

FORWARD PHOTONS

Borysova Maryna (KINR)



31/01/19

LUXE monthly meeting

The logo for LUXE, featuring the word "LUXE" in a bold, blue, sans-serif font. The letter "X" is stylized with a white starburst or spark effect at its center.

LAYOUT FOR THE LUXE EXPERIMENT

Photons produced at IP1 proceed down their own beamline through the converter foil and the tracking spectrometer





$$e + n\omega \rightarrow e + \gamma$$

$$\gamma + n\omega \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$$

- The data from the two arms of the spectrometer are analyzed independently
- The reconstructed single-particle momentum spectra is compared to a model spectrum calculated by convolving the simulated photon spectrum with the Bethe-Heitler pair spectrum

LAYOUT FOR THE E-144 EXPERIMENT

Photons produced at IP1 proceed down their own beamline through the converter foil and the tracking spectrometer



e-/e+ tracks were reconstructed using the 3 back planes of CCD's. All triplets of points from the back CCD planes of a given arm were tested to see if they fit a line intercepting a region near the center of the spectrometer magnet. This set of candidate tracks included many "fake" tracks from thermal noise, and combinatoric background of points from different particles.

No attempt was made to use the CCDs in the front plane of the spectrometer in this mode, since the high number of hits led to significant ambiguity in the projection from the back planes to the front.

CCD image sensors: pixel size 22.5*22.5 μm


THE CLASSICAL BETHE-HEITLER PAIR SPECTRUM

The classical Bethe-Heitler formula is currently used:

H.Bethe, W.Heitler, Proc.Roy.Soc.A146 (34)83


$$\Phi(E_0) dE_0 = \frac{Z^2}{137} \left(\frac{e^2}{mc^2} \right)^2 4 \frac{E_0 + 2E_+^2 + \frac{2}{3}E_0E_+}{(h\nu)^3} dE_0 \left(\log \frac{2E_0E_+}{h\nu mc^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

energies involved are large compared with mc^2



The idea - to check if any photon spectrum could be restored if we have the classical BH distribution and characteristic shapes of photon spectrum


TESTING: EXPONENTIAL



```
const int ngeparams = 2;
// double gtestprm[ngparams] = {100.0, 17.5}; // linear
double gtestprm[ngparams] = {105.0, 0.3}; // exp
double emin = 0.0;
double emax = 17.5;
```

BH

$$E_e = \int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e) g(E_\gamma) dE_\gamma$$




$$\int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e) g(E_\gamma, p1, p2) dE_\gamma$$


fitting allows finding the parameters with high precision

```
*****
Minimizer is Minuit / Migrad
Chi2          = 8.52694e-11
NDf           = 98
Edm           = 1.70646e-10
NCalls        = 167
p0            = 105 +/- 1.72634e-07
p1            = 0.3 +/- 1.73966e-10
```


TESTING: COMPTON-LIKE



$$E_e = \int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e) g(E_\gamma) dE_\gamma$$



```
// double gtestprm[ngeparams] = {100.0, 17.5}; // linear
// double gtestprm[ngeparams] = {105.0, 0.3}; // exp
const int ngeparams = 3; double gtestprm[ngeparams] = {2.0, 2.0, 8.0}; // parabola like Compton
```


$$\int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e) g(E_\gamma, p1, p2) dE_\gamma$$

fitting allows finding the parameters quite well :


```
*****
Minimizer is Minuit / MigradImproved
Chi2          = 5.92197e-07
Ndf           = 97
Edm           = 1.27179e-06
NCalls        = 342
p0            = 1.9899 +/- 0.00109921
p1            = 1.99569 +/- 0.000468708
p2            = 7.99435 +/- 0.000639219
```


PHOTON SPECTRA VS LASER INTENSITIES



- plot from Anthony



FORWARD PHOTONS IN GEANT4





target: Tungsten, 0.35 μm
1e8 photons



TESTING: COMPTON-LIKE

$$E_e = \int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e) g(E_\gamma) dE_\gamma$$



$$\int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e) g(E_\gamma, p1, p2) dE_\gamma$$

fitting allows finding the parameters quite well :

FCN=1309.19 FROM HESSE STATUS=OK				39 CALLS		442 TOTAL	
EDM=9.77144e-09 STRATEGY= 1				ERROR MATRIX ACCURATE			
EXT	PARAMETER	VALUE	ERROR	STEP	FIRST	DERIVATIVE	
NO.	NAME						
1	p0	0.00000e+00	fixed				
2	p1	3.71863e-05	1.18274e-07	7.47299e-08	-9.55179e+00		
3	p2	5.00872e+00	2.75457e-03	2.31805e-06	2.53148e-02		
4	p3	0.00000e+00	fixed				
5	p4	1.02419e-07	7.39607e-09	7.48765e-08**	at limit **		
6	p5	7.38500e+00	8.55688e-02	1.42343e-05	-1.88485e-03		
7	p6	2.16581e-09	1.14383e-09	3.41734e-06	8.55195e-03		

WHAT'S NEXT



- 1st draft of code for modeling arbitrary spectrum of primary particles in Geant 4
- test if we could fit and find other parameters describing the process: target material (Z), its thickness, number of kinematic edges of photon spectra.
- To use Bethe-Heitler formula, corrected and extended for various effects (the screening, correction to the Born approximation, the LPM suppression mechanism, etc.)

BACK UP

TESTING: COMPTON-LIKE

$$E_e = \int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e) g(E_\gamma) dE_\gamma$$

```
double gtestprm[ngeparams] = {100.0, 17.5}; // linear
double gtestprm[ngeparams] = {105.0, 0.3}; // exp
const int ngeparams = 5; double gtestprm[ngeparams] = {1.2e-8, 2.5, 1e-8, 0.0, 1e-10};
```



$$\int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e) g(E_\gamma, p_1, p_2) dE_\gamma$$

fitting allows finding the parameters quite well :

```
*****
Minimizer is Minuit / MigradImproved
Chi2          = 6.09809e-07
NDf           = 96
Edm           = 1.21973e-06
NCalls        = 404
p0            = 1.20003e-08 +/- 6.73267e-14
p1            = 2.50003 +/- 5.02686e-06
p2            = 1.00002e-08 +/- 5.23111e-14
p3            = 0 (fixed)
p4            = 9.99282e-11 +/- 1.04159e-14
```