Neutrinos from NS-NS Mergers

Tohoku University (JSPS research Fellow)

Shigeo S. Kimura

References 1) SSK, Murase, Meszaros, Kiuchi, 2017, ApJL, 848, L4 2) SSK, Murase, Bartos et al. 2018, PRD, 98, 043020

TOHOKU

Collaborators Peter Meszaros, Kohta Murase (Pennsylvania State University) Kunihito Ioka, Kenta Kiuchi (Kyoto University) Imre Bartos (University of Florida) Ik Siong Heng (University of Glasgow)

Theoretical Astrophysics Tohoku University

PAHEN@Berlin Sept. 2019

NS-NS mergers: Multi-messenger Source



- Inspiral to merger: GW emission
- Progenitor of SGRBs:
 —> γ-ray, X-ray, Neutrino
- Kilonova/Macronova
 —> Infrared, Opt
- Afterglow
 —> Radio, X-ray, Cosmic-rays

GW170817

3

- The first detection of NS-NS merger event by GW, radio, IR/opt/UV, X-ray, MeV γ-ray
- Prompt γ-rays are faint
 → low-luminosity SGRB
- UV/Optical/IR counterpart
 → identify host galaxy
 & ejecta production



LIGO 2017 (Multi-messenger paper)





Existence of Jet

Superluminal motion & rapidly fading afterglow



- Superluminal motion & Rapidly declining light curve after 200 days
 —> collimated relativistic jet
- E_{iso} ~ 10⁵² erg, Θ_j ~ 0.1 rad
 —> canonical SGRBs seen from off-axis

Summary of GW170817

- First NS-NS merger seen by GWs and EMs
- Optical/UV/IR counterparts
 —> r-process element production
- Radio & X-ray afterglows

-> NS-NS mergers create relativistic jets

Summary of GW170817

- First NS-NS merger seen by GWs and EMs
- Optical/UV/IR counterparts
 —> r-process element production
- Radio & X-ray afterglows
 - --> NS-NS mergers create relativistic jets

Neutrinos were not detected Need to discuss future prospects

Neutrino Emission Sites



Neutrino Emission Sites



Successful jets (including prolonged activity) SSK et al. 2017; Biehl et al. 2018

NS-NS merger

Choked jets SSK et al. 2018



Merger remnants Gao et al. 2013; Fang & Metzger 2017

wind

envelope

Successful Jets with Prolonged Activity

see e.g. Nakar 2007, Sakamoto et al. 2011, Kisaka et al. 2017



- Standard afterglow: Forward shock model, power-law decay
- Short-time variability, rapid decline —> Late-time engine activity
- Late time activities have comparable total energy to prompt burst
 —> Short GRB is not short

Neutrino Fluences



- Extended emission (EE) can produce neutrinos efficiently
- Low Γ or low $R_{dis} \rightarrow$ high photon density \rightarrow high fluence ϕ
- Two breaks: seed photon spectrum & pion cooling

Waxman & Bahcall 1997



Coincident Detection Probability with Gravitational Waves

NS–NS ($\Delta T = 10$ years)	IC (all)	Gen2 (all)
EE-mod-dist-A	0.11-0.25	0.37–0.69
EE-mod-dist-B	0.16-0.35	0.44–0.77
EE-opt-dist-A	0.76–0.97	0.98–1.00
EE-opt-dist-B	0.65–0.93	0.93–1.00

Wanderman & Piran 15, Nakar + 06

- Based on the observed SGRB rate, we estimate ν detection probability coincident with GWs
- For optimistic case, simultaneous detection of GWs and Vs is highly probable even with IceCube
- Fore moderate case, IceCube-Gen2 is likely to detect neutrinos

Implications from GW170817



- Off-axis flux is lower than the on-axis flux
- Extended emission is not observed from this event
 —> neutrinos from EE may not be observed
- Prompt emission is too dim to detect by IceCube

Neutrino Emission Sites



Breakout Time



- Half of prompt jets will be choked
- Late-time jets can easily penetrate the ejecta

Delayed breakout scenario Prompt jet : **choked** (short duration & dense ejecta) Late-time jet : **breakout** (long duration & dilute ejecta)

Neutrino Fluence from Choked Jets





- Calorimetric system & flat injection spectrum
 - → Neutrino spectrum is flat for ~1-100 TeV
- Bethe-Heitler process suppresses neutrinos for GeV-TeV

Detection Probability Coincident with GWs



Number of detected neutrinos from single event at 40 Mpc

model IceCul	be (up	+hor) IceCube (down) Gen2	(up-	-hor)
${ m A}$ (Optimistic)	2.0	0.16	8.7	
${ m B}$ (Moderate)	0.11	7.0×10^{-3}	0.46	•

Number of detected neutrinos from single event at 300 Mpc

model IceCu	be (up+hor)	IceCube (down)	Gen2 (up+hor)
${ m A}$ (Optimistic)	0.035	2.9×10^{-3}	0.15
${\rm B}$ (Moderate) 1	$.9 \times 10^{-3}$	1.3×10^{-4}	8.1×10^{-3}

- At 40 Mpc, detection is possible even with IceCube
 - \rightarrow v observation can put a limit on physical quantities
- At 300 Mpc, detection is challenging even with Gen2
 - → stacking technic is important

Detection Probability Coincident with GWs



- IceCube can detect neutrinos with a few years of operation with the optimistic model
- Gen2 can detect a coincident neutrino with 10-year operation even for the moderate model

GW +neutrino detection rate $[yr^{-1}]$						
model A (Optimistic) B (Moderate)	IceCube	(up+hor 0.38 0.024	r+down)	Gen2 (up+hor) 1.2 0.091		

Detection Probability Coincident with GWs



- IceCube can detect neutrinos with a few years of
- Choked system

 prompt γ-rays will not be detected

 Multi-messenger with v & GW

 will provide information of choked jets
 without EM counterpart from jets
 model (up+nor+down) Gen2 (up+nor)
 A (Optimistic) 0.38 1.2
 B (Moderate) 0.024 0.091

Neutrino Emission Sites



Neutrinos from Remnants

envelope ock bubble wind NS



- Protons lose energy by synchrotron for $t < 10^5$ s
- Pions are produced, but they lose energy by synchrotron for $10^5 s < t < 4x 10^5 s$
- Efficient neutrino emission around 10⁶ s



X-ray Light Curve

γ-ray Light Curve



- Very bright X-rays & γ -rays unless $B > 10^{16}$ G for $P < \sim 10$ ms
- B should be very high (~10¹⁶ G) or lifetime of magnetar is short

Summary

- NS mergers are interesting multi-messenger sources
- Coincident detection of GWs & vs is possible
- Neutrinos from SGRBs can be detected or put meaningful constraints with IceCube-Gen2
- Neutrino observation is useful to obtain physical quantities in choked jet without prompt γ rays
- Magnetar remnants may produce VHE neutrinos, but magnetar model is disfavored by GW 170817



Thank you for your attention

23