Three Mysteries of Matter

Marcela Carena Theoretical Physics Department, Fermilab Enrico Fermi Institute, U. Chicago



Landesexzellenzinitiative Hamburg DESY Hamburg, November 3, 2009

<u>Outline</u>

- The Higgs Mechanism and the Origin of Mass
- Cosmology as motivation for New Particle Physics Models
 * Dark Matter
 * the Baryonic Asymmetry
- Dark Matter: the WIMP solution and TeV-scale Supersymmetry
- Baryogenesis at the TeV-scale
- Experimental Probes
 - -- High Energy Colliders
 - -- Direct Dark Matter searches: The decade of the WIMP
 - -- Indirect Dark Matter Detection: *Hints from Charged Cosmic Rays?*
- Outlook

The Standard Model

A quantum theory that describes how all known fundamental particles interact via the strong, weak and electromagnetic forces

A gauge field theory with a symmetry group $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

Force Carriers:

12 fundamental gauge fields: 8 gluons, the photon, the W and the Z and 3 gauge couplings: g_1, g_2, g_3

Matter fields :

3 families of quarks and leptons with the same quantum numbers under the gauge groups



SM particle masses and interactions have been tested at Collider experiments ==> incredibly successful description of nature up to energies of about 100 GeV

The Mystery of Mass

Crucial Problem in the SM: The origin of mass of all the fundamental particles

Is not possible to give mass to the gauge bosons respecting the gauge symmetry,
 -- massless gauge bosons ==> imply long range forces --

How to give mass to the Z and W gauge bosons?



Nuclear Fusion in the Sun

 $m_W = 80.449 \pm 0.034 \text{ GeV}$

Determines strength of the weak force



Sun still burning !

• A fermion mass term $L = m \ \psi \psi = m \ (\psi_L \psi_R + \psi_R \psi_L)$ is forbidden because it would mix left- and right-handed fermions which have different quantum numbers The gauge symmetries of the model do not allow to generate mass at all!

- •What is the origin of Mass of the Fundamental Particles ? or the source of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking (EWSB)
- There is a Field that fills all the Universe
 - -- it does not disturb gravity and electromagnetism but it renders the weak force short-ranged
 - -- it slows down the fundamental particles from the speed of light



The electromagnetic and weak forces are unified ==> electroweak theory

> what breaks the symmetry ==> the mysterious Field

EWSB occurs at the electroweak scale New phenomena should lie in the TeV range or below within LHC (Tevatron) reach and prospective ILC or CLIC

The Higgs Mechanism

A self interacting complex scalar doublet with no trivial quantum numbers under SU(2)_L x U(1)_Y



The Higgs field acquires non-zero value to minimize its energy

$$V(\Phi) = \mu^2 \Phi^+ \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Phi^+ \Phi)^2 \qquad \mu^2 < 0$$

Higgs vacuum condensate v ==> scale of EWSB

- Spontaneous breaking of the symmetry generates 3 massless Goldstone bosons which are absorbed to give mass to W and Z gauge bosons
- Higgs neutral under strong and electromagnetic interactions exact symmetry SU(3)_C x SU(2)_Lx U(1)_Y ==> SU(3)_C x U(1)_{em}

$$m_{\gamma} = 0$$
 $m_g = 0$

Masses of fermions and gauge bosons proportional to their couplings to the Higgs

$$M_V^2 = g_{\phi VV} v/2 \qquad m_f = h_f v$$

• One physical state -- the Higgs Boson -- left in the spectrum

$$m_{H_{SM}}^2 = 2\lambda v^2$$

Discovering the Higgs will put the final piece of the Standard Model in place

It will prove that our simple explanation for the origin of mass is indeed correct.

How do we search for the Higgs?

Colliding particles at High Energy Accelarators:

LEP, the Tevatron, the LHC

 $p\overline{p}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

Fermilab's ACCELERATOR CHAIN





Discovering the Higgs will put the final piece of the Standard Model in place

It will prove that our simplest explanation for the origin of mass is indeed correct.

How do we search for the Higgs? Colliding particles at High Energy Accelarators:

The Tevatron



~ 4 miles circumference: proton- antiproton collisions

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC)



~ 17 miles circumference:

~ a billion proton-proton collisions per second!

High energy collisions can create new heavy particles: $E = mc^2$

Powerful detectors enable searches for the Higgs through its decays into SM gauge bosons and fermions





Depending on its mass the Higgs will decay into different particles

Consistency of the theory implies

 $m_{H_{SM}} < 1 \text{ TeV}$

First evidence of EWSB ==> masses of gauge bosons ==> we need to detect the Higgs in association with the W and Z gauge bosons to identify it as the agent of EWSB

Direct SM Higgs search at LEP •



final LEP result, 2003 $m_{H_{SM}} > 114.6 \text{ GeV} \text{ at } 95\% \text{ C.L.}$



But, a tantalizing hint of a Higgs with mass about 115 GeV?

M_{H_{SM}} Indirect Determination from Precision Electroweak Observables



The Higgs boson enters through virtual Higgs

logarithmic dependence on the Higgs mass: $m_{H_{SM}} < 185$ GeV at 95 % C.L (2008)

To evade this constraint on $m_{H_{SM}}$ requires new phenomena a the TeV scale

Direct Higgs searches at the Tevatron



The SM Higgs LHC potential



With 1-2 fb⁻¹, some reach in the H to WW channel (2 fb⁻¹: exclusion for all m_h)

With enough luminosity, a SM Higgs at a 14 TeV LHC cannot escape detection

The LHC today

- After an accidental start last year, the LHC is expected to start running by the end of 2009. First collisions will be at 2.2 TeV, just above the Tevatron energy !
- The center of mass energy will be lower than the expected one, I4 TeV, reaching only up to 7 to 10 TeV depending on the magnets performance.
- The plan is to run for a whole year, until the end of the fall of 2010, accumulating about 200 inverse pb of luminosity.
- Due to the limited energy and luminosity, this will make LHC superior to the Tevatron only in certain search analyses, like the search for TeV scale resonances decaying to leptons.
- Higgs searches beyond the Tevatron reach will demand higher luminosities and higher energies.
- After the first run, LHC plans to shut down for about 6 months. Higher luminosity/energy run will start in the spring of 2011. It is yet unclear what the highest energy achievable will be.

Dark Matter and Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

COSMOLOGY gives the first unambiguous evidence for New Physics Dark Matter (or a modification of gravity ?)

- There exists a wide variety of indications of DM existence
- Each observation infers DM presence through its gravitational influence
- No observation of DM electroweak interactions

(or other non-gravitational interactions)

The Mystery of Dark Matter

• Rotation curves from Galaxies.

Luminous disk \rightarrow not enough mass to explain rotational velocities of galaxies \rightarrow Dark Matter halo around the galaxies

Gravitational lensing effects

Measuring deformations of images of a large number of galaxies, it is possible to infer the quantity of Dark Matter hidden between us and the observed galaxies

• Structure formation: Large scale structure and CMB Anisotropies







The manner in which structure grows depends on the amount and type of dark matter present. All viable models are dominated by cold dark matter. All observations infer the existence of DM via gravity interactions



- Non-Baryonic
- Stable
- Mainly Cold •

The SM has no suitable candidates

- Leptons, hadrons: too little Photons: $\Omega_{rad.} \approx 10^{-4}$
- Neutrinos: too light

- W/Z bosons: not stable

Why should an effective model of particle physics explain Dark matter?

The idea of DM seems naturally connected to the phenomena of electroweak symmetry breaking

WIMPs: DM as cold Thermal Relic*



Numerical coincidence or indication that DM originated from EW physics?

<u>Weak Scale Models of EWSB + data → Dark Matter</u>

EWSB scale $\langle M_{PI} \rightarrow Hierarchy problem$



Quantum corrections to the Higgs potential mass parameter μ are quadratically divergent

→ New particle/s with masses of order of the EWSB scale to cancel them or new Dynamics at the TeV scale

Precision electroweak data constrains the existence of SM particle interactions with a single new particle with mass below a TeV. Also constraints from rapid proton decay and flavor changing transitions



Many models of EWSB introduce an extra discrete symmetry (all interactions require pairs of new particles) that makes the lightest new particle stable - a stable Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP).

As an example, I will take Supersymmetry

New Fermion-Boson Symmetry: **SUPERSYMMETRY** (SUSY) ==> For every fermion there is a boson with equal mass and couplings

Just as for every particle there exists an antiparticle



Minimal Higgs sector: 2 CP-even h (SM-like), H + 1 CP-odd A + 1 charged pair H^{\pm}

Upper bound on SM-like Higgs mass: m_h < 135 GeV \longrightarrow stringent test of the minimal model

SUSY must be broken in nature:

no SUSY partner degenerate in mass with its SM particle has been observed

♦ SUSY is well motivated on purely particle physics grounds

* Stabilization of the weak scale – Planck scale hierarchy $\delta m_H^2 \approx (-1)^{2S_i} (n_i g_i^2 / 16\pi^2) \Lambda^2$

*Super-space algebra contains the generator of space-time translations

 \rightarrow possible ingredient for SUPERGRAVITY

✤ Starting from positive masses at high energies:

electroweak symmetry breaking is induced radiatively

Minimal SUSY extension of the Standard Model leads to Unification of Gauge Couplings

SUSY and Cosmology

If all couplings allowed by SUSY and gauge invariance are present, with values of order one, fast proton decay would occur



* SUSY with R-parity symmetry conserved $\rightarrow R_P = (-1)^{3B+L+2S}$

SM particles $R_p = 1$; SUSY particles $R_p = -1 \rightarrow$ Solution to proton decay

Naturally provides a neutral stable DM candidate → the Lightest SUSY Particle (LSP)

Dark Matter density strongly restricts viable models

LSP annihilation cross section is typically suppressed for most regions of SUSY spectrum \rightarrow too much relic density



The Hunt for the WIMP

Colliders Searches

• Direct Detection - in underground laboratories -

• Indirect Detection - from DM annihilation in the cosmos -

- At Colliders, signals with large Missing Energy are characteristic of theories with a thermal WIMP as DM candidate
 - SUSY particles can only be produced in even numbers (pairs)
 - Each sparticle decays into a state that contains a LSP



Missing Energy Signals at the LHC



Typical SUSY event at LHC



If low energy SUSY exists, we expect to see some of its signatures at LHC

reach: $M_{\tilde{q}}$ and $M_{\tilde{g}}$ up to to $\sim 2 \text{ TeV}$ with 10 fb⁻¹

2) Direct Detection Experiments



WIMPs elastically scatter off nuclei in targets; observe nuclear recoil

$$R = \sum_{i} N_{i} \eta_{\chi} \left\langle \sigma_{i\chi} \right\rangle$$



sensitive mainly to spin-independent elastic scattering cross section ($\sigma_{SI} \leq 10^{-8} pb$)

==> dominated by virtual exchange of H and h

• $\tan \beta$ enhanced couplings of H to strange, and to gluons via bottom loops

$$\frac{\sigma_{SI}}{A^4} ~\approx~ \frac{0.1 g_1^2 g_2^2 N_{11}^2 N_{13}^2 m_p^4 \tan^2\beta}{4\pi m_W^2 M_A^4}$$



Prospects for Direct Dark Matter Detection



DM Vs the flavor problem

Models with heavy sfermions, CP-odd and charged Higgs bosons, naturally suppress flavor violation and $\rightarrow \sigma_{SI} \sim 10^{-44} \text{ cm}^2$

Current experiments will soon test a large class of models favored by flavor constraints



Indirect Dark Matter Detection

• WIMP annihilation:

Typical final states include heavy fermions, gauge and Higgs bosons

Fragmentation/Decay

Annihilation products decay and/or fragment into combinations of electrons, protons, neutrinos and gamma-rays

• Synchrotron and Inverse Compton Relativistic electrons up-scatter starlight/CMB to MeV-GeV energies, and emit synchrotron photons via interactions with magnetic fields



Indirect Dark Matter Detection

 Neutrinos from annihilation in the core of the Sun

- X V V Detector
- Gamma Rays from annihilations
 in the galactic halo, near the galactic
 center, in dwarf galaxies, etc.
- Positrons/Antiprotons from annihilations throughout the galactic halo
- Synchrotron Radiation from electron/positron interactions with the magnetic fields of the inner galaxy

PAMELA's Positron excess

PAMELA: magnetic spectrometer and electromagentic carolimeter mounted in a satellite



Cosmic Ray Electrons from FERMI



 In a series of balloon flights, ATIC measured an excess of cosmic ray electrons between 300 and 800 GeV (Nature '08)

 More recent results from
 Fermi and HESS measure a less pronounced feature, but still an excess relative to a simple power-law

FERMI: Silicon tracker and electromagnetic calorimeter mounted on a satellite No magnetic field \rightarrow no possible charge discrimination

WMAP and energetic electrons/positrons

- WMAP does not only detect CMB photons, but also a number of galactic foregrounds
- •GeV-TeV electrons emit hard synchrotron in the frequency range of WMAP

The Inverse Compton component of the WMAP Haze

The microwave haze is likely synchrotron emission from a hard electron spectrum.



Positron excess from Pamela, Fermi and WMAP energetic electrons/positrons

• Highly energetic electrons and positrons are surprisingly common both locally and in the central kiloparsecs of the Milky way

Dark Matter Explanation:

- Distribution and spectrum of WMAP haze consistent with being of DM origin
- Pamela and Fermi spectral features could be generated by DM annihilation

BUT not easily

- Very hard injection spectrum (large fraction of annihilations into leptons)
- Too many antiprotons, gamma rays
- Requires a very high annihilation rate



Other Possible Explanations:

 Astrophysical objects, like pulsars, have the power to produce the high energy electron spectrum. For this, they should be nearby and have the proper "age". Positron excess can also be explained by the same astrophysical sources.



- Possible proton contamination may be a problem for PAMELA positron excess. A rejection factor larger than about 4 orders of magnitude needed.
- Uncertainties on high energy electron conventional background can lead to an explanation of the FERMI data (no "ATIC profile" observed).

Where did the antimatter go?

What explains the smallness of the baryon density observed in the universe?

The ~4% of ordinary matter observed in nature poses additional challenges to our understanding of the evolution of the Universe

The Mystery of the Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry

- Abundance of primordial elements
- Predictions from Big Bang Nucleosynthesis



$$\eta = n_{\rm B}/n_{\gamma} \approx 6.10^{-10}$$



Anti-matter is governed by the same interactions as matter.

- Baryons, antibaryons and photons equally abundant in the early universe
- To remove preferentially antimatter, the CP symmetry relating B to \overline{B} must be violated
- No net Baryon number if B conserved at all times

What generated the small observed baryon--antibaryon asymmetry ?

Baryogenesis in the Standard Model

Sakharov's Conditions

- Baryon number violation: Anomalous Processes
- CP violation: Quark CKM mixing
- Non-equilibrium: Possible at the electroweak phase transition.

Baryon Number Violation at finite Temperature

Anomalous processes violate both B and L number, but preserve B-L (important for Leptogenesis idea).

• At T = 0, Baryon number violating processes highly suppressed

 $\Gamma_{\Delta B\neq 0} \cong \exp(-2\pi / \alpha_{\rm W})$

- At very high temperatures they are highly unsuppressed
- At finite Temperature, only Boltzmann suppressed



 $\Gamma_{\Delta B \neq 0} \cong \beta_0 \operatorname{T} \exp(-E_{\rm sph}(T) / T)$ $E_{\rm sph} \cong 8 \pi \operatorname{v}(T) / g$

Baryon Asymmetry at the Electroweak Phase Transition

• Start with B=L=0 at T>T_n

• CP violating phases create chiral baryon-antibaryon asymmetry in the symmetric phase. Sphaleron processes create net baryon asymmetry.

• Net Baryon Number diffuse in the broken phase

If
$$n_{\rm B} \neq 0$$
 generated at $T_{\rm n}$
$$\frac{n_{\rm B}}{s} = \frac{n_{\rm B}(T_{\rm n})}{s} \exp\left(-\frac{10^{16}}{T_{\rm n}({\rm GeV})} \exp\left(-\frac{{\rm E}_{\rm sph}(T_{\rm n})}{T_{\rm n}}\right)\right)$$

To preserve the generated baryon asymmetry: **strong first order phase transition:**

 $v(T_n) / T_n > 1$

Baryon number violating processes out of equilibrium in the broken phase



Finite Temperature Higgs Potential

$$V = D(T^{2} - T_{0}^{2})H^{2} + E_{SM}TH^{3} + \lambda(T)H^{2}$$

- D term is responsible for the phenomenon of symmetry restoration
- E term receives contributions proportional to the sum of the cube of all light boson particle masses

and
$$\frac{v(T_n)}{T_n} \approx \frac{E}{\lambda}$$
, with $\lambda \propto \frac{m_H^2}{v^2}$



Since in the SM the only bosons are the gauge bosons and the quartic coupling is proportional to the square of the Higgs mass

$$\frac{v(T_n)}{T_n} > 1 \quad \text{implies} \quad m_H < 40 \text{ GeV} \implies \text{ ruled out by LEP}$$

Electroweak Baryogenesis in the SM is ruled out

• Independent Problem: not enough CP violation to create the asymmetry

How to make Electroweak Baryogenesis work?

Baryogenesis Preservation

• New bosonic degrees of freedom: superpartners of the top quark, with strong couplings to the Higgs (same couplings as the top quark, allow for a sufficiently strong first order phase transition $\phi(T_H^n)/T_H^n > 1 \rightarrow \text{example: MSSM}$

• Higgs and lightest stop masses below 125 GeV

• All other squarks heavy: masses above 6 TeV

 Higgs scalars that mix with the conventional Higgs and induce a change in the Higgs potential at tree level .→ example: NMSSM: mass of the new singlet below about 250 GeV.

Baryon Number Generation

 Introduce new CP-violating phases, associated with a new sector of the theory.→example: charginos in the MSSM/NMSSM.
 Chargino masses below 500 GeV.

Possible Signatures of EW Baryogenesis

- Light Higgs boson, with mass smaller that about 150 GeV and SM-like couplings to the electroweak gauge bosons.
- Production of new (colored) scalar degrees of freedom
- Modification of SM-like Higgs production rate at the LHC via mixing or new loop induced processes. New decay modes possible (invisible decay)
- Electric dipole moments of the electron and the neutron (close to present exp. reach) induced by new CP-violating phases
- Gravitational waves (LISA) induced by the presence of a first order phase transition.

Also strong connection between DM signatures and models of EWBG

<u>Outloook</u>

The SM Higgs mechanism solves the Mystery of Mass of all the fundamental particles ==> The Tevatron and ultimately the LHC will have the final word on the SM Higgs

Cosmology shows that about 25% of the universe is made of Dark Matter All evidence comes from gravitational interactions: how well do we really understand gravity?

The SM cannot explain Dark Matter, cannot allow for Electroweak Baryogenesis it describes nature amazingly well up to energy scales of order 100 GeV Many EWSB theories predict the existence of Dark Matter at the weak scale ! Supersymmetry is the leading candidate

It can also explain the Mystery of the Baryon asymmetry with EW scale physics

We are about to enter an exciting era in which findings both in particle physics and cosmology will further revolutionize our understanding of nature

Congratulations to DESY and Hamburg U.!

EXTRAS

The search for the Standard Model Higgs at the LHC



A SM Higgs at a 14 TeV LHC cannot escape detection

The search for the Standard Model Higgs at the LHC

4 TeV 2011)



What can the LHC do with 10 TeV and 1fb⁻¹?

Looking at the golden channel $H \rightarrow WW/ZZ$



Comparable to Tevatron reach with 10 fb⁻¹ and 1.5 efficiency factor improvement

Baryogenesis in the Minimal SUSY extension of the SM (MSSM):

Baryogenesis Preservation

- New bosonic degrees of freedom: superpartners of the top quark, with strong couplings to the Higgs (same couplings as the top quark, allow for a sufficiently strong first order phase transition $\phi(T_H^n) / T_H^n > 1$
 - Higgs and lightest stop masses below 125 GeV

• All other squarks heavy: masses above 6 TeV



Baryon Asymmetry



<u>Baryon Asymmetry Enhanced for:</u> similar Higgsino-Wino masses and smaller values of the CP -odd Higgs mass

Acceptable values of the baryonic density for

a sizeable range of SUSY particle masses and CP violating phases of order 0.1 to 1

Electroweak Baryogenesis and Dark Matter

• LSP lighter that the stop $\Rightarrow m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} < m_{\tilde{t}} < m_{top}$ If they are close in mass, co-annihilation greatly reduces the relic density



Opening the window for EWBG facilitates agreement with DM relic density



three interesting regions with neutralino relic density compatible with WMAP obs.

(green areas)

- 1. neutralino-stop co-annihilation: mass difference about 20-30 GeV
- 2. s-channel neutralino annihilation via lightest CP-even Higgs $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0} \cong m_h/2$
- 3. annihilation via Z boson exchange small μ and M_1 (& t-channel χ^0 and χ^{\pm})

Balazs, MC, Menon, Morrissey, Wagner

Collider Tests of Electroweak Baryogenesis and Dark Matter

***** Higgs searches

Higgs properties: SM-like couplings to W and Z (agent of EWSB) and $m_h < 125$ GeV

• $h \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ channel at the Tevatron: will probe the scenario with 7 fb⁻¹ (95% C.L. exclusion)

• $h \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ and $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ channels at the LHC :

a definitive test of this scenario with the first 10 fb⁻¹ of well understood data

* Stop searches:

Light Stop models with Neutralino LSP Dark Matter $\longrightarrow \not E_T$ signal \longrightarrow dominant decay $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$

Very challenging region for stop searches at hadron colliders



Very Challenging for Hadron colliders

Balazs, MC, Wagner'04

Light Stops at the LHC

• Same-sign tops in gluino decays

Kraml, Raklev '06

 $pp \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to tt \; \tilde{t}_1^* \tilde{t}_1^*, \qquad t \to bl^+ \,\overline{\nu}_l \qquad \tilde{t}_1^* \to c\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

Signal: 2 SS leptons, 2 SS bottoms, jets plus Missing Energy

Stops with masses ~ 120 – 160 GeV at LHC reach if gluino masses up to ~ 900 GeV

Mass measurements from distributions, but not enough Independent distributions to get absolute masses



Direct Dark Detection Reach in Models of EWBG



Phases in the MSSM EWBG scenario very constrained by EDM limits

- One loop contributions become negligible for $m_{\tilde{f}_{1,2}} \ge 10 \text{ TeV}$
- At two loops, contributions from virtual charginos and Higgs bosons, proportional to $sin(arg(\mu M_2))$





Chang, Chang, Keung '02 Pilaftsis '02

experimental limit $\Rightarrow d_e < 1.6 \ 10^{-27} \text{ e cm}$

 $\Rightarrow 5 \le \tan \beta \le 10$ $M_A \ge 200 \text{ GeV}$ $110 \text{ GeV} \le |\mu| \le 550 \text{ GeV}$

An order-of-magnitude improvement in the bound on the electron EDM will leave little room for this scenario.

Note: There are O(1) theoretical uncertainties

- Can other SUSY extensions of the SM induce the right relic density and baryon asymmetry with physics at the weak scale?
- Will they yield distinctive probes at collider and DM detection experiments?

Add a singlet superfield to the MSSM ==> nMSSM

Extended Higgs spectrum

 extra CP-odd and CP-even Higgs bosons induced by mixing with the singlet Upper bound on lightest Higgs agent of EWBG m_h< 140 GeV (similar to MSSM)

Extended Gaugino sector:

extra neutralino induced by singlino mixing

Upper bound on the LSP $m_N < 70 \text{ GeV}$!

Strong first order phase transition:

Realizable due to tree level trilinear Higgs terms, no need of very light stop

Demands: Lightest Higgs mass below 130 GeV

Next-to lightest CP-even & lightest CP-odd Higgs masses below 200 GeV All three Higgs bosons decay invisibly

Relic Density and Electroweak Baryogenesis in the nMSSM

Scattered points ==> consistent with EW Baryogenesis Menon, Morrissev, Wagner '04



Direct signatures at Colliders:

Distinctive signature: Invisible Higgs decay

Signal can be found at the LHC in the VBF channel

Direct Dark Matter detection in the nMSSM



The spin independent cross section is governed by the singlino parameters

 EWBG constrains the singlino sector
 => LSP is a mixture of mainly singlino and higgsino

Next generation of Direct DM experiments will probe this model

- $LHC \ scan, \ excluded$ ILC scan, $\pm 1 \ \sigma$
- Input model
 LHC scan, allowed
 ILC scan, ± 2 σ

See also Barger et al. 07