



#### Searches for an exotic decay of the Higgs boson to a pair of pseudoscalars in CMS

#### Danyer Pérez Adán

#### On behalf of the CMS collaboration



Image: A math a math

### Outline

#### Motivation

- $h(125) 
  ightarrow a_1 a_1$  searches in CMS at 13 TeV
  - Resolved Topology
    - $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau \tau \mu \mu$
    - $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow bb \tau \tau$
    - $h(125) \rightarrow a_1a_1 \rightarrow bb\mu\mu$
  - Boosted Topology
    - $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu \mu$
    - $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau \tau \tau \tau$
- Summary of the results
- Conclusion

| Danyer Pérez Adán | Da |  | Pérez |  |
|-------------------|----|--|-------|--|
|-------------------|----|--|-------|--|

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Motivation

#### The 125 GeV Higgs boson

#### $BR_{BSM} < 34\%$

Combined ATLAS and CMS coupling analysis for the Run1 Data

#### 2HDM

- One of the simplest possible extensions of the SM
- They play an important role in:
  - Supersymmetry: holomorphy and cancellation of anomalies
  - Axion models: imposing Peccei and Quinn symmetry only possible if there are two Higgs doublets
  - Baryon asymmetry: it could contain additional sources of CP violation

However, 2HDMs are by now strongly constrained from existing data

#### 2HDM+S

- The current constraints can be avoided by:
  - assuming that the 2HDM is in the decoupling limit  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \frac{\pi}{2}$ the couplings of h(125) become SM-like or very close to SM-like
  - adding one complex scalar singlet  $S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S_R + iS_I)$ it only couples to  $H_{1,2}$  and it is allowed to have small mixing with these

[arXiv:1606.02266]

#### Motivation

#### Light Pseudoscalar $(a_1)$

• The 2HDM+S contains 7 physical states:


2 charged  $(H^+,H^-)$ , 3 CP-even  $(h_1,h_2,h_3)$  and 2 CP-odd  $(a_1,a_2)$ 

 $a_1$  is the mostly-singlet-like pseudoscalar  $a_1 = cos( heta_{a_1})S_I + sin( heta_{a_1})A$   $heta_{a_1} \ll 1$ 

• There exist scenarios in which  $a_1$  is lighter than the SM-like Higgs, namely:

$$m_{a_1} < rac{m_{h(125)}}{2} pprox$$
 63 GeV

in this case, there are exotic Higgs decays of the form:  $h(125) \rightarrow a_1a_1 \rightarrow X\overline{X}Y\overline{Y}$ 




Resolved Topology

#### $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau \tau \mu \mu$

- Mass range probed:  $15.0 < m_{a_1} < 62.5 \text{ GeV}$
- Production modes of h(125) considered: gluon fusion (ggH) and vector boson fusion (VBF)
- $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau$  events are also treated as part of the signal by means of the relation:

$$\frac{B(a_1 \to \mu\mu)}{B(a_1 \to \tau\tau)} = \frac{m_\mu^2 \sqrt{1 - (2m_\mu/m_{a_1})^2}}{m_\tau^2 \sqrt{1 - (2m_\tau/m_{a_1})^2}}$$
(1)

- Four different final states were covered:  $\mu\mu + e\mu$ ,  $\mu\mu + e\tau_h$ ,  $\mu\mu + \mu\tau_h$  and  $\mu\mu + \tau_h\tau_h$
- Signal extraction: unbinned maximum-likelihood fit based on the  $m_{\mu\mu}$  distribution
- The shape and yield of the backgrounds are estimated from data



31/07/2019 5 / 12

[arXiv:1805.04865]

 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$ 

Resolved Topology

#### $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow b b \tau \tau$


- Mass range probed:  $15 < m_{a_1} < 60 \text{ GeV}$
- Production modes of h(125) considered: ggH, VBF and associated vector boson (VH)
- Three different final states were covered:  $b_{tagged \ge 1} + e\mu$ ,  $b_{tagged \ge 1} + e\tau_h$  and  $b_{tagged \ge 1} + \mu\tau_h$

[arXiv:1805.10191]

• Categorization (4 cats.) according to the variables:

 $m_{b\tau\tau}^{vis} \qquad m_T(e(\mu), \overrightarrow{p}_T^{miss}) \qquad m_T(\mu(\tau_h), \overrightarrow{p}_T^{miss}) \qquad D_{\zeta}[*]$ 

- Signal extraction: binned maximum-likelihood fit based on the  $m_{ au au}^{
  m vis}$  distribution
- The backgrounds are estimated from a combination of simulation and data




Resolved Topology

#### $h(125) ightarrow a_1 a_1 ightarrow bb \mu \mu$

- Mass range probed:  $20.0 < m_{a_1} < 62.5 \text{ GeV}$
- Production modes of h(125) considered: ggH and VBF
- Final state:  $b_{tagged} b_{tagged} + \mu \mu$
- Events are selected if they have  $\chi^2 < 5$ :

 $\chi^2 = \chi_{bb}^2 + \chi_h^2 \qquad \qquad \chi_{bb} = \frac{m_{bb} - m_{\mu\mu}}{\sigma_{bb}} \qquad \qquad \chi_h = \frac{m_{\mu\mu}bb - m_h}{\sigma_h}$ 

- Categorization (3 cats.) according to the b tagging discriminator value of one of the jets: Tight-Tight Tight-Medium Tight-Loose
- Signal extraction: unbinned maximum-likelihood fit based on the m<sub>µµ</sub> distribution
- The background estimation fully relies on data by using the discrete profiling method



◆□▶ <□▷▶ < 문▶ < 문▶ · 문 · ♡</p>

[arXiv:1812.06359]

Danyer Pérez Adán

 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$ 


31/07/2019 7 / 12

Boosted Topology

#### $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu \mu \mu \mu$

[arXiv:1812.00380]

- Mass range probed:  $0.25 < m_{a_1} < 3.55$  GeV
- Production mode of h(125) considered: ggH
- Final state:  $\mu\mu + \mu\mu$
- Events are selected if they fulfill the relation m<sub>(μμ)1</sub> ≃ m<sub>(μμ)2</sub>, as shown in the figure
- Signal extraction: unbinned maximum-likelihood fit based on the 2D  $m_{(\mu\mu)1}$  vs.  $m_{(\mu\mu)2}$  distribution
- The estimation of the main background contribution  $(b\overline{b})$  is from data
  - ullet The prompt double  $J/\psi$  and electroweak backgrounds are modelled from data and simulation respectively
- \* Total expected background events:  $9.90 \pm 1.24(stat) \pm 1.84(syst)$




**Observed events:** 13

Boosted Topology


#### $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau \tau \tau \tau$ NEW!

- Mass range probed: 4  $< m_{a_1} < 15$  GeV
- Production mode of h(125) considered: ggH, VBF, VH and top quark pair associated production (ttH)
- $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \mu \mu \tau \tau$  events are also included as part of the signal by using Eq. 1
- Final state covered:  $\mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}_{one-prong} + \mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}_{one-prong}$  (See sketch)
- Events are selected if they have exactly two isolated  $\mu^{\pm} trk^{\mp}$  pairs within a cone of size  $\Delta R = 0.5$




[CMS-PAS-HIG-18-006]

- Signal extraction: binned maximum-likelihood fit based on the 2D  $m_{(\mu-trk)1}$  vs.  $m_{(\mu-trk)2}$  distribution
- The background modelling is based on data, although some auxiliary tests also include simulation



#### Summary of the results



Danyer Pérez Adán

 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$ 

31/07/2019 10 / 12

#### Conclusion

#### • • •

- Many  $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1$  searches performed in different decay channels and final states
- The searches cover a large variety of 2HDM+S models
  - Almost all possible masses for the *a*<sub>1</sub> boson have been probed, having to deal with different boosted regimes
  - · Scenarios comprising all types of fermion coupling have been tested
- No sign of  $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1$  decay yet ...
- · Limits are becoming more stringent as more data is added
- Other interesting analyses ongoing:
  - $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow bbbb$  (first time in CMS)
  - $h(125) 
    ightarrow a_1 a_1 
    ightarrow au au \mu \mu$  (for light  $a_1$  masses)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Conclusion

#### • • •

- Many  $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1$  searches performed in different decay channels and final states
- The searches cover a large variety of 2HDM+S models
  - Almost all possible masses for the  $a_1$  boson have been probed, having to deal with different boosted regimes
  - · Scenarios comprising all types of fermion coupling have been tested
- No sign of  $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1$  decay yet ...
- Limits are becoming more stringent as more data is added
- Other interesting analyses ongoing:
  - $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow bbbb$  (first time in CMS)
  - $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau \tau \mu \mu$  (for light  $a_1$  masses)

# Thanks for your attention!

# Backup


Danyer Pérez Adán


 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$ 

31/07/2019 13 / 12

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### $BR(a_1 \rightarrow X\overline{X})$ for types of 2HDM+S models






Danyer Pérez Adán


 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$ 


★ ▲ 王 → 王 → ○ へ ○
 31/07/2019 14 / 12


<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau \tau \mu \mu$ 











 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$ 

#### $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow b b \tau \tau$






▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲国ト ▲国ト 三国 - 釣Aの


Danyer Pérez Adán

 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$ 


31/07/2019 16 / 12


## $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow b b \mu \mu$





イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト






Danyer Pérez Adán

 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$ 

 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow \tau \tau \tau \tau$ 

Background model constructed as:

 $f_{2D}(i,j) = C(i,j) \cdot (f_{1D}(i) \cdot f_{1D}(j))$ (2)



 < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

 $h(125) \rightarrow a_1 a_1 \rightarrow X \overline{X} Y \overline{Y}$