## **Tracker Material Budget Re-validation**

Alexandra Tews

alexandra.tews@desy.de

TRK POG Meeting Monday the 15<sup>th</sup> of July 2019

## **Problem: z expansion**

- Z-dependent tracker alignment bias (know for a long time)
- Overview talk by Rainer Mankel (DESY) for the Tracker Alignment Group during CMS Week in June https://indico.cern.ch/event/827959/contributions/3467968/attachments/1868074/3072653/zExpansion-20190625.pdf
- Appears in simulation and real data
- Extra effort to keep z expansion under control, usually achieved by fine-tuned weighting of different event typologies
- Most pronounced in minimum bias events
- Only observed in TID and TEC modules
- Direction of effect must be independent of particle charge, otherwise netto effect would be zero



From: Matthias Schröder, Juan Grados, Nazar Stefaniuk, 2015 https://indico.cern.ch/event/389176/contributions/1821113/attachments/778085/1066964/Stefaniuk\_Z\_shr.pdf

## Model for z expansion by Rainer Mankel



### **Relevant Material**



- Big amounts of dense material in end cap regions, not directly associated to sensors
- Easily leads to momentum shifts between TID, TEC and the remaining tracker

Thomas Hauth (CERN) [2]: https://indico.cern.ch/event/279530/contributions/634997/attachments/511926/706535/Hauth\_2014-03-LLPC.pdf

# Task: Review of the assignement of material in the simulated CMS tracker

- At a given eta: total amount of material in reasonable agreement (reco to sim) [Studies of Tracker Material, CMS-PAS-TRK-10-003]
- Problem in treatment of passive localized material layers (such as services)
- Taking at look at:
  https://github.com/cms-sw/cmssw/blob/66cf5e6a8338b73321de8b373f99b17bb1b1b6d0/SimTracker/TrackerMaterialAnalysis/plugins/TrackingMaterialAnalyser.cc
- Along the full trajectory (at each position in the tracker) amount of crossed material must be known (multiple scattering etc.)
- Each track is split in segments, each associated to a *sensitive* detector in a detector layer,
  - a) assign each segment to the <u>nearest layer</u> e.g. all material *between* BPix 3 and TIB 1 will be split among the two
  - b) assign each segment to the the inner layer e.g. all material between BPix 3 and TIB 1 will go into the pixel barrel
  - c) assign each segment to the the outer layer e.g. all material between BPix 3 and TIB 1 will go into the TIB
- Default: a) nearest layer
- This splitting of material can be highly sub-optimal and lead to major biases in the trajectory in either directions
- Review of material assignment necessary

e.g. sharing based on distance should be adopted, or introducing passive layers

#### First steps:

- Image material distribution of the detector with current material assignment (splitting modes)
  - Updated workflow for material budget analysis for Phase I geometry See: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/viewauth/CMS/TrackingPOGRecoMaterialFromGeometry
- Generated sample of 10 000 single-neutrino events (neutrino gun)
- Passage of neutrinos through material of the simulated CMS detector is tracked in Geant4

### Next steps...

- Find a good set of control plots/variables
- Include material budget comparison plots in them in the MTV workflow
- Redo sim. of tracker without big support structures (keep just material that is local to sensitive layers)
- Model of material with this "light-weighted" tracker version
- Compare / see if z expansion is cured
- Development of a weighted splitting mode (weight = distance from active layer?)
- Long term: inclusion of inactive layers in track propagation methods (e.g. ghost-layers with properly-flagged missing hits)

Thoughts / Remarks / Feedback always welcome! Thanks to Marco Rovere for continuous help! Thank you!



### CMS Tracker (Phase 0)



## **Problem: z expansion**

- Band structure can be easily associated to ring of modules
- Strongest for innermost ring, and weakest for outermost ring
- $\Delta z \propto 1/r$
- ∆z ∝ z
- $\Delta z \propto z/r = \cot(\theta)$
- Helix trajectory:
  - $r = -2 QR \sin \phi$ ,
  - $z = -2 QR \phi \cot \theta$

#### $\Rightarrow \Delta z \propto \cot \theta, \propto \Delta(QR) \phi \cot \theta$

- An increasing  $\Delta$  QR deviation along the trajectory might explain the effect
- e.g. mis-modeling of momentum (energy loss)
- Subdetectors with limited r resolution: TID, TEC



## Model for z expansion: min bias events

- Minimum bias events have a unique coverage of the very forward region unlike other data sets
- Minimum bias is the main provider of tracks at large  $|\cot \theta|$ , would explain why it is a driver of z expansion



## Assumption: momentum mismodeling through wrongly estimated energy loss in tracking stations

Test1:

- Apply a tuning factor f = 4 to the energy loss correction (few MeV) in each tracking step within TID or TEC =>cures the twist and expansion
- Momentum corrections at the sub-permille level (few MeV cp. to some GeV track pt) in the end caps have a huge influence on z expansion

Test 2:

- Geant4 contains an error propagation package, named Geant4e [2]
- Trajectory state defined by: momentum, position, charge, particle type, error matrix
- Trajectory state can be propagated to any target surface, considering magnetic field and material defined in Geant4
- Situation improves roughly by ~factor 3, both z expansion and twist

# Task: Review of the assignement material in the simulated CMS tracker

- Geant4e propagation too costly for standard use
- Investigate possible improvements in the material description used by the standard re-fitters
- Problem is not in sim. material, but in material considerations in track propagation
  - e.g. no inactive (dense) layers in Kalman Filter

#### First steps:

- Image material distribution of the detector
- Study current material assignment (i.e. dense material splitting mode), and available alternatives
- Find a better solution (split mode) for assignment of dense material (to active modules)

#### Imaging material distribution:

- For each step of the particle's spatial trajectory:
- Plot fraction of the total radiation length or total hadronic interaction length of its trajectory
- Breakdown into sub-detectors (TEC, TOB, TIB and TID and Pixel Phase 1)
- Beam pipe and support tube are included in the material budge

## **Validation process**

- Neutrinos interact minimally with detector material and have straight trajectories
- Generated sample of 10 000 single-neutrino events (neutrino gun)
- Passage of neutrinos through material of the simulated CMS detector is tracked in Geant4
- Each neutrino is incrementally stepped through the detector material
- Compute energy loss based on the electron density of the traversed material and its radiation length
- For step length x:
- $<\!dE\!> = 0.5 * K * N_e * \Delta x / N_A$

 $K = 4 * pi * N_A * re^2 * m_e * c^2 [MeV cm^2 / mol]$ 

N<sub>A</sub> is Avogadro's number [1/mol]

N<sub>e</sub> is electron density (internally computed by Geant4)

- Calculate fractional radiation length / hadronic interaction length of that step for traversed material
- · Compute final average radiation length and average energy loss
- Read out characteristic radiation length /hadronic interaction length of the simulated material (.txt file)
- Each material is classified in: Support, Sensitive, Cables, Cooling, Electronics, Air and Other
- Many materials belong to more than one category
- Their radiation length and hadronic interaction length are broken down according to the fractional contribution

# Material budget in radiation length and hadronic interaction length

Material Budget Tracker



