# The Physics Potential and Performance study at the CEPC Manqi Ruan



# SM is **NOT** the end of story...

- Hierarchy: From neutrinos to the top mass, masses differs by 13 orders of magnitude
- Naturalness: Fine tuning of the Higgs mass
- Masses of Higgs and top quark: metastable of the vacuum
- Unification?
- Dark matter candidate?
- Not sufficient CP Violation for Matter & Antimatter asymmetry
- Most issues related to Higgs

m<sub>H</sub><sup>2</sup> = 36,127,890,984,789,307,394,520,932,878,928,933,023 -36,127,890,984,789,307,394,520,932,878,928,917,398 = (125 GeV)<sup>2</sup>!?



# Key: a precise Higgs factory

- Higgs mass ~ 125 GeV, it is possible to build a Circular e+e- Higgs factory (CEPC), followed by a proton collider (SPPC) in the same tunnel
- Looking for Hints (from Higgs) at CEPC  $\rightarrow$  direct search at SPPC



### Science at CEPC-SPPC

- Tunnel ~ 100 km
- CEPC (90 250 GeV)
  - Higgs factory: 1M Higgs boson
    - Absolute measurements of Higgs boson width and couplings
    - Searching for exotic Higgs decay modes (New Physics)
  - Z & W factory: 100 Billion 1 Tera Z boson
    - Precision test of the SM Low Energy Booster(0.4Km)

Booster(50Km

- Rare decay
- Flavor factory: b, c, tau and QCD studies
- SPPC (~ 100 TeV)

TP4

- Direct search for new physics
- Complementary Higgs measurements to CEPC g(HHH), g(Htt)
- Heavy ion, e-p collision... 19/03/19

### Complementary

e+ e- Linac (240m)

IP<sub>2</sub>

IP3



Observables: Higgs mass, CP,  $\sigma(ZH)$ , event rates ( $\sigma(ZH, vvH)^*Br(H \rightarrow X)$ ), Diff. distributions

Derive: Absolute Higgs width, branching ratios, couplings

xFitter@Minsk

# Higgs @ LHC



19/03/19

### Higgs measurement at e+e- & pp





**Complementary** 8

	Yield	efficiency	Comments
LHC	Run 1: 10 <sup>6</sup> Run 2/HL: 10 <sup>7-8</sup>	~o(10 <sup>-3</sup> )	High Productivity & High background, Relative Measurements, Limited access to width, exotic ratio, etc, Direct access to g(ttH), and even g(HHH)
CEPC	10 <sup>6</sup>	~o(1)	Clean environment & Absolute measurement, Percentage level accuracy of Higgs width & Couplings

19/03/19

xFitter@Minsk

### Principle of the detector design





- Performance: Precisely reconstruct all the physics objects:
  - lepton, photon, tau, Jet, MET, Jet Flavor-Charge, and Charged Kaon
- Technical: Operational & Tolerable systematic uncertainty
  - Stability, Homogeneity, Robustness, Cost, Integration, In-situ monitoring
- Minimal requirements:
  - Lepton recoil at IIH
  - Jet recoil at qqH
- Essential for the Higgs model-independent analysis, especially, the Higgs inclusive cross section, the Higgs width, the Higgs invisible, Higgs exotic...

### Two classes of Detector Concepts

- PFA Oriented concept using High Granularity Calorimeter
  - + TPC (ILD-like, **Baseline**)
  - + Silicon tracking (SiD-like)



Wire Chamber + Dual Readout Calorimeter





https://indico.ihep.ac.cn/event/6618/

19/03/19

https://agenda.infn.it/conferenceOtherViews.py?view=standard&confld=14816

xFitter@Minsk





# CEPC Baseline



Performance at
Lepton
Kaon
Photon
Tau
JET

### **Tracking & ECAL**



12

### **Clustering - Separation**





19/03/19



Highly appreciated in flavor physics @ CEPC Z pole TPC dEdx + ToF of 50 ps

Eur. Phys. J. C (2018) 78:464

At inclusive Z pole sample:

Conservative estimation gives efficiency/purity of 91%/94% (2-20 GeV, 50% degrading +50 ps ToF) Could be improved to 96%/96% by better detector/DAQ performance (20% degrading + 50 ps ToF) 19/03/19 xFitter@Minsk 15

### Tau finding at hadronic events



an overall efficiency\*purity higher than 70% is achieved for qqTT, and qqTV events

Zhigang Wu, CEPC CDR

19/03/19

xFitter@Minsk

### An Analysis Example: g(HTT) at qqH



- TAURUS: di-tau system
- The rest particles are identified as the di-jet: to distinguish the ZZ/ZH background & Improves the accuracy by more than a factor of 2: BMR < 4% (baseline of 3.8%) is crucial
- Isolated tracks are intensionally defined as tau candidate: be distinguished by the VTX

#### Dan Yu's thesis

### **Massive Boson Separation**



xFitter@Minsk

*Plot: the visible mass without the muon* 

CEPC-RECO-2018-002 (DocDB id-171),

19/03/19

Eur. Phys. J. C (2018) 78: 426

#### Separation at full hadronic WW-ZZ events



### **Physics Objects**



19/03/19

xFitter@Minsk

### **Higgs Signals**



Clear Higgs Signature in all SM decay modes

Massive production of the SM background (2 fermion and 4 fermions) at the full Simulation level

*Right corner: di-tau mass distribution at qqH events using collinear approximation* 19/03/19 xFitter@Minsk

### Model-independent measurement of $\sigma(ZH)$

#### **CEPC** Preliminary Event/2.0 CEPC Preliminary =125 GeV) $pol(e^{-}, e^{+}) = (0, 0)$ $Z \rightarrow e^*e^{-1}; \int Ldt = 5 ab^{-1}$ $Z \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ ; Ldt = 5 ab<sup>-1</sup> → qaqq 3000 250 Ldt = 5ab<sup>-1</sup> 1500 qqqq CEPC Simulation **CEPC Simulation** - qall Entries/0.2 GeV 0001 0001 0001 0001 GeV R Fit +B Fit qq 200 Background Background Entries/0.25 0.9% 0.65% .5% 1000 150 100 500 50 125 $M_{recoil}^{\mu^{\star}\mu^{-}}$ [GeV] **120** 135 140 **120** 125 130 135 140 100 110 120 130 140 150 m<sub>recoi</sub>[GeV] M<sup>e\*e\*</sup><sub>recoil</sub>[GeV]

Zhenxing Chen & Yacine Haddad

• Recoil mass measurement: Combined precision:  $\delta\sigma(ZH)/\sigma(ZH) = 0.5\% - \delta g(HZZ)/g(HZZ) = 0.25\%$ 

## Higgs width measurement

- $g^{2}(HXX) \sim \Gamma_{H \rightarrow XX} = \Gamma_{total}^{*}Br(H \rightarrow XX)$
- Branching ratios: determined simply by -  $\sigma(ZH)$  and  $\sigma(ZH)^*Br(H\rightarrow XX)$
- $\Gamma_{total}$ : determined from:
  - − From  $\sigma$ (ZH) (~g<sup>2</sup>(HZZ)) and  $\sigma$ (ZH)\*Br(H→ZZ) (~g<sup>4</sup>(HZZ)/Γ<sub>total</sub>)
  - From  $\sigma(ZH)^*Br(H\rightarrow bb)$ ,  $\sigma(vvH)^*Br(H\rightarrow bb)$ ,  $\sigma(ZH)^*Br(H\rightarrow WW)$ ,  $\sigma(ZH)$



Br(H->ZZ): relative error of 6.9% achieved with ZH->ZZZ\*->vv(Z)llqq(H) final states. Extrapolation of TLEP result leads to 4.3% relative error

 $\sigma(vvH)^*Br(H->bb)$ : relative error of 2.8%

A combined accuracy of 2.8% for the Higgs total width measurements 19/03/19 xFitter@Minsk

### Higgs exotic decays

95% C.L. upper limit on selected Higgs Exotic Decay BR



### Applied on Higgs physics, et.al





#### **Precision Higgs Physics at CEPC**

Initial assessments of Higgs physics potential at the CEPC based on the white paper (to be submitted)

Chinese Physics C Vol. XX, No. X (201X) 010201

#### Precision Higgs Physics at the CEPC<sup>\*</sup>

Fenfen An<sup>4,21</sup> Yu Bai<sup>9</sup> Chunhui Chen<sup>21</sup> Xin Chen<sup>5</sup> Zhenxing Chen<sup>3</sup> Joao Guimaraes da Costa<sup>4</sup>
 Zhenwei Cui<sup>3</sup> Yaquan Fang<sup>4,6</sup> Chengdong Fu<sup>4</sup> Jun Gao<sup>10</sup> Yanyan Gao<sup>20</sup> Yuanning Gao<sup>5</sup>
 Shao-Feng Ge<sup>15,27</sup> Jiayin Gu<sup>13</sup> Fangyi Guo<sup>1,4</sup> Jun Guo<sup>10,11</sup> Tao Han<sup>5,29</sup> Shuang Han<sup>4</sup>
 Hong-Jian He<sup>10,11</sup> Xianke He<sup>10</sup> Xiao-Gang He<sup>10,11</sup> Jifeng Hu<sup>10</sup> Shih-Chieh Hu<sup>10</sup> Shan Jin<sup>8</sup>
 Maoqiang Jing<sup>4,7</sup> Ryuta Kiuchi<sup>4</sup> Chia-Ming Kuo<sup>19</sup> Pei-Zhu Lai<sup>19</sup> Boyang Li<sup>5</sup> Congqiao Li<sup>3</sup> Gang Li<sup>4</sup>
 Haifeng Li<sup>12</sup> Liang Li<sup>10</sup> Shu Li<sup>10,11</sup> Tong Li<sup>12</sup> Qiang Li<sup>3</sup> Hao Liang<sup>4,6</sup> Zhijun Liang<sup>4</sup>
 Libo Liao<sup>4</sup> Bo Liu<sup>4,24</sup> Jianbei Liu<sup>1</sup> Tao Liu<sup>1</sup> Zhon Liu<sup>4,2,28</sup> Xinchou Lou<sup>4,6,31</sup> Lianliang Ma<sup>12</sup>
 Bruce Mellado<sup>17</sup> Xin Mo<sup>4</sup> Mila Pandurovic<sup>16</sup> Jianming Qian<sup>2</sup> Zhuoni Qian<sup>18</sup>
 Nikolaos Rompotis<sup>20</sup> Manqi Ruan<sup>4</sup> Alex Schuy<sup>30</sup> Lian-Yao Wang<sup>4,5</sup> Yuqian Wai<sup>4</sup>
 Shufang Su<sup>23</sup> Dayong Wang<sup>5</sup> Jing Wang<sup>4</sup> Lian-Tao Wang<sup>35</sup> Yifang Wang<sup>4,6</sup> Yuqian Wei<sup>4</sup>
 Yue Xu<sup>5</sup> Haijun Yang<sup>10,11</sup> Weiming Yao<sup>26</sup> Dan Yu<sup>4</sup> Kaili Zhang<sup>4,6</sup> Zhaoru Zhang<sup>4</sup>

*https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.09037.pdf* xFitter@Minsk

### Pheno-studies: EFT & Physics reach

#### precision reach at CEPC with different sets of measurements



The Physics reach could be largely enhanced if the EW measurements is combined With the Higgs measurements (in the EFT)

xFitter@Minsk

# Summary

- CEPC, a super Higgs/W/Z factory
- Physics Potential
  - Higgs:
    - Absolute determination of Higgs couplings, width...
    - 1 order of magnitude improvement w.r.t HL-LHC (Signal Strength)
    - Exotic decay: 2-3 orders of magnitude better than HL-LHC
  - EW: boost by at least 1 order of magnitude
  - Rich program on Flavor physics
- Performance at the baseline design
  - High efficiency/accuracy reconstruction of all key physics objects
  - Clear Higgs signature in all SM Higgs decay modes
  - Clear distinguish between the Signal and SM backgrounds
  - Fulfills the physics requirements of the CEPC Higgs operation

# Summary

- CDR in finalization: long to do list towards the TDR
  - Physics Potential study:
    - Pheno Study & Systematic control
    - Higgs Differential measurements
    - QCD, Flavor, EW...
    - Dedicated discussion on July 1-5<sup>th</sup>, at Peking University of Beijing
  - Detector design & optimization:
    - Lots of efforts needed, to bridging the CDR design to TDR & construction: especially the integration & systematic controls
    - Multiple IP: new ideas are always welcome
  - Software, Reconstruction, Algorithms, Analysis tools...

#### • You ideas, supports & participations are essential!

### backup

#### The Simu-Reco Chain at CEPC



#### Higgs benchmark analyses



### Tracking



### **Clustering - Separation**



#### Hang Zhao. CEPC CDR

19/03/19

xFitter@Minsk

### Reconstruction of $Ks(\Lambda)$ at Z pole (Preliminary)



Efficiency = Correctly reconstructed  $Ks(\Lambda)/Ks(\Lambda)$  with 2 tracks reconstructed Purity = Correctly reconstructed  $Ks(\Lambda)/All$  reconstructed  $Ks(\Lambda)$ 

Perfect PID = Perfect identification of pions, charged kaons, and (anti-)protons 19/03/19 *Taifan Zhen, Preliminary* xFitter@Minsk

### Photons – conversion & efficiency



In the barrel region: Roughly 6-10% of the photons converts before reaching the Calorimeter.

For the unconverted photon: A critical energy of 200 MeV is observed.

### Photon: resolution



19/03/19

# Pi0: efficiency & mass resolution (Preliminary)



Arbor parameter & Photon Id parameters need further optimization...

xFitter@Minsk

### Jets – color singlet

- Boson Mass Resolution: Total reconstructed mass of hadronic events
  - 3.8% at baseline (benchmarked with vvH,  $H \rightarrow$  gluons process)
  - Applied to event with one color singlet fragments into jets
    - W, Z, H signal separation at lvqq, II(vv)+qq events (Appreciated in Triplet Gauge Boson Coupling measurements)
    - Analysis of qqH, Higgs decays into non-jet final states, for example, qqH, H→taus, inv, photons, muons...
    - ...
- Single Jet Response (Jet energy scale/resolution)
  - Differential measurements with jet directions
  - Applied to events with more than one color singlet fragment into jets
    - WW/ZZ/ZH event separation in 4-jet final state
    - ...

### Jet confusion: the leading term



- Separation be characterized by
- Final state/MC particles are clustered into Reco/Genjet with ee-kt, and paired according to chi2
- WW-ZZ Separation at the inclusive sample:
  - Intrinsic boson mass/width lower limit: Overlapping ratio of 13%
  - + Jet confusion Genjet: Overlapping ratio of 53%
  - + Detector response Recojet: Overlapping ratio of 58%

overlapping ratio = 
$$\sum_{bins} min(a_i, b_i)$$
  
 $\chi^2 = rac{(M_{12}-M_B)^2+(M_{34}-M_B)^2}{\sigma_B^2}$ 

### Jet Energy Scale & Resolution



- JER ~ 3.5% 5.5% for E ~ 20 100 GeV Jets
- Both Superior to LHC experiments by 3-4 times xFitter@Minsk 40

#### Peizhu LAI

### Separation of full hadronic WW-ZZ event



- Low energy jets! (20 120 GeV)
- Typical multiplicity ~ o(100)
- WW-ZZ Separation: determined by
  - Intrinsic boson mass/width
  - Jet confusion from color single reconstruction jet clustering & pairing
  - Detector response



#### Separation of full hadronic WW-77 event



The CEPC Baseline could separate efficiently the WW-ZZ with full hadronic final state.

Critical to develop color singlet reconstruction: improve from the naive Jet clustering & pairing.

Quantified by differential overlapping ratio.

Control of ISR photon/neutrinos from heavy flavor jet is important.

# Summary

- The Particle Flow oriented detector is well established and serves as the baseline detector for the CEPC CDR studies
  - High efficiency/accuracy reconstruction of all key physics objects;
  - Clear Higgs signature in all SM Higgs decay mode
  - Mature software/reconstruction tool/team
- APODIS, Optimized for the CEPC collision environments
  - Significantly reduced B-Field (15%), #readout channels (75% in ECAL) & HCAL layer-thickness (20%) & cost (15%/30% w.r.t CEPC-v1/ILD)
  - Same Higgs performance & enhanced Pid Performance
  - Iterate with hardware studies
- Todo:
  - Physics study, especially flavor tagging & EW measurements (τ leptons)
  - Towards the TDR, Integration, Sub detector modeling, Systematic Studies

### 软件队伍





成栋:几何及 寻迹

 新人:赵祥虎
 于丹:轻子甄别
 新人:赖培筑
 安芬芬:

 软件-计算
 PFA, tau
 喷注
 Pid, 软件

吴志刚 顶点优化



#### Benchmark detector for CDR: APODIS (A PFA Oriented Detector for HIggS factory. a.k.a CEPC\_v4)



	qqH au au	<i>qqH</i> inclusive bkg	ZH inclusive bkg	ZZ	WW	singleW	singleZ	2f
total generated (scaled to $5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$ )	45597	678158	357249	5711445	44180832	17361538	7809747	418595861
1st preselection	45465	677854	310245	5039286	42425195	1267564	1398362	148401031
2nd preselection	45145	174650	226059	293306	12452091	125735	117306	547402
$N_{ au^+} > 0, N_{ au^-} > 0$	24674	7342	33721	93955	723989	33887	54386	103642
$20 GeV < M_{\tau^+ \tau^-} < 120 GeV$	24284	6290	32344	88245	597480	24927	36039	56615
$70 GeV < M_{qq}$ <110 GeV	22937	2103	4887	65625	21718	738	1893	556
$100 GeV < M_{qq}^{Rec} < 170 GeV$	22703	2045	4524	23789	13154	315	306	193
efficiency	49.97%	0.31%	1.26%	0.41%	0.04%	<0.01%	<0.01%	< 0.01%

**Table 9** Cut Flow of MC sample for  $qqH \rightarrow \tau\tau$  selection on signal and inclusive SM backgrounds

### **Benchmark measurements**



### Key SOFT ingredients



### http://cepcsoft.ihep.ac.cn/

CEPC Software	Guides Releases Packages News GitLab	E
Introduction - Installation and Quick Start - Quick Start Install CEPC Software	Install CEPC Software Estimated reading time: 3 minutes This page will guide you on fully installing CEPC software on the local machine. Install CEPCEnv	<ul> <li>Edit this page</li> <li>Request docs changes</li> <li>Issues in GitLab</li> <li>C</li> </ul>
CEPC Software on CVMFS Docker Image CEPCEnv	CEPCEnv is a tool used for managing the installation and environment of CEPC software. In order to install CEPC software, the CEPCEnv toolkit should be installed first. Install CEPCEnv with the following command:	Install CEPCEnv Initialize CEPCEnv Initialize CEPCEnv Install CEPC Software
Software Architecture	curl -sSL http://cepcsoft.ihep.ac.cn/package/cepcenv/sc	Requirements Available CEPC Software Versions
Performance - Analysis Examples -	Change [CEPCENV_DIR] to where you want to install. If CEPCENV_DIR is omitted, CEPCEnv will be installed in the current directory.	Install CEPC Software Configure CEPC Software Root Setup CEPC Software Envi <u>ronment</u>
Computing •	The setup scripts setup.sh and setup.csh could be found in the directory after the installation. They are used for the initialization of cepcenv command.	Frequently Asked Questions

19/03/19

5 commands & you got the cepcsoft installed on an SL6 machine

# Higgs measurement at e+e- & pp





	Yield	efficiency	Comments	
LHC	Run 1: 10 <sup>6</sup> ~o(10 <sup>-3</sup> ) Run 2/HL: 10 <sup>7-8</sup>		High Productivity & High background, Relative Measurements, Limited access to width, exotic ratio, etc, Direct access to g(ttH), and even g(HHH)	
CEPC	10 <sup>6</sup>	~o(1)	Clean environment & Absolute measurement, Percentage level accuracy of Higgs width & Couplings	

#### Example Working Points & Performance for Object identification (Preliminary)

	Efficiency	Purity	Mis-id Probability from Main Background
Leptons	99.5 – 99.9%	99.5 – 99.9% at Higgs Runs(c.m.s = 240 GeV), Energy dependent	$P(\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow leptons) < 1\%$
Photons*	99.3 – 99.9%	99.5 – 99.9% at Higgs Runs Energy Dependent	P(Neutron → $\gamma$ ) = 1-5%
Charged Kaons**	86 - 99%	90 – 99% at Z pole Runs (c.m.s = 91.2GeV, Track Momentum 2- 20 GeV)	$\mathbb{P}(\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}) = 0.3 - 1.1\%$
b-jets	80%	90% at Z pole runs $(Z \rightarrow qq)$	P(uds → b) = 1% P(c → b) = 10%
c-jets	60%	60% at Z pole runs	P(uds → c) = 5% P(b → c) = 15%

