

THE ALMKVIST-ZEILBERGER ALGORITHM AND MULTI-INTEGRATION

Jakob Ablinger

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation
Johannes Kepler University Linz

October 8, 2020



- ➊ Multivariate AZ-algorithm
- ➋ Mellin Transform of Holonomic Functions
- ➌ Continuous Multivariate AZ-algorithm

Multivariate AZ-algorithm.

MULTIVARIATE ALMKVIST ZEILBERGER ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

We use the Almkvist Zeilberger algorithm to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function i.e.,

$$F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = q(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot e^{\frac{a(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x_1, \dots, x_d)}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p} \cdot \frac{s(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n}{t(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n},$$

with

- $q(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[n, x_1, \dots, x_d]$;
- $a(x_1, \dots, x_d), s(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$;
- $b(x_1, \dots, x_d), t(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d] \setminus \{0\}$;
- $S_p(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $\alpha_p \in \mathbb{K}$ for $1 \leq p \leq P$;
- With e.g., $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$.

MULTIVARIATE ALMKVIST ZEILBERGER ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

Our strategy to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function is:

- compute a recurrence for the integrand $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$

MULTIVARIATE ALMKVIST ZEILBERGER ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

Our strategy to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function is:

- compute a recurrence for the integrand $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$
- use the recurrence for the integrand to derive a recurrence for the integral

MULTIVARIATE ALMKVIST ZEILBERGER ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

Our strategy to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function is:

- compute a recurrence for the integrand $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$
- use the recurrence for the integrand to derive a recurrence for the integral
- compute initial values for the integral

MULTIVARIATE ALMKVIST ZEILBERGER ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

Our strategy to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function is:

- compute a recurrence for the integrand $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$
- use the recurrence for the integrand to derive a recurrence for the integral
- compute initial values for the integral
- solve the recurrence

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAND

$$F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = q(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) H(n; x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

where

$$H(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = e^{\frac{a(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x_1, \dots, x_d)}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p} \cdot \frac{s(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n}{t(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n}$$

- $q(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[n, x_1, \dots, x_d]$;
- $a(x_1, \dots, x_d), b(x_1, \dots, x_d), s(x_1, \dots, x_d), t(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$;
- $S_p(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d], \alpha_p \in \mathbb{K}$ for $1 \leq p \leq P$.

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAND

$$F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = q(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) H(n; x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

where

$$H(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = e^{\frac{a(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x_1, \dots, x_d)}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p} \cdot \frac{s(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n}{t(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n}$$

Then there exist

- $L \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $e_0(n), e_1(n), \dots, e_L(n) \in \mathbb{K}[n]$, not all zero,
- $R_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}(n, x_1, \dots, x_d)$,

such that

$$G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) := R_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAND

$$\overline{H}(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = e^{\frac{a(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x_1, \dots, x_d)}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p} \cdot \frac{s(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n}{t(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{n+L}}, \quad 0 \leq L \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = h(x_1, \dots, x_d) \overline{H}(n; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

where $h(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a polynomial i.e.,

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \sum_{i=1}^L e_i(n) q(n+i, x_1, \dots, x_d) \frac{s(x_1, \dots, x_d)^i}{t(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{i-L}}$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAND

$$\overline{H}(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = e^{\frac{a(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x_1, \dots, x_d)}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p} \cdot \frac{s(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n}{t(x_1, \dots, x_d)^{n+L}}, \quad 0 \leq L \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the logarithmic derivatives

$$\frac{D_{x_i} \overline{H}(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)}{\overline{H}(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)} = \frac{q_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)}$$

we build the ansatz ($X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ are polynomials to be determined.)

$$G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \overline{H}(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^d [D_{x_i} r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) + q_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)] \cdot X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ & + r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot D_{x_i} X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) = h(x_1, \dots, x_d). \end{aligned}$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAND

Ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d [D_{x_i} r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) + q_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)] \cdot X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ + r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot D_{x_i} X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) = h(x_1, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Algorithm:

set $L = 0$

- ① look for degree bounds for $X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)$
- ② try to find a solution of (1) by coefficient comparison
- ③ if there is no solution with not all $e_i(n)$'s equal to zero:
increase L by one and go to ①
- else:

return $\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) f(n+i)$ and $\underbrace{H(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)}_{G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)}$

Since according to [Apagodu and Zeilberger, 2006] the existence of a solution of (1) with not all the $e_i(n)$'s equal to zero is guaranteed for sufficiently large L , this process will eventually terminate.

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[1]:= << Sigma.m

In[2]:= << HarmonicSums.m

In[3]:= << MultilIntegrate.m

Sigma - A summation package by Carsten Schneider -©RISC- V 2.62 (December 18, 2019)

HarmonicSums by Jakob Ablinger -©RISC- Version 1.0 (19/02/20)

MultilIntegrate by Jakob Ablinger -©RISC- Version 1.0 (19/02/20)

In[4]:= mAZ[$\frac{1}{(xy)^{n+1}(1-x-y+xy)^z}$, n, {x, y}, f]

Out[4]=
$$\left\{ \left\{ 1, \frac{(-1+x)^{-z}(-1+y)^{-z} \left(\frac{1}{xy}\right)^n}{xy} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{(-1+x)^{-z}(-1+y)^{-z}(xy)^{-2-n}}{xy}, \left\{ \left\{ nx^2y - nxy + x^2yz + x^2y - xyz - xy, x \right\}, \left\{ ny^2 - ny + 2y^2 - 2y, y \right\} \right\}, \left(-1 - 2n - n^2 - 2z - 2nz - z^2 \right) f(1+n) + \left(4 + 4n + n^2 \right) f(2+n) \right\} \right\}$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 1

We now consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

Where for $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 1

We now consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

Where for $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

If

$$F(n; \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = F(n; \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = 0,$$

we also have

$$G_i(n; \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = G_i(n; \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = 0$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 1

We now consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

Where for $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

If

$$F(n; \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = F(n; \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = 0,$$

we also have

$$G_i(n; \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = G_i(n; \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = 0$$

and hence $\mathcal{I}(n)$ satisfies the homogenous linear recurrence

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) \mathcal{I}(n+i) = 0.$$

EXAMPLE

Consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_a^1 \int_1^2 \frac{(a-x)(x-1)(y-2)(y-1)}{x^m y^n} dy dx.$$

We find that the integrand satisfies the following differential equation

$$(-3+n)f(n) - 3(-1+n)f(1+n) + 2(1+n)f(2+n) = D_x 0 + D_y 0$$

Integration shows that $\mathcal{I}(n)$ satisfies

$$(-3+n)\mathcal{I}(n) - 3(-1+n)\mathcal{I}(1+n) + 2(1+n)\mathcal{I}(2+n) = 0.$$

Solving and comparing initial values leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(n) &= \frac{-5 + 15a + 5m - 5am + n - 3an - mn + amn}{(-3+m)(-2+m)(-1+m)(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)} \\ &\quad + \frac{2^{2-n}(1 - 3a - m + am + n - 3an - mn + amn)}{(-3+m)(-2+m)(-1+m)(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)} \\ &\quad + a^{-m} \left(\frac{-15a^2 + 5a^3 + 5a^2m - 5a^3m + 3a^2n - a^3n - a^2mn + a^3mn}{(-3+m)(-2+m)(-1+m)(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2^{2-n}(3a^2 - a^3 - a^2m + a^3m + 3a^2n - a^3n - a^2mn + a^3mn)}{(-3+m)(-2+m)(-1+m)(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)} \right)\end{aligned}$$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

```
In[5]:= mAZIntegrate[(a - x)(-1 + x)(-2 + y)(y - 1), n, {{x, a, 1}, {y, 1, 2}}]  
Out[5]= 
$$\frac{-5 + 15a + 5m - 5am + n - 3an - mn + amn}{(-3 + m)(-2 + m)(-1 + m)(-3 + n)(-2 + n)(-1 + n)} +$$

$$\frac{2^{2-n}(1 - 3a - m + am + n - 3an - mn + amn)}{(-3 + m)(-2 + m)(-1 + m)(-3 + n)(-2 + n)(-1 + n)} +$$

$$a^{-m} \left( \frac{-15a^2 + 5a^3 + 5a^2m - 5a^3m + 3a^2n - a^3n - a^2mn + a^3mn}{(-3 + m)(-2 + m)(-1 + m)(-3 + n)(-2 + n)(-1 + n)} + \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{2^{2-n} (3a^2 - a^3 - a^2m + a^3m + 3a^2n - a^3n - a^2mn + a^3mn)}{(-3 + m)(-2 + m)(-1 + m)(-3 + n)(-2 + n)(-1 + n)} \right)$$

```

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 2

We again consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

- suppose $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not vanish at the bounds

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 2

We again consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

- suppose $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not vanish at the bounds
- $G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not have to vanish at the bounds

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 2

We again consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

- suppose $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not vanish at the bounds
- $G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not have to vanish at the bounds
- then force the G_i to vanish at the integration bounds by modifying the ansatz, and look for G_i of the form

$$G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \bar{G}_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)(x_i - u_i)(x_i - o_i),$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 2

We again consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

- suppose $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not vanish at the bounds
- $G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not have to vanish at the bounds
- then force the G_i to vanish at the integration bounds by modifying the ansatz, and look for G_i of the form

$$G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \overline{G}_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)(x_i - u_i)(x_i - o_i),$$

- hence $\mathcal{I}(n)$ satisfies again a homogenous linear recurrence of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) \mathcal{I}(n+i) = 0.$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n):=} dx_1 dx_2.$$

Note that the integrand does not vanish at the integration bounds.

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n):=} dx_1 dx_2.$$

Note that the integrand does not vanish at the integration bounds.

- Using our ansatz we find

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n+1)(\varepsilon - n - 2)F(n) - (n+2)(5\varepsilon - 5n - 13)F(n+1) \\ & + (n+3)(4\varepsilon - 4n - 13)F(n+2) - (n+4)(\varepsilon - n - 4)F(n+3) \\ & = D_{x_1} F(n)(x_1 - 1) \color{blue}{x_1} (x_1 + 1) x_2 ((n+3)x_1 x_2 + 2) \\ & + D_{x_2} F(n)(x_2 - 1) \color{blue}{x_2} (x_2^2 x_1^3 (-\varepsilon + n + 4) - (\varepsilon - 3)x_2 x_1^2 + (n+2)x_2 x_1 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n):=} dx_1 dx_2.$$

Note that the integrand does not vanish at the integration bounds.

- Using our ansatz we find

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n+1)(\varepsilon - n - 2)F(n) - (n+2)(5\varepsilon - 5n - 13)F(n+1) \\ & + (n+3)(4\varepsilon - 4n - 13)F(n+2) - (n+4)(\varepsilon - n - 4)F(n+3) \\ & = D_{x_1} F(n) (\textcolor{blue}{x_1 - 1}) \textcolor{blue}{x_1} (x_1 + 1) x_2 ((n+3)x_1 x_2 + 2) \\ & + D_{x_2} F(n) (\textcolor{blue}{x_2 - 1}) \textcolor{blue}{x_2} (x_2^2 x_1^3 (-\varepsilon + n + 4) - (\varepsilon - 3)x_2 x_1^2 + (n+2)x_2 x_1 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

- Integration of this recurrence yields

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n+1)(\varepsilon - n - 2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) - (n+2)(5\varepsilon - 5n - 13)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) \\ & + (n+3)(4\varepsilon - 4n - 13)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+2) - (n+4)(\varepsilon - n - 4)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n):=} dx_1 dx_2.$$

Note that the integrand does not vanish at the integration bounds.

- Using our ansatz we find

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n+1)(\varepsilon - n - 2)F(n) - (n+2)(5\varepsilon - 5n - 13)F(n+1) \\ & + (n+3)(4\varepsilon - 4n - 13)F(n+2) - (n+4)(\varepsilon - n - 4)F(n+3) \\ & = D_{x_1} F(n) (\textcolor{blue}{x_1 - 1}) \textcolor{blue}{x_1} (x_1 + 1) x_2 ((n+3)x_1 x_2 + 2) \\ & + D_{x_2} F(n) (\textcolor{blue}{x_2 - 1}) \textcolor{blue}{x_2} (x_2^2 x_1^3 (-\varepsilon + n + 4) - (\varepsilon - 3)x_2 x_1^2 + (n+2)x_2 x_1 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

- Integration of this recurrence yields

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n+1)(\varepsilon - n - 2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) - (n+2)(5\varepsilon - 5n - 13)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) \\ & + (n+3)(4\varepsilon - 4n - 13)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+2) - (n+4)(\varepsilon - n - 4)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- Solving the recurrence leads to

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{-i + \varepsilon - 1} - 2^{1-\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^i}{-i + \varepsilon - 1} + \frac{2^{-\varepsilon} (2^\varepsilon - 2)}{\varepsilon - 1} \right)$$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[6]:= **mAZDirectIntegrate**[$\frac{(1 + x1 * x2)^n}{(1 + x1)^\varepsilon}$, n, {{x1, 0, 1}, {x2, 0, 1}}]

$$\text{Out}[6]= \frac{\sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_1 - \varepsilon + 1}}{-n - 1} - \frac{2 \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \frac{2^{\ell_1}}{-\ell_1 + \varepsilon - 1}}{(n + 1)2^\varepsilon} + \frac{2^\varepsilon - 2}{(n + 1)(\varepsilon - 1)2^\varepsilon}$$

In[7]:= **mAZDirectIntegrate**[$\frac{(1 + x1 + x2 + x1 * x2)^n}{(1 + x1)^\varepsilon}$, n, {{x1, 0, 1}, {x2, 0, 1}}]

$$\text{Out}[7]= \frac{2^{-2n-\varepsilon} \left(208 - 83 2^{2+n} + 63 2^{1+2n} - 2^{4+\varepsilon} + 7 2^{2+n+\varepsilon} - 13 2^{2n+\varepsilon} \right)}{(1 + n)(1 + n - \varepsilon)}$$

In[8]:= **mAZDirectIntegrate**[$\frac{(1 + x1 + x2 + x1 * x2)^n}{(1 + x1)^\varepsilon}$, n, {{x1, 0, 1/2}, {x2, 0, 2}}]

$$\text{Out}[8]= \frac{2^{-2n-\varepsilon} \left(208 - 83 2^{2+n} + 63 2^{1+2n} - 2^{4+\varepsilon} + 7 2^{2+n+\varepsilon} - 13 2^{2n+\varepsilon} \right)}{(1 + n)(1 + n - \varepsilon)}$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 3

We look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

Suppose that we found

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 3

We look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

Suppose that we found

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

By integration with respect to x_1, \dots, x_d we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) \mathcal{I}(n+i) &= \\ &\sum_{i=1}^d \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_{i-1}}^{o_{i-1}} \int_{u_{i+1}}^{o_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} O_i(n) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_d \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_{i-1}}^{o_{i-1}} \int_{u_{i+1}}^{o_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} U_i(n) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_d \end{aligned}$$

with

$$O_i(n) := G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

$$U_i(n) := G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d).$$

RECURRENCE FOR THE INTEGRAL 3

We look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

Suppose that we found

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) F(n+i; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

By integration with respect to x_1, \dots, x_d we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^L e_i(n) \mathcal{I}(n+i) &= \\ &\sum_{i=1}^d \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_{i-1}}^{o_{i-1}} \int_{u_{i+1}}^{o_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} O_i(n) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_d \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_{i-1}}^{o_{i-1}} \int_{u_{i+1}}^{o_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} U_i(n) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_d \end{aligned}$$

with

$$O_i(n) := G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

$$U_i(n) := G_i(n; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d).$$

Note that there are $2 \cdot d$ integrals of dimension $d - 1$, to compute.

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Applying the algorithm leads to

$$(n+2)F(n+1; x_1, x_2) - (n+1)F(n; x_1, x_2) = D_{x_1} 0 + D_{x_2} \frac{x_2(x_1 x_2 + 1)^{n+1}}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}.$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Applying the algorithm leads to

$$(n+2)F(n+1; x_1, x_2) - (n+1)F(n; x_1, x_2) = D_{x_1} 0 + D_{x_2} \frac{x_2(x_1 x_2 + 1)^{n+1}}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}.$$

- By integration we find

$$-(n+1)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) + (n+2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) = \underbrace{\int_0^1 (x_1 + 1)^{n+1-\varepsilon} dx_1}_{\mathcal{I}_1(n)} - \int_0^1 0 dx_1.$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Applying the algorithm leads to

$$(n+2)F(n+1; x_1, x_2) - (n+1)F(n; x_1, x_2) = D_{x_1} 0 + D_{x_2} \frac{x_2(x_1 x_2 + 1)^{n+1}}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}.$$

- By integration we find

$$-(n+1)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) + (n+2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) = \underbrace{\int_0^1 (x_1 + 1)^{n+1-\varepsilon} dx_1}_{\mathcal{I}_1(n)} - \int_0^1 0 dx_1.$$

- Now we apply the algorithm to $\mathcal{I}_1(n)$; we find

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\varepsilon, n) = \frac{4 \cdot 2^n - 2^\varepsilon}{2^\varepsilon (n+2-\varepsilon)}.$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Plugging in yields the recurrence

$$-(n+1)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) + (n+2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) = \frac{4 \cdot 2^n - 2^\varepsilon}{2^\varepsilon(n+2-\varepsilon)}.$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Plugging in yields the recurrence

$$-(n+1)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) + (n+2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) = \frac{4 \cdot 2^n - 2^\varepsilon}{2^\varepsilon(n+2-\varepsilon)}.$$

- For $n=0$ we find the initial value

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, 0) = \frac{2^\varepsilon - 2}{2^\varepsilon(\varepsilon - 1)}$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Plugging in yields the recurrence

$$-(n+1)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) + (n+2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) = \frac{4 \cdot 2^n - 2^\varepsilon}{2^\varepsilon(n+2-\varepsilon)}.$$

- For $n=0$ we find the initial value

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, 0) = \frac{2^\varepsilon - 2}{2^\varepsilon(\varepsilon - 1)}$$

- Solving this recurrence yields

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{-i + \varepsilon - 1} - 2^{1-\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2^i}{-i + \varepsilon - 1} + \frac{2^{-\varepsilon}(2^\varepsilon - 2)}{\varepsilon - 1} \right).$$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[9]:= **mAZIntegrate**[$\frac{(1 + x1 * x2)^n}{(1 + x1)^\varepsilon}$, n, {{x1, 0, 1}, {x2, 0, 1}}]

$$\text{Out}[9]= \frac{\sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_1 - \varepsilon + 1}}{-n - 1} - \frac{2 \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \frac{2^{\ell_1}}{-\ell_1 + \varepsilon - 1}}{(n + 1) 2^\varepsilon} + \frac{2^\varepsilon - 2}{(n + 1)(\varepsilon - 1) 2^\varepsilon}$$

In[10]:= **mAZIntegrate**[$\frac{(1 + x1 + x2 + x1 * x2)^n}{(1 + x1)^\varepsilon}$, n, {{x1, 0, 1}, {x2, 0, 1}}]

$$\text{Out}[10]= \frac{2^{-2n-\varepsilon} \left(208 - 83 2^{2+n} + 63 2^{1+2n} - 2^{4+\varepsilon} + 7 2^{2+n+\varepsilon} - 13 2^{2n+\varepsilon}\right)}{(1 + n)(1 + n - \varepsilon)}$$

In[11]:= **mAZIntegrate**[$\frac{(1 + x1 + x2 + x1 * x2)^n}{(1 + x1)^\varepsilon}$, n, {{x1, 0, 1/2}, {x2, 0, 2}}]

$$\text{Out}[11]= \frac{2^{-2n-\varepsilon} \left(208 - 83 2^{2+n} + 63 2^{1+2n} - 2^{4+\varepsilon} + 7 2^{2+n+\varepsilon} - 13 2^{2n+\varepsilon}\right)}{(1 + n)(1 + n - \varepsilon)}$$

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(n).$$

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(n).$$

- find $I_{-K}(n), I_{-K+1}(n), \dots, I_u(n)$ in terms of indefinite nested product-sum expressions.

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(n).$$

- find $I_{-K}(n), I_{-K+1}(n), \dots, I_u(n)$ in terms of indefinite nested product-sum expressions.
- compute a recurrence for $\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n)$ in the form

$$a_0(\varepsilon, n) T(\varepsilon, n) + \cdots + a_d(\varepsilon, n) T(\varepsilon, n + d) = h_0(n) + \cdots + h_u(n) \varepsilon^u + O(\varepsilon^{u+1});$$

by using one of the methods presented above

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(n).$$

- find $I_{-K}(n), I_{-K+1}(n), \dots, I_u(n)$ in terms of indefinite nested product-sum expressions.
- compute a recurrence for $\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n)$ in the form

$$a_0(\varepsilon, n) T(\varepsilon, n) + \cdots + a_d(\varepsilon, n) T(\varepsilon, n + d) = h_0(n) + \cdots + h_u(n) \varepsilon^u + O(\varepsilon^{u+1});$$

by using one of the methods presented above

- find a Laurent series expansion of the integral by using an algorithm implemented in Sigma.

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Applying the algorithm leads to

$$-(n+1)F(n; x_1, x_2) + (n+2)F(n+1; x_1, x_2) = D_{x_1} 0 + D_{x_2} \frac{x_2(x_1 \cdot x_2 + 1)^{n+1}}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}.$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Applying the algorithm leads to

$$-(n+1)F(n; x_1, x_2) + (n+2)F(n+1; x_1, x_2) = D_{x_1} 0 + D_{x_2} \frac{x_2(x_1 \cdot x_2 + 1)^{n+1}}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}.$$

- Integration yields

$$-(n+1)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) + (n+2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) = \underbrace{\int_0^1 (x_1 + 1)^{n+1-\varepsilon} dx_1}_{\mathcal{I}_1(\varepsilon, n)} - \int_0^1 0 dx_1.$$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{(1 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}}_{F(n; x_1, x_2) :=} dx_1 dx_2,$$

- Applying the algorithm leads to

$$-(n+1)F(n; x_1, x_2) + (n+2)F(n+1; x_1, x_2) = D_{x_1} 0 + D_{x_2} \frac{x_2(x_1 \cdot x_2 + 1)^{n+1}}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}.$$

- Integration yields

$$-(n+1)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) + (n+2)\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n+1) = \underbrace{\int_0^1 (x_1 + 1)^{n+1-\varepsilon} dx_1}_{\mathcal{I}_1(\varepsilon, n)} - \int_0^1 0 dx_1.$$

- In the next step apply the method to $\mathcal{I}_1(\varepsilon, n)$; we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1(\varepsilon, n) &= \frac{2^{n+2} - 1}{n+2} + \frac{\varepsilon(-2^{n+2}(\log(2)(n+2) - 1) - 1)}{(n+2)^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2(2^{n+1}(\log(2)^2(n+2)^2 - 2\log(2)(n+2) + 2) - 1)}{(n+2)^3} + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE

- Plugging in and solving the resulting recurrence by means of Sigma and combining the solution with the initial values of the integral yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, n) &= \frac{S_1(2; n)}{n+1} - \frac{S_1(n)}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{2(2^n - n - 1)}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \left(\log(2) \left(\frac{-2^{n+2}(n+1) - 2^{n+2}n(n+1)}{2(n+1)^4} - \frac{S_1(2; n)}{n+1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(2(n+1)n^2 + 4(n+1)n + 2(n+1)) S_2(2; n)}{2(n+1)^4} - \frac{S_2(n)}{n+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2^{n+2}(n+1) - 2(n+1)}{2(n+1)^4} \right) + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{S_3(2; n)}{n+1} - \frac{S_3(n)}{n+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2^{n+2} - 2}{2(n+1)^4} + \log(2) \left(\frac{-2^{n+2}n - 2^{n+2}}{2(n+1)^4} - \frac{S_2(2; n)}{n+1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log(2)^2 \left(\frac{S_1(2; n)}{2(n+1)} + \frac{2^n(n^2 + 2n + 1)}{(n+1)^4} \right) \right) + O(\varepsilon^3).\end{aligned}$$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[12]:= << EvaluateMultiSums.m

EvaluateMultiSums by Carsten Schneider -©RISC- V 2.62 (December 18, 2019)

In[13]:= mAZExpandedIntegrate[$\frac{(1 + x1 * x2)^n}{(1 + x1)^\varepsilon}$, n, { ε , 0, 2}, {{x1, 0, 1}, {x2, 0, 1}}]

Out[13]=
$$\left\{ \left\{ \frac{S[1, \{2\}, n]}{n+1} - \frac{S[1, n]}{n+1} + \frac{2^{n+1} - 1}{(n+1)^2}, -\frac{\log(2)S[1, \{2\}, n]}{n+1} + \frac{S[2, \{2\}, n]}{n+1} - \frac{S[2, n]}{n+1} + \frac{\log(2)(-2^{n+1})(n+1) + 2^{n+1} - 1}{(n+1)^3}, \frac{\log(2)^2 S[1, \{2\}, n]}{2n+2} - \frac{\log(2) S[2, \{2\}, n]}{n+1} + \frac{S[3, \{2\}, n]}{n+1} - \frac{S[3, n]}{n+1} + \frac{\log(2)^2 2^n(n+1)^2 - \log(2)2^{n+1}(n+1) + 2^{n+1} - 1}{(n+1)^4} \right\}, 0, 2 \right\}$$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[12]:= << EvaluateMultiSums.m

EvaluateMultiSums by Carsten Schneider -©RISC- V 2.62 (December 18, 2019)

In[14]:= mAZExpandedIntegrate[$\frac{(1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_1 \cdot x_2)^n}{(1 + x_1)^\varepsilon}, n, \{\varepsilon, 0, 1\}, \{\{x_1, 0, 1\}, \{x_2, 0, 1\}\}]$

Out[14]= $\left\{ \left\{ \frac{\left(2^{n+1} - 1\right)^2}{(n+1)^2}, -\frac{\left(2^{n+1} - 1\right) \left(-2^{n+1} + 2^{n+1} n \log(2) + 2^{n+1} \log(2) + 1\right)}{(n+1)^3} \right\}, 0, 1 \right\}$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[12]:= << EvaluateMultiSums.m

EvaluateMultiSums by Carsten Schneider -©RISC- V 2.62 (December 18, 2019)

In[15]:= mAZExpandedIntegrate[$\frac{(1 + x1 + x2 + x1 * x2)^n}{(1 + x1)^\varepsilon}$, n, { $\varepsilon, 0, 1$ }, {{x1, 0, $\frac{1}{2}$ }, {x2, 0, 2}}]

Out[15]= $\left\{ \left\{ \frac{\left(3^{n+1} - 2^{n+1}\right) \left(3^{n+1} - 1\right)}{2^{n+1}(n+1)^2}, \frac{\left(3^{n+1} - 1\right) \left(2^{n+1} - 3^{n+1} + 3^{n+1} \log\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) (n+1)\right)}{2^{n+1}(n+1)^3} \right\}, 0, 1 \right\}$

A BIGGER EXAMPLE: B56729A [A. ET AL., 2014]

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(xyz(1-xz)(1-yz))^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (x+y-1)^N z^{N+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma(1+N)}{(1-z)^{2+\frac{3\varepsilon}{2}} \Gamma\left(2+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+N\right)} dz dy dx$$

Initial values:

	ϵ^{-3}	ϵ^{-2}	ϵ^{-1}	ϵ^0	ϵ^1	ϵ^2	ϵ^3
n=2	$\frac{20}{27}$	$-\frac{40}{27}$	$\frac{5\zeta(2)}{18} + \frac{1393}{486}$	$-\frac{5\zeta(2)}{9} + \frac{49\zeta(3)}{54} - \frac{9601}{1944}$.	.	.
n=3	$\frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{11}{48}$	$\frac{\zeta(2)}{16} + \frac{703}{3456}$	$-\frac{11\zeta(2)}{128} + \frac{41\zeta(3)}{48} - \frac{9773}{9216}$.	.	.
n=4	$\frac{64}{225}$	$-\frac{1748}{3375}$	$\frac{8\zeta(2)}{75} + \frac{102181}{101250}$	$-\frac{437\zeta(2)}{2250} + \frac{196\zeta(3)}{225} - \frac{5738207}{2430000}$.	.	.
n=5	$\frac{2}{27}$	$-\frac{17}{162}$	$\frac{\zeta(2)}{36} + \frac{7583}{97200}$	$-\frac{17\zeta(2)}{432} + \frac{113\zeta(3)}{108} - \frac{1666837}{1296000}$.	.	.
n=6	$\frac{22}{147}$	$-\frac{535}{2058}$	$\frac{11\zeta(2)}{196} + \frac{630043}{1234800}$	$-\frac{535\zeta(2)}{5488} + \frac{775\zeta(3)}{588} - \frac{1949958721}{871274880}$.	.	.
n=7	$\frac{1}{24}$	$-\frac{23}{384}$	$\frac{\zeta(2)}{64} + \frac{2699659}{67737600}$	$-\frac{23\zeta(2)}{1024} + \frac{115\zeta(3)}{64} - \frac{49626263807}{22759833600}$.	.	.
n=8	$\frac{112}{1215}$	$-\frac{2836}{18225}$	$\frac{14\zeta(2)}{405} + \frac{74074613}{241116750}$	$-\frac{709\zeta(2)}{12150} + \frac{3082\zeta(3)}{1215} - \frac{1392921564301}{405076140000}$.	.	.

A BIGGER EXAMPLE: B56729A

```
In[1]:= << Sigma.m  
<< HarmonicSums.m  
<< EvaluateMultiSums.m  
<< MultiIntegrate.m
```

Sigma - A summation package by Carsten Schneider - © RISC - V 2.62 (December 18, 2019) [Help](#)

HarmonicSums by Jakob Ablinger - © RISC - Version 1.0 (19 / 02 / 19) [Help](#)

EvaluateMultiSums by Carsten Schneider - © RISC - V 0.99 (December 18, 2019)

MultiIntegrate by Jakob Ablinger - © RISC - Version 1.0 (19 / 02 / 16)

```
In[5]:= B56729aInit = << B56729aInit;
```

```
In[6]:= B56729a = mAZExpandedDirectIntegrate[x^(e/2)*y^(e/2)*z^(1+e/2)*(1-z)^(-2-3/2*e)*(1-x*z)^(e/2)*  
(1-z*y)^(e/2)*(x+y-1)^N*z^N*Gamma[-e/2]^2*Gamma[N+1]/Gamma[N+2+e/2], N, {e, -3, 2},  
{z, 0, 1}, {y, 0, 1}, {x, 0, 1}], MIPrint → 4, RaiseDegreeBounds → 0, Test → False, PrintRecurrence → True,  
StartingValue → 2, InitValues → B56729aInit, TestToFile → "B56729a"]
```

Computing the recurrence of the 3-dimensional integral...

Looking for a recurrence of order 0 ...

Number of solutions: 0

Looking for a recurrence of order 1 ...

Number of solutions: 0

Looking for a recurrence of order 2 ...

Number of solutions: 0

Looking for a recurrence of order 3 ...

Number of solutions: 0

Looking for a recurrence of order 4 ...

Number of solutions: 0

A BIGGER EXAMPLE: B56729A

```
Looking for a recurrence of order 5 ...
Number of solutions: 4
339
340
Solving of the system...
Variables in the system: (ep, N)
MakeTriangularMatrix...
Time for line 1: 0.093921. Time: 0.093921.
Time for line 2: 0.103560. Time: 0.197481.
Time for line 3: 0.094854. Time: 0.29234.
Time for line 4: 0.107270. Time: 0.39961.
Time for line 5: 0.118178. Time: 0.51778.
Time for line 6: 0.083011. Time: 0.60079.
Time for line 7: 0.099021. Time: 0.69982.
Time for line 8: 0.101248. Time: 0.80106.
Time for line 9: 0.105792. Time: 0.90686.
Time for line 10: 0.176128. Time: 1.08298.
Time for line 11: 0.107279. Time: 1.19026.
Time for line 12: 0.112057. Time: 1.30232.
Time for line 13: 0.114501. Time: 1.41682.
Time for line 14: 0.119833. Time: 1.53665.
Time for line 15: 0.106854. Time: 1.64351.
Time for line 16: 0.110722. Time: 1.75423.
Time for line 17: 0.139883. Time: 1.89411.
Time for line 18: 0.132837. Time: 2.02695.
Time for line 19: 0.177293. Time: 2.20424.
Time for line 20: 0.073420. Time: 2.27766.

:
```

A BIGGER EXAMPLE: RECURRENCE FOR B56729A

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4 \cdot (1 + N) \cdot (2 + N) \cdot (-2 - 2 \cdot N + e) \cdot (4 + 2 \cdot N + e)^2 \\
& \left(16 \cdot (3 \cdot N)^2 \cdot [768 + 946 N + 425 N^2 + 83 N^3 + 6 N^4] - 16 \cdot (11856 + 17500 N + 16258 N^2 + 2988 N^3 + 433 N^4 + 25 N^5) \right) e + 4 \cdot (16483 + 20643 N + 10888 N^2 + 2394 N^3 + 274 N^4 + 12 N^5) e^2 - \\
& 4 \cdot (2212 + 3089 N + 1484 N^2 + 321 N^3 + 26 N^4) e^3 + \left(1489 + 861 N + 132 N^2 + 4 N^3 \right) e^4 + \left(491 + 353 N + 60 N^2 \right) e^5 + 22 \cdot (3 + N) e^6 + 2 e^7 \} G[N] + \\
& (2 + N) \cdot (256 \cdot (2 + N)^2 \cdot (3 + N)^2 \cdot [5544 + 11782 N + 8675 N^2 + 2968 N^3 + 481 N^4 + 38 N^5] - 256 \cdot (3 + N)^2 \cdot (166392 + 437060 N + 480046 N^2 + 286009 N^3 + 99918 N^4 + 20482 N^5 + 2284 N^6 + 107 N^7) e + \\
& 128 \cdot [961146 + 2676363 N + 3291586 N^2 + 2357718 N^3 + 1689697 N^4 + 338845 N^5 + 71254 N^6 + 9812 N^7 + 885 N^8 + 38 N^9] e^2 - \\
& 64 \cdot (-379338 - 764959 N - 447644 N^2 - 37256 N^3 + 96299 N^4 + 55878 N^5 + 14385 N^6 + 1823 N^7 + 94 N^8) e^3 - 16 \cdot (560287 + 1340455 N + 1382074 N^2 + 892668 N^3 + 284762 N^4 + 61778 N^5 + 7578 N^6 + 484 N^7) e^4 + \\
& 16 \cdot (6108 + 168259 N + 165290 N^2 + 184918 N^3 + 33569 N^4 + 5348 N^5 + 336 N^6) e^5 + 8 \cdot (116092 + 226821 N + 174692 N^2 + 67246 N^3 + 12961 N^4 + 994 N^5) e^6 + 4 \cdot (15987 + 14351 N + 6065 N^2 + 1857 N^3 + 266 N^4) e^7 - \\
& (54229 + 63597 N + 22936 N^2 + 2548 N^3) e^8 - \left(117445 + 8611 N + 1500 N^2 \right) e^9 - 2 \cdot (435 + 157 N) e^{10} - 22 \cdot e^{11} \} G[1 + N] + \\
& 2 \cdot (-256 \cdot (3 + N)^2 \cdot [6 + 6 N + N^2]^2 \cdot [655 + 1114 N + 557 N^2 + 104 N^3 + 6 N^4] + 128 \cdot (3 + N)^2 \cdot [769216 + 1888936 N + 2103708 N^2 + 1293326 N^3 + 476321 N^4 + 106931 N^5 + 14125 N^6 + 981 N^7 + 26 N^8] e - \\
& 64 \cdot [3829812 + 10327886 N + 12174689 N^2 + 8305127 N^3 + 3671693 N^4 + 1122454 N^5 + 248842 N^6 + 40451 N^7 + 4724 N^8 + 350 N^9 + 12 N^{10}] e^2 + \\
& 32 \cdot (-1217778 - 2362944 N - 1551017 N^2 - 105413 N^3 + 395334 N^4 + 236215 N^5 + 63518 N^6 + 8651 N^7 + 506 N^8 + 4 N^9) e^3 + \\
& 16 \cdot (1100084 + 2384248 N + 2161699 N^2 + 1248186 N^3 + 49383 N^4 + 139926 N^5 + 26558 N^6 + 2940 N^7 + 148 N^8) e^4 + 8 \cdot (-23458 - 191528 N - 206516 N^2 - 55105 N^3 + 25532 N^4 + 19137 N^5 + 4314 N^6 + 340 N^7) e^5 - \\
& 8 \cdot (275664 + 563176 N + 48641 N^2 + 227386 N^3 + 59749 N^4 + 8210 N^5 + 258 N^6) e^6 - 2 \cdot (185290 + 337198 N + 269119 N^2 + 113313 N^3 + 24056 N^4 + 1996 N^5) e^7 + 2 \cdot [50850 + 69119 N + 30942 N^2 + 4983 N^3 + 172 N^4] e^8 + \\
& (38987 + 41901 N + 14190 N^2 + 1504 N^3) e^9 + \left(4795 + 3553 N + 620 N^2 \right) e^{10} + 6 \cdot (45 + 17 N) e^{11} + 6 \cdot e^{12} \} G[2 + N] - \\
& 4 \cdot (128 \cdot [24 + 26 N + 9 N^2]^2 \cdot [720 + 2014 N + 2089 N^2 + 872 N^3 + 169 N^4 + 12 N^5] - 64 \cdot [284496 + 13784028 N + 24797006 N^2 + 25409054 N^3 + 16516984 N^4 + 7144405 N^5 + 2088122 N^6 + 407980 N^7 + 51066 N^8 + 3701 N^9 + 118 N^{10}] e + \\
& 32 \cdot [8824032 + 27875896 N + 38244797 N^2 + 29964399 N^3 + 14824351 N^4 + 4834279 N^5 + 1053268 N^6 + 152638 N^7 + 14362 N^8 + 830 N^9 + 24 N^{10}] e^2 - \\
& 16 \cdot [20301600 + 4272517 N + 3714628 N^2 + 1854572 N^3 + 723136 N^4 + 287700 N^5 + 99545 N^6 + 22500 N^7 + 2768 N^8 + 140 N^9] e^3 - \\
& 8 \cdot [3878602 + 9621283 N + 18076450 N^2 + 5813984 N^3 + 2025336 N^4 + 439922 N^5 + 59527 N^6 + 4802 N^7 + 188 N^8] e^4 + 4 \cdot (2113696 + 5871913 N + 5349388 N^2 + 3218059 N^3 + 1186719 N^4 + 265889 N^5 + 33154 N^6 + 1756 N^7) e^5 + \\
& [649744 + 823515 N + 349802 N + 54816 N^2 + 8384 N^3 + 4186 N^4 + 648 N^5] e^6 - 4 \cdot (21769 + 412258 N + 384963 N^2 + 112365 N^3 + 20460 N^4 + 1456 N^5) e^7 - [84655 + 112966 N + 63837 N^2 + 17868 N^3 + 1948 N^4] e^8 + \\
& (34305 + 39746 N + 13839 N^2 + 1444 N^3) e^9 + 2 \cdot (3896 + 2948 N + 519 N^2) e^{10} + 16 \cdot e^{11} \} G[3 + N] + \\
& 8 \cdot (6 + 5 N + N^2)^2 \cdot [51720 + 131134 N + 129703 N^2 + 67054 N^3 + 19864 N^4 + 3400 N^5 + 313 N^6 + 12 N^7] - \\
& 32 \cdot [13147272 + 44992452 N + 67518826 N^2 + 5898835 N^3 + 33818349 N^4 + 12426828 N^5 + 3178478 N^6 + 545125 N^7 + 59929 N^8 + 3888 N^9 + 186 N^{10}] e + \\
& 16 \cdot [28544948 + 58573822 N + 72551278 N^2 + 51298261 N^3 + 22873183 N^4 + 6718299 N^5 + 1314686 N^6 + 172088 N^7 + 14897 N^8 + 826 N^9 + 24 N^{10}] e^2 - \\
& 8 \cdot [311104 + 6128858 N + 4687184 N^2 + 1847484 N^3 + 538988 N^4 + 208191 N^5 + 82966 N^6 + 19923 N^7 + 2424 N^8 + 116 N^9] e^3 - \\
& 4 \cdot [9745752 + 220666744 N + 21401558 N^2 + 11588223 N^3 + 3836854 N^4 + 802421 N^5 + 105800 N^6 + 8356 N^7 + 316 N^8] e^4 + \\
& 2 \cdot [5260048 + 11433064 N + 1066439 N^2 + 5664391 N^3 + 1801014 N^4 + 353201 N^5 + 38868 N^6 + 1828 N^7] e^5 + 2 \cdot (694370 + 952507 N + 536911 N^2 + 169050 N^3 + 36275 N^4 + 5507 N^5 + 420 N^6) e^6 - \\
& [858544 + 1440185 N + 948722 N^2 + 311957 N^3 + 51138 N^4 + 3304 N^5] e^7 - [94650 + 103981 N + 47258 N^2 + 11117 N^3 + 1884 N^4] e^8 + [22488 + 26188 N + 8924 N^2 + 906 N^3] e^9 + (4902 + 3538 N + 594 N^2) e^{10} + \\
& 24 \cdot (14 + 5 N) e^{11} + 8 \cdot e^{12} \} G[4 + N] - \\
& 16 \cdot (5 + N) \cdot (-4 - N + e) \cdot (6 + N + e) \cdot (-3 - N + 2 e) \cdot (7 + N + 2 e) \\
& \left(16 \cdot (2 + N)^2 \cdot [162 + 321 N + 212 N^2 + 59 N^3 + 6 N^4] - 16 \cdot (2834 + 4341 N + 3642 N^2 + 1506 N^3 + 388 N^4 + 25 N^5) \right) e + 4 \cdot (3796 + 6613 N + 4438 N^2 + 1418 N^3 + 214 N^4 + 12 N^5) e^2 - 4 \cdot (392 + 908 N + 677 N^2 + 217 N^3 + 26 N^4) e^3 + \\
& [756 + 699 N + 128 N^2 + 4 N^3] e^4 + [198 + 233 N + 68 N^2] e^5 + 22 \cdot (2 + N) e^6 + 2 \cdot e^7 \} G[5 + N]
\end{aligned}$$

A BIGGER EXAMPLE: B56729A

Computing the expansion of the recurrence of the 3-dimensional integral...

Computing the expansion of the 3-dimensional integral...

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{8 \left[3 + (-1)^N + 2N + (-1)^N N \right]}{3 (1+N)^2 (2+N)}, - \frac{4 \left[26 + \dots - 3 \left(\dots - 1 \dots \right) N^3 \right]}{3 (1+N)^3 (2+N)^2}, \dots , 4 \dots , \right. \\ & \quad \frac{1}{1} + \dots + 773 \dots + \frac{1}{1}, \frac{4641664 \cdot 7489152 (-1)^N \cdot 59}{48 (1+N)^9 (2+N)^8} + \frac{(-1)}{32 (1+N)^7 (2+N)^6} z2 + \frac{1}{648 (1+N)^5 (2+N)^4} \\ & \quad (253736 + 54984 (-1)^N - 1467 \times 2^{8+N} + 329428 N + 173652 (-1)^N N - 4401 \times 2^{7+N} N - 104338 N^2 + 263726 (-1)^N N^2 - \\ & \quad 1773 \times 2^{6+N} N^2 - 427193 N^3 + \dots 5 \dots + 549 \times 2^{8+N} N^4 - 125904 N^5 + 48372 (-1)^N N^5 + 459 \times 2^{6+N} N^5 - \\ & \quad 26316 N^6 + 9396 (-1)^N N^6 + 81 \times 2^{5+N} N^6 - 2322 N^7 + 783 (-1)^N N^7) z2^2 + \\ & \quad \left. \frac{(998794 + 354114 (-1)^N - 41877 \cdot 2^{6+N} + 272379 N \cdot 6 \dots + 3807 \cdot 2^{5+N} N^2 - 64698 N^3 + 59019 (-1)^N N^3) z2^3}{26880 (1+N)^3 (2+N)^2} + \frac{(-1)}{48 (1+N)^6 (2+N)^5} z3 \right. \\ & \quad \dots 2485 \dots + \frac{2^{4+N} S[1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, \left[\frac{1}{2}, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \right], N]}{(1+N)^2 (2+N)} + \frac{2^{3+N} S[1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \right], N]}{(1+N)^2 (2+N)} \Big\}, \dots , 4 \Big] \end{aligned}$$

Out[6]=

large output | show less | show more | show all | set size limit...

In[7]= TimeUsed[]

Out[7]= 28203.7

In[8]= MaxMemoryUsed[]

Out[8]= 1576165800

A BIGGER EXAMPLE: B56729A

$$\begin{aligned}
& \in^{-3}: \frac{8(3+2N+(-1)^N+N(-1)^N)}{3(1+N)^2(2+N)} \\
& \in^{-2}: \frac{4(26+51N+32N^2+6N^3+18(-1)^N+31N(-1)^N+18N^2(-1)^N+3N^3(-1)^N)}{3(1+N)^3(2+N)^2} \\
& \in^{-1}: \frac{1}{3(1+N)^4(2+N)^3} \\
& (264+1072N+1510N^2+980N^3+300N^4+36N^5-36z2+132Nz2-189N^2z2+132N^3z2+45N^4z2+6N^5z2+408(-1)^N+1000N(-1)^N+1066N^2(-1)^N+ \\
& 590N^3(-1)^N+162N^4(-1)^N+18N^5(-1)^N+12z2(-1)^N+48Nz2(-1)^N+75N^2z2(-1)^N+57N^3z2(-1)^N+21N^4z2(-1)^N+3N^5z2(-1)^N) + \\
& \frac{4(-9-N+(-1)^N+N(-1)^N)S[-2,N]}{3(1+N)^2(2+N)} + \frac{4(-1+(-1)^N+N(-1)^N)S[2,N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} \\
& \in^0: \frac{1}{6(1+N)^5(2+N)^4} \\
& (-656-7624N-19340N^2-23014N^3-15272N^4-5856N^5-1224N^6-188N^7-312z2-1548Nz2-3234N^2z2-3681N^3z2-2460N^4z2-963N^5z2- \\
& 204N^6z2-18N^7z2-48z3-152Nz3-108N^2z3+150N^3z3+306N^4z3+210N^5z3+66N^6z3+8N^7z3-3792(-1)^N-11976N(-1)^N- \\
& 18188N^2(-1)^N-16710N^3(-1)^N-9588N^4(-1)^N-3336N^5(-1)^N-648N^6(-1)^N-54N^7(-1)^N-216z2(-1)^N- \\
& 1028Nz2(-1)^N-2834N^2z2(-1)^N-2217N^3z2(-1)^N-1422N^4z2(-1)^N-534N^5z2(-1)^N-108N^6z2(-1)^N-9N^7z2(-1)^N- \\
& 16z3(-1)^N-88Nz3(-1)^N-294N^2z3(-1)^N-258N^3z3(-1)^N-192N^4z3(-1)^N-84N^5z3(-1)^N-20N^6z3(-1)^N- \\
& 2N^7z3(-1)^N+384z3^2N+1728Nz3^2N+3168N^2z3^2N+3024N^3z3^2N+1584N^4z3^2N+432N^5z3^2N+48N^6z3^2N) - \\
& \frac{4(N+2(-1)^N+2N(-1)^N)S[-3,N]}{3(1+N)^2(2+N)} + \frac{4S[1,N]S[2,N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} + \frac{2(18+N+2(-1)^N+2N(-1)^N)S[3,N]}{3(1+N)^2(2+N)} - \frac{8S[-2,1,N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} + \\
& S[2,N] \left(-\frac{2(-14-9N+18(-1)^N+31N(-1)^N+18N^2(-1)^N+3N^3(-1)^N)}{(1+N)^3(2+N)^2} + \frac{8-2^NS[1,\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} \right) + \\
& S[-2,N] \left(-\frac{2(-118-93N-16N^2-3N^3+18(-1)^N+31N(-1)^N+18N^2(-1)^N+3N^3(-1)^N)}{\mathcal{B}(1+N)^3(2+N)^2} + \frac{8S[1,N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} + \frac{24-2^NS[1,\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} \right) - \\
& \frac{4S[2,1,N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} + \frac{24-2^NS[3,\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right),N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} + \frac{8-2^NS[3,\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} - \frac{24-2^NS[2,1,\left(-1,\frac{1}{2}\right),N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)} - \frac{8-2^NS[2,1,\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right),N]}{(1+N)^2(2+N)}
\end{aligned}$$

Detour to Mellin Transforms and Holonomic Functions.

HOLONOMIC FUNCTIONS AND SEQUENCES

- A sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is called *holonomic* if there exist polynomials $p_i(n)$ (not all $p_i = 0$) such that

$$p_d(n)f_{n+d} + \cdots + p_1(n)f_{n+1} + p_0(n)f_n = 0.$$

HOLONOMIC FUNCTIONS AND SEQUENCES

- A sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is called *holonomic* if there exist polynomials $p_i(n)$ (not all $p_i = 0$) such that

$$p_d(n)f_{n+d} + \cdots + p_1(n)f_{n+1} + p_0(n)f_n = 0.$$

- A function $f = f(x)$ is called *holonomic* if there exist polynomials $p_i(x)$ (not all $p_i = 0$) such that

$$p_d(x)f^{(d)}(x) + \cdots + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0.$$

HOLONOMIC FUNCTIONS AND SEQUENCES

- A sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is called *holonomic* if there exist polynomials $p_i(n)$ (not all $p_i = 0$) such that

$$p_d(n)f_{n+d} + \cdots + p_1(n)f_{n+1} + p_0(n)f_n = 0.$$

- A function $f = f(x)$ is called *holonomic* if there exist polynomials $p_i(x)$ (not all $p_i = 0$) such that

$$p_d(x)f^{(d)}(x) + \cdots + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0.$$

- Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a holonomic sequence, then the generating function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n x^n$$

is a holonomic function.

- Let $f(x)$ be a holonomic function such that the Mellin transform

$$\mathbf{M}[f(x)](n) := \int_0^1 x^n f(x) dx$$

exists, then $\mathbf{M}[f(x)](n)$ is a holonomic sequence.

HOLONOMIC SEQUENCES TO HOLONOMIC FUNCTIONS

Given a holonomic sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Find a function $f(x)$ given as a linear combination of iterated integrals such that we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n f_n.$$

HOLONOMIC SEQUENCES TO HOLONOMIC FUNCTIONS

Given a holonomic sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Find a function $f(x)$ given as a linear combination of iterated integrals such that we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n f_n.$$

Method:

- ① Compute a holonomic recurrence equation for $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$.
- ② Use the recurrence to derive a holonomic differential equation for $f(x)$.
- ③ Compute initial values.
- ④ Solve the differential equation to get a closed form representation for $f(x)$.

Note: This is implemented in `HarmonicSums`.

EXAMPLE

Given

$$g(i) := \frac{1}{i^2 \binom{2i}{i}},$$

we want to compute a closed form for

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^i g(i).$$

- recurrence satisfied by $g(i)$:

$$(1+i)^2 g(i) - 2(2+i)(3+2i)g(1+i) = 0$$

- from the recurrence we can derive a differential equation for $f(x)$

$$f(x) + (-12 + 7x)f'(x) + 6x(-3 + x)f''(x) + x^2(-4 + x)f'''(x) = 0$$

- initial values

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad f''(0) = \frac{1}{12}.$$

- solving the differential equation and combining with initial values we find:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{(16x - 20x^2 + 8x^3 - x^4)}{32} + \frac{(x-2)\sqrt{(4-x)x}}{8} \int_0^x \sqrt{4-\tau}\sqrt{\tau}d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left(\int_0^x \sqrt{4-\tau}\sqrt{\tau}d\tau \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

HOLONOMIC FUNCTIONS TO HOLONOMIC SEQUENCES

Given a holonomic function $f(x)$.

Find a sequence f_n given as a linear combination of indefinite nested sums such that we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n f_n.$$

HOLONOMIC FUNCTIONS TO HOLONOMIC SEQUENCES

Given a holonomic function $f(x)$.

Find a sequence f_n given as a linear combination of indefinite nested sums such that we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n f_n.$$

Method:

- ① Compute a holonomic differential equation for $f(x)$.
- ② Use the differential equation to derive a holonomic recurrence for $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$.
- ③ Compute initial values f_0, f_1, \dots .
- ④ Solve the recurrence to get a closed form representation for $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Note: This is implemented in `HarmonicSums` using the recurrence solver of `Sigma`.

Given a holonomic function $f(x)$.

Find an expression $F(n)$ given as a linear combination of indefinite nested sums such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (from a certain point on) we have

$$\mathbf{M}[f(x)](n) := \int_0^1 x^n f(x) dx = F(n).$$

Given a holonomic function $f(x)$.

Find an expression $F(n)$ given as a linear combination of indefinite nested sums such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (from a certain point on) we have

$$\mathbf{M}[f(x)](n) := \int_0^1 x^n f(x) dx = F(n).$$

Method:

- ① Compute a holonomic differential equation for $f(x)$.
- ② Use the differential equation to derive a holonomic recurrence for $\mathbf{M}[f(x)](n)$.
- ③ Compute initial values $\mathbf{M}[f(x)](0), \mathbf{M}[f(x)](1), \dots$
- ④ Solve the recurrence to get a closed form representation for $\mathbf{M}[f(x)](n)$.

Note: This is implemented in `HarmonicSums` using the recurrence solver of `Sigma`.

INVERSE MELLIN TRANSFORM

Given a holonomic sequence $F(n)$.

Find an expression $f(x)$ given as a linear combination of indefinite iterated integrals such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (from a certain point on) we have

$$\mathbf{M}[f(x)](n) = F(n).$$

Given a holonomic sequence $F(n)$.

Find an expression $f(x)$ given as a linear combination of indefinite iterated integrals such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (from a certain point on) we have

$$\mathbf{M}[f(x)](n) = F(n).$$

Method:

- ① Compute a holonomic recurrence for $F(n)$.
- ② Use the recurrence to derive a differential equation for $f(x)$.
- ③ Compute a linear independent set of solutions of the differential equation.
- ④ Compute initial values $F(0), F(1), \dots$
- ⑤ Combine the initial values and the solutions to get a closed form representation for $f(x)$.

Note: This is implemented in HarmonicSums.

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: HYPEREXPONENTIAL SOLUTIONS [PETKOVŠEK, 1992]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$)

$$p_d(x)f^{(d)}(x) + \cdots + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (2)$$

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: HYPEREXPONENTIAL SOLUTIONS [PETKOVŠEK, 1992]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$)

$$p_d(x)f^{(d)}(x) + \cdots + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (2)$$

- Recall: $u(x)$ is hyperexponential if there is a $g(x) \in \mathbb{K}(x)$ s.t. $\frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} = g(x)$.

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: HYPEREXPONENTIAL SOLUTIONS [PETKOVŠEK, 1992]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$)

$$p_d(x)f^{(d)}(x) + \cdots + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (2)$$

- Recall: $u(x)$ is hyperexponential if there is a $g(x) \in \mathbb{K}(x)$ s.t. $\frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} = g(x)$.
- There is an algorithm that finds all hyperexponential solutions $u(x)$ of (2).

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: HYPEREXPONENTIAL SOLUTIONS [PETKOVŠEK, 1992]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$)

$$p_d(x)f^{(d)}(x) + \cdots + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (2)$$

- Recall: $u(x)$ is hyperexponential if there is a $g(x) \in \mathbb{K}(x)$ s.t. $\frac{u'(x)}{u(x)} = g(x)$.
- There is an algorithm that finds all hyperexponential solutions $u(x)$ of (2).
- This algorithm is implemented in `HarmonicSums`.

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: D'ALEMBERT SOLUTIONS

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i \in \mathbb{K}[x]; d > 1$)

$$\left(p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \right) f(x) = 0. \quad (3)$$

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: D'ALEMBERT SOLUTIONS

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i \in \mathbb{K}[x]; d > 1$)

$$\left(p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \right) f(x) = 0. \quad (3)$$

- compute a hyperexponential solution $r(x)$ of (3)

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: D'ALEMBERT SOLUTIONS

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i \in \mathbb{K}[x]$; $d > 1$)

$$\left(p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \right) f(x) = 0. \quad (3)$$

- compute a hyperexponential solution $r(x)$ of (3)
- $r(x)$ is a solution of $v'(x) - \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} v(x) = 0$

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: D'ALEMBERT SOLUTIONS

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i \in \mathbb{K}[x]; d > 1$)

$$\left(p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \right) f(x) = 0. \quad (3)$$

- compute a hyperexponential solution $r(x)$ of (3)
- $r(x)$ is a solution of $v'(x) - \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} v(x) = 0$
- compute (by division) $q_{d-1}(x)D_x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_1(x)D_x + q_0(x)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (q_{d-1}(x)D_x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_1(x)D_x + q_0(x)) \left(D_x - \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} \right) \\ = p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: D'ALEMBERT SOLUTIONS

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i \in \mathbb{K}[x]; d > 1$)

$$\left(p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \right) f(x) = 0. \quad (3)$$

- compute a hyperexponential solution $r(x)$ of (3)
- $r(x)$ is a solution of $v'(x) - \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} v(x) = 0$
- compute (by division) $q_{d-1}(x)D_x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_1(x)D_x + q_0(x)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (q_{d-1}(x)D_x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_1(x)D_x + q_0(x)) \left(D_x - \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} \right) \\ = p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

- compute d'Alembert solutions $u_1(x), \dots, u_k(x)$ of

$$\left(q_{d-1}(x)D_x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_1(x)D_x + q_0(x) \right) f(x) = 0$$

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQS.: D'ALEMBERT SOLUTIONS

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i \in \mathbb{K}[x]; d > 1$)

$$\left(p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \right) f(x) = 0. \quad (3)$$

- compute a hyperexponential solution $r(x)$ of (3)
- $r(x)$ is a solution of $v'(x) - \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} v(x) = 0$
- compute (by division) $q_{d-1}(x)D_x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_1(x)D_x + q_0(x)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (q_{d-1}(x)D_x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_1(x)D_x + q_0(x)) \left(D_x - \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} \right) \\ = p_d(x)D_x^d + \cdots + p_1(x)D_x + p_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

- compute d'Alembert solutions $u_1(x), \dots, u_k(x)$ of

$$\left(q_{d-1}(x)D_x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_1(x)D_x + q_0(x) \right) f(x) = 0$$

- $r(x) \int \frac{u_1(x)}{r(x)} dx, \dots, r(x) \int \frac{u_k(x)}{r(x)} dx, r(x)$ are solutions of (3)

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: KOVACIC'S ALGORITHM [KOVACIC, 1986]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$)

$$p_2(x)f''(x) + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (4)$$

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: KOVACIC'S ALGORITHM [KOVACIC, 1986]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$)

$$p_2(x)f''(x) + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Kovacic's algorithm decides whether (4)

- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}(x)$;

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: KOVACIC'S ALGORITHM [KOVACIC, 1986]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$)

$$p_2(x)f''(x) + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Kovacic's algorithm decides whether (4)

- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}(x)$;
- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where ω is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ of degree 2 and the previous case does not hold;

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: KOVACIC'S ALGORITHM [KOVACIC, 1986]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$)

$$p_2(x)f''(x) + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Kovacic's algorithm decides whether (4)

- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}(x)$;
- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where ω is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ of degree 2 and the previous case does not hold;
- all solutions are algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ and the previous cases do not hold;

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: KOVACIC'S ALGORITHM [KOVACIC, 1986]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$)

$$p_2(x)f''(x) + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Kovacic's algorithm decides whether (4)

- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}(x)$;
- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where ω is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ of degree 2 and the previous case does not hold;
- all solutions are algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ and the previous cases do not hold;
- has no Liouvillian solution

SOLVING DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS: KOVACIC'S ALGORITHM [KOVACIC, 1986]

Consider the linear differential equation ($p_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$)

$$p_2(x)f''(x) + p_1(x)f'(x) + p_0(x)f(x) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Kovacic's algorithm decides whether (4)

- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}(x)$;
- has a solution of the form $e^{\int \omega}$ where ω is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ of degree 2 and the previous case does not hold;
- all solutions are algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x)$ and the previous cases do not hold;
- has no Liouvillian solution

and finds the solutions if they exist.

Kovacic's algorithm is implemented in HarmonicSums.

Continuous multivariate AZ-algorithm.

CONTINUOUS MULTIVARIATE AZ-ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

We use the continuous Almkvist Zeilberger algorithm to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(x; y_1, \dots, y_d)$ is of the form

$$F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = q(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot e^{\frac{a(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p},$$

with

- $a(x, x_1, \dots, x_d), q(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x, x_1, \dots, x_d]$;
- $b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x, x_1, \dots, x_d] \setminus \{0\}$;
- $S_p(x, x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x, x_1, \dots, x_d]$ for $1 \leq p \leq P$;
- α_p commuting indeterminates for $1 \leq p \leq P$;
- With e.g., $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$.

CONTINUOUS MULTIVARIATE AZ-ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

Our strategy to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function is:

- compute a linear differential equation for the integrand $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$

CONTINUOUS MULTIVARIATE AZ-ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

Our strategy to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function is:

- compute a linear differential equation for the integrand $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$
- use the differential equation for the integrand to derive a differential equation for the integral

CONTINUOUS MULTIVARIATE AZ-ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

Our strategy to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function is:

- compute a linear differential equation for the integrand $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$
- use the differential equation for the integrand to derive a differential equation for the integral
- compute initial values for the integral

CONTINUOUS MULTIVARIATE AZ-ALGORITHM [APAGODU AND ZEILBERGER, 2006]

Our strategy to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

where $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a hyperexponential function is:

- compute a linear differential equation for the integrand $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$
- use the differential equation for the integrand to derive a differential equation for the integral
- compute initial values for the integral
- solve the differential equation

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAND

$$F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = q(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) H(x; x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

where

$$H(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = e^{\frac{a(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p}$$

- $a(x, x_1, \dots, x_d), b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d), q(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x, x_1, \dots, x_d]$;
- $S_p(x, x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}[x, x_1, \dots, x_d]$ for $1 \leq p \leq P$;
- α_p commuting indeterminates for $1 \leq p \leq P$;

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAND

$$F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = q(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) H(x; x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

where

$$H(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = e^{\frac{a(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p}$$

Then there exist

- $L \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $e_0(x), e_1(x), \dots, e_L(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, *not all zero*,
- $R_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)$,

such that

$$G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) := R_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAND

$$\overline{H}(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \frac{e^{\frac{a(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}}}{b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)^{2L}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p}, \quad 0 \leq L \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = h(x, x_1, \dots, x_d) \overline{H}(x; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

for some polynomial $h(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)$, that can be determined.

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAND

$$\overline{H}(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \frac{e^{\frac{a(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}{b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}}}{b(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)^{2L}} \cdot \prod_{p=1}^P S_p(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)^{\alpha_p}, \quad 0 \leq L \in \mathbb{N}$$

From the logarithmic derivatives

$$\frac{D_{x_i} \overline{H}(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)}{\overline{H}(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)} = \frac{q_i(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}{r_i(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)}$$

we build the ansatz (where $X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ are polynomials to be determined)

$$G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \overline{H}(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot r_i(x, x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d [D_{x_i} r_i(x, x_1, \dots, x_d) + q_i(x, x_1, \dots, x_d)] \cdot X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ + r_i(x, x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot D_{x_i} X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) = h(x, x_1, \dots, x_d). \end{aligned}$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAND

Ansatz:

$$\sum_{i=1}^d [D_{x_i} r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) + q_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)] \cdot X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ + r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) \cdot D_{x_i} X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) = h(x_1, \dots, x_d) \quad (5)$$

Algorithm:

```
set L = 0
① look for degree bounds for  $X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ 
② try to find a solution of (5) by coefficient comparison
③ if there is no solution with not all  $e_i(x)$ 's equal to zero:
    increase L by one and go to ①
else:
    return  $\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i$  and  $\underbrace{H(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) r_i(x_1, \dots, x_d) X_i(x_1, \dots, x_d)}_{G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)}$ 
```

Since according to [Apagodu and Zeilberger, 2006] the existence of a solution of (5) with not all the $e_i(x)$'s equal to zero is guaranteed for sufficiently large L , this process will eventually terminate.

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 1

We now consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

Where for $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 1

We now consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

Where for $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

If

$$F(x; \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = F(x; \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = 0,$$

we also have

$$G_i(x; \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = G_i(x; \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = 0$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 1

We now consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

Where for $F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

If

$$F(x; \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = F(x; \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = 0,$$

we also have

$$G_i(x; \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = G_i(x; \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots) = 0$$

and hence $\mathcal{I}(x)$ satisfies the homogenous linear differential equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i \mathcal{I}(x) = 0.$$

EXAMPLE

Consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 e^{-x x_1 x_2} (x_1^2 - 1) (x_2^2 - 1) dx_2 dx_1.$$

We find that the integrand satisfies the following differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} -x f(x) + (16 - x^2) f'(x) + 9x f''(x) + x^2 f'''(x) = \\ D_{x_1} \left(e^{-xx_1 x_2} (x_1^2 - 1)^2 x_2 (x x_1 x_2 - 4) (+x_2^2 - 1) \right) \\ D_{x_2} \left(e^{-xx_1 x_2} x (x_1^2 - 1) x_2 (x_2^2 - 1)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since the integrand vanishes at the integration bounds $\mathcal{I}(x)$ satisfies

$$-x \mathcal{I}(x) + (16 - x^2) \mathcal{I}'(x) + 9x \mathcal{I}''(x) + x^2 \mathcal{I}'''(x) = 0.$$

Solving and comparing initial values leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(x) = & \frac{2 + x^2}{x^3} - \frac{e^{-x} (-2 + 2e^x + 2e^{2x} + 2x + 2e^{2x}x + e^x x^2)}{x^3} \\ & - \frac{2 (2 + x^2) G\left(\frac{e^{-x}}{\tau}, x\right)}{x^3} + \frac{2 (2 + x^2) G\left(\frac{e^x}{\tau}, x\right)}{x^3} \end{aligned}$$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

```
In[16]:= cmAZIntegrate[e-xx1x2(x12 - 1)(x22 - 1), x, {{x1, -1, 1}, {x2, -1, 1}}]  
Out[16]= 
$$\frac{2+x^2}{x^3} - \frac{e^{-x} (-2+2e^x+2e^{2x}+2x+2e^{2x}x+e^x x^2)}{x^3} - \frac{2(2+x^2) G\left(\frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}, x\right)}{x^3} +$$
  

$$\frac{2(2+x^2) G\left(\frac{e^\tau}{\tau}, x\right)}{x^3}$$

```

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 2

We again consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

- suppose $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not vanish at the bounds

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 2

We again consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

- suppose $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not vanish at the bounds
- $G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not have to vanish at the bounds

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 2

We again consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

- suppose $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not vanish at the bounds
- $G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not have to vanish at the bounds
- then force the G_i to vanish at the integration bounds by modifying the ansatz, and look for G_i of the form

$$G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \bar{G}_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)(x_i - u_i)(x_i - o_i),$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 2

We again consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(n; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d,$$

- suppose $F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not vanish at the bounds
- $G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ does not have to vanish at the bounds
- then force the G_i to vanish at the integration bounds by modifying the ansatz, and look for G_i of the form

$$G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \overline{G}_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)(x_i - u_i)(x_i - o_i),$$

- hence $\mathcal{I}(x)$ satisfies again a homogenous linear differential equation of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i \mathcal{I}(x) = 0.$$

EXAMPLE

Consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 e^{-x x_1 x_2} dx_2 dx_1.$$

We find that the integrand satisfies the following differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} -x f(x) - (-4 + x^2) f'(x) + 5x f''(x) + x^2 f'''(x) = \\ D_{x_1} (e^{-xx_1 x_2} (-1 + x_1) (1 + x_1) x_2 (-2 + xx_1 x_2)) \\ + D_{x_2} (e^{-xx_1 x_2} x (-1 + x_2) x_2 (1 + x_2)) \end{aligned}$$

After integrating we find

$$-x \mathcal{I}(x) - (-4 + x^2) \mathcal{I}'(x) + 5x \mathcal{I}''(x) + x^2 \mathcal{I}'''(x) = 0.$$

Solving and comparing initial values leads to

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = -\frac{2 \left(G\left(\frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}; x\right) - G\left(\frac{e^\tau}{\tau}; x\right) \right)}{x}$$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[17]:= **cmAZDirectIntegrate**[$e^{-xx_1x_2}$, x, {{x₁, -1, 1}, {x₂, -1, 1}}]

Out[17]=
$$-\frac{2 \left(G\left(\frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}; x\right) - G\left(\frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau}; x\right)\right)}{x}$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 3

We look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

Suppose that we found

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 3

We look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

Suppose that we found

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

By integration with respect to x_1, \dots, x_d we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i \mathcal{I}(x) &= \\ \sum_{i=1}^d &\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_{i-1}}^{o_{i-1}} \int_{u_{i+1}}^{o_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} O_i(x) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_d \\ - \sum_{i=1}^d &\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_{i-1}}^{o_{i-1}} \int_{u_{i+1}}^{o_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} U_i(x) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_d \end{aligned}$$

with

$$O_i(x) := G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

$$U_i(x) := G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d).$$

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE INTEGRAL 3

We look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

Suppose that we found

$$\sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d D_{x_i} G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

By integration with respect to x_1, \dots, x_d we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^L e_i(x) D_x^i \mathcal{I}(x) &= \\ \sum_{i=1}^d &\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_{i-1}}^{o_{i-1}} \int_{u_{i+1}}^{o_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} O_i(x) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_d \\ - \sum_{i=1}^d &\int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_{i-1}}^{o_{i-1}} \int_{u_{i+1}}^{o_{i+1}} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} U_i(x) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_d \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} O_i(x) &:= G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, o_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ U_i(x) &:= G_i(x; x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, u_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d). \end{aligned}$$

Note that there are $2 \cdot d$ integrals of dimension $d - 1$, to compute.

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[18]:= **cmAZIntegrate**[$e^{-x(w_1+w_2+w_3+w_4)}$, x, {{w₁, -1, 1}, {w₂, -1, 1}, {w₃, -1, 1}, {w₄, -1, 1}}]

Out[18]=
$$\frac{8 \left(G\left(\frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}; x\right) - G\left(\frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau}; x\right) - G\left(\frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{e^{-\tau}}{\tau}; x\right) + G\left(\frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{e^{\tau}}{\tau}; x\right) \right)}{x^2}$$

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(x).$$

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(x).$$

- find $I_{-K}(x), I_{-K+1}(x), \dots, I_u(x)$ in terms of iterated integral expressions.

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(x).$$

- find $I_{-K}(x), I_{-K+1}(x), \dots, I_u(x)$ in terms of iterated integral expressions.
- compute a differential equation for $\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x)$ in the form

$$a_0(\varepsilon, x) T(\varepsilon, x) + \cdots + a_d(\varepsilon, x) D_x^d T(\varepsilon, x) = h_0(x) + \cdots + h_u(x) \varepsilon^u + O(\varepsilon^{u+1});$$

by using one of the methods presented above

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(x).$$

- find $I_{-K}(x), I_{-K+1}(x), \dots, I_u(x)$ in terms of iterated integral expressions.
- compute a differential equation for $\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x)$ in the form

$$a_0(\varepsilon, x) T(\varepsilon, x) + \cdots + a_d(\varepsilon, x) D_x^d T(\varepsilon, x) = h_0(x) + \cdots + h_u(x) \varepsilon^u + O(\varepsilon^{u+1});$$

by using one of the methods presented above

- compute initial values

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look again at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- assume that we can write it in the form

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k I_k(x).$$

- find $I_{-K}(x), I_{-K+1}(x), \dots, I_u(x)$ in terms of iterated integral expressions.
- compute a differential equation for $\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x)$ in the form

$$a_0(\varepsilon, x) T(\varepsilon, x) + \cdots + a_d(\varepsilon, x) D_x^d T(\varepsilon, x) = h_0(x) + \cdots + h_u(x) \varepsilon^u + O(\varepsilon^{u+1});$$

by using one of the methods presented above

- compute initial values
- use the following algorithm to compute a Laurent series expansion of the solution of the differential equation

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I(\varepsilon, x) \right] \\ & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I(\varepsilon, x) \right] \\ & + \\ & \vdots \\ & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I(\varepsilon, x) \right] \\ & = h_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + h_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + h_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + h_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \cdots \right] \\ & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I(\varepsilon, x) \right] \\ & + \\ & \vdots \\ & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I(\varepsilon, x) \right] \\ & = h_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + h_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + h_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + h_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\ & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + D_x I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\ & + \\ & \vdots \\ & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I(\varepsilon, x) \right] \\ & = h_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + h_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + h_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + h_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + D_x I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + D_x^d I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & = h_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + h_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + h_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + h_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + D_x I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + D_x^d I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & = h_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + h_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + h_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + h_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

 lowest order terms must agree

$$a_0(0, x)I_{-2}(x) + a_1(0, x)D_x I_{-2}(x) + \dots + a_d(0, x)D_x^d I_{-2}(x) = h_{-2}(x)$$

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\ & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + D_x I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\ & + \\ & \vdots \\ & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + D_x^d I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\ & = h_{-2}(x)\varepsilon^{-2} + h_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + h_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + h_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

\Downarrow lowest order terms must agree

$$a_0(0, x)I_{-2}(x) + a_1(0, x)D_x I_{-2}(x) + \dots + a_d(0, x)D_x^d I_{-2}(x) = h_{-2}(x)$$

Use the differential equation solver to determine $I_{-2}(x)$.

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + D_x I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + D_x^d I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & = h_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + h_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + h_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + h_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in $I_{-2}(x)$ in

$$a_0(\varepsilon, x) I_{-2}(x) + a_1(\varepsilon, x) D_x I_{-2}(x) + \dots + a_d(\varepsilon, x) D_x^d I_{-2}(x)$$

yields

$$h_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + g_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + g_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + g_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots .$$

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + D_x I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + D_x^d I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \dots \right] \\
 & = h_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + h_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + h_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + h_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in $I_{-2}(x)$ in

$$a_0(\varepsilon, x) I_{-2}(x) + a_1(\varepsilon, x) D_x I_{-2}(x) + \dots + a_d(\varepsilon, x) D_x^d I_{-2}(x)$$

yields

$$h_{-2}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + g_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-2} + g_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + g_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots$$

Now we can update the equation!

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
& + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
& + \\
& \vdots \\
& + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x^d I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
& = \bar{h}_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{h}_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \bar{h}_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \bar{h}_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\bar{h}_i(x) = h_i(x) - g_i(x)$.

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x^d I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & = \bar{h}_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{h}_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \bar{h}_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \bar{h}_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Where $\bar{h}_i(x) = h_i(x) - g_i(x)$.

Repeat the process to compute $I_{-1}(x)$.

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x^d I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & = \bar{h}_{-1}(x)\varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{h}_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \bar{h}_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \bar{h}_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$


 lowest order terms must agree

$$a_0(0, x)I_{-1}(x) + a_1(0, x)D_x I_{-1}(x) + \dots + a_d(0, x)D_x^d I_{-1}(x) = \bar{h}_{-1}(x)$$

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\ & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + D_x I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\ & + \\ & \vdots \\ & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + D_x^d I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\ & = \bar{h}_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{h}_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \bar{h}_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \bar{h}_2(x) \varepsilon^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

\Downarrow lowest order terms must agree

$$a_0(0, x) I_{-1}(x) + a_1(0, x) D_x I_{-1}(x) + \dots + a_d(0, x) D_x^d I_{-1}(x) = \bar{h}_{-1}(x)$$

Use the differential equation solver to determine $I_{-1}(x)$.

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + D_x I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + D_x^d I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & = \bar{h}_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{h}_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \bar{h}_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \bar{h}_2(x) \varepsilon^2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in $I_{-1}(x)$ in

$$a_0(\varepsilon, x) I_{-1}(x) + a_1(\varepsilon, x) D_x I_{-1}(x) + \dots + a_d(\varepsilon, x) D_x^d I_{-1}(x)$$

yields

$$\bar{h}_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{g}_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \bar{g}_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \bar{g}_2(x) \varepsilon^2 + \dots$$

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + D_x I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + D_x^d I_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + D_x^d I_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \dots \right] \\
 & = \bar{h}_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{h}_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \bar{h}_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \bar{h}_2(x) \varepsilon^2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in $I_{-1}(x)$ in

$$a_0(\varepsilon, x) I_{-1}(x) + a_1(\varepsilon, x) D_x I_{-1}(x) + \dots + a_d(\varepsilon, x) D_x^d I_{-1}(x)$$

yields

$$\bar{h}_{-1}(x) \varepsilon^{-1} + \bar{g}_0(x) \varepsilon^0 + \bar{g}_1(x) \varepsilon^1 + \bar{g}_2(x) \varepsilon^2 + \dots$$

Now we can update the equation!

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + I_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots \right] \\
 & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + D_x I_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots \\
 & + \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x^d I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + D_x^d I_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots \right] \\
 & = \bar{\bar{h}}_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \bar{\bar{h}}_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \bar{\bar{h}}_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \bar{\bar{h}}_3(x)\varepsilon^3 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Where $\bar{\bar{h}}_i(x) = \bar{h}_i(x) - \bar{g}_i(x)$.

COMPUTING THE ε -EXPANSION FROM A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0(\varepsilon, x) \left[I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + I_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots \right] \\ & + a_1(\varepsilon, x) D_x I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + D_x I_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots \Big] \\ & + \\ & \vdots \\ & + a_d(\varepsilon, x) \left[D_x^d I_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + D_x^d I_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + D_x^d I_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \dots \right] \\ & = \bar{\bar{h}}_0(x)\varepsilon^0 + \bar{\bar{h}}_1(x)\varepsilon^1 + \bar{\bar{h}}_2(x)\varepsilon^2 + \bar{\bar{h}}_3(x)\varepsilon^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Where $\bar{\bar{h}}_i(x) = \bar{h}_i(x) - \bar{g}_i(x)$.

Repeat the process to compute $I_0(x)$.

LAURENT SERIES EXPANSION OF THE INTEGRAL

- we look at the integral

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) := \int_{u_d}^{o_d} \cdots \int_{u_1}^{o_1} F(x; x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

- compute a differential equation for $\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x)$ in the form

$$a_0(\varepsilon, x) T(\varepsilon, x) + \cdots + a_d(\varepsilon, x) D_x^d T(\varepsilon, x) = h_0(x) + \cdots + h_u(x) \varepsilon^u + O(\varepsilon^{u+1});$$

by using one of the methods presented above

- compute initial values
- use the method presented before to compute a Laurent series s.t.

$$\mathcal{I}(\varepsilon, x) = \sum_{k=-K}^m \varepsilon^k I_k(x) + O(\varepsilon^{m+1}).$$

EXAMPLE

Do you have integrals to try?

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

In[19]:= $f = e^{xyw}((1-w)x(1-y))^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}((1-w)y(1-x)z(1-z));$

In[20]:= $\text{init} = \frac{8}{3(2+\varepsilon)^2(4+\varepsilon)^2} - \frac{4w(28 + \varepsilon(12 + \varepsilon))}{3(2+\varepsilon)(4+\varepsilon)^2(6+\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{w^2(-1664 + \varepsilon(12 + \varepsilon(12 + \varepsilon))(72 + \varepsilon(16 + \varepsilon)))}{3(2+\varepsilon)(4+\varepsilon)^2(6+\varepsilon)^2(8+\varepsilon)^2};$

Looking for one homogenous differential equation:

In[21]:= **cmAZExpandedDirectIntegrate**[$f, w, \{\varepsilon, 0, 1\}, \{\{x, 0, 1\}, \{y, 0, 1\}, \{z, 0, 1\}\}$, **InitValues** → **init**]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out}[21] = & \left\{ \left\{ \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{6w} - \frac{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{6w^2} + \frac{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{6w}, -\frac{1}{12w^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^w}{12w^2} + \frac{1}{12w} - \frac{\varepsilon^w}{12w} - \right. \right. \\ & \frac{1}{12} G\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau}; w\right) + \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau}; w\right)}{12w} - \frac{1}{12} G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right) - \frac{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{12w^2} + \frac{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{6w} + \\ & G\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau}, \frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right) - \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau}, \frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{12w^2} + \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon^{-\tau}}{\tau}; w\right)}{12w^2} - \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon^{-\tau}}{\tau}; w\right)}{12w} + \\ & \frac{12w^2}{G\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)} - \frac{12w}{G\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)} + \frac{12w^2}{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1-\tau}; w\right)} - \frac{12w}{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1-\tau}; w\right)} - \\ & \frac{12w^2}{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon^{-\tau}}{\tau}; w\right)} + \frac{12w}{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon^{-\tau}}{\tau}; w\right)} \left. \right\}, \{0, 1\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

USING THE PACKAGE MULTIINTEGRATE

Using the recursive method:

In[22]:= **cmAZExpandedIntegrate[f, w, {ε, 0, 1}, {{x, 0, 1}, {y, 0, 1}, {z, 0, 1}}, Assumptions → 0 < ε < 1]**

$$\text{Out}[22]= \left\{ \left\{ \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{6w} - \frac{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{6w^2} + \frac{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{6w}, -\frac{1}{12w^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^w}{12w^2} + \frac{1}{12w} - \frac{\varepsilon^w}{12w} - \right. \right. \\ \frac{1}{12} G\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau}; w\right) + \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau}; w\right)}{12w} - \frac{1}{12} G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right) - \frac{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{12w^2} + \frac{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{6w} + \\ G\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau}, \frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right) - \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau}, \frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)}{12w^2} + \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon^{-\tau}}{\tau}; w\right)}{12w^2} - \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon^{-\tau}}{\tau}; w\right)}{12w} + \\ \frac{12w^2}{G\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)} - \frac{12w}{G\left(\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}; w\right)} + \frac{12w^2}{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1-\tau}; w\right)} - \frac{12w}{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1-\tau}; w\right)} - \\ \frac{12w^2}{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon^{-\tau}}{\tau}; w\right)} + \frac{12w}{G\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^\tau}{\tau}, -\frac{1-\varepsilon^{-\tau}}{\tau}; w\right)} \left. \right\}, \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

-  A., J. (2012).
Computer Algebra Algorithms for Special Functions in Particle Physics.
PhD thesis, J. Kepler University Linz.
-  A., J. (2016).
Inverse Mellin Transform of Holonomic Sequences.
In *Pos (LL2016) 067, Proc. of Sciences*.
-  A., J. (2017).
Computing the Inverse Mellin Transform of Holonomic Sequences using Kovacic's Algorithm.
In *Pos (RADCOR2017) 069*.
-  A., J., Blümlein, J., Freitas, A. D., Hasselhuhn, A., von Manteuffel, A., Round, M., Schneider, C., and Wißbrock, F. (2014).
The transition matrix element $A_{gq}(n)$ of the variable flavor number scheme at $O(\alpha_s^3)$.
Nuclear Physics B, 882.
-  Ablinger, J., Behring, A., Blümlein, J., Freitas, A. D., von Manteuffel, A., and Schneider, C. (2016).
Calculating three loop ladder and V-topologies for massive operator matrix elements by computer algebra.
Comput. Phys. Comm., 202.
-  Apagodu, M. and Zeilberger, D. (2006).
Multi-variable Zeilberger and Almkvist–Zeilberger algorithms and the sharpening of Wilf–Zeilberger theory.
Advances in Applied Mathematics, 37.
-  Kovacic, J. (1986).
An algorithm for solving second order linear homogeneous differential equations.
Journal of Symbolic Computation, 2.
-  Petkovsek, M. (1992).
Hypergeometric solutions of linear recurrences with polynomial coefficients.
Journal of Symbolic Computation, 14.