{(n)} = 1 + n + n(n+1)/2 + \underbrace{(n+1)(n+2)/6}_{\text{cubic only}}$
- Oversampling by a factor of three has proven to be much better

Num params, P	$N_2^{(P)}$ (2nd order)	$N_3^{(P)}$ (3rd order)
1	3	4
2	6	10
4	15	35
6	28	84
8	45	165
9	55	220

- If we have N generator runs: choose combinations of k (k < N) runs
- So far:  $k \approx 3 \cdot N_{\min}$  and  $k/N \approx 0.66$
- Each combination  $\rightarrow$  different parameterisation  $\rightarrow$  (slightly) different minimisation result
- Investigate spread parameter-wise



#### Observe lower $\chi^2 / N_{df}$ -boundary:



Oversampling is neccesary (at least 2 to 3 times  $N_{\min}$ ):



#### prof-I: Professor interactive





6.9984

PARP(93) Upper cut-off of primordial kt

#### prof-I: Professor interactive





PARP(91) Width of primordial kt PARP(93) Upper cut-off of primordial kt 0.2199 1.6985 9.3402

#### prof-I: Professor interactive





2.8783

PARP(93) Upper cut-off of primordial kt